

A REGULARIZED CONTINUOUS LINEARIZATION METHOD OF THE FOURTH ORDER

Fedor P. VASILJEV

*Moscow State University, Faculty VMiK,
Leninskie Gori, 117234 Moscow, Russia*

Anđelija NEDIĆ, Milojica JAĆIMOVIĆ

*University of Montenegro, Mathematical Faculty,
Cetinjski put, 81000 Podgorica, Yugoslavia*

Abstract: For the minimization problem with inaccurately specified objective function and set, a regularization method based on the continuous linearization method of the fourth order is proposed. Sufficient conditions for convergence are given and the regularizing operator is constructed.

Keywords: Continuous linearization method, regularization, Tichonov function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the minimization problem

$$J(u) \rightarrow \inf, \quad u \in U = \{u \in U_0: g_i(u) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}, \quad (1)$$

where U_0 is a given convex closed set of a certain Hilbert space H and the functions $J(u), g_1(u), \dots, g_m(u)$ are defined and Frechet differentiable on H . The scalar product of two elements $u, v \in H$ will be denoted by $\langle u, v \rangle$; $\|u\| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$ is the norm of an element $u \in H$.

Suppose that

$$J_* = \inf_{u \in U} J(u) > -\infty, \quad U_* = \{u \in U: J(u) = J_*\} \neq \emptyset \quad (2)$$

As we know [1], [5], problem (1) is unstable with respect to perturbations of the initial data $J(u)$, $g_i(u)$ and regularization methods must be employed to solve it. We propose and investigate a regularization method established on the continuous linearization method of the fourth order (see [4] for solving problem (1) with inaccurate initial data). In practical problems the functions $g_i(u)$, $J'(u)$, $g'_i(u)$ are known inexactly, so instead of them we have only their approximations $g_i(u, t)$, $J'(u, t)$, $g'_i(u, t)$, $u \in H$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, depending on the parameter $t \geq 0$. Let $u = u(t)$, $t \geq 0$ be the solution to the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_4(t) u^{(4)}(t) + \beta_3(t) u'''(t) + \beta_2(t) u''(t) + u'(t) + u(t) = \\ = P_{U(u(t), t)}[u(t) - \gamma(t)T'(u(t), t)], \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with the initial values

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \quad u''(0) = u_2, \quad u'''(0) = u_3, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U(u, t) = \{ z \in U_0 : g_i(u, t) + \langle g'_i(u, t), z - u \rangle \leq \\ \leq \theta(t) (1 + \|u\|^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, m \}; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$P_{U(u(t), t)}(z)$ is the projection of point z on set $U(u(t), t)$; $u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3 \in H$ are arbitrary points; $T'(u, t) = J'(u, t) + \alpha(t)u$, $u \in H$, $t \geq 0$ is the approximation of the gradient $Q'(u, t) = J'(u) + \alpha(t)u$ of the Tichonov function $Q(u, t) = J(u) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) \|u\|^2$; $\alpha(t)$, $\beta_i(t)$, $\gamma(t)$, $\theta(t)$, are parameters of the method. The derivatives $u^{(i)}(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ of the function $u(t)$, $t \geq 0$, take the values in H , and are understood in the sense [2], Ch. 4.

2. THE CONDITIONS FOR CONVERGENCE

We will consider the behaviour of the solution $u(t)$ of the differential equation (3), (4) when $t \rightarrow \infty$ and prove that the method (3)-(5) has regularization properties. Before that we can remark that the method (3)-(5) for $m=0$ in (5) (i.e. $U = U(u(t), t) = U_0$) reduces to the regularized continuous projection-gradient method of the fourth order treated in [10]; for $m=0$, $\beta_4(t) \equiv \beta_3(t) \equiv 0$ - to the regularized continuous projection-gradient method of the second order presented in [9].

The following theorem gives sufficient conditions for the convergence of the trajectory $u = u(t)$, $t \geq 0$ of the differential equation (3), (4).

Theorem 1. Suppose that

1) U_0 is a convex closed set in the Hilbert space H , the functions $J(u)$, $g_1(u), \dots, g_m(u)$ are convex, Frechet differentiable on H and their gradients satisfy the Lipschitz condition

$$\max \{ \|J'(u) - J'(v)\|; \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|g'_i(u) - g'_i(v)\| \} \leq \quad (6)$$

$$\leq L \|u - v\|, \quad u, v \in H;$$

and furthermore condition (2) and Slater's condition

$$\exists u_c \in U_0, \quad g_i(u_c) < 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad (7)$$

2) the approximations $g_i(u, t), J'(u, t), g'_i(u, t)$ of the $g_i(u), J'(u), g'_i(u)$ are continuous in u for all $t \geq 0$, measurable in t for all $u \in H$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |g_i(u, t) - g_i(u)| &\leq \delta(t)(1 + \|u\|^2), \quad u \in H, \\ \max \{ \|J'(u, t) - J'(u)\|; \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|g'_i(u, t) - g'_i(u)\| \} &\leq \quad (8) \\ &\leq \delta(t)(1 + \|u\|), \quad u \in H, \quad t \geq 0; \end{aligned}$$

3) the parameters $\alpha(t), \beta_4(t), \beta_3(t), \beta_2(t), \gamma(t), \delta(t)$, of the method (3)-(5) are such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t), \beta_4(t), \gamma(t) &\in C^4[0, +\infty), \quad \beta_3(t) \in C^3[0, +\infty), \\ \beta_2(t) &\in C^2[0, +\infty), \quad \delta(t), \quad \theta(t) \in C[0, +\infty), \\ \alpha(t) > 0, \quad \gamma(t) > 0, \quad \delta(t) \geq 0, \quad \theta(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ \alpha'(t) \leq 0, \quad \beta'_i(t) \leq 0, \quad i = 2, 3, 4, \quad \gamma'(t) \leq 0, \quad (9) \\ \alpha''(t) \geq 0, \quad \beta''_i(t) \geq 0, \quad i = 2, 3, 4, \quad \gamma''(t) \geq 0, \\ \alpha'''(t) \leq 0, \quad \beta'''_i(t) \leq 0, \quad i = 3, 4, \quad \gamma'''(t) \leq 0, \\ \alpha^{iv}(t) \geq 0, \quad \beta^{iv}_4(t) \geq 0, \quad \gamma^{iv}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\alpha(t) + \gamma(t) + \delta(t) + \theta(t) + \frac{\delta(t)}{\theta(t)} \right) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\theta(t)}{\alpha^4(t) \gamma^3(t)} + \frac{|\alpha'(t)|}{[\alpha^7(t) \gamma^5(t)]^{1/2}} + \frac{|\gamma'(t)|}{\alpha(t) \gamma^2(t)} \right) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\alpha''(t)}{\alpha(t)} + \frac{\gamma''(t)}{\gamma(t)} + \frac{|\alpha'''(t)|}{\alpha(t)} + \frac{|\gamma'''(t)|}{\gamma(t)} \right) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_i(t) = \beta_{i\infty} > 0, \quad i = 2, 3, 4, \quad 1 - \beta_{2\infty} > 0,$$

$$\beta_{2\infty}^2 + \beta_{4\infty} - 2\beta_{3\infty} > 0, \quad \beta_{3\infty}^2 - 2\beta_{2\infty}\beta_{4\infty} > 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\beta_{2\infty} - \frac{3}{2}\beta_{3\infty} > 0, \quad \beta_{2\infty}\beta_{3\infty} - 3\beta_{4\infty} > 0,$$

$$\delta(t) \max \{3 + 3\|u_c\|; 5 + \|u_c\|\} \leq 2\theta(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \|u^{(i)}(t)\| + \|u(t) - u_*\| \right) = 0, \quad (12)$$

where $u_* \in U_*$, $\|u_*\| = \inf_{u \in U_*} \|u\|$ is the normal solution to problem (1). The convergence in (12) is uniform with respect to the choice of approximations $g_i(u, t)$, $J'(u, t)$, $g'_i(u, t)$ in (8).

First we will note that such parameters satisfying (9)-(11) can be chosen, for example, in the following way

$$\alpha(t) = (1+t)^{-a}, \quad \beta_i(t) = \beta_{i\infty} + [1 + (1+t)^{-1}], \quad i = 2, 3, 4,$$

$$\gamma(t) = (1+t)^{-\gamma}, \quad \theta(t) = (1+t)^{-\theta}, \quad \delta(t) = \alpha(1+t)^{-\delta}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $a, \alpha, \gamma, \delta, \theta, \beta_{i\infty}$ are positive real numbers, such that $4\alpha + 3\gamma < \theta < \delta$, $\alpha + \gamma < \frac{2}{5}$, a is small enough so that

$$a \max \{3 + 3\|u_c\|; 5 + \|u_c\|\} \leq 2,$$

and $\beta_{i\infty}$, $i = 2, 3, 4$ satisfy (11).

Proof. Let us show that $U(u, t) \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \geq 0$, $u \in H$. As we know [8], for every convex differentiable function $g(u)$ on H , it is true that

$$g(v) + \langle g'_i(v), w - v \rangle \leq g(w), \quad w, v \in H. \quad (13)$$

Taking into account (7), (8), (11) and inequality (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(u, t) + \langle g'_i(u, t), u_c - u \rangle &\leq g_i(u) + \langle g'_i(u), u_c - u \rangle + \\ &+ \delta(t) (1 + \|u\|^2) + \delta(t) (1 + \|u\|) \|u_c - u\| \leq \\ &\leq g_i(u_c) + \delta(t) [(1 + \|u\|^2 + \|u_c\| + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \|u_c\|)) \times \\ &\times (1 + \|u\|^2) + \|u\|^2] < \frac{1}{2} \delta(t) [(3 + 3\|u_c\|) + \\ &+ (5 + \|u_c\|) \|u\|^2] \leq \theta(t) (1 + \|u\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

This means $u_c \in U(u, t)$, so $\forall t \geq 0$, $\forall u \in H$, $U(u, t) \neq \emptyset$. Besides that, the set $U(u, t)$ is convex and closed, therefore for every $t \geq 0$ the right hand side of (3) is uniquely defined, and under the established assumptions, satisfies the Caratheodory conditions [6]. Thus the differential equation (3), (4) has the solution $u(t)$ defined for small values of t and for any choice of the initial points $u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3 \in H$ [5]. We will assume the existence of the solution $u(t)$ on the half-line $[0, +\infty)$.

Let $v_\tau \in U$ be the solution to the minimization problem

$$Q(u, \tau) \rightarrow \inf, \quad u \in U = \{u \in U_0 : g_i(u) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}. \quad (14)$$

The Tichonov function $Q(u, \tau)$ is strongly convex on H , so that for every $\tau \geq 0$, there is a unique point $v_\tau \in U$, such that (see [7]):

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \|v_\tau - u_*\| = 0, \quad \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \|v_\tau\| \leq \|u_*\|. \quad (15)$$

Besides that, under the given assumptions, the Kuhn-Tucker theorem can be applied to problem (14) for every $\tau \geq 0$. Therefore, there exist

$$\lambda_1(\tau) \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_m(\tau) \geq 0, \quad (16)$$

such that

$$\langle Q'(v_\tau, \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) g'_i(v_\tau), v - v_\tau \rangle \geq 0, \quad v \in U_0, \quad \tau \geq 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\lambda_i(\tau) g_i(v_\tau) = 0, \quad g_i(v_\tau) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad \tau \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, the Kuhn-Tucker theorem can also be applied to the problem

$$\Phi(z, t) = \|z - [u(t) - \gamma(t) T'(u(t), t)]\|^2 \rightarrow \inf, \\ z \in U(u(t), t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

which is equivalent to the problem of projecting the point $u(t) - \gamma(t) \times T'(u(t), t)$ on the set $U(u(t), t)$. Consequently, there exist

$$v_1(t) \geq 0, \dots, v_m(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (19)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \beta_4(t) u^{iv}(t) + \beta_3(t) u'''(t) + \beta_2(t) u''(t) + u'(t) + \\ & + \gamma(t) T'(u(t), t) + \sum_{i=1}^m v_i(t) g'_i(u(t), t), w - [\beta_4(t) u^{iv}(t) + \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$+ \beta_3(t) u'''(t) + \beta_2(t) u''(t) + u'(t) + u(t)] \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in U_0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & v_i(t) [g_i(u(t), t) + \langle g'_i(u(t), t), \beta_4(t) u^{iv}(t) + \\ & + \beta_3(t) u'''(t) + \beta_2(t) u''(t) + u'(t) \rangle - \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$-\theta(t)(1 + \|u(t)\|^2)] = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & g_i(u(t), t) + \langle g'_i(u(t), t), \beta_4(t) u^{iv}(t) + \beta_3(t) u'''(t) + \\ & + \beta_2(t) u''(t) + u'(t) \rangle - \theta(t)(1 + \|u(t)\|^2) \rangle \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Setting $v = \beta_4(t) u^{iv}(t) + \beta_3(t) u'''(t) + \beta_2(t) u''(t) + u'(t) + u(t) \in U(u(t), t) \subseteq U_0$ in (17), $w = v_\tau \in U \subseteq U_0$ in (20), multiplying the obtained inequalities, respectively, by $(-\gamma(t))$ and (-1) , and summing them, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u', \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle + \\ & + \gamma \langle T'(u, t) - Q'(v_\tau, \tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \langle g'_i(u, t), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle - \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$- \gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) \langle g'_i(v_\tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle \leq 0,$$

for all $t, \tau \geq 0$. Here and further, we will often omit the argument t of the functions $\alpha, \beta_i, \gamma, \delta, \theta, u, u^{(i)}$, in order to shorten the expressions. Let

$$\begin{aligned} r &= r(t) = \|u'''(t)\|^2, \quad q = q(t) = \|u''(t)\|^2, \\ p &= p(t) = \|u'(t)\|^2, \quad x = x(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} \|u(t) - v_\tau\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle u^{iv}, u''' \rangle &= r', \quad 2 \langle u''', u'' \rangle = q', \quad 2 \langle u'', u' \rangle = p', \\ 2 \langle u^{iv}, u'' \rangle &= q'' - 2r, \quad 2 \langle u''', u' \rangle = p'' - 2q, \\ 2 \langle u^{iv}, u' \rangle &= p''' - 3q', \quad \langle u', u - v_\tau \rangle = x', \\ \langle u'', u - v_\tau \rangle &= x'' - p, \quad \langle u''', u - v_\tau \rangle = x''' - \frac{3}{2} p', \\ \langle u^{iv}, u - v_\tau \rangle &= x^{iv} - 2p'' + q, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $x^{(i)} = \frac{d^i}{dt^i} x(t, \tau)$, $t \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$. Using (24) and (25) the first term in (23) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u', \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle = \\ &= \beta_4^2 \|u^{iv}\|^2 + [\beta_3^2 - 2\beta_4\beta_2] r + \beta_3\beta_4 r' + [\beta_2^2 + \beta_4 - 2\beta_3] q + \\ &+ [\beta_3\beta_2 - 3\beta_4] q' + \beta_4\beta_2 q'' + [1 - \beta_2] p + [\beta_2 - \frac{3}{2}\beta_3] p' + \\ &+ [\beta_3 - 2\beta_4] p'' + \beta_4 p''' + \beta_4 x^{iv} + \beta_3 x''' + \beta_2 x'' + x', \quad t, \tau \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

According to [8], p.175, for any convex differentiable function $g(u)$ on H , whose gradient satisfies the Lipschitz condition (6), the following inequality is true

$$\langle J'(u) - J'(v), v - w \rangle \leq \frac{L}{4} \|u - w\|^2, \quad u, v, w \in H. \quad (27)$$

Now we will estimate the second term in (23). Using (8), (15), (27) and $2|ab| \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} b^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T'(u, t) - Q'(v_\tau, \tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle = \\ &= \langle J'(u, t) - J'(u), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle + \\ &+ \langle J'(u) - J'(v_\tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle + \\ &+ \langle \alpha(t)u - \alpha(\tau)v_\tau, \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle \geq \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq -\delta(1+\|u-v_\tau\|+\|v_\tau\|)(\|\beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+\beta_2 u''+u'\|^2+ \\
&+\|u-v_\tau\|-\frac{L}{4}\|\beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+\beta_2 u''+u'\|^2+ \\
&+\alpha\langle u-v_\tau, \beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+\beta_2 u''+u'+u-v_\tau\rangle+ \\
&+(\alpha(t)-\alpha(\tau))\langle v_\tau, \beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+\beta_2 u''+u'+u-v_\tau\rangle\geq \\
&\geq -\delta[2(1+\|v_\tau\|^2)+\frac{1}{2}\|\beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+\beta_2 u''+u'\|^2+ \\
&+\frac{9}{4}\|u-v_\tau\|^2]-\frac{L}{4}\|\beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+\beta_2 u''+u'\|^2+ \\
&+\alpha\{\beta_4(x^{iv}-2p''+q)+\beta_3(x'''-\frac{3}{2}p')+\beta_2(x''-p)+ \\
&+x'+2x\}-|\alpha(t)-\alpha(\tau)|^2\|v_\tau\|^2-\frac{1}{4}\|\beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+ \\
&+\beta_2 u''+u'\|^2-\frac{1}{\alpha(t)}|\alpha(t)-\alpha(\tau)|^2\|v_\tau\|^2- \\
&-\frac{\alpha(t)}{4}\|u-v_\tau\|^2\geq-[1+L+2\delta_{\max}][\beta_4^2\|u^{iv}\|^2+\beta_3^2r+ \\
&+\beta_2^2q+p]+\alpha\{\beta_4(x^{iv}-2p''+q)+\beta_3(x'''-\frac{3}{2}p')+ \\
&+\beta_2(x''-p)+x'+\frac{3}{2}(1-3\frac{\delta}{\alpha})x\}-C_0[\delta(t)+ \\
&+\frac{1}{\alpha(t)}|\alpha(t)-\alpha(\tau)|^2], \quad \forall t, \tau\geq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $\delta_{\max} = \sup_{t\geq 0} \delta(t)$, $C_0 = \max\{2(1+\|u_*\|^2); (1+\alpha_{\max})\|u_*\|^2\}$, $\alpha_{\max} = \sup_{t\geq 0} \delta(t) = \alpha(0)$.

Let us show that the third term in (23) is nonnegative for all $t\geq t_0$ is a large enough number. From (8), (13), (15), (19) and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_i\langle g'_i(u, t), \beta_4 u^{iv}+\beta_3 u'''+\beta_2 u''+u'+u-v_\tau\rangle= \\
&= v_i[-g_i(u, t)+\langle g'_i(u, t), u-v_\tau\rangle+\theta(1+\|u\|^2)]\geq \\
&\geq v_i[-g_i(u)-\langle g'_i(u), v_\tau-u\rangle+\theta(1+\|u\|^2)]- \\
&-\delta(1+\|u\|^2)-\delta(1+\|u\|)\|u-v_\tau\|\geq \\
&\geq -v_i g_i(v_\tau)+v_i(1+\|u\|^2)\frac{\theta}{2}[2-\frac{\delta}{\theta}(5+ \\
&+2\|u_*\|)]\geq 0, \quad \forall t\geq t_0, \quad i=1, \dots, m,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

because $\lim_{t\rightarrow\infty} \frac{\delta(t)}{\alpha(t)}=0$, according to (10). Finally, we will estimate the last term in (23).

Using the inequality (see [8], p.93, Lemma 1)

$$\left| g(u) - g(v) - \langle g'(v), u - v \rangle \right| \leq \frac{L}{2} \|u - v\|^2, \quad u, v \in H,$$

and (13), (18), (19), (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_i(\tau) \langle g'_i(v_\tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle = \\ & = \lambda_i(\tau) [g'_i(v_\tau) + \langle g'_i(v_\tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle] \leq \lambda_i(\tau) g'_i(\beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u) \leq \\ & \leq \lambda_i(\tau) \frac{L}{2} \|\beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u'\|^2 + \lambda_i(\tau) [g_i(u) + \\ & + \langle g'_i(u), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' \rangle] \leq \lambda_i(\tau) \frac{L}{2} \|\beta_4 u^{iv} + \\ & + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u'\|^2 + \lambda_i(\tau) [g_i(u, t) + \langle g'_i(u, t), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \\ & + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' \rangle - \theta(1 + \|u\|^2)] + \lambda_i(\tau) [\delta(1 + \|u\|^2) + \\ & + \delta(1 + \|u\|) \|\beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u'\| + \theta(1 + \|u\|^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Since $1 + \|u\|^2 \leq 1 + 2\|u - v_\tau\|^2 + 2\|v_\tau\|^2 \leq 1 + 4x + 2\|u_*\|^2$, $(1 + \|u\|) \|\beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u'\| \leq (1 + \|v_\tau\| + \|u - v_\tau\|) \|\beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u'\| \leq (1 + \|u_*\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|\beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u'\|^2 + 2x(t)$ from (30), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_i(\tau) \langle g'_i(v_\tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + u - v_\tau \rangle \leq \\ & \leq \lambda_i(\tau) [(L + \delta) \frac{1}{2} \|\beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u'\|^2 + (\delta + \theta) \times \\ & \times (1 + 2\|u_*\|^2 + 4x) + \delta(1 + \|u_*\|^2) + 2x] \leq \\ & \leq \lambda_i(\tau) [2(L + \delta_{\max}) (\beta_4^2 \|u^{iv}\|^2 + \beta_3^2 r + \beta_2^2 q + p) + \\ & + 6(\delta + \theta)x + C_1(\delta + \theta)], \quad \forall t, \tau \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$C_1 = \max \{1 + 2\|u_*\|^2; (1 + \|u_*\|)^2\}$. Let us prove that

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) \leq C_2 = \text{const}, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0. \quad (32)$$

From (17), where $v = u_c$, and (13) we have

$$J(v_\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\tau) \|v_\tau\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) g_i(v_\tau) \leq J(u_c) + \\ + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\tau) \|u_c\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) g_i(u_c).$$

Using the last inequality and (7), (13), (15), (16), (18), we get

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq m} |g_i(u_c)| \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) \leq - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) g_i(u_c) \leq J(u_c) - J(v_\tau) + \\ + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\tau) \|u_c\|^2 \leq \langle J'(u_c), u_c - v_\tau \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\tau) \|u_c\|^2 \leq \\ \leq \|J'(u_c)\| (\|u_c\| + \|u_*\|) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{\max} \|u_c\|^2,$$

which proves (32). From (31), (32) follows the necessary estimation for the last term in (23):

$$-\gamma(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\tau) \langle g'_i(v_\tau), \beta_4 u^{iv} + \beta_3 u''' + \beta_2 u'' + u' + \\ + u - v_\tau \rangle \geq -\gamma(t) C_2 \{ 2(L + \delta_{\max}) [\beta_4^2 \|u^{iv}\|^2 + \beta_3^2 r + \\ + \beta_2^2 q + p] + 6(\delta + \theta)x + C_1(\delta + \theta) \}, \quad \forall t, \tau \geq 0. \quad (33)$$

Putting the estimations (26), (28), (29), (33) in (23), we find

$$\beta_4^2 [1 - \gamma(t) C_3] \|u^{iv}(t)\|^2 + [(\beta_3^2 (1 - \gamma(t) C_3) - 2\beta_4 \beta_2)] r + \\ + \beta_3 \beta_4 r' + [(\beta_2^2 (1 - \gamma(t) C_3) + \beta_4 (1 + \alpha\gamma) - 2\beta_3)] q + [\beta_3 \beta_2 - \\ - 3\beta_4] q' + \beta_4 \beta_2 q'' + [(1 - \gamma(t) C_3) - \beta_2 (1 + \alpha\gamma)] p + [\beta_2 - \\ - \frac{3}{2} \beta_3 (1 + \alpha\gamma)] p' + [\beta_3 - 2\beta_4 (1 + \alpha\gamma)] p'' + \beta_4 p''' + \alpha\gamma (\frac{3}{2} - \\ - C_4 \frac{\delta + \theta}{\alpha}) x + (1 + \alpha\gamma) [x' + \beta_2 x'' + \beta_3 x''' + \beta_4 x^{iv}] \leq C_5 [\frac{\gamma(t)}{\alpha(t)} |\alpha(t) - \\ - \alpha(\tau)|^2 + \gamma(t) (\delta(t) + \theta(t))], \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0, \quad (34)$$

where $C_3 = L + 1 + 2\delta_{\max} + 2C_2(L + \delta_{\max})$, $C_4 = 6C_2 + \frac{9}{2}$, $C_5 = \max\{C_0; \frac{3}{2}C_1 C_2\}$. The inequality (34) has the same structure as the inequality (22) in [10]. Therefore the equality

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|u(t) - v_t\| + \|u'(t)\| + \|u''(t)\| + \|u'''(t)\| + \|u^{iv}(t)\| \} = 0,$$

which follows from (34), can be obtained in the same way as the equalities (34), (35) in [10].

3. THE STOPPING CRITERION

In practice the error of the initial data is usually greater than a certain fixed positive number. A more realistic condition than (8), with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t) = 0$, for considered problem (1) is as follows: for each fixed $u \in H$, instead of computing the exact values of $g_i(u)$, $J'(u)$, $g'_i(u)$, it is possible to compute their approximations $g_{i\delta}(u)$, $J'_\delta(u)$, $g'_{i\delta}(u)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |g_{i\delta}(u) - g_i(u)| &\leq \delta(1 + \|u\|^2), \quad u \in H, \\ \max \{ \|J'_\delta(u) - J'\|; \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|g'_{i\delta}(u) - g'_i(u)\| \} &\leq \\ &\leq \delta(1 + \|u\|), \quad u \in H, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a known positive number. Then, instead of process (3) - (5), we have the process

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_4(t)w^{iv}(t) + \beta_3(t)w'''(t) + \beta_2(t)w''(t) + w'(t) + w(t) &= \\ = P_{U(w(t), \delta)}[w(t) - \gamma(t)(J'_\delta(w(t)) + \alpha(t)w(t))], \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$w(0) = u_0, \quad w'(0) = u_1, \quad w''(0) = u_2, \quad w'''(0) = u_3, \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(w, \delta) = \{z \in U_0 : g_{i\delta}(w) + < g'_{i\delta}(w), z - w > \leq \\ \leq \theta(t)(1 + \|w\|^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

which will be continued up to the moment $t(\delta)$ defined by the following condition

$$t(\delta) = \sup \{t : \delta(s) > \delta, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t\}. \quad (39)$$

Since $\delta(t) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $\delta(0) > \delta$, the required moment of time $t(\delta)$ can be found with certainty. The parameters $\alpha(t)$, $\beta_i(t)$, $\gamma(t)$, $\theta(t)$, $t \geq 0$, in (36) - (39) satisfy the conditions (9) - (11), and do not depend on the number δ from (35).

The justification for using the criterion (39) to stop the process (36) - (38) is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let all the conditions of Theorem 1 be satisfied, apart from (8), and let the approximations $g_{i\delta}(u)$, $J'_\delta(u)$, $g'_{i\delta}(u)$ of $g_i(u)$, $J'(u)$, $g'_i(u)$ satisfy condition (35). Suppose that the trajectory $w(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq t(\delta)$ has been obtained by the method (36) - (38), where the moment $t(\delta)$ is determined in accordance with the stopping criterion (39). Then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|w(t(\delta)) - u_*\| = 0.$$

This theorem can be proved in the same way as Theorem 2 in [10]. From Theorem 2, it follows that the operator R_δ which sets the point $w(t(\delta))$, defined by the method (36) - (39), in correspondence with $(g_{i\delta}(u), J'_\delta(u), g'_{i\delta}(u), \delta)$ from (35), is a regularizing operator in the sense [1], [5].

4. CONCLUSION

The paper shows that the continuous linearization method of the fourth order proposed in [4] can be regularized and applied to minimization problems with inaccurately specified objective function and set. The proposed regularized method (3) - (5) for $m = 0$ in (5) reduces to the regularized continuous projection-gradient method of the fourth order treated in [10], while for $m = 0$, $\beta_4(t) = \beta_5(t) = 0$ it reduces to the regularized continuous projection-gradient method of the second order presented in [9]. As pointed out in [3], the advantages and the importance of higher order continuous methods stem from their higher order of convergence and from the fact that continuous methods give a large choice of numerical integration methods to solve the corresponding differential equations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bakushinski, A. B., and Goncharski, A. V., *Iterative Methods for Solving Ill-Posed Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989, (in Russian).
- [2] Gaevski, H., Greger, K., and Zaharias, K., *Nonlinear Operator Equations and Operator Differential Equations*, Nauka, Moscow, 1978, (in Russian).
- [3] Nedić, A., "The continuous projection-gradient method of the fourth order", *Yugoslav Journal of Operational Research*, 5 (1995) 27-38.
- [4] Nedić, A., Jaćimović, M., and Antipin, A. S., "The continuous linearization method of the fourth order", *Yugoslav Journal of Operational Research*, 7 (1997) 39-47.
- [5] Tikhonov, A.N., and Arsenin, V.Ya., *Methods of Solving Ill-Posed Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986, (in Russian).
- [6] Varga, J., *Optimal Control of Differential and Functional Equations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1975.
- [7] Vasiljev, F.P., *Methods of Solving Extremum Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1981, (in Russian).

- [8] Vasiljev, F.P., *Numerical Methods for Solving Extremum Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1988, (in Russian).
- [9] Vasiljev, F.P., and Nedić, A., "The regularized continuous version of the projection-gradient method of the second order", *Vestnik Mosk. Un-ta., Ser. 15, Vychisl. matem. i kibern.*, 1994, 3-11, (in Russian).
- [10] Vasiljev, F.P., and Nedić, A., "A regularized continuous projection-gradient method of the fourth order", *Yugoslav Journal of Operational Research*, 5 (1995) 195-209.