RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MATUSZEWSKA-ORLICZ, SEMENOV AND SIMONENKO INDICES OF φ -FUNCTIONS

Yan Yaqiang

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović

ABSTRACT. We obtain a relationship between Matuszewska-Orlicz indices, Semenov indices and Simonenko indices. The main results are:

$$\begin{split} 2\nu_{\Phi}^{i}\mu_{\Psi}^{i} &= 1 = 2\nu_{\Psi}^{i}\mu_{\Phi}^{i}, \quad 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{i}} \leqslant \nu_{\Phi}^{i} \leqslant \mu_{\Phi}^{i} \leqslant 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{i}}, \\ \frac{1}{2(1-\nu_{\Phi}^{i})} \leqslant p_{\Phi}^{i} \leqslant q_{\Phi}^{i} \leqslant \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{i}}{1-\mu_{\Phi}^{i}}. \end{split}$$

1. On Matuszewska-Orlicz Indices of φ -functions

A φ -function Φ is defined to be even, continuous, increasing on $[0,+\infty)$, satisfying $\Phi(0)=0$, $\Phi(\infty)=\infty$. Further, a φ -function $\Phi(u)$ is called an N-function if Φ is convex, satisfying $\lim_{u\to 0}\frac{\Phi(u)}{u}=0$, $\lim_{u\to \infty}\frac{\Phi(u)}{u}=\infty$. The concept of indices of φ -functions has become a powerful tool in the study of Orlicz spaces, particularly in the interpolation and extrapolation theories(see [2] and [8]),as well as in the geometric theory of Orlicz spaces (see [11, 14]). Maligranda [8] systematically studied certain types of (especially Matuszewska-Orlicz) indices of both φ -functions and N-functions and obtained a group of properties. Recently, Fiorenza and Krbec [3] studied a formula for the Boyd indices which is tightly connected with Matuszewska-Orlicz indices. In this paper we shall establish the relationship between Matuszewska-Orlicz indices and Semenov indices of φ -functions and make further investigation of the relationship between Semenov indices and Simonenko indices of N-functions. Formulae for Semenov indices are shown. Calculation of Simonenko indices for some classical N-functions is also discussed.

The definitions and notations in this paper will follow mainly Maligranda [8].

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E30. Key words and phrases. Orlicz spaces, N-functions.

For a φ -function Φ , define

$$M_{\infty}(t,\Phi) = \limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad M_0(t,\Phi) = \limsup_{u \to 0+} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad M_a(t,\Phi) = \sup_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)}$$

All the functions above are non-decreasing submultiplicative, and are equal to 1 at the point 1. Then the limits

$$\begin{split} \alpha_{\Phi}^i &= \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{\ln M_i(t,\Phi)}{\ln t} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\ln M_i(t,\Phi)}{\ln t}, \\ \beta_{\Phi}^i &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln M_i(t,\Phi)}{\ln t} = \inf_{t > 1} \frac{\ln M_i(t,\Phi)}{\ln t}, \end{split}$$

exist for $i = \infty, 0, a$. These numbers are called Matuszewska-Orlicz indices and were introduced in 1960 [9]. The following propositions were given by Maligranda [8] and will be used for the main results of this paper.

PROPOSITION 1. [8] If φ -functions $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$ at ∞ (at the point 0 or for all u), i.e., there exist $C_i > 0$ $(1 \le i \le 4)$ and $u_0 > 0$ such that $C_1\Phi_1(C_2u) \le \Phi_2(u) \le C_3\Phi_1(C_4u)$ for $u \ge u_0$ (for $u \le u_0$ or for all u > 0, respectively), then

(1)
$$\alpha_{\Phi_1}^i = \alpha_{\Phi_2}^i; \quad \beta_{\Phi_1}^i = \beta_{\Phi_2}^i. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

Proposition 2. [8] Let Φ^{-1} be the inverse function of a φ -function Φ , then we have

(2)
$$\alpha_{\Phi^{-1}}^{i} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\Phi}^{i}}, \quad \beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^{i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Phi}^{i}}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROPOSITION 3. [6, 8] Let Φ , Ψ be a pair of complementary N-functions, then

(3)
$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{\Phi}^{i}} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\Psi}^{i}} = 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Psi}^{i}} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\Phi}^{i}}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

Proposition 4. [8] Let Φ be an N-function; then

$$(4) \hspace{1cm} p_{\Phi}^{i}\leqslant\alpha_{\Phi}^{i}\leqslant\beta_{\Phi}^{i}\leqslant q_{\Phi}^{i}. \hspace{0.5cm} (i=\infty,0,a)$$

where p_{Φ}^{i} and q_{Φ}^{i} $(i = \infty, 0, a)$ are Simonenko indices [13], i.e.,

$$p_{\Phi}^{\infty} = \liminf_{u \to \infty} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \qquad q_{\Phi}^{\infty} = \limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)},$$

$$p_{\Phi}^{0} = \liminf_{u \to 0} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \qquad q_{\Phi}^{0} = \limsup_{u \to 0} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)},$$

$$p_{\Phi}^{a} = \inf_{u > 0} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \qquad q_{\Phi}^{a} = \sup_{u > 0} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}.$$

Proposition 4 reveals the relationship between Simonenko indices and Matusz-ewska–Orlicz indices for N-functions. In 1967, Semenov [12] introduced another type of indices on φ -functions which were greatly used by Rao and Ren [11] and the author [14] to estimate the geometric constants of Orlicz spaces:

$$\nu_\Phi^\infty = \liminf_{u \to \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, \qquad \mu_\Phi^\infty = \limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)},$$

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\Phi}^0 &= \liminf_{u \to 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, \qquad \mu_{\Phi}^0 = \limsup_{u \to 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, \\ \nu_{\Phi}^a &= \inf_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, \qquad \mu_{\Phi}^a = \sup_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}. \end{split}$$

The relationship between Semenov indices and Matuszewska-Orlicz indices for φ -functions is as follows.

Theorem 1. For a φ -function $\Phi(u)$ we have

(5)
$$2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{i}} \leqslant \nu_{\Phi}^{i} \leqslant \mu_{\Phi}^{i} \leqslant 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{i}}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROOF. We first prove that $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leqslant 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. By Proposition 2 and the definition of $\alpha_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty}$ (note that $\Phi^{-1}(u)$ is a φ -function),

$$\frac{\ln M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2}, \Phi^{-1})}{\ln \frac{1}{2}} \leqslant \alpha_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}},$$

which implies $\ln M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2},\Phi^{-1}) \geqslant \ln 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$, i.e., $M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2},\Phi^{-1}) \geqslant 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. Then by the definition of $M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2},\Phi^{-1})$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $v_0 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}v)}{\Phi^{-1}(v)} < 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon$$

for $v > v_0$. Let v = 2u; then for any $u \geqslant u_0 = v_0/2$, we have

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} < 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon.$$

Take the upper limit for $u \to \infty$, one gets $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leqslant 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon$, and hence $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leqslant 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$ since ε is arbitrary.

Next we show that $\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \geqslant 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. Also by Proposition 2 and the definition of $\beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty}$ we have

$$\frac{\ln M_{\infty}(2,\Phi^{-1})}{\ln 2} \leqslant \beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}.$$

It follows that $\ln M_{\infty}(2,\Phi^{-1}) \leqslant \ln 2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$, i.e., $M_{\infty}(2,\Phi^{-1}) \leqslant 2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. By the definition of $M_{\infty}(2,\Phi^{-1})$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $u_0 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}{\Phi^{-1}(u)} < 2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon$$

for $u \geqslant u_0$, that is

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} > \frac{1}{2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon}.$$

Take the lower limit for $u \to \infty$ and since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, one gets that $\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \geqslant 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$.

The other inequalities cab be proved analogously. The proof is completed. \Box

Corollary 1. Let $\Phi(u)$ be an N-function; then

(6)
$$2^{-1/p_{\Phi}^i} \leqslant 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^i} \leqslant \nu_{\Phi}^i \leqslant \mu_{\Phi}^i \leqslant 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^i} \leqslant 2^{-1/q_{\Phi}^i}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

The proof can be deduced from Proposition 4 and Theorem 1.

REMARK 1. For an N-function Φ , Corollary 1 links the above three types of indices with inequalities.

COROLLARY 2. Let Φ be an N-function. If $\Phi \sim |u|^p$ at ∞ (at the point 0 or for all u), then $\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty} = \mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} = 2^{-1/p}$ ($\nu_{\Phi}^0 = \mu_{\Phi}^0 = 2^{-1/p}$, $\nu_{\Phi}^a = \mu_{\Phi}^a = 2^{-1/p}$, respectively).

PROOF. In view of Proposition 3, one has $\alpha_{\Phi}^a = \beta_{\Phi}^a = p$ when $\Phi \sim |u|^p$.

Remark 2. Generally speaking, to calculate ν_{Φ}^i and μ_{Φ}^i for $i=\infty,0,a$, one must first find the inverse function of $\Phi(u)$, which is usually not practical. By Corollary 2 we can easily obtain ν_{Φ}^i and μ_{Φ}^i from the equivalent functions of Φ . For example, for the N-function $\Phi(u)=e^{|u|}-|u|-1$, we have $\nu_{\Phi}^0=\mu_{\Phi}^0=2^{-1/2}$ since $\Phi\sim |u|^2$ at the point 0.

2. On Simonenko and Semenov Indices

Recall the equivalents between the Simonenko indices (parallel to Proposition 3):

Proposition 5. [6, 10] Let Φ, Ψ be a pair of complementary N-functions; then

(7)
$$\frac{1}{p_{\Phi}^{i}} + \frac{1}{q_{\Psi}^{i}} = 1 = \frac{1}{p_{\Psi}^{i}} + \frac{1}{q_{\Phi}^{i}}. \qquad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

Now we show the equivalents for Semenov indices:

Theorem 2. Let $\Phi(u)$, $\Psi(v)$ be a pair of complementary N-functions; then

(8)
$$2\nu_{\Phi}^{i}\mu_{\Psi}^{i} = 1 = 2\nu_{\Psi}^{i}\mu_{\Phi}^{i}. \qquad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROOF. We only show that $2\nu_{\Phi}^{0}\mu_{\Psi}^{0}=1$ since the other equalities can be obtained analogously. In fact, for any $0<\varepsilon<1/2$, by the definition of ν_{Φ}^{0} , there exists a $u_{0}=u_{0}(\varepsilon)>0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} > \nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon,$$

i.e., $u > \Phi[(\nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon)\Phi^{-1}(2u)]$ for $u \leqslant u_0$. Put $t = \Phi^{-1}(2u)$, or $u = \frac{1}{2}\Phi(t)$, then

$$\Phi(t) > 2\Phi[(\nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon)t]$$

for $t \leq t_0 = \Phi^{-1}(2u_0)$. By the properties of complementary N-functions (cf. [4, pp. 11 and 14]), there is an $s_0 > 0$, such that

$$\Psi(s) < 2\Psi\left(\frac{s}{2(\nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon)}\right)$$

for $s \geqslant s_0$, i.e., $\Psi^{-1}(\frac{\Psi(s)}{2}) < \frac{s}{2(\nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon)}$. Denote by $v = \frac{1}{2}\Psi(s)$, then

$$\frac{\Psi^{-1}(v)}{\Psi^{-1}(2v)} < \frac{1}{2(\nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon)}$$

for $v \leqslant v_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Psi(s_0)$. Take the upper limit for $v \to 0$, one has $\mu_{\Psi}^0 \leqslant \frac{1}{2(\nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon)}$, namely, $2(\nu_{\Phi}^0 - \varepsilon)\mu_{\Psi}^0 \leqslant 1$, and hence $2\nu_{\Phi}^0\mu_{\Psi}^0 \leqslant 1$, since ε is arbitrary.

On the other hand, by the definition of μ_{Ψ}^0 , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $v_0 = v_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Psi^{-1}(v)}{\Psi^{-1}(2v)} < \mu_{\Psi}^0 + \varepsilon$$

for $v \leqslant v_0$, i.e., $v < \Psi[(\mu_{\Psi} + \varepsilon)\Psi^{-1}(2v)]$. Let $s = \Psi^{-1}(2v)$; then $\Psi(s) < 2\Psi[(\mu_{\Psi}^0 + \varepsilon)s]$ for $s \leqslant s_0 = \Psi^{-1}(2v_0)$. Thus there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(t) > 2\Phi\left(\frac{t}{2(\mu_{\Psi}^0 + \varepsilon)}\right) \quad (t \leqslant t_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\Phi(t)}{2}\right) > \frac{t}{2(\mu_{\Psi}^0 + \varepsilon)}.$$

Put $u = \frac{1}{2}\Phi(t)$, so that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} > \frac{1}{2(\mu_{\Psi}^0 + \varepsilon)}$$

for $u\leqslant u_0=\frac{1}{2}\Phi(t_0)$. Take the lower limit; one has that $\nu_{\Phi}^0\geqslant\frac{1}{2(\mu_{\Psi}^0+\varepsilon)}$, i.e., $2\nu_{\Phi}^0(\mu_{\Psi}^0+\varepsilon)\geqslant 1$. It follows that $2\nu_{\Phi}^0\mu_{\Psi}^0\geqslant 1$ by the arbitrariness of ε . The proof is finished.

Corollary 1 indicates that the indices p_{Φ}^i, q_{Φ}^i are "farthest" to each other among the three types of indices. The following result shows that they are estimated by the "nearest" pair $\nu_{\Phi}^i, \mu_{\Phi}^i$.

Theorem 3. Let Φ be an N-function; then

$$\frac{1}{2(1-\nu_{\Phi}^i)}\leqslant p_{\Phi}^i\leqslant q_{\Phi}^i\leqslant \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^i}{1-\mu_{\Phi}^i}.\qquad (i=\infty,0,a)$$

PROOF. We only show the case for $i = \infty$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2(1-\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty})} \leqslant p_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leqslant q_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leqslant \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}{1-\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}.$$

If $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} = 1$, then $\frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}{1 - \mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}} = \infty$ and the inequality $q_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leqslant \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}{1 - \mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$ holds obviously. Let $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} < 1$. By the definition of μ_{Φ}^{∞} , given $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}$, there exists a $u_0 = u_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} < \mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon$$

i.e., $u < \Phi[(\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon)\Phi^{-1}(2u)]$ for $u \geqslant u_0$. Let $t = \Phi^{-1}(2u)$; then

$$\Phi(t) < 2\Phi \left[(\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon)t \right]$$

for $t \geqslant t_0 = \Phi^{-1}(2u_0)$. Define $(\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon)t = s$; we have

$$\Phi\left(\frac{s}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon}\right) < 2\Phi(s)$$

for $s \geqslant s_0 = (\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon)t_0$. Since

$$\Phi\Big(\frac{s}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}+\varepsilon}\Big) = \int_{0}^{s} \phi(r)dr + \int_{s}^{s/(\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}+\varepsilon)} \Phi(r)dr \geqslant \Phi(s) + \phi(s)\Big(\frac{s}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}+\varepsilon}-s\Big),$$

we deduce that

$$2 > \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{s}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon}\right)}{\Phi(s)} \geqslant 1 + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon} - 1\right)s\phi(s)}{\Phi(s)},$$

that is,

$$\frac{s\phi(s)}{\Phi(s)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\mu_{\infty}^{+} + \varepsilon} - 1},$$

and hence

$$q_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leqslant \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon} - 1} = \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon}{1 - (\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon)}$$

by taking the upper limit for $s\to\infty$. Therefore, $q_\Phi^\infty\leqslant\frac{\mu_\Phi^\infty}{1-\mu_\Phi^\infty}$ since ε is arbitrary. By the arguments above and Theorem 2 as well as Proposition 5, one has

$$p_{\Phi}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_{\Psi}^{\infty}}} \geqslant \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1 - \mu_{\Psi}^{\infty}}{\mu^{\infty}}} = \frac{1}{2 - \frac{1}{\mu_{\Psi}^{\infty}}} = \frac{1}{2 - 2\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty}} = \frac{1}{2(1 - \nu_{\Phi}^{\infty})}.$$

Consequently, the theorem is proved.

Corollary 3. If Φ is an N-function, then

$$(10) p_{\Phi}^{i} = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \nu_{\Phi}^{i} = 1/2, q_{\Phi}^{i} = \infty \Longleftrightarrow \mu_{\Phi}^{i} = 1. (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

Corollary 3 is directly derived from Theorem 3 and Corollary 1, independent of Δ_2 or ∇_2 conditions of N-functions (see [10]).

3. Examples

EXAMPLE 1. [7, 8] Let

$$\Phi(u) = |u|^p \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \sin(p \ln|u|) \right), \qquad k > \sqrt{2}, \quad k(p-1) - \sqrt{(2p-1)^2 + 1} > 0.$$

Then $\Phi(u)$ is an N-function. Since

$$\frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)} = p + p \frac{\cos(p\ln|u|)}{k + \sin(p\ln|u|)}$$

we know that

$$\min \frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)} = p\Big(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}\Big), \qquad \max \frac{u\Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)} = p\Big(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}\Big),$$

so that

$$p_{\Phi}^{i} = p\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2} - 1}}\right), \qquad q_{\Phi}^{i} = p\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2} - 1}}\right)$$

for $i = \infty, 0, a$. However, observing that $\Phi(u) \sim u^p$ for all u, we have by Proposition 2 that

$$\alpha_{\Phi}^{i} = \beta_{\Phi}^{i} = p, \qquad (i = \infty, 0, a),$$

and hence we deduce from Theorem 2 that

$$u_{\Phi}^{i} = \mu_{\Phi}^{i} = 2^{-1/p} \qquad (i = \infty, 0, a).$$

REMARK 3. Example 1 implies that for an N-function $\Phi(u)$, when a pair of Semenov indices is equal, the Simonenko indices need not be equal, and that for a pair of equivalent N-functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 their Semenov indices $p_{\Phi_1}^i$ and $p_{\Phi_2}^i$ $(q_{\Phi_1}^i)$ and $q_{\Phi_0}^i$) (for $i = \infty, 0, a$) need not be equal although their Matuszewska-Orlicz indices must be the same (see Proposition 1).

Example 2. [5, 8] Suppose for $|u| \ge 1 + \delta$ with $\delta > 0$, the N-function M can be expressed as:

$$M(u) = |u|^{p+k \sin \ln \ln |u|}, \qquad k > 0, \quad k > 1 + \sqrt{2}k.$$

It is easy to check that M(u) is the main part of an N-function. We show that

(11)
$$p_M^{\infty} = p - \sqrt{2}k, \qquad q_M^{\infty} = p + \sqrt{2}k.$$

(11)
$$p_M^{\infty} = p - \sqrt{2}k, \qquad q_M^{\infty} = p + \sqrt{2}k.$$
(12)
$$\nu_M^{\infty} = 2^{-1/p - \sqrt{2}k}, \qquad \mu_M^{\infty} = 2^{-1/p + \sqrt{2}k}.$$

Indeed, observing that

$$p(u) = M'(u) = u^{p-1+k\sin\ln \ln u} \cdot [p+k\sin\ln \ln u + k\cos\ln \ln u]$$

for u > 0, one gets

$$\frac{up(u)}{M(u)} = p + k \left[k \sin \ln \ln u + k \cos \ln \ln u \right] = p + \sqrt{2}k \sin(\ln \ln u + \pi/4).$$

Therefore, we have by the definition

$$p_M^{\infty} = p - \sqrt{2}k, \qquad q_M^{\infty} = p + \sqrt{2}k.$$

To calculate μ_M^{∞} , we need to find a sequence $\{u_n\} \to \infty$ and a proper constant 0 < C < 1 such that

(13)
$$\frac{M^{-1}(u_n)}{M^{-1}(2u_n)} > C.$$

For the inequality

$$\frac{M^{-1}(u)}{M^{-1}(2u)} > C,$$

let $M^{-1}(u)=t;$ then u=M(t), and hence M(t/C)>2M(t), i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{t}{C}\right)^{p+k\sin\ln\ln\frac{t}{C}} > 2t^{p+k\sin\ln\ln t},$$

or equivalently,

$$(14) t^{k(\sin\ln\ln\frac{t}{C} - \sin\ln\ln t)} > 2C^{p+k\sin\ln\ln\frac{t}{C}}.$$

The left-hand side of the above inequality is

$$\begin{split} L(t) &= t^{2k\cdot\cos\frac{1}{2}(\ln\ln\frac{t}{C} + \ln\ln t)\cdot\sin\frac{1}{2}(\ln\ln\frac{t}{C} - \ln\ln t)} \\ &= \left(t^{2k\cdot\sin\frac{1}{2}(\ln\ln\frac{t}{C} - \ln\ln t)}\right)^{\cos\frac{1}{2}(\ln\ln\frac{t}{C} + \ln\ln t)}. \end{split}$$

Note that $\ln C < 0$, and $\ln t \to +\infty$ $(t \to \infty)$, and one has that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{2k \cdot \sin\left[\frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \ln \ln t)\right]} = \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{2k \ln t \cdot \sin\left[\frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \ln \ln t)\right]} \\ &= \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{2k \ln t \cdot \sin\left[\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(1 + \left(-\frac{\ln C}{\ln t}\right)\right)\right]} = \lim_{s \to 0} e^{2k \ln t \cdot \frac{1}{s} \sin\frac{1}{2}\ln\left[1 + \left(-\ln C \cdot s\right)\right]} \\ &= e^{k \cdot (-\ln C)} = e^{\ln C^{-k}} = C^{-k}, \end{split}$$

and that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\ln\ln\frac{t}{C}+\ln\ln t)}{\ln\ln\frac{t}{C}}=\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1+\frac{\ln\ln t}{\ln(\ln t-\ln C)}}{2}=1.$$

Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a t_0 , such that the left-hand side L(t) of (14) satisfies

$$\left(C^{-k}\right)^{\cos\ln\ln\frac{t}{C}-\varepsilon} < L(t) < \left(C^{-k}\right)^{\cos\ln\ln\frac{t}{C}+\varepsilon}$$

for $t \geqslant t_0$. In order that (14) holds, it suffices that

$$(C^{-k})^{\cos \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \varepsilon} > 2C^{p+k \sin \ln \ln \frac{t}{C}},$$

i.e.,

$$C^{p+k \sin \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} + \cos \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \varepsilon} < 1/2,$$

and hence,

$$C^{p+\sqrt{2}k\sin\left(\ln\ln\frac{t}{C}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\varepsilon} < 1/2.$$

Thus, we obtain a sequence $\{t_n\} \to \infty$ (and hence a corresponding sequence $u_n \to \infty$), such that

$$\ln \ln \frac{t_n}{C} + \frac{\pi}{4} = 2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then $C^{p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon} < 1/2$, so that

$$(15) C < 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}.$$

It follows that

$$\mu_M^{\infty}\geqslant 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}.$$

(Otherwise, if $\mu_M^{\infty} < 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\mu_M^{\infty} + \varepsilon_0 < 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}$ and $\frac{M^{-1}(u)}{M^{-1}(2u)} < \mu_M^{\infty} + \varepsilon_0$ for all $u \geqslant u_0$ with some sufficiently large u_0 . This contradicts (13) since $\mu_M^{\infty} + \varepsilon_0$ satisfies (15) and can be taken as some C.) Thus, we have $\mu_M^{\infty} \geqslant 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k)}$ since ε is arbitrary.

On the other hand, it is clear from Corollary 1 and (11) that

$$\mu_M^{\infty} \leqslant 2^{-1/q_M^{\infty}} = 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k)}$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\mu_M^{\infty} = 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k)},$$

and analogously,

$$u_M^{\infty} = 2^{-1/(p - \sqrt{2}k)}.$$

There are a few counterexamples showing that a pair of Semenov indices can be indeed different. Example 2 indicates that the gape between ν_M^i and μ_M^i can be any interval in the whole interval (1/2,1) as soon as we choose properly the parameters p and k.

Example 3. Krasnoselskii and Rutickii [4, p. 25] give an example that M(u) is determined by its derivative

$$p(u) = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } u \in [0, 1) \\ k!, & \text{if } u \in [(k-1)!, k!) \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots)$$

Then $p_M^{\infty}=1,\,q_M^{\infty}=+\infty,$ and hence $\nu_M^{\infty}=1/2,\,\mu_M^{\infty}=1.$

References

- [1] S. T. Chen, Geometry of Orlicz Spaces, Warszawa: Dissertationes Math, 1996, 356.
- [2] A. Fiorenza and M. Krbec, Indices of Orlicz spaces and some applications, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 38(3) (1997), 433-451.
- [3] A. Fiorenza and M. Krbec, A formula for the Boyd indices in Orlicz spaces, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 26 (1998), 173-179.
- [4] M.A. Krasnoselskii and Ya.B. Rutickii, Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961
- [5] K. Lindberg, On subspaces of Orlicz sequence spaces, Studia Math. 45 (1973), 119-143.
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces (I), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.
- [7] L. Maligranda, Indices and interpolation, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 234 (1985), 1–54.
- [8] L. Maligranda, Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation, Seminars in Math., Univ. of Campinas, Campinas SP, Brazil, 1989, 81–95.
- [9] W. Matuszewska and W. Orlicz, On certain properties of φ-functions, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 8 (1960), 439-443.
- [10] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [11] M.M. Rao and Z.D. Ren, Packing in Orlicz sequence spaces, Studia Math. 126 (1997), 235-251.
- [12] E. M. Semenov, A new interpolation theorem, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 2 (1968), 158–169 (in Russian).
- [13] I.B. Simonenko, Interpolation and extrapolation of linear operators in Orlicz spaces, Mat. Sb. 63 (1964), 536-553.
- [14] Y.Q. Yan, Packing Constants, Weakly Convergent Coefficients and Riesz Angles in Orlicz sequence spaces, Ph. D. Dissertation, Suzhou University, Suzhou, P. R. China, 2000.

Department of Mathematics Suzhou University Suzhou, 215006 P. R. China (Received 23 03 2001) (Revised 25 07 2002)

11101 0 111114

yanyq@pub.sz.jsinfo.net