

EQUIVALENTS OF THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE IN FUZZY AND PROBABILISTIC METRIC SPACES

Mila STOJAKOVIĆ

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Engineering, University of Novi Sad,
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

Ljiljana GAJIĆ

*Institute of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, University of Novi Sad,
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

Abstract: Some equivalents of Ekeland's variational principle in fuzzy and probabilistic metric space of the Menger type are proved.

Keywords: Variational principle, fuzzy metric space, Menger space, fixed point.

1. INTRODUCTION

The variational principle, given first Ekeland [2], was proved for metric spaces. After that, this problem received a great deal of attention. Numerous powerful applications in various fields of mathematics were given.

Fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kaleva and Seikkala [3]. The variational principle and its equivalents in this kind of space have been considered in many recent papers, some of them are [1], [7].

The organization of this paper is the following.

Section 2 contains necessary definitions and notions. Section 3 is devoted to the form of the variational principle and its equivalents. Some theorems of the fixed point type for single and multi valued mappings are given.

In Section 4 we give some results for probabilistic metric space of the Menger type, using theorems from previous sections.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The notion of a fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kaleva and Seikkala in [3].

Throughout the paper let $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, $R^+ = [0, \infty)$. Let F denote the set of all fuzzy numbers, that is, the set of all fuzzy sets $u: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ the set

$$u_\alpha = \{x \in R : u(x) \geq \alpha\} \neq \emptyset$$

is compact and convex. If for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $u_\alpha \in R^+ \cup \{\infty\}$, then u belongs to the set of non-negative fuzzy numbers F^+ .

It is obvious that, if $u \in F^+$, then $u_\alpha = [a_\alpha, b_\alpha]$, $a_\alpha, b_\alpha \in R^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Let X be a nonempty set, $d: X \times X \rightarrow F^+$, L and R are symmetric mappings from $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ nondecreasing in both arguments such that $L(0, 0) = 0$, $R(1, 1) = 1$. We shall denote

$$[d(x, y)]_\alpha = [\lambda_\alpha(x, y), \rho_\alpha(x, y)]$$

and by $I_{\{a\}}$ the indicator function of a .

The quadruple (X, d, L, R) is a fuzzy metric space and d a fuzzy metric iff

1. $d(x, y) = I_{\{0\}} \Leftrightarrow x = y$,
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$
3. for all $x, y, z \in X$

$$d(x, y)(\varepsilon + \delta) \geq L(d(x, z)(\varepsilon), d(z, y)(\delta))$$

whenever $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_1(x, z)$, $\delta \leq \lambda_1(z, y)$ and $\varepsilon + \delta \leq \lambda_1(x, y)$

$$d(x, y)(\varepsilon + \delta) \leq R(d(x, z)(\varepsilon), d(z, y)(\delta))$$

whenever $\varepsilon \geq \lambda_1(x, z)$, $\delta \geq \lambda_1(z, y)$ and $\varepsilon + \delta \geq \lambda_1(x, y)$

If $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} R(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$, then the family

$$U = \{U(\varepsilon, \alpha) : \varepsilon > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$$

of sets

$$U(\varepsilon, \alpha) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \rho_\alpha(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

forms the basis for a Hausdorff uniformity on $X \times X$.

The sets

$$N_x(\varepsilon, \alpha) = \{y \in X : \rho_\alpha(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

form the basis for a Hausdorff topology on X and this topology is metrizable.

The subset $A \subset X$ is fuzzy bounded if there exists a $u \in F^+$, $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u(\alpha) = 0$ such that $\lambda_\alpha(x, y) \leq a_\alpha$ and $\rho_\alpha(x, y) \leq b_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in A$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, where $u_\alpha = [a_\alpha, b_\alpha]$.

The diameter $d(A)$ of fuzzy bounded set $A \subset X$ is $d(A) = \sup_{x, y \in A} d(x, y)$.

It is obvious that

$$(d(A))_\alpha = [\sup_{x, y \in A} \lambda_\alpha(x, y), \sup_{x, y \in A} \rho_\alpha(x, y)] = [\underline{d}_\alpha(A), \bar{d}_\alpha(A)], \quad \alpha \in (0, 1].$$

3. THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE AND ITS EQUIVALENTS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACES

Let (X, d, L, R) be a fuzzy metric space such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} R(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$, $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} d(x, y)(\alpha) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and let $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function which is

lower semicontinuous in the second argument, (1)

$\phi(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, (2)

$\phi(x, x) \leq \phi(x, z) + \phi(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,

there exists $x \in X$ such that $\inf_{x \in X} \phi(x, x) > -\infty$. (3)

The relation \lesssim is introduced by the equivalence

$$x \lesssim y \Leftrightarrow \forall \alpha \in (0, 1], \quad \rho_\alpha(x, y) + \phi(x, y) \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 1. *If the function $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies (2), then the relation \lesssim is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive (relation \lesssim is an order in X).*

Proof: Since for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ $\rho_\alpha(x, x) = 0 = -\phi(x, x)$, we get that $x \lesssim x$ for all $x \in X$. Further, is $x \lesssim y$ and $y \lesssim x$, then for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

$$\rho_\alpha(x, y) \leq -\phi(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\alpha(y, x) \leq -\phi(y, x).$$

The equality $\rho_\alpha(x, y) = \rho_\alpha(y, x)$ implies that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

$$2\rho_\alpha(x, y) \leq -\phi(x, y) - \phi(y, x) = -\phi(x, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y.$$

To prove the transitivity we assume that $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$. This means that $d(x, y)(a) = 0$ for all $a > -\phi(x, y) > 0$ and $d(y, z)(b) = 0$ for all $b > -\phi(y, z) > 0$. On the other hand, for every $\varepsilon > -\phi(x, z) \geq -\phi(x, y) - \phi(y, z)$, there exist $a > -\phi(x, y) > 0$ and $b > -\phi(y, z) > 0$ such that

$$d(x, z)(\varepsilon) \leq R(d(x, y)(a), d(y, z)(b)) = R(0, 0) = 0,$$

that is, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

$$\rho_\alpha(x, z) \leq -\phi(x, z) \Leftrightarrow x \leq z.$$

For every $x \in X$ we define the set $S(x) = \{y \in X : x \leq y\}$, where the relation \leq is introduced by (4). Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that

- (a) any nondecreasing Cauchy sequence in $S(x_0)$ has an upper bound in X , and
- (b) for any $x \in S(x_0)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $y \in S(x_0)$ such that $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(y)) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

(*) In the next seven theorems, let (X, d, L, R) be a fuzzy metric space (not necessarily complete, $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be such that (2) is satisfied and the relation \leq defined by (4) be an order in X satisfying (a) and (b).

Theorem 1. *If (*) is satisfied, then there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that for all $x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$*

$$\rho_\alpha(x^*, x) + \phi(x^*, x) > 0 \text{ for some } \alpha \in (0, 1]. \quad (5)$$

Proof: Using assumption (b), we shall form a Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since $x_0 \in S(x_0)$, for $\varepsilon = 1$ there exists $x_1 \in S(x_0)$ such that $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(x_1)) < 1$. If $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $x_2 \in S(x_1)$ such that $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(x_2)) < \frac{1}{2}$. Continuing this process, for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ there exists $x_n \in S(x_{n-1})$ such that $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(x_n)) < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nondecreasing ($x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots$) and it is Cauchy sequence ($\bar{d}_\alpha(x_n, x_m) < \min\{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}\}$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$).

By (a) we get that there exists an upper bound $x^* \in X$. Since $x_n \leq x^*$, this means that $x^* \in S(x_n)$ and $x^* \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S(x_n)$. But $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$, which implies that $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. In order to prove (5) we assume that there exists $x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$ such that

$$d_{\alpha}(x^*, x) + \phi(x^*, x) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, 1],$$

that is, $x^* \leq x$. Then x together with x^* belongs to $S(x_n)$ for all $n \in N$ and $\rho_{\alpha}(x, x^*) \leq \bar{d}_{\alpha}(S(x_n)) < \frac{1}{n}$. Putting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $\rho_{\alpha}(x, x^*) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ which means that $x = x^*$. Since we chose x from $X \setminus \{x^*\}$, we have that the assumption $x^* \leq x$ is not correct and hence (5) is true.

Theorem 2. Let (*) be satisfied. If $A \subset X$ has the property that for every $x \in S(x_0) \setminus A$ there exists $y \in S(x_0) \setminus \{x\}$ such that $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(x_0) \cap A$.

Proof: From Theorem 1 we know that there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $x \leq x^*$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$. It is obvious that $x^* \in A$, i.e. $x^* \in S(x_0) \cap A$.

Theorem 3. Let (*) be satisfied. If for every $x \in S(x_0)$ with $\inf_{y \in X} \phi(x, y) < 0$ there exists $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $\phi(x^*, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in X$.

Proof: If $A = \{x \in X : \inf_{y \in X} \phi(x, y) \geq 0\}$, then the assumptions from the theorem could be formulated by: for every $x \in S(x_0) \setminus A$ there exists $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that $x \leq y$. Now we can apply Theorem 2 which means that there exists $x^* \in S(x_0) \cap A$.

Theorem 4. If the conditions (*) are satisfied and if $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a function satisfying $x \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then f has a fixed point $x^* \in S(x_0)$.

Proof: By Theorem 1, there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $x^* \leq x$ for every $x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$. If we suppose that $f(x^*) \neq x^*$, then for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

$$\rho_{\alpha}(x^*, f(x^*)) + \phi(x^*, f(x^*)) > 0,$$

that is, $x^* \not\leq f(x^*)$. This contradicts the assumption of the theorem that $x \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 5. Let the conditions (*) be satisfied. If $F: X \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{0\}$ is a multivalued mapping such that for every $x \in X$ and every $y \in F(x)$ $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $F(x^*) = \{x^*\}$.

Proof: If $f: S(x_0) \rightarrow X$ is a selection of F , we can apply Theorem 4 to f , which means that there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $f(x^*) = x^*$. If $F(x) \neq \{x\}$ for all $x \in S(x_0)$, then either $x \in F(x)$ or $x \in F(x)$. The selection formed by $f(x) = y \in F(x) \setminus \{x\}$ has no fixed point, which is a contradiction. This means that there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $F(x^*) = \{x^*\}$.

Theorem 6. Let the conditions (*) be satisfied. If $F: X \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{0\}$ is a multivalued mapping such that for every $x \in S(x_0) \setminus F(x)$ there exists $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ for which $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $F(x^*) = \{x^*\}$.

Proof: Invoking Theorem 1, $x^* \in S(x_0)$ is an element for which $x^* \leq x$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$. The supposition that $x^* \in F(x^*)$ means that $x^* \in S(x_0) \setminus F(x^*)$. Then there exists $y \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$ such that $x^* \leq y$ which contradicts $x^* \leq x$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$.

Theorem 7. The statements of Theorem 1, Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4, Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 are equivalent.

Proof: So far we have proved the implications Theorem 1 \Rightarrow Theorem 2, Theorem 2 \Rightarrow Theorem 3, Theorem 1 \Rightarrow Theorem 4, Theorem 4 \Rightarrow Theorem 5 and Theorem 1 \Rightarrow Theorem 6.

The implications Theorem 6 \Rightarrow Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 \Rightarrow Theorem 4 are obvious, since the single-valued mapping is a special case of multivalued mapping.

It only remains to prove that Theorem 3 \Rightarrow Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 \Rightarrow Theorem 1.

To prove that Theorem 3 \Rightarrow Theorem 1, we shall assume that $x^* \in S(x_0)$ from Theorem 3 ($\phi(x^*, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$) is such that (5) does not hold, i.e.

$$\rho_\alpha(x^*, x) + \phi(x^*, x) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, 1] \text{ and all } x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}. \quad (6)$$

It is obvious that if $\phi(x^*, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\rho_\alpha(x^*, x) \geq 0$, then together with (6) we get $\rho_\alpha(x^*, x) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. But we chose x from $X \setminus \{x^*\}$, that is, $\rho_\alpha(x^*, x) > 0$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. This is a contradiction.

To complete the proof, one needs to show that Theorem 4 \Rightarrow Theorem 1. If Theorem 1 does not hold, then for every $x \in S(x_0)$ there exists $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that $x \leq y$. We shall form $f: S(x_0) \rightarrow X$ by $f(x) = y$.

If Theorem 4 holds, then there $x^* \in S(x_0)$ such that $f(x^*) = x^*$ which contradicts the supposition that $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$.

Lemma 2. If (X, d, L, R) is a fuzzy metric space and the function $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies (1), (2) and (3), then for any $x \in S(x)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $y \in S(x)$ such that $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(y)) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Proof: Let $x \in S(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in S(x)} \phi(x, z) &\geq \inf_{z \in S(x)} |\phi(x, z) - \phi(x, x)| \geq \\ &\geq \inf_{z \in S(x)} \phi(x, z) - \phi(x, x) > -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is, there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$: $\inf_{z \in S(x)} \phi(x, z) = a$. We shall choose $y \in S(x)$ such that

$$\phi(x, y) \leq a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Similarly as in the previous case, we get

$$b = \inf_{z \in S(y)} \phi(y, z) \geq \inf_{z \in S(x)} \phi(x, z) - \phi(x, y) \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Finally, to finish the proof, it remains to show that $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(y)) < \varepsilon$.

If $z_1, z_2 \in S(y)$, then for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$\rho_\alpha(y, z_1) \leq -\phi(y, z_1) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Leftrightarrow d(y, z_1)\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < \alpha$$

$$\rho_\alpha(y, z_2) \leq -\phi(y, z_2) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Leftrightarrow d(y, z_2)\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < \alpha.$$

Since $\lim_{a, \alpha \rightarrow 0} R(a, \alpha) = 0$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ there exists $\beta \in (0, 1]$ such that $R(\beta, \beta) < \alpha$. Then we get

$$d(z_1, z_2)(\varepsilon) \leq R(d(y, z_1)\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), d(y, z_2)\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)) \leq R(\beta, \beta) < \alpha$$

which means that $\rho_\alpha(z_1, z_2) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Hence, $\bar{d}_\alpha(S(y)) = \sup_{z_1, z_2 \in S(y)} \rho_\alpha(z_1, z_2) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Lemma 3. [5] If (X, d, L, R) is a complete fuzzy metric space and the function $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies (1) and (2), then every nondecreasing Cauchy sequence has an upper bound in X .

Theorem 8. If (X, d, L, R) is a complete fuzzy metric space and the function $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies (1), (2) and (3), then the next six statements are equivalent:

- i. there exists $x^* \in S(\tilde{x})$ such that for all $y \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$

$$\rho_\alpha(x^*, x) + \phi(x^*, x) > 0 \text{ for some } \alpha \in (0, 1],$$
- ii. if $A \subset X$ has the property that for every $x^* \in S(\tilde{x}) \cap A$ there exists $y \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$ such that $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(\tilde{x}) \cap A$,
- iii. if for every $x \in S(x)$ with $\inf_{y \in X} \phi(x, y) < 0$ there exists $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(\tilde{x})$ such that $\phi(x^*, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in X$,
- iv. if $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a function satisfying $x \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then f has a fixed point $x^* \in S(\tilde{x})$,
- v. if $F: X \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{0\}$ is a multivalued mapping such that for every $x \in X$ and every $y \in F(x)$, $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(\tilde{x})$ such that $F(x^*) = \{x^*\}$,
- vi. if $F: X \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{0\}$ is a multivalued mapping such that for every $x \in S(x) \setminus F(x)$ there exists some $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ for which $x \leq y$, then there exists $x^* \in S(\tilde{x})$ with $x^* \in F(x^*)$.

Proof: Using Theorem 7, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we get Theorem 8.

4. THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE AND ITS EQUIVALENTS IN PROBABILISTIC METRIC SPACES

The function $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (\mathbf{R} denotes the set of reals) which is left continuous, nondecreasing with $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} F(x) = 1$, is a distribution function. Let D be the set of all distribution functions.

The triplet (X, F, t) where X is any set, $F: X \times X \rightarrow D$ is such that

$$F_{x,y} = F_{y,x} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$F_{x,y}(v) = 1 \quad \text{for all } v > 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y,$$

$$F_{x,y}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$F_{x,y}(v+u) \geq t(F_{x,z}(v), F_{z,y}(u)) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ and } u, v \in \mathbf{R},$$

and $t: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is commutative, nondecreasing, associative and $t(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$, is a Menger space.

In [3] it was proved by Kaleva and Seikkala that every Menger space (X, F, t) is a fuzzy metric space (X, d, L, R) where $u_{x,y} = \sup \{v : F_{x,y}(v) = 0\}$ and

$$d(x, y)(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad u < u_{x,y} \\ 1 - F_{x,y}(u) & , \quad u \geq u_{x,y} \end{cases}$$

$$R(a, b) = 1 - t(1 - a, 1 - b), \quad a, b \in [0, 1]$$

$$L \equiv 0$$

If $\phi : X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is a function satisfying (1), (2) and (3), then we define the relation \leq by

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow F_{x,y}(u) \geq H(u + \phi(x, y)) \text{ for all } u > 0 \quad (7)$$

where $H(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & u \leq 0 \\ 1 & u > 0 \end{cases}$. For every $x \in X$ by $S(x)$ we denote set $S(x) = \{y \in X : x \leq y\}$.

Lemma 4. *The relation \leq defined by (7) is an order in X .*

Theorem 9. *Let (X, F, t) be a complete Menger space such that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 1} t(a, a) = 1$ and $\phi : X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function satisfying (1), (2) and (3). Then the statements (ii)-(vi) (from Theorem 8) and*

(i) *there exists $x^* \in S(\tilde{x})$ such that for all $x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}$*

$$F_{x,y}(u) < H(u + \phi(x, y)) \text{ for some } u \in R^+,$$

are equivalent.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chang, S.S., Cho, Y.J., and Kim, J.K., "Ekeland's variational principle and Caristi's coincidence theorem for set-valued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces", *Periodica Math. Hungarica*, (in print).
- [2] Ekeland, J., "On the variational principle", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 47 (1974) 324-353.
- [3] Kaleva, O., and Seikkala, S., "On fuzzy metric spaces", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 12 (1984) 215-229.
- [4] Oettli, W., and Thera, M., "Equivalents of Ekeland's principle", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 48 (1993) 385-392.
- [5] Ovcin, Z., "Varijacioni princip u fazi metričkim prostorima", Master Thesis, Novi Sad, 1995.
- [6] Park, S., "Remarks on the Ekeland type fixed point theorem and directional contraction", *Math. Japonica*, 30 (3) (1985) 435-439.
- [7] Stojaković, M., and Ovcin, Z., "Fixed point theorems and the variational principle in fuzzy metric space", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 66 (1994) 353-356.