Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research 15 (2005), Number 1, 109-124

A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR FARM REGIONAL PLANNING

I. PAPATHANASIOU¹, B. MANOS¹, M. VLACHOPOULOU², I. VASSILIADOU¹

¹ Department of Agricultural Economics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece manosb@agro.auth.gr ² Department of Applied Informatics, University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki, Greece mavla@uom.gr

Received: September 2003 / Accepted: October 2004

Abstract: This paper presents a Decision Support System (DSS) for planning of farm regions in Greece. The DSS is based on the development possibilities of the agricultural sector in relation with the agricultural processing industries of the region and aims at the development of farm regions through a better utilization of available agricultural recourses and agricultural industries.

The DSS uses Linear and Goal Programming models and provides for different goals alternative production plans that optimize the use of available recourses. On the other hand, the alternative plans achieve a better utilization of the existent agricultural processing industries or propose their expansion by taking into account the supply and demand of agricultural products in the region.

The DSS is computerized and supported by a set of relational data bases. The corresponding software has been developed in Microsoft Windows platform, using Microsoft Visual Basic, Microsoft Access and LINDO.

For demonstration reasons, the paper includes an application of the proposed DSS in the region of Servia Kozanis in Northern Greece.

Key words: Decision support systems, farm regional planning.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper describes in short a DSS developed in the context of a research project concerning the planning of farm regions, based on the development possibilities of the agricultural sector in relation with the agricultural processing industries in the region.

The corresponding research was divided into two parts. The paper follows the same structure. The first part presents in short the system scheduling, the data needed, the selection and development of required models, the development and the computerization of the DSS for planning of farm regions. The second part presents an application of the proposed DSS in the region of Servia Kozanis in Northern Greece for demonstration reasons.

Specifically, based on the existing planning of the primary agricultural sector and the agricultural processing industries of a region, the following methodology was followed:

- Review of the literature in the field of farm and land management, farm planning, optimal allocation of resources and development of farm regions,
- System scheduling, analysis of needs in hardware and software, plan of work,
- Collection of micro and macro, economic and technical data,
- Design of the development model of the region (Linear Programming model and Goal Programming model),
- DSS scheduling and development,
- Application of DSS in the region of Servia Kozanis in Northern Greece. DSS validation and verification.

2. SYSTEM SCHEDULING

The conceptual components of the DSS for planning of farm regions are a User Support Base, a Data Base and a Model Base (Barber 1976, Berlo 1993, Manos and Voros 1993, Manos et al. 2004, Vassiliadou et al. 2000).

The <u>Data Base</u> is divided into sub-bases, including information for a farm region such as:

Primary agricultural sector

- Available agricultural resources
 - Land
 - Livestock
 - Labor
 - Capital
 - Machinery
- Contribution of fix and variable costs in the total cost
- Agricultural enterprises and technical-economic coefficients
 - Agricultural enterprises
 - Required labor

- Required machinery
- Required variable costs
- Gross margin and profit of agricultural enterprises

Secondary sector - Agricultural processing industries of the region

- Agricultural processing industries of the region according to its category
- Investment costs
- Capacity
- Products' and raw materials' supply and demand

The <u>Model Base</u> includes all the necessary and suitable models for achieving the following desired results:

- Calculation of economic results for each agricultural enterprise and for the entire farm region
- Optimization of the use of the available resources in each agricultural enterprise and in the entire farm region
- Optimization of economic results
- Sensitivity analysis of the various parameters (technical and economic) on the economic result, etc.

More specifically, the Model Base includes Operational Research models and specifically a Linear Programming and a Goal Programming model. It also includes some basic models used by the DSS for the calculation of technical coefficients and economic results. These results, which are stored in data files of the DSS Data Base, are:

- Economic results
 - ➢ Gross margin
 - Production expenditures
 - Profit, incomes
- Rate of utilization of resources
 - ➢ Rate of utilization of labor
 - Rate of utilization of machinery
- Rate of utilization of agricultural processing industries of the region

3. DATA

The DSS requires the collection of both micro economic – technical (source data) and macro economic (source and secondary data) that will feed its Data Base.

Macro economic data concerning the primary agricultural sector are the following:

Land: area according to its category (cultivated area, grassland, forest, and irrigated or dry area), production plants of a four – year term (production enterprises, hectares and age and variety of perennial plants as well).

Livestock: Livestock breeding of the region (variety of livestock population, age, number of stock – farms, the market value per capita.

Labor: Active population in the agricultural sector of the region.

<u>Capital:</u> Machinery according to its category (type, year and initial cost, horsepower HP) buildings by category (type, capacity, year and initial cost of construction) land reclamation works by category (type, year and initial cost of construction).

As far as the secondary sector and the agricultural processing industries are concerned, the following macro economic data are necessary:

<u>Agricultural Processing Industries</u>: Both the agricultural processing industries of the region and the general county according to its category (processed products, line production), investment costs, operational costs, capability (maximum capability by product, annual quantities of processed products, annual quantities of raw materials) and

Supply and demand of products, agricultural equipment and raw materials.

The micro economic and technical data must be gathered in accordance with the Ministry of Agriculture Book – keeping from an adequate sample of farms and their production enterprises, which represent the region's production plan. These micro economic and technical data are related with the following: Yields, product prices, necessary seeds – fertilizers – pesticides etc., necessary labor force and necessary machinery and all those necessary technical and economic data needed in order to estimate the gross margin, the variable cost and the gross profit of each production enterprise.

4. DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE LINEAR MODEL

The DSS includes a Linear Programming model and a Goal Programming model which are used for the better utilization of available agricultural recourses and agricultural industries of a region (Barnard and Nix 1993, Bernardo et al. 1992, Hazell and Norton 1986, Jeffrey et al. 1992, Lee et al. 1995, Manos and Kitsopanidis 1988, Manos 1991, Manos and Gavezos 1995, Onta et al. 1991).

The Linear Programming model in matrix notation has the following form:

 $\max cx - dw$ subject to: $Ax - Rw \ge d = b$ $x, w \ge 0$

where:

x = the vector of both crop and livestock enterprises

w = the vector of resources activities

c = the vector of gross margins of both crop and livestock enterprises

d = the vector of variable costs of resources activities

A = the matrix of input - output coefficients of both crop and livestock enterprises

R = the matrix of input - output coefficients of activities of resources

b = the vector of the maximum available quantities or the minimum required quantities of production factors

The model has been designed and developed in order to include in the objective function all the necessary production enterprises and all the activities of resources such as family and seasonal labor, tractor, harvesting machinery and variable capital whereas their total variable cost is automatically subtracted from the optimum production plan's total gross profit.

The model formulation allows the input of all the constraints concerning land, total area or area by category and production enterprise, livestock breeding, labor, machinery, variable cost and the capacity of agricultural processing industries. This structure is in accordance with the relevant theoretical knowledge and the typical practice, concluding in an optimum – scientifically and technically – production plan, which is also feasible and has practical application.

The model determines the optimal allocation of resources and outcomes to the optimum production plan. It also provides the sensitivity analysis in the objective function coefficients and the maximum available or the minimum required quantities of the resources. It also provides an analysis of marginal productivity and marginal cost of agricultural factors.

This model also gives the opportunity of parametric analysis of the objective function or in the constraints, out-coming to alternative production plans.

Finally, this model is applicable on one hand, for its solution as a Mixed Integer Programming model in regard to factors' non-divisibility, such as livestock and machinery and on the other hand as a Goal Programming Model providing near optimum production plans.

4.1. Activities and constraints

The activities of the model are divided into two categories: The activities of agricultural enterprises and the activities of resources. The model may include up to 105 activities and specifically up to 53 annual and perennial crops, up to 6 livestock enterprises and up to 32 resources' activities.

The constraints refer to the land, livestock, labor, machinery, number of the agricultural processing industries and variable costs. The model may include up to 123 constraints and specifically up to 53 land constraints for crops, up to 3 constraints for livestock, up to 7 constraints for processing industries, up to 33 constraints for agricultural machinery, up to 25 constraints for labor and 2 constraints for variable capital. Constraints have been set for each agricultural enterprise and for the entire region as well. The determination of the upper and the lowest limits is in accordance with the relevant theoretical knowledge and the technical - economic conditions (quota for tobacco, regions' rights for wheat, concession for beets, etc.). As far as labor is concerned constraints have been set for the one provided by the family members and the seasonal labor provided outside of the family. The same constraints have been set for tractors and the proposed production plan can use tractors outside of the region at any time of the year as long as the regions' tractors are inadequate. As long as the harvesting machinery is concerned their utilization is based on the maximum number of owned available machinery. As far as the activities of agricultural processing industries are concerned, the constraints are related with the maximum quantity of raw materials that can be processed. Additionally, for the milk processing industries one more constraint is used to determine the proportion between sheep's and goats' milk for the production of

the end product per unit. Finally there are two constraints that refer to the capital, one for the owed available capital and one for the borrowed capital.

The objective function of the Linear Programming is a linear function of all activities of agricultural enterprises and resources. The objective function represents the total gross profit of the production plan in the region of concern.

Total gross profit of the regions' production plan is maximized under the aforementioned constraints.

All data needed for the linear model are fed automatically by the Data Base of DSS. These are either primal data or data processed before by the models of the Model Base of DSS (see sections 2 and 5).

4.2. Optimum and alternative production plans

The DSS gives the optimum production plan and alternative production plans, makes sensitivity analysis and comparison, from the technical - economic point of view, between the existing and the proposed production plans. Specifically:

- Comparison between the existing and the proposed production plan
- Comparison of the rate of utilization of labor
- Comparison of the rate of utilization of machinery
- Comparison of the economic results
- Marginal analysis: marginal productivity and marginal costs of resources
- Sensitivity analysis of the optimum production plan
- Parametric analysis achievement of alternative production plans

5. DSS'S COMPUTERIZATION

The DSS is fully computerized. The corresponding software has been developed in the platform of Microsoft Windows 98 using Microsoft Visual Basic, Microsoft Access and LINDO (release 6.01) for Windows (Schrage 1997). It is supported by a set of relational data bases permitting modern and quick operations by using Select Query Language (SQL).

The presentation of the outcoming results is based on DataBase Grids, where data are locked so as to prevent false input on behalf of the user. However, it is possible to change or add inputs wherever is necessary.

The user interface uses the multiple document information technique (MDI). This technique permits the users to keep open many Windows with different information making available the easy and quick control of work.

Printings are based on Crystal Reports interface that permit quick formatting of print outs (some screens of the DSS are given below).

THE MENU

The menu of DSS is divided into three sub menus: General Information, Files and Linear Model. Specifically the sub menus are:

Menu: General Information

This menu includes general information about the codification of all factors, farm enterprises, machinery, land reclamation works, population and products.

The following selections are available in the form of windows:

- a) «Crop enterprises»
- b) «Livestock enterprises»
- c) «Categories of machinery»
- d) «Categories of buildings and land improvements»
- e) «Population data»
- f) «Districts of the region under study»
- g) «Products from crop enterprises»
- h) «Products from livestock enterprises»
- i) «Exit»

Menu: Files

This menu includes all the necessary technical and economic information for the region under study and its districts. These data are divided into different categories so that it is possible to both describe the existent situation and achieve optimal and alternative production plans.

The following selections are available:

- a) «Land of crop enterprises»
- b) «Livestock capital»
- c) «Available machinery»
- d) «Available buildings and land improvements»
- e) «Available human labor»
- f) «Available mechanical labor»
- g) «Requirements of crop enterprises in human and mechanical labor»
- h) «Requirements of livestock enterprises in human and mechanical labor»
- i) «Variable capital of crop enterprises»
- j) «Variable capital of livestock enterprises»
- k) «Economic data of crop enterprises»
- 1) «Economic data of livestock enterprises»
- m) «Gross return for each district»
- n) «Synthesis of fixed and variable capital»
- o) «Production expenses and coefficients»
- p) «Returns, profits and incomes»
- q) «Exit»

Menu: Linear Model

This category includes all operations about the design, formulation, development and evaluation of linear models as well as the presentation of the final economic results.

The following selections are available:

- a) «Formulation of linear program»
- b) «Close the linear program»
- c) «Development of optimum production plans»
- d) «Evaluation of production plans»
- e) «Comparison of results of existent and optimum plan»
- f) «Comparison of profits, returns and incomes of existent and optimum plan»

It is noted that the linear model is open as regards the number of constraints and variables in the sense that the user may add or subtract variables and constraints according to the needs that he meets.

6. DSS APPLICATION

In this section we present an application of the given DSS in practice. Specifically, the DSS was applied to farm planning of the region of Servia Kozanis in Northern Greece. The region consists of one Municipality (Servia) and four Communities (Platanorema, Avles, Goules and Kranidia) with a total population of 6,678 people. The cultivated area of the whole region is 38.522 stremmas (1 hectare = 10 stremmas).

The production plan of the region includes annual and perennial crops such as wheat, barley, maize, tobacco, sugar beets, vegetables, vineyards, plum tress, apple trees, peaches, nuts, cherries and almond trees. There are 448 farms in the whole region which means an average farm size of 86 stremmas. There are also reared 26,288 sheep and goats and 571 cows. The available labor of the whole region is 755 man units.

The invested capital in the primary agricultural sector of the region is about 24 million euro, 65.3% of which is fixed (buildings, machinery, perennial crops, land improvements) and 34.7% variable (seeds, fertilizers and medicine, seasonal human and mechanical labor, machinery oil, variable capital for animals). The fixed capital does not include the value of the land. The gross return in the total region is about 12.4 million euro, which is due by 58.4% to plant production and 41.6% to animal production. The main sources of this return are tobacco (15.0%), maize (14.3%), wheat hard (6.1%), potatoes (4.7%), peaches (2.5%), sugar beets (2.7%), sheep (22.7%), goats (9.5%) and cows (9.4%) (Table 1).

For the processing of the produced agricultural products in the region there are various small and medium size industries and mainly for milk, peaches, apples, cherries and potatoes. The capacity and the needs of them are considered in the linear model.

The data base and sub bases of the DSS were fed by primal and secondary data collected by the associates of the Department of Agricultural Economics and the Development Agency of Western Macedonia (ANKO S.A.). The DSS automatically processed all the data and produced all technical and economic coefficients (in about 40 tables) described in sections 2, 3 and 5 above. All results are presented by district (in our case Servia, Platanorevma, Avles, Goules and Kranidia) and in total. Among them the data needed to feed the linear model are included.

Enterprises	Existent	Optimum				
Plant production	Area (stremmas)					
Vineyards (for wine)	533	625				
Almond trees	71	95				
Maize	10,081	10,081				
Plum trees	62	92				
Sugar beets	1,216	240				
Tobacco	1,465	1,153				
Water melons	268	268				
Walnut trees	100	128				
Barley	1.260	99				
Lucern	4.262	6,185				
Apples	232	279				
Potatoes	552	35				
Leeks	0	250				
Peaches	474	567				
Rye	430	364				
Wheat hard and then Eggplants	0	250				
Wheat soft	2,745	3,880				
Wheat hard non-irrigated	4,497	6,136				
Wheat hard irrigated	eat hard irrigated 6,688 0					
Beans	45	0				
Fallow	3,541	0				
Total	34,981	30,726				
Crops for feedstuffs						
Maize	0	65				
Wheat hard	0	1,229				
Barley	0	5,885				
Lucern	0	614				
Total	0	7,795				
Animal production	Numb	per of heads				
Sheep (feedstuffs bought)	15,308	14,649				
Sheep(feedstuffs self-produced)	0	659				
Goats (feedstuffs bought)	10,980	0				
Goats (feedstuffs self-produced)	0	10,980				
Cows (feedstuffs bought)	571	571				
Cows (feedstuffs self-produced)	0	0				

Table 1: Existent and optimum production plan for the whole region

In continuation the linear model was applied. As we mentioned above, this model is included in the model base of the DSS and automatically is fed by the data base

and sub bases. In the case of the whole region the linear model included 105 variables and 123 constraints.

The solution of the model gave an optimum crops plan with a better reallocation of production resources (land, labor, machinery and variable capital). The rates of employment for human and mechanical labor present important improvements. The optimum plan achieves 4.9% higher gross return than the existent one, 5.0% lower production expenses, 18.9% higher agricultural income and 24.0% higher return to labor. The optimum plan also achieves 11.1% return to capital against 3.4% of the existent plan (Table 2).

Profits/ roturns	Existent	Optimum	Increase / decrease
	plan	plan	(%)
Gross return	12,395,778	12,999,025	4.9
Production expenses	12,679,644	12,040,351	-5.0
Profit / loss	-283,866	958,673	437.7
% of gross return	-2.29%	58.53%	60.8
% of production expenses	-2.24%	141.11%	60.8
Stremmas	38,522	38,522	
per stremma	-7,4	24,9	437.7
Return to land	794,052	2,145,705	170.2
per stremma	20.6	55.7	170.2
Return to labor	5,734,062	7,107,776	24.0
per day	22,4	27,1	21.3
Return to capital	3.39%	54.26%	50.9
Agricultural income	7,624,785	9,069,546	18.9
No of farms	448	448	
Agricultural income per farm	17,020	20,245	18.9

Table 2: Economic results of existent and optimum plan for the whole region

The DSS was also used to estimate the marginal productivity of agricultural resources as well as to make sensitivity analysis (both for activities and resources) and check the stability of the optimum plan (See screen below).

Moreover the DSS was used for parametric investigations of resources availability that becomes automatically by the Parametric Linear model. This model e.g. was used to examine the impacts of availability of annual or monthly labor on its productivity (Figure 1) and on agricultural income. It is also used to investigate the impacts on livestock breeding from an increase of capacity of corresponding milk processing industry.

Finally, the DSS was used to simulate different scenarios by Goal Programming. The model has the possibility to achieve specific goals, e.g. to find alternative production plans which achieve predetermined levels of gross margin near the optimum one.



7. CONCLUSIONS

Disposing all conceptual and necessary components, the DSS presented above is a suitable tool for farm regional planning. It is a computerized simple and friendly tool for the decision makers of farm regions helping them in finding the optimum allocation of the available resources and better utilization of agro-processing industry. Extra advantages of the proposed DSS help the decision makers in doing parametric investigations and simulating different scenarios.

The proposed DSS stores source and secondary data, processes them and calculates all technical and economic coefficients of the region by different categories, by sub region and in total. At a second stage, the DSS achieves the optimum crops plan of the region and the optimum utilization of available agricultural resources taking in account the development possibilities of the agricultural sector, the supply and demand of the agricultural products and the capacity of agro-processing industry of the region.

Moreover, the decision makers can investigate the impacts on optimum plan and income from the variations of available resources and/ or crops and resource prices. In addition, the decision makers can achieve alternative near optimum plans with predetermined levels of total gross margins. These characteristics of the DSS are due to the Parametric and Goal Programming models that are embodied in the DSS.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barber, G., "Land use plan design via interactive multi objective programming", *Environment and Planning*, 8 (1976) 625.
- [2] Barnard, C.S., and Nix, J.S., Farm Planning and Control, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [3] Berlo, J.M., "A decision support tool for the vegetable processing industry; an integrative approach to market, industry and agriculture", *Agricultural Systems*, 43 (1) (1993) 91-109.
- [4] Bernardo, D.J., Engle, D.M., Lochwiller, R.L., and McCollum, F.I., "Optimal vegetation management under multiple - use", *Journal of Range of Management*, 45 (5) (1992) 462-469.

- 120 I. Papathanasiou, et al. / A Decision Support System for Farm Regional Planning
- [5] Hazell, P.B.R., and Norton, R.D., *Mathematical Programming for Economic Analysis in Agriculture*, University of California, Berkeley, 1986.
- [6] Jeffrey, G., Gibson, R., and Faminow, G., "Nearly optimal L.P. as a guide to agricultural planning", *Agricultural Economics*, 8 (1) (1992) 1-19.
- [7] Lee, D.J., Tipton T., and Leung P.S., "Modelling cropping decisions in a rural developing country; a multiple - objective programming approach", *Agricultural Systems, Oxford*, *Elsevier Applied Science*, 49 (2) (1995) 101-111.
- [8] Manos, B., and Kitsopanidis, G., *Mathematical Programming Models for Farm Planning*, Oxford Agrarian Studies, vol. XVII, 1988.
- [9] Manos, B., "Farm planning with multiple objectives. An application of compromise programming in Greece", *Agricultura Mediterranea*, 121 (1991) 224-238.
- [10] Manos, B., and Voros, M., "A decision support system for poultry producers", Proceedings of 9th World Farm Management Congress, Budapest, 1993.
- [11] Manos, B., and Gavezos E., "A multiobjective programming model for farm regional planning in northern Greece", *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, 34 (1) (1995).
- [12] Manos, B., Bournaris, Th., and Silleos, N., Antonopoulos, V., and Papathanasiou, J., "A decision support system approach for rivers monitoring and sustainable management", *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 96 (1-3) (2004) 85-98.
- [13] Onta, P.R., Gupta, A.D., and Paudyal, G.N., "Integrated irrigation development planning by multi - objective optimization", *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 7(3) (1991) 185-193.
- [14] Schrage, L., Optimization Modeling with LINDO, Duxbury Press, 1997.
- [15] Vassiliadou, I., Vlachopoulou, M., Tzortzios, S., and Manos, B., "A computerised model for the development of agricultural regions", *Proceedings of 2nd Special Conference of Hellenic Operational Research Society «Information Systems in Agricultural Sector»*, Chania, 2000.



I PILOT MODEL	
Files Linear Model General Information	
Land of crop enterprises	
Livestock capital	
Available machinery	
Available buildings and land improvements	
Available human labor	
Available mechanical labor	
Requirements of crop enterprises in human and mechanical labor	
Requirements of livestock enterprises in human and mechanical labor	
Variable capital of crop enterprises	
Variable capital of livestock enterprises	
Economic data of crop enterprises	
Economic data of livestock enterprises	
Gross return for each district	
Synthesis of fixed and variable capital	
Production expenses and coefficients	
Returns, profits and incomes	
Exit	





					_ 8 ×
Files Linear M	odel General Informatio	on			
	Synthesis of fixed a	and variable capital			[
		District AVLES		TOTAL AREA	
		Total capital	1102192873	Computation	
			Variable		
	Fixed capital	886487254 80.43%	capital	215705619 19.57%	
	Land Improvements	629874201	Seeds	26181426	
	Agricultural Const.	202297875	Fertilizers	38078352	
	Perennial Plantations	351958	Pesticides	19809345	
	Livestock	14604000	Fuel-lubricants Mach.	49431530	
	Machinery	39359220	Fuel-lubricants Rest	26181426	
			RestExpenditures	41016036	
	Print	1	Livestock var. cap.	13007302	
		1		0	
			in contained Labor	i či	

.					_ 8 ×
Files Lir	near Model	General Information			
		Returns, profits and income	es		
		Distric	t AVLES	•	
		Computation TOTAL	AREA Pr	int	
		Gross Return	478672431		
		Production Expenditures	420435252		
		Loss/Profit	58237179		
		% Gross Return	12.17%		
		% Production Exp.	13.85%		
		Stremmas	6262		
		per Stremma	9270		
		Return to land	122560179		
		per Stremma	19510		
		Return to labor	209647534		
		per 8-hour	13292		
		Return to Capital	11.99%		
		Agricultural Income	322030184		

.											_ & ×
Files	Linear	r Model	Gene	ral Information							
		Evolue	tion of	production plan	-						1
		Evalua		production plan						^	4
	IT F		M FOIDI	LOMME	2			MAXIN	AUM IN	ICOME	
		OFTIMO	M FOOM	JAI SIEF (2			2.75	975322	E+18	
							1				
							_				
							7				
							PRESENT P	ERMISS	SIBLE F	PERMISSIBLE	
				VARIABL	.ES		VALUE	INCRE/	ASE	DECREASE	
		Corn Ou	utside N	etwork, irrigated, N	Iormal Cultivation		7018199219	128196	95312	172845019	
		Corn Ins	side Net	work, Irrigated, No	rmal Cultivation		7018199219	679072	96875	523970019 <u>:</u>	
		Wheat I	Hard Ou	tside Network, Dry	, Normal Cultivatio	n	3763400391	52396	99707	INFINITY	
		Wheat I	Hard Ins	ide Network, Dry,	Normal Cultivation		3763400391	226357	65625	2138399902	
		Wheat	Hard Ou	tside Network, Irri	gated, Normal Culti	vation	1810000000	73781	00096	INFINITY	
		Wheat	Hard Ins	ide Network, Irriga	ted, Normal Cultiva	ation	1810000000	21383	99902	INFINITY	
		Barley C	outside I	Network, Dry, Norr	nal Cultivation		2590000000	10/6/4	50195	INFINITY	
		Barley I	nside Ne	etwork, Dry, Norma	al Cultivation		2590000000	00211	00000	INFINITY	
		Rye Out		twork, Dry, Norma	Cultivation		2586000000	272070	90875		
		Wheat 9	Soft Outs	side Network Dry	Normal Cultivation		1356500000	17284	50195		
		milliour		side rection, bry,	Normal Outroation		1000000000	17201	00 /00		
					PLAN OP	ENING					
					VARIABLES	SENSI	IVITY AN. VA	AR.			
	C C	COMPUT	ATION	RESULTS	CONSTRAINTS	SENSI	FIVITY AN. CO	ON.	PARA	PRINT	
											-

C on	parison results			_ 🗆 ×	
	CROP ENTERP	RISES EXISTENT	PLAN (St) OPTIMU	IM PLAN (St)	
► LUC	ERN IRRIGATED		533	533 🔺	
VINE	YARDS DRY		0	92	
RYE	DRY		71	71	
ALM	OND TREES IRRIGATED		0	24	
MAIZ	ZE IRRIGATED		10081	8651	
MAIZ	E & SPINACH IRRIGATED	& SPINACH IRRIGATED			
PEAR	RS IRRIGATED	630	7.78		
PLUI	AS DRY	0	30		
PLUI	NS IRRIGATED	02	02		
		1040	720.07		
SUG					
		265	265		
POT			100	100	
WAL			0	27.5	
CHE	RRIES IRRIGATED		0	17.22	
BAR	LEY DRY		3265	0	
CAB	BAGES IRRIGATED		0	0 🗸	
		PLAN SELECTION			
	EX. AND OPTIMUM PLAN PROD. OF CROP ENT.	EX. AND OPTIMUM PLAN PROD. OF LIV. ENT.	PRINT		