

## THE CONTINUOUS PROJECTION-GRADIENT METHOD OF THE FOURTH ORDER

Andjelija NEDIĆ

*Mathematical Faculty, University of Montenegro,  
Cetinjski put, 81000 Podgorica, Yugoslavia*

**Abstract:**\* In this paper the continuous projection-gradient method of the fourth order for solving the convex minimization problem in Euclidean space is considered. The sufficient conditions for convergence are established.

**Keywords:** Projection-gradient method, convexity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following minimization problem

$$J(u) \rightarrow \inf, \quad u \in U, \quad (1)$$

where  $U$  is a closed, convex subset of a real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^n$ , function  $J(u)$  is continuously differentiable and convex on  $\mathbb{E}^n$ . The scalar product of two elements  $u, v \in \mathbb{E}^n$  will be denoted by:  $\langle u, v \rangle$ ;  $\|u\| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$  is the norm of the element  $u$ . Suppose that

$$J_* = \inf_{u \in U} J(u) > -\infty, \quad U_* = \{u \in U : J(u) = J_*\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (2)$$

The continuous minimization methods of the projection-gradient type

$$u'(t) + u(t) = P_U [u(t) - \alpha(t) J'(u(t))], \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u_0 \in \mathbb{E}^n,$$

have been proposed and investigated in [5, 6] for  $U = \mathbb{E}^n$  and in [1] for  $U \subseteq \mathbb{E}^n$ ,  $\alpha(t) = \alpha > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . The further investigation in this area, considering the continuous projection-gradient methods of the second and third order has been presented in [1, 2]. This paper presents the continuous projection-gradient method of the fourth order.

\* Supported by Yugoslav Ministry of Science, grant number 081-263.

## 2. THE CONDITIONS FOR CONVERGENCE

For solving the problem (1) we will use the continuous projection gradient method of the fourth order

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_4(t) u^{iv} + \beta_3(t) u''' + \beta_2(t) u'' + u' + u = \\ & P_U [ u - \alpha(t) J'(u) ], \quad t \geq 0, \\ & u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \quad u''(0) = u_2, \quad u'''(0) = u_3 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $P_U(z)$  – denotes the projection of the point  $z$  on the set  $U$ ;  $u_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$  are given initial points from the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^n$ ;  $\alpha(t)$ ,  $\beta_i(t)$ ,  $i = 2, 3, 4$  are the parameters of the method (3),  $u = u(t)$ ,  $u^{(i)}(t) = d^i u(t) / dt^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $J'(u)$  – gradient of the function  $J(u)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Suppose that

- 1)  $U$  is a convex closed set in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^n$ ; function  $J(u)$  is convex and differentiable on  $\mathbb{E}^n$ ; the gradient  $J'(u)$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|J'(u) - J'(v)\| \leq L \|u - v\|, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{E}^n, \quad L = \text{const} < +\infty;$$

the conditions (2) are satisfied;

- 2) the parameters  $\alpha(t)$ ,  $\beta_i(t)$ ,  $i = 2, 3, 4$  of the method (3) are such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(t) \in \mathbb{C} [ 0, +\infty ), \quad 0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha(t) \leq \alpha_1, \quad t \geq 0; \\ & \beta_2(t) \in \mathbb{C}^2 [ 0, +\infty ), \quad \beta_3(t) \in \mathbb{C}^3 [ 0, +\infty ), \quad \beta_4(t) \in \mathbb{C}^4 [ 0, +\infty ), \\ & \beta_i'(t) \leq 0, \quad \beta_i''(t) \geq 0, \quad i = 2, 3, 4, \quad t \geq 0; \\ & \beta_i'''(t) \leq 0, \quad i = 3, 4; \quad \beta_i^{iv}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0; \\ & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_i(t) = \beta_{i\infty} > 0, \quad i = 2, 3, 4; \end{aligned}$$

$$1 - \alpha_1 L - \beta_{2\infty} > 0, \quad \beta_{2\infty}^2 (1 - \alpha_1 L) + \beta_{4\infty} - 2 \beta_{3\infty} > 0,$$

$$\beta_{3\infty}^2 (1 - \alpha_1 L) + 2 \beta_{2\infty} \beta_{4\infty} > 0, \quad \beta_{2\infty} - \frac{3}{2} \beta_{3\infty} - \beta_{3\infty} \beta_{4\infty} > 0,$$

$$\beta_{2\infty} \beta_{3\infty} - 3 \beta_{4\infty} - \beta_{2\infty} \beta_{4\infty}^2 > 0.$$

Then for any initial values  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$  there is a point  $u_\infty \in U$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(t)\| + \|u(t) - u_\infty\| \right\} = 0,$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(s)\|^2 + f(s) \|u(s) - u_\infty\|^2 \right\} ds < +\infty$$

where  $f(s) = \beta_2''(s) - \beta_3'''(s) + \beta_4^{iv}(s)$ , for all  $s \geq 0$ .

**PROOF.** Note that there exist functions, for example

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 \frac{2+t}{1+t}, \quad \beta_i(t) = \beta_{i\infty} + \frac{1}{1+t}, \quad \alpha_0 > 0, \quad \beta_{i\infty} > 0,$$

for  $i = 2, 3, 4$ ; and  $t \geq 0$ , which satisfy the conditions of the theorem.

From the inequalities for the derivatives of  $\beta_i(t)$  and  $\beta_{i\infty} > 0$ ,  $i = 2, 3, 4$ ; it can be proved that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_i'(t) &= 0, \quad i = 2, 3, 4 & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_i''(t) &= 0, \quad i = 2, 3; \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_4'''(t) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Besides that, from the conditions on the limits  $\beta_{i\infty}$  and  $\alpha_1$  we can find

$$\begin{aligned} 1 > \beta_{2\infty} > \frac{3}{2} \beta_{3\infty} > \frac{9}{2} \beta_{4\infty}, & \quad \beta_{3\infty} - 3 \beta_{4\infty}^2 > 0, \\ 1 - \beta_{2\infty} \beta_{4\infty} - \beta_{4\infty}^4 > 0, & \quad \beta_{3\infty} - 2 \beta_{4\infty} - 2 \beta_{4\infty}^2 > 0, \\ \beta_{2\infty} - 2 \beta_{3\infty} \beta_{4\infty} > 0, & \quad \beta_{3\infty} - \beta_{2\infty}^2 \beta_{4\infty} - \beta_{4\infty} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

As we know [3], there is the unique solution  $u = u(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  to the differential equation (3) for any given initial values  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . For every  $u_* \in U_*$ , it holds: (see [4], pp.165, Theorem 3)

$$\langle J'(u_*), w - u_* \rangle \geq 0, \quad w \in U. \quad (6)$$

From (3) and the property of the projection operator (see [4]) it can be derived

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \beta_4(t) u^{iv} + \beta_3(t) u''' + \beta_2(t) u'' + u' + \alpha(t) J'(u), \\ &\beta_4(t) u^{iv} + \beta_3(t) u''' + \beta_2(t) u'' + u' + u - v \rangle \leq 0, \\ &v \in U, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Multiplying the inequality (6) by  $[-\alpha(t)]$  for  $w = \beta_4(t) u^{iv} + \beta_3(t) u''' + \beta_2(t) u'' + u' + u$  and summing it with (7) for  $v = u_*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \beta_4(t) u^{iv} + \beta_3(t) u''' + \beta_2(t) u'' + u' + \alpha(t) [J'(u) - J'(u_*)], \\ &\beta_4(t) u^{iv} + \beta_3(t) u''' + \beta_2(t) u'' + u' + u - u_* \rangle \leq 0, \\ &t \geq 0, \quad u_* \in U_*. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality can be written in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_4^2(t) \|u^{iv}\|^2 + 2 \beta_4(t) \beta_3(t) \langle u^{iv}, u''' \rangle + 2 \beta_4(t) \beta_2(t) \langle u^{iv}, u'' \rangle + \\ &2 \beta_4(t) \langle u^{iv}, u' \rangle + \beta_4(t) \langle u^{iv}, u - u_* \rangle + \beta_3^2(t) \|u'''\|^2 + \\ &2 \beta_3(t) \beta_2(t) \langle u''', u'' \rangle + 2 \beta_3(t) \langle u''', u' \rangle + \\ &\beta_3(t) \langle u''', u - u_* \rangle + \beta_2^2(t) \|u''\|^2 + 2 \beta_2(t) \langle u'', u' \rangle + \\ &\beta_2(t) \langle u'', u - u_* \rangle + \|u'\|^2 + \langle u', u - u_* \rangle \leq \\ &\alpha(t) \langle J'(u) - J'(u_*), u_* - [u + u' + \beta_2(t) u'' + \beta_3(t) u''' + \beta_4(t) u^{iv}] \rangle \\ &t \geq 0, \quad u_* \in U_*. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since the function  $J(u)$  is convex, differentiable and its gradient  $J'(u)$  satisfies the Lipschitz's condition we have (see [4], pp.175).

$$\langle J'(u) - J'(v), v - w \rangle \leq \frac{L}{4} \|u - w\|^2, \quad u, v, w \in \mathbb{E}^n \quad (9)$$

In order to write (8) in a more compact way we will use the denotations

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= \|u'''(t)\|^2, \\ q(t) &= \|u''(t)\|^2, \\ p(t) &= \|u'(t)\|^2, \\ x(t, u_*) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u(t) - u_*\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using (10), (9) and inequality  $(a + b + c + d)^2 \leq 4(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)$ , which is true for all  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ , from (8) we will get

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_4^2(t) [1 - \alpha(t)L] \|u^{iv}\|^2 + \beta_4(t) \beta_3(t) r'(t) + \\ &[\beta_3^2(t) (1 - \alpha(t)L) - 2\beta_4(t) \beta_2(t)] r(t) + \beta_4(t) \beta_2(t) q''(t) + \\ &[\beta_3(t) \beta_2(t) - 3\beta_4(t)] q'(t) + [\beta_2^2(t) (1 - \alpha(t)L) + \beta_4(t) - 2\beta_3(t)] q(t) + \\ &\beta_4(t) p'''(t) + [\beta_3(t) - 2\beta_4(t)] p''(t) + [\beta_2(t) - \frac{3}{2}\beta_3(t)] p'(t) + \\ &[1 - \alpha(t)L - \beta_2(t)] p(t) + \\ &\beta_4(t) x^{iv}(t, u_*) + \beta_3(t) x'''(t, u_*) + \beta_2(t) x''(t, u_*) + x'(t, u_*) \leq 0, \\ &t \geq 0, \quad u_* \in U_*. \end{aligned}$$

After the integration on the segment  $[\xi, t]$ ,  $t > \xi$ , where  $\xi \geq 0$  is arbitrary, taking into account the conditions 2) of the Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\xi}^t \{ \beta_4^2(s) [1 - \alpha_1 L] \|u^{iv}(s)\|^2 + [\beta_3^2(s) (1 - \alpha_1 L) - 2\beta_4(s) \beta_2(s)] r(s) + \\ &[\beta_2^2(s) (1 - \alpha_1 L) + \beta_4(s) - 2\beta_3(s) + 3\beta_4'(s)] q(s) + \\ &[1 - \alpha_1 L - \beta_2(s) + \frac{3}{2}\beta_3'(s) - 2\beta_4''(s)] p(s) + \\ &[\beta_2''(s) - \beta_3''(s) + \beta_4^{iv}(s)] x(s, u_*) \} ds + \\ &\beta_4(t) \beta_3(t) r(t) + \beta_4(t) \beta_2(t) q'(t) + \\ &[\beta_3(t) \beta_2(t) - 3\beta_4(t)] q(t) + \beta_4(t) p''(t) + \\ &[\beta_3(t) - 2\beta_4(t) - \beta_4'(t)] p'(t) + [\beta_2(t) - \frac{3}{2}\beta_3(t) + 2\beta_4'(t)] p(t) + \\ &\beta_4(t) x'''(t, u_*) + [\beta_3(t) - \beta_4'(t)] x''(t, u_*) + [\beta_2(t) - \beta_3'(t) + \beta_4''(t)] x'(t, u_*) + \\ &x(t, u_*) \leq C_0(\xi, u_*), \\ &t > \xi \geq 0, \quad u_* \in U_*, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0(\xi, u_*) &= \beta_4(\xi) \beta_3(\xi) r(\xi) + \beta_4(\xi) \beta_2(\xi) q'(\xi) + \\
&\quad [\beta_3(\xi) \beta_2(\xi) - 3\beta_4(\xi) - (\beta_2(\xi) \beta_4(\xi))'] q(\xi) + \beta_4(\xi) p''(\xi) + \\
&\quad [\beta_3(\xi) - 2\beta_4(\xi) - \beta_4'(\xi)] p'(\xi) + \\
&\quad [\beta_2(\xi) - \frac{3}{2}\beta_3(\xi) + 2\beta_4'(\xi) - \beta_3'(\xi) + \beta_4''(\xi)] p(\xi) + \\
&\quad \beta_4(\xi) x'''(\xi, u_*) + [\beta_3(\xi) - \beta_4'(\xi)] x''(\xi, u_*) + \\
&\quad [\beta_2(\xi) - \beta_3'(\xi) + \beta_4''(\xi)] x'(\xi, u_*) + \\
&\quad [1 - \beta_2'(\xi) + \beta_3''(\xi) - \beta_4'''(\xi)] x(\xi, u_*).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From the condition 2) of the Theorem and (4), (5), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_4^2(s) [1 - \alpha_1 L] &> 0, \quad s \geq 0, \\
\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [\beta_3^2(s) (1 - \alpha_1 L) - 2\beta_4(s) \beta_2(s)] &= \\
\beta_{3\infty}^2 (1 - \alpha_1 L) - 2\beta_{4\infty} \beta_{2\infty} &> 0, \\
\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [\beta_2^2(s) (1 - \alpha_1 L) + \beta_4(s) - 2\beta_3(s) + 3\beta_4'(s)] &= \\
\beta_{2\infty}^2 (1 - \alpha_1 L) + \beta_{4\infty} - 2\beta_{3\infty} &> 0, \\
\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [1 - \alpha_1 L - \beta_2(s) + \frac{3}{2}\beta_3'(s) - 2\beta_4''(s)] &= \\
1 - \alpha_1 L - \beta_{2\infty} &> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore there are  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$  and  $t_0 \geq 0$ , such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_4^2(s) [1 - \alpha_1 L] &\geq \varepsilon, \\
\beta_3^2(s) (1 - \alpha_1 L) - 2\beta_4(s) \beta_2(s) &\geq \varepsilon, \\
\beta_2^2(s) (1 - \alpha_1 L) + \beta_4(s) - 2\beta_3(s) + 3\beta_4'(s) &\geq \varepsilon, \\
1 - \alpha_1 L - \beta_2(s) + \frac{3}{2}\beta_3'(s) - 2\beta_4''(s) &\geq \varepsilon, \\
s &\geq t_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \int_{\xi}^t \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(s)\|^2 + f(s) \|u(s) - u_{\infty}\|^2 \right\} ds + \\
\beta_4(t) \beta_3(t) r(t) + \beta_4(t) \beta_2(t) q'(t) + \\
[\beta_3(t) \beta_2(t) - 3\beta_4(t)] q(t) + \beta_4(t) p''(t) + \\
[\beta_3(t) - 2\beta_4(t) - \beta_4'(t)] p'(t) + [\beta_2(t) - \frac{3}{2}\beta_3(t) + 2\beta_4'(t)] p(t) + \\
\beta_4(t) x'''(t, u_*) + [\beta_3(t) - \beta_4'(t)] x''(t, u_*) + [\beta_2(t) - \beta_3'(t) + \beta_4''(t)] x'(t, u_*) + \\
x(t, u_*) \leq C_0(\xi, u_*),
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all  $t > \xi \geq 0$ ,  $u_* \in U_*$ . Let  $h(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \beta_4(s) ds \right\} > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . In (13) the integral is positive and  $\beta_4(t) \beta_3(t) r(t) \geq 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , so they can be omitted. After that we will multiply (13) by  $h(t)$  and integrate it on the segment  $[\xi, t]$ , for  $t > \xi \geq t_0$ . In this way we will get

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_4(t) \beta_2(t) h(t) q(t) + \\ & \int_{\xi}^t [\beta_3(s) \beta_2(s) - 3 \beta_4(s) - (\beta_2(s) \beta_4(s))' - \beta_2(s) \beta_4^2(s)] h(s) q(s) ds + \\ & \beta_4(t) h(t) p'(t) + [\beta_3(t) - 2 \beta_4(t) - 2 \beta_4'(t) - \beta_4^2(t)] h(t) p(t) + \\ & \int_{\xi}^t \{ [\beta_4(s) h(s)]'' - [(\beta_3(s) - 2 \beta_4(s) - \beta_4'(s)) h(s)]' + \\ & \quad [\beta_2(s) - \frac{3}{2} \beta_3(s) + 2 \beta_4'(s)] h(s) \} p(s) ds + \\ & \beta_4(t) h(t) x'''(t, u_*) + [\beta_3(t) - 2 \beta_4'(t) - \beta_4^2(t)] h(t) x'(t, u_*) + \\ & \{ [\beta_2(t) - \beta_3'(t) + \beta_4''(t)] h(t) - [(\beta_3(t) - \beta_4'(t)) h(t)]' + [\beta_4(t) h(t)]'' \} x(t, u_*) + \\ & \int_{\xi}^t \{ h(s) - [(\beta_2(s) - \beta_3'(s) + \beta_4''(s)) h(s)]' + \\ & \quad [(\beta_3(s) - \beta_4'(s)) h(s)]'' - [\beta_4(s) h(s)]''' \} x(s, u_*) ds \leq \\ & C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t h(s) ds + C_1(\xi, u_*), \\ & t > \xi \geq t_0, \quad u_* \in U_* \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(\xi, u_*) = & \beta_4(\xi) \beta_2(\xi) h(\xi) q(\xi) + \beta_4(\xi) h(\xi) p'(\xi) + \\ & [\beta_3(\xi) - 2 \beta_4(\xi) - 2 \beta_4'(\xi) - \beta_4^2(\xi)] h(\xi) p(\xi) + \\ & \beta_4(\xi) h(\xi) x'''(\xi, u_*) + [\beta_3(\xi) - 2 \beta_4'(\xi) - \beta_4^2(\xi)] h(\xi) x'(\xi, u_*) + \\ & \{ [\beta_2(\xi) - \beta_3'(\xi) + \beta_4''(\xi)] h(\xi) - [(\beta_3(\xi) - \beta_4'(\xi)) h(\xi)]' + \\ & \quad [\beta_4(\xi) h(\xi)]'' \} x(\xi, u_*). \end{aligned}$$

From the conditions 2) of the Theorem, (4) and (5) it can be shown that all integrals on the left hand side of the above inequality are non-negative for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$  and every  $t > \xi \geq t_1$ . Besides that we have:  $\beta_4'(t) \leq 0$ ,  $\beta_3'(t) \leq 0$ ,  $\beta_4''(t) \geq 0$ , so the last inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta_4(t) \beta_2(t) h(t) q(t) + \beta_4(t) h(t) p'(t) + \\
& [\beta_3(t) - 2\beta_4(t) - \beta_4^2(t)] h(t) p(t) + \\
& \beta_4(t) h(t) x''(t, u_*) + [\beta_3(t) - 2\beta_4(t) - \beta_4^2(t)] h(t) x'(t, u_*) + \\
& [\beta_2(t) - \beta_3(t) \beta_4(t) + 4\beta_4(t) \beta_4'(t)] h(t) x(t, u_*) \leq \\
& C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t h(s) ds + C_1(\xi, u_*),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for every  $t > \xi \geq t_1$ ,  $u_* \in U_*$ . Integrating (14) on  $[\xi, t]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\xi}^t \beta_4(s) \beta_2(s) h(s) q(s) ds + \int_{\xi}^t [\beta_3(s) - 2\beta_4(s) - 2\beta_4^2(s) - \beta_4'(s)] h(s) p(s) ds + \\
& \beta_4(t) h(t) p(t) + \beta_4(t) h(t) x'(t, u_*) + [\beta_3(t) - 3\beta_4'(t) - 2\beta_4^2(t)] h(t) x(t, u_*) + \\
& \int_{\xi}^t \{ [\beta_2(s) - \beta_3(s) \beta_4(s) + 4\beta_4(s) \beta_4'(s)] h(s) - \\
& [(\beta_3(s) - 2\beta_4'(s) - \beta_4^2(s)) h(s)]' + [\beta_4(s) h(s)]'' \} x(s, u_*) ds \leq \\
& C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s h(\theta) d\theta ds + C_1(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi) + C_2(\xi, u_*),
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t > \xi \geq t_1$ ,  $u_* \in U_*$  where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2(\xi, u_*) = & \beta_4(\xi) h(\xi) p(\xi) + \beta_4(\xi) h(\xi) x'(\xi, u_*) + \\
& [\beta_3(\xi) - 3\beta_4'(\xi) - 2\beta_4^2(\xi)] h(\xi) x(\xi, u_*).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the conditions 2) of the Theorem and relations (4), (5), we can find that there exists  $t_2 \geq t_1$ , such that the integrals on the left hand side of the last inequality are non-negative for all  $t > \xi \geq t_2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta_4(t) h(t) p(t) + \beta_4(t) h(t) x'(t, u_*) + \\
& [\beta_3(t) - 3\beta_4'(t) - 2\beta_4^2(t)] h(t) x(t, u_*) \leq \\
& (15) \quad C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s h(\theta) d\theta ds + C_1(\xi, u_*) + (t - \xi) + C_2(\xi, u_*),
\end{aligned}$$

$$t > \xi \geq t_2, \quad u_* \in U_*.$$

After the integration in (15) on  $[\xi, t]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\xi}^t \{ \beta_4(s) p(s) + [\beta_3(s) - 4\beta_4'(s) - 3\beta_4^2(s)] x(t, u_*) \} h(s) ds + \beta_4(t) h(t) x(t, u_*) \leq \\
& C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s \int_{\xi}^v h(\theta) d\theta dv ds + \frac{1}{2} C_1(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi)^2 + C_2(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi) + C_3(\xi, u_*),
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t > \xi \geq t_2$ ,  $u_* \in U_*$ , where

$$C_3 = \beta_4(\xi) h(\xi) x(\xi, u_*).$$

From  $\beta_4' \leq 0$  and (5) it follows that there is  $t_3, t_3 \geq t_2$ , such that the integrals on the left hand side of the above inequality are non-negative for all  $t > \xi \geq t_3$ , so that

$$\beta_4(t) h(t) x(t, u_*) \leq C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s \int_{\xi}^v h(\theta) d\theta dv ds + \\ \frac{1}{2} C_1(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi)^2 + C_2(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi) + C_3(\xi, u_*),$$

for  $t > \xi \geq t_3, u_* \in U_*$ . Consequently

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_*\|^2 \leq 2 \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\beta_4(t) h(t)]^{-1} \{ C_3(\xi, u_*) + C_2(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi) + \\ \frac{1}{2} C_1(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi)^2 + C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s \int_{\xi}^v h(\theta) d\theta dv ds \} = \\ 2 \beta_{4\infty}^{-1} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h^{-1}(t) \{ C_3(\xi, u_*) + C_2(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi) + \\ \frac{1}{2} C_1(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi)^2 + C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s \int_{\xi}^v h(\theta) d\theta dv ds \}.$$

It is clear that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = +\infty$ . In order to calculate the limit of the right hand side we will use the L'Hospital rule three times. After that we will get

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_*\|^2 \leq b_0 C_0(\xi, u_*), \quad (16) \\ \xi \geq t_3, \quad u_* \in U_*.$$

where  $b_0 = 2 \beta_{4\infty}^{-4}$ . Using (5) and  $\beta_4' \leq 0$ , it is not difficult to see that the third term on the left hand side of (15) is non-negative for some  $t_4 \geq t_3$  and all  $t \geq t_4$ . From here and denotations (10) we have

$$\|u'(t)\|^2 + \langle u'(t), u(t) - u_* \rangle \leq \\ 2 \{ C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s h(\theta) d\theta ds + C_1(\xi, u_*) (t - \xi) + C_2(\xi, u_*) \} [\beta_4(t) h(t)]^{-1}, \\ t > \xi \geq t_4, \quad u_* \in U_*.$$

Therefore from (16) and the inequality

$$2 |\langle a, b \rangle| \leq \|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2, \quad a, b \in \mathbf{E}^n, \quad (17)$$

it follows



$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u'(t)\|^2 &\leq b_0 C_0(\xi, u_*) + \\ 2 \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\beta_4(t) h(t)]^{-1} \{ &C_2(\xi, u_*) + C_1(\xi, u_*)(t - \xi) + C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s h(\theta) d\theta ds \} = \\ b_0 C_0(\xi, u_*) + \\ \frac{2}{\beta_{4\infty}} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \{ &C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t \int_{\xi}^s h(\theta) d\theta ds + C_1(\xi, u_*)(t - \xi) + C_2(\xi, u_*) \} h^{-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the L'Hospital rule two times, it can be obtained

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u'(t)\|^2 \leq b_1 C_0(\xi, u_*) \quad (18)$$

$$\xi \geq t_4, \quad u_* \in U_*,$$

where  $b_1 = b_0 + 2/\beta_{4\infty}^3$ . From (4), (5) it is clear that there is  $t_5 \geq t_4$ , such that the third and the sixth terms on the left hand side of (14) are non-negative. Taking into account (10) and (17) in a similar way as before, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_4(t) [\beta_2(t) - \frac{3}{2} \beta_4(t)] \|u''(t)\|^2 &\leq \\ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \{ \frac{1}{2} [1 + |f_1(t)|] \|u - u_*\|^2 + [1 + \frac{|f_1(t)|}{2}] \|u'(t)\|^2 + \\ [h(t)]^{-1} [C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t h(s) ds + C_1(\xi, u_*)] \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_1(t) = \beta_3(t) - 2\beta_4'(t) - \beta_4^2(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $M_1 > 0$ , such that  $|f_1(t)| \leq M_1$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{4\infty} [\beta_{2\infty} - \frac{3}{2} \beta_{4\infty}] \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u''(t)\|^2 &\leq \\ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \{ \frac{1 + M_1}{2} \|u - u_*\|^2 + [1 + \frac{M_1}{2}] \|u'(t)\|^2 + \\ [h(t)]^{-1} [C_0(\xi, u_*) \int_{\xi}^t h(s) ds + C_1(\xi, u_*)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5) we have  $\beta_{4\infty} [\beta_{2\infty} - (3/2)\beta_{4\infty}] > 0$ . Using L'Hospital's rule on the right hand side of the above inequality and the estimates (16), (18) we will get

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u''(t)\|^2 \leq b_2 C_0(\xi, u_*), \quad (19)$$

$$\xi \geq t_5, \quad u_* \in U_*,$$

where  $b_2$  does not depend on  $\xi$  and  $u_*$ . Taking into account (4), (5), (17) and denotations (10), from (13) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \int_{\xi}^t \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(s)\|^2 + f(s) \|u(s) - u_{\infty}\|^2 \right\} ds + \\ & [\beta_4(t) \beta_3(t) - \beta_4^2(t) \beta_2^2(t) - \beta_4^2(t)] \|u''' \| \leq \\ & |f_2(t)| \|u''\|^2 + |f_3(t)| \|u'\|^2 + |f_4(t)| \|u - u_*\|^2 + C_0(\xi, u_*), \\ & t > \xi \geq t_5, \quad u_* \in U_*, \end{aligned}$$

where the functions  $f_i$ ,  $i = 2, 3, 4$ ; are bounded and do not depend on  $\xi$  and  $u_*$ . Let  $M_2 > 0$ , such that  $|f_i(t)| \leq M_2$ ,  $i = 2, 3, 4$ ;  $t \geq 0$ . Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\beta_3(t) - \beta_4(t) \beta_2^2(t) - \beta_4^2(t)] = \beta_{3\infty} - \beta_{4\infty} \beta_{2\infty}^2 - \beta_{4\infty}^2 > 0,$$

then there exist a moment  $t_7 \geq t_6$  and a number  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ , such that for all  $t \geq t_7$ :

$$\beta_4(t) [\beta_3(t) - \beta_4(t) \beta_2^2(t) - \beta_4^2(t)] > \delta. \text{ Hence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \left\{ \int_{\xi}^t \left[ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(s)\|^2 + f(s) \|u(s) - u_{\infty}\|^2 \right] ds + \|u'''\|^2 \right\} \leq \\ & M_2 [\|u''\|^2 + \|u'\|^2 + \|u - u_*\|^2] + C_0(\xi, u_*), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > \xi \geq t_7$ ,  $u_* \in U_*$ . Consequently

$$\delta \left\{ \int_{\xi}^t \left[ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(s)\|^2 + f(s) \|u(s) - u_{\infty}\|^2 \right] ds \right\} \leq b_3 C_0(\xi, u_*), \quad (20)$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u'''(t)\|^2 \leq b_3 C_0(\xi, u_*), \quad (21)$$

$$\xi \geq t_7, \quad u_* \in U_*.$$

where  $b_3 = \delta^{-1} [M_2 (b_2 + b_1 + b_0) + 1]$ . From (20) it follows that there is a sequence  $\{s_j\} \subseteq [0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(s)\|^2 \right] = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(s_j)\|^2 \right] = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{(i)}(s_j)\| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (22)$$

Then from (16) and the conditions 2) of the Theorem, it is obvious that there exist a subsequence  $\{\hat{s}_j\}$ , a point  $u_{\infty} \in \mathbb{E}^n$  and a real number  $\alpha_{\infty} > 0$ , such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\hat{s}_j) - u_{\infty}\| = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(\hat{s}_j) = \alpha_{\infty}. \quad (23)$$

Setting  $t = \hat{s}_j$  in the differential equation (3), from (22) and (23), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\beta_4(\hat{s}_j) u^{iv}(\hat{s}_j) + \beta_3(\hat{s}_j) u'''(\hat{s}_j) + \beta_2(\hat{s}_j) u''(\hat{s}_j) + u'(\hat{s}_j) + u(\hat{s}_j) - \\ & P_U [u(\hat{s}_j) - \alpha(\hat{s}_j) J'(u(\hat{s}_j))]\| = \\ & \|u_{\infty} - P_U [u_{\infty} - \alpha_{\infty} J'(u_{\infty})]\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently (see[4], pp.171)  $u_\infty \in U_*$ . From (12) where  $\xi = \hat{s}_j$ ,  $u_* = u_\infty \in U_*$ , along with (22), (23) we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_0(\hat{s}_j, u_\infty) = 0.$$

Let  $j_0 \geq 1$  be such a number that  $\hat{s}_j \geq t_7$ , for every  $j \geq j_0$ . Then the relations (16), (18)-(21) hold for  $\xi = \hat{s}_j$ ,  $j \geq j_0$  and  $u_* = u_\infty$ . Therefore

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_\infty\|^2 \leq b_0 \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_0(\hat{s}_j, u_\infty) = 0.$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{(i)}(t)\|^2 \leq b_i \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_0(\hat{s}_j, u_\infty) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_\infty\| = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{(i)}(t)\| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (24)$$

From the differential equation (3) and the relations, when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , it can be obtained

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{iv}(t)\| = 0. \quad (25)$$

The inequality (20) and the relations (24), (25) give the statement of the theorem.

### 3. CONCLUSION

The projection-gradient methods of the higher order are important because of their higher rate of convergence in comparison with the methods of that type of the first order [1]. Besides that, the continuous methods give us a large choice of the numerical integration methods for solving the corresponding differential equations. These facts justify the investigation of the continuous methods of the higher order.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Antipin, A.S., "Continuous and iterative processes with the projection and projection-type operators", Problems of Cybernetics. Computational Problems of the Analysis of Large Systems, Nauchn. Sovet po Kompleksnoi Probleme "Kibernetika", Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, (in Russian), 1989, 5-43.
- [2] Nedić, A., "The continuous projection-gradient method of the third order for the minimization problem", (in Russian), accepted for publication in *Differentsialnie Uravneniya*.
- [3] Varga, J., *Optimal Control of Differential and Functional Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [4] Vasiljev, F.P., *Numerical Methods for Solving Extremum Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, (in Russian), 1988.

- [5] Yevtushenko, Y.G., and Zadan, V.G., "The use of the L'yapunov function method for the investigation of the convergence of the numerical methods", *Ž. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.* 15/1 (1975) 101-112.
- [6] Venec, V.I., and Ribashov, M.V., "The L'yapunov function method for the investigation of the continuous mathematical programming algorithms" *Ž. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.* 15/3 (1977) 622-633.