

Skin-friction for unsteady free convection MHD flow between two heated vertical parallel plates

Krishna Gopal Singha ^{*} P. N. Deka [†]

Abstract

Unsteady viscous incompressible free convection flow of an electrically conducting fluid between two heated vertical parallel plates is considered in the presence of a uniform magnetic field applied transversely to the flow. The induced field along the lines of motion varies transversely to the flow and the fluid temperature changes with time. An analytical solution for velocity, induced field and the temperature distributions are obtained for small and large magnetic Reynolds numbers. The skin-friction at the two plates is obtained. Velocity distribution, induced field and skin-friction are plotted against the distance from the plates. It has been observed that with the increase in R_m , the magnetic Reynolds number, at constant M , the Hartmann number, leads to an increase in the skin-friction gradually. But with the increase in M , at constant R_m , the skin-friction decreases.

Keywords: skin-friction; fully developed flow; conducting fluid; induced field; magnetic Reynolds number.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Dibrugarh University Dibrugarh (Assam) 786004,
e-mail: kgsingha@yahoo.com

[†]Department of Mathematics, Dibrugarh University Dibrugarh (Assam) 786004

1 Introduction

Skin friction is a very vital parameter in basic fluid mechanics research and hence has been a topic of interest to several investigators. Most of the skin friction measurement techniques commonly used are point measurement systems wherein the average (averaged over the measurement volume) skin friction at a point is determined. The measurement method may be a direct method, indirect measurement or empirical determination methods. There are excellent reviews on these methods (Hanratty and Campbell [1], Winter [2], Schetz [3] and Naughton and Sheplak [4]) those discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each of the methods.

Free convection flow past different types of vertical bodies is studied because of their wide applications. Free convection flow of fluids past a semi-infinite isothermal vertical plate was first investigated by Pohlhausen [5] who solved the problem by momentum integral method.

An exact solution to MHD Stokes problem for an infinite vertical plate with variable temperature has been studied by Soundalgekar [6]. He neglected the induced magnetic field and observed that in air, an increase in time t , leads to an increase in the skin-friction but in water, it decreases. An increase in the Hartmann number M , leads to an increase in the skin-friction.

In a fluid, the variation of temperature causes variation of density. This in turn changes force of buoyancy which governs the fluid motion. This type of unsteady fluid motion under the action of uniform magnetic field applied externally reduces the heat transfer and the skin friction considerably. This process of reduction of heat transfer and skin friction of the fluid motion has various engineering applications such as nuclear reactor, power transformation etc. Several authors' studies this type of MHD free convection laminar flow. Das and Sanyal [7] and Borkakati et. al [8] investigated the fully developed flow of a viscous incompressible conducting fluid in presence of a uniform magnetic field. Recently Gourla et. al [9] discussed an unsteady free convection flow through the vertical parallel plates in the presence of uniform magnetic field.

Ostrach [10, 11] considered the combined natural and forced convection flow of a viscous incompressible fluid between two vertical parallel plates. Grief et.al [12] and Gupta et.al [13] studied the incompressible free convection flow through a porous medium. Soundalgekar and Ra-

mana Murty [14] discussed the heat transfer in MHD flow with pressure gradient, suction and injection. He observed that an increase in the magnetic field parameter leads to an increase in velocity, skin-friction, rate of heat transfer and a fall in temperature. Also an increase in suction leads to a fall in the value of the skin-friction and the rate of heat transfer, opposite to the case of injection. Soundalgekar and Bhatt. [15] considered the laminar convection flow through a porous medium between two vertical plates.

Kim [16] investigate the unsteady two-dimensional laminar flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting polar fluid via a porous medium past a semi-infinite vertical porous moving plate in the presence of a transverse magnetic field. He observed that for a constant plate moving velocity with the given magnetic and permeability parameters, and Prandtl and Grashof numbers, the effect of increasing values of suction velocity parameter results in an increasing surface skin friction. It is also observed that the surface skin friction decreases by increasing the plate moving velocity.

Recently the problem of combined heat and mass transfer of an electrically conducting fluid in MHD free convection adjacent to a vertical surface with Ohmic heating and viscous dissipation is analyzed by Chen [17]. He presented the results for the velocity, temperature, and concentration distributions, as well as the local skin-friction coefficient, local Nusselt number, and the local Sherwood number.

In this paper, we have investigated the fully developed free convection laminar flow of an incompressible viscous electrically conducting fluid between two vertical parallel plates in the presence of a uniform magnetic field applied transversely to the flow. This induces a field along the lines of motion which varies transversely to the flow. The temperature of the fluid is assumed to be changing with time. The analytical solutions for velocity, induced magnetic field and the temperature distributions are obtained for small and large magnetic Reynolds number R_m . The skin-friction at the two plates are obtained for different magnetic field parameters and are plotted graphically. It has been observed that with the increase in R_m , the magnetic Reynolds number, at constant M , the Hartmann number, leads to an increase in the skin-friction gradually. But with the increase in M , at constant R_m , the skin-friction decreases.

2 Formulation of the problem

We are considering an unsteady laminar convective flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid between two vertical parallel plates. Let X -axis be taken along vertically upward direction through the central line of the channel and Y -axis is taken perpendicular to the X -axis. The plates of the channel are at $y = \pm h$. A uniform magnetic field B_0 is applied parallel to Y -axis which in turn induces a field along X -axis that varies along Y -axis. The velocity and magnetic field distributions are $\vec{V} = [u(y), 0, 0]$ and $\vec{B} = [B(y), B_0, 0]$ respectively. Here B_0 and $B(y)$ are applied and induced magnetic field respectively.




Figure 1: Geometrical configuration

In order to derive the governing equations of the problem the following assumptions are made.

- (i) The fluid is finitely conducting and the viscous dissipation and the Joule heat are neglected.

- (ii) Hall effect and Polarization effect are negligible.
- (iii) Initially (i.e. at time $t = 0$) the plates and the fluid are at zero temperature (i.e. $T = 0$) and there is no flow within the channel.
- (iv) At time $t > 0$, the temperature of the plate $y = \pm h$ change according to $T = T_0(1 - e^{-nt})$ where T_0 is a constant temperature and $n \geq 0$ is a real number denoting the decay factor.
- (v) The plates are considered to be infinite and all the physical quantities are functions of y and t only.

3 Governing equations

Under the above assumptions the governing equations are

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{V} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + (\vec{V} \cdot \nabla) \vec{V} = - \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right) \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{V} + \frac{1}{\rho} (\vec{J} \times \vec{B}) + \vec{Z}. \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times (\vec{V} \times \vec{B}) - \left(\frac{1}{\sigma \mu_e} \right) \nabla^2 \vec{B} = 0. \quad (3)$$

$$\rho C_p \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{d}{dy} \left(k \frac{dT}{dy} \right) \quad (4)$$

where the third term in the right hand side of equation (2) is the magnetic body force and \vec{J} is the current density due to the magnetic field defined by

$$\vec{J} = \frac{(\nabla \times \vec{B})}{\mu_e} \quad (5)$$

$$\vec{Z} \text{ is the force due to buoyancy, } \vec{Z} = \beta g (T_0 - T) \quad (6)$$

Where

$k \rightarrow$ thermal conductivity,

$\sigma \rightarrow$ electrical conductivity,

$\rho \rightarrow$ fluid density,
 $\mu_e \rightarrow$ permeability of the medium,
 $\mu \rightarrow$ coefficient of viscosity,
 $v_m = \frac{1}{\sigma\mu_e} \rightarrow$ magnetic diffusivity,
 $v = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \rightarrow$ kinematic viscosity.

Using the velocity and magnetic field distribution as stated above, the equations (1) to (4) are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{B_0}{(\rho\mu_e)} \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} + \beta g(T_0 - T) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + B_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\mu_e} \right) \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} &= \left(\frac{k}{\rho C_p} \right) \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} &= \alpha_1 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here, $\alpha_1 = \frac{k}{\rho C_p}$.

The boundary conditions are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} t = 0 : u = 0, B = 0, T = 0 \text{ at } y = \pm h \\ t > 0 : u = 0, B = 0, T = T_0(1 - e^{-nt}) \text{ at } y = \pm h \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10)$$

Considering the non-dimensional terms

$$t^* = \nu t/h^2, \quad b = \frac{B}{B_0}, \quad y^* = \frac{y}{h}, \quad u^* = \frac{\nu u}{\beta g T_0 h^2}, \quad \bar{T} = \frac{(T_0 - T)}{T_0}, \quad (11)$$

We get from (7)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial u^*} \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t^*} \frac{\partial t^*}{\partial t} = \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial y^*} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial u^*} \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} + \beta g T_0 \bar{T} + \frac{B_0}{\rho\mu_e} \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y^*} \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} \right)$$

this reduces to

$$(\beta g T_0) \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t^*} = \left(\frac{B_0^2}{\rho \mu_e h} \right) \frac{\partial b}{\partial y^*} + \beta g T_0 \bar{T} + (\beta h T_0) \frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial y^{*2}}$$

finally takes the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \bar{T} + \left(\frac{M^2}{R_a R_m} \right) \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} \quad (12)$$

From (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial B}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial t^*} \frac{\partial t^*}{\partial t} + B_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial u^*} \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma \mu_e} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^*} \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y^*} \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{R_a}{P_r} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{1}{R_m P_r} \right) \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial y^2} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

From (9)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{T}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t^*} \frac{\partial t^*}{\partial t} &= \alpha_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^*} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{T}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial y^*} \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t^*} &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}}{\partial y^{*2}} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{P_r} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}}{\partial y^2} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Where

M is the Hartmann number, $M = \sqrt{\frac{B_0^2 h^2 \sigma}{\rho \nu}}$,

P_r is the Prandtl number, $P_r = \frac{\nu}{\alpha_1}$,

R_e is the Reynolds number, $R_e = \frac{u_0 h}{\nu}$,

R_a is the Rayleigh number, $R_a = \frac{\beta g h^3 T_0}{\nu \alpha_1}$,

R_m is the magnetic Reynolds number, $R_m = \alpha_1 \mu_e \sigma$,

α_1 is the thermal diffusivity, $\alpha_1 = \frac{k}{\rho C_p}$,

k is the thermal conductivity,

ν_e is the magnetic diffusivity, $\nu_e = \frac{1}{\sigma\mu_e}$,

ν is the kinetic viscosity, $\nu = \frac{\mu}{\rho}$,

σ is the electrical conductivity,

ρ is the fluid density,

μ_e is the permeability of the medium and

μ is the coefficient of viscosity.

The boundary condition (10) reduces to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} t = 0 : u = 0, b = 0, \bar{T} = 1aty = \pm h \\ t > 0 : u = 1, b = 0, \bar{T} = e^{-nt}aty = \pm h \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (15)$$

4 Solutions

To solve the equation (12) to equation (14) subject to the boundary condition (15), we apply the transformation of variables

$$u = f(y)e^{-nt}, \quad b = g(y)e^{-nt} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{T} = \phi(y)e^{-nt} \quad (16)$$

Substituting (16) in equations (12-14), we have

From (12)

$$\begin{aligned} -nf(y)e^{-nt} &= e^{-nt} \frac{d^2 f}{dy^2} + \phi(y)e^{-nt} + \left(\frac{M^2}{R_a R_m} \right) \frac{dg}{dy} e^{-nt} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d^2 f}{dy^2} + nf + \phi + \left(\frac{M^2}{R_a R_m} \right) \frac{dg}{dy} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From equation (13)

$$\begin{aligned} -ne^{-nt}g(y) + \left(\frac{R_a}{P_r} \right) \frac{df}{dy} e^{-nt} + \left(\frac{1}{R_m P_r} \right) \frac{d^2 g}{dy^2} e^{-nt} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d^2 g}{dy^2} - (nR_m P_r)g + (R_m R_a) \frac{df}{dy} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From equation (14)

$$\begin{aligned} -ne^{-nt}\phi(y) &= \frac{1}{P_r} \frac{d^2\phi}{dy^2} e^{-nt} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d^2\phi}{dy^2} + (nP_r)\phi &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The corresponding boundary conditions are:

$$\text{For } t = 0 : f = 0, \quad g = 0, \quad \phi = 1 \quad \text{at } y = \pm 1 \quad (20)$$

The solutions of equations (17-19) subject to the boundary conditions (20) are

$$\phi(y) = \frac{\cos[ay]}{\cos[a]} \quad (21)$$

$$f(y) = K_1 (\cosh[\alpha y] - \sinh[\alpha y]) + K_2 (\cosh[\alpha y] + \sinh[\alpha y]) +$$

$$K_3 (\cosh[\beta y] - \sinh[\beta y]) + K_4 (\cosh[\beta y] + \sinh[\beta y]) + C_4 \cos[\alpha y] \quad (22)$$

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{\alpha} ((\sinh[\alpha] + \sinh[\alpha y])A_1C_6 + (\cosh[\alpha] - \cosh[\alpha y])A_2C_6) +$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta} ((\sinh[\beta] + \sinh[\beta y])A_3C_7 + (\cosh[\beta] - \cosh[\beta y])A_4C_7) -$$

$$\frac{1}{a} (\sin[a] + \sin[ay]) C_8 \quad (23)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} a = \sqrt{nP_r} \quad , \quad K_1 &= \frac{\cos[a]C_4C_{15} + \cosh[\beta]C_{16}}{2 \cosh[\beta]C_{14} - 2 \cosh[\alpha]C_{15}} + \\ &\frac{\sinh[\beta] (C_{13}C_{15} \cosh[\alpha] - C_{13}C_{14} \cosh[\beta] + C_{11}C_{16} \cosh[\alpha])}{(C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{\sinh[\beta] (\cos[a]C_4(C_{11}C_{14} - C_9C_{15}) - C_9C_{16} \cosh[\beta])}{(C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])} ,$$

$$K_2 = \frac{\cos[a]C_4C_{15} + \cosh[\beta]C_{16}}{2 \cosh[\beta]C_{14} - 2 \cosh[\alpha]C_{15}} -$$

$$\frac{\sinh[\beta] (C_{13}C_{15} \cosh[\alpha] - C_{13}C_{14} \cosh[\beta] + C_{11}C_{16} \cosh[\alpha])}{(C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}$$

$$- \frac{\sinh[\beta] (\cos[a]C_4(C_{11}C_{14} - C_9C_{15}) - C_9C_{16} \cosh[\beta])}{(C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])} ,$$

$$K_3 = \frac{\cos[a]C_4C_{14} + \cosh[\alpha]C_{16}}{2 \cosh[\alpha]C_{15} - 2 \cosh[\beta]C_{14}} +$$

$$\frac{\sinh[\alpha] (C_{13}C_{15} \cosh[\alpha] - C_{13}C_{14} \cosh[\beta] + C_{11}C_{16} \cosh[\alpha])}{(C_{12} \sinh[\alpha] - C_{10} \sinh[\beta]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}$$

$$+ \frac{\sinh[\alpha] (\cos[a]C_4(C_{11}C_{14} - C_9C_{15}) - C_9C_{16} \cosh[\beta])}{(C_{12} \sinh[\alpha] - C_{10} \sinh[\beta]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])} ,$$

$$K_4 = \frac{\sinh[\alpha] (C_{13}C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - C_{13}C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}{(C_{12} \sinh[\alpha] - C_{10} \sinh[\beta]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}$$

$$+ \frac{C_4 \cos[a] ((C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{11} \sinh[\alpha] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha])C_{14} + K_5)}{(C_{12} \sinh[\alpha] - C_{10} \sinh[\beta]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}$$

$$+ \frac{C_9 \sinh[\alpha] (\cosh[\beta]C_4(C_{11}C_{14} - C_9C_{15}) - C_9C_{16} \cosh[\beta])}{(C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (2C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - 2C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])} ,$$

$$K_5 = C_9C_{15} \sinh[\alpha] \quad , \quad K_6 = \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} + C_{12}) ,$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-C_1 - \sqrt{C_1^2 + 4C_2}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\sqrt{-C_1 + \sqrt{C_1^2 + 4C_2}}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$C_1 = (1 - R_m P_r)n - M^2, \quad C_2 = n^2 R_m P_r, \quad C_3 = (a^2 + n R_m P_r) \sec[a],$$

$$C_4 = \frac{C_3}{a^4 - a^2 C_1 - C_2}, \quad C_5 = -\frac{R_m R_a}{M^2}, \quad C_6 = (\alpha^2 + n)C_5,$$

$$C_7 = (\beta^2 + n)C_5, \quad C_8 = (a^2 C_4 - n C_4 - \sec[a])C_5,$$

$$C_9 = (C_6 + R_m R_a)\alpha \sinh[\alpha], \quad C_{10} = (C_6 + R_m R_a)\alpha \cosh[\alpha],$$

$$C_{11} = (C_7 + R_m R_a)\beta \sinh[\beta], \quad C_{12} = (C_7 + R_m R_a)\beta \cosh[\beta],$$

$$C_{13} = (C_8 - R_m R_a C_4)a \sin[a], \quad C_{14} = a\beta \sinh[\beta]C_6,$$

$$C_{15} = a\alpha \sinh[\beta]C_7, \quad C_{16} = \alpha\beta \sin[a]C_8,$$

$$A_1 = \frac{\cos[a]C_4 C_{15} + \cosh[\beta]C_{16}}{\cosh[\beta]C_{14} - \cosh[\alpha]C_{15}},$$

$$A_2 = \frac{\sinh[\beta] (C_{13}C_{15} \cosh[\alpha] - C_{13}C_{14} \cosh[\beta] + C_{11}C_{16} \cosh[\alpha])}{(C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}$$

$$+ \frac{\sinh[\beta] (\cos[a]C_4 (C_{11}C_{14} - C_9 C_{15}) - C_9 C_{16} \cosh[\beta])}{(C_{10} \sinh[\beta] - C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])},$$

$$A_3 = \frac{C_4 C_{14} \cos[a] + C_{16} \cosh[\beta]}{-C_{14} \cosh[\beta] + C_{15} \cosh[\alpha]},$$

$$A_4 = \frac{\sinh[\alpha] (C_{13}C_{15} \cosh[\alpha] - C_{13}C_{14} \cosh[\beta] + C_{11}C_{16} \cosh[\alpha])}{(-C_{10} \sinh[\beta] + C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}$$

$$+ \frac{\sinh[\alpha] (\cos[a]C_4(C_{11}C_{14} - C_9C_{15}) - C_9C_{16} \cosh[\beta])}{(-C_{10} \sinh[\beta] + C_{12} \sinh[\alpha]) (C_{14} \cosh[\beta] - C_{15} \cosh[\alpha])}.$$

5 Skin Friction

The skin friction at the plates $y = \pm 1$, is defined as

$$\tau = - \left[\mu \frac{du}{dy} \right]_{\pm 1} \quad (24)$$

Substituting the non-dimensional quantities (11), we get

$$\tau = - \left[\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial u^*} \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} \frac{\partial y^*}{\partial y} \right]_{y=\pm 1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau = - \left(\frac{\mu \beta g T_0 h}{\nu} \right) \left[\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} \right]_{y=\pm 1}$$

removing the asterisks, we get

$$\tau = - \left(\frac{\mu \beta g T_0 h}{\nu} \right) \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right]_{y=\pm 1} \quad (25)$$

using relation (16), we get

$$\tau = - \left(\frac{\mu \beta g T_0 h}{\nu} \right) \left[\frac{df}{dy} e^{-nt} \right]_{y=\pm 1} \quad (26)$$

6 Results and Discussion

Figure (2-5) has been obtained by plotting the velocity distribution f against y at different magnetic Reynolds number R_m and Hartmann number M when $n = 1.0$, $P_r = 0.71$, $R_a = 1.0$, $R_e = 1.0$.

Figure (6-9) has been obtained by plotting the induced magnetic field g against y at different values of magnetic Reynolds number R_m and Hartmann number M when $n = 1.0$, $P_r = 0.71$,

$$R_a = 1.0, \quad R_e = 1.0.$$

Figure (10-11) has been obtained by plotting the skin friction against y by considering the same above fluid parameters.

Figure (12-13) has been obtained by plotting the temperature distribution ϕ against y at different Prandtl number P_r and $n = 1.0$.

For computational process MATHEMATICA V5.1 is used. Also all the plotting has been done by using MATHEMATICA V5.1.

- (i) When R_m is small, the variation of velocity increases very slowly for all values of M .
- (ii) When R_m is high, the rate of fluctuation of the velocity and induced field is faster when M is big (5.5) [figures (5) and (9)].
- (iii) Velocities at the central plane of the channel are maximum and gradually decline towards the plates for all values of R_m and M , [figure (2-5)].
- (iv) At high values of R_m (50 to 1000), the fluid velocity and the induced field increases steadily and distinctly with the increase of R_m when M is high (5.5) while they remains almost same when M is small (1.5).
- (v) With the increase of R_m at constant M , the skin-friction gradually increases but it is decreases with the increase of M at constant R_m , [figure (10-11)].
- (vi) In figure (12) we have observed that the temperature increases steadily and distinctly with the increases of P_r .

- (vii) In figure (13) we have noticed that at high values of Pr (5 to 12), the temperature first decreases then increases slowly.




Figure 2: Velocity profiles at small R_m at $M = 1.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $R_e = 1$.




Figure 3: Velocity profiles at small R_m at $M = 5.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $R_e = 1$.




Figure 4: Velocity profiles at large R_m at $M = 1.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $R_e = 1$.




Figure 5: Velocity profiles at large R_m at $M = 5.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 1$.




Figure 6: Induced magnetic field profiles at small R_m at $M = 1.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 1$.




Figure 7: Induced magnetic field profiles at small R_m at $M = 5.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 1$.




Figure 8: Induced magnetic field profiles at large R_m at $M = 1.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 1$.




Figure 9: Induced magnetic field profiles at large R_m at $M = 5.5$, $R_a = 1$, $n = 1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 1$.

References

- [1] Hanratty T J and Campbell J A. "Measurement of wall shear stress", Fluid Mechanics Measurements. 1st edition, Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 1983.
- [2] Winter KG. "An outline of the techniques available for the measurement of skin friction in turbulent boundary layers", Progress in Aerospace Sciences, 18, (1957), 1-57.
- [3] Schetz J A. "Direct measurement of skin friction in complex fluid flows", Applied Mechanics Review, 50 (1997), S198 – S203.
- [4] Naughton J W and Sheplak M. "Modern developments in shear stress measurements", Progress in Aerospace Sciences, 38, (2002), 515 – 570.
- [5] Pohlhausen, E., ZAMM, 1(1921), 115.




Figure 10: Variation of friction factor (df/dy) at $y = +1$ for different values of M .




Figure 11: Variation of friction factor (df/dy) at $y = +1$ for different values of R_m .




Figure 12: Temperature profiles at small P_r at $n = 1$.




Figure 13: Temperature profiles at large P_r at $n = 1$.

- [6] Soundalgekar, V.M., Patil, M.R. and Jahagirdar, M.D., "MHD stokes problem for a vertical infinite plate with variable temperature", Nuclear Engg. and Design, 64, no.1, (1981), 39-42.
- [7] Das, K. and Saynal, D.C., "laminar Conv. flow of cond. fluid between vert. plates", J. Ass. Sci. Soc. (1995).
- [8] Borkakati, A.K., and Chakraborty, S., "Laminar conv. flow of cond. fluid for an inclined magnetic field", Int. Jr. Theo. and Mech., 24 (1998), 17-34.
- [9] Gourla, M.G. and Katoch, S.L., "Unsteady free convection MHD flow between heated vertical plates", Ganita, 42, no.2, (1991), 143-153.
- [10] Ostrach, S., NACA. PN, (1954), 3141.
- [11] Ostrach, S. Grenzschichtforschung (Ed.-II, Gortler), 1958.
- [12] Grief, R. and Habib, I.S. and Lin, J.C., "Laminar convection of a radiating gas in a vertical channel", J, Fluid Mech, 46, (1971), 513-520.
- [13] Gupta et. al., Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 61, (1969), 29.
- [14] Soundalgekar, V. M. and Ramana Murthy, T.V., "Heat transfer in MHD flow with pressure gradient, suction and injection", J. Engg.Math., 14, no.2, (1980), 155-159.
- [15] Soundalgekar, V. M. and Bhatt. J.P., Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 61(1990), 93.
- [16] Kim, Y.J., "Unsteady MHD convection flow of polar fluids past a vertical moving porous plate in a porous medium", Int. J. Heat and Mass Transfer, 44 no. 15, (2001), 2791-2799.
- [17] Chen, C.H., "Combined heat and mass transfer in MHD free convection from a vertical surface with Ohmic heating and viscous dissipation", Int. J. Engg. Sci. , 42, no. 7, (2004), 699-713.

Submitted on August 2006.

Trenje na zidu pri nestacionarnoj slobodnoj konvekciji izmedju dve grejane vertikalne paralelne ploče

UDK 537.84

Posmatra se nestacionarna viskozna nestišljiva konvekcija elektroprovodnog fluida u prisustvu magnetnog polja upravnog na ravan tečenja. Indukovano polje duž linija kretanja varira poprečno na ravan tečenja, a temperatura fluida se menja tokom vremena. Dobijena su analitička rešenja za brzinu, indukovano polje i raspored temperature za male i velike magnetne Reynoldsove brojeve. Dobijeno je trenje na zidovima dve ploče. Distribucija brzine, indukovano polje i trenje na zidu su prikazani u funkciji rastojanja od plov ca. Uočeno je da porast magnetnog Reynoldsovog broja, R_m , pri konstantnom Hartmanovom broju, M , vodi ka postepenom porastu trenja na zidu. Međutim, porast M , pri konstantnom R_m , dovodi do smanjenja trenja na zidu.