# A CLASSIFICATION OF 2-TYPE CURVES IN THE MINKOWSKI SPACE $E_1^n$

### E. Šućurović

Communicated by Mileva Prvanović

ABSTRACT. We complete a classification of 2-type curves in Minkowski spaces. Namely, we give a classification of 2-type spacelike and timelike curves, lying fully in the Minkowski spaces  $E_1^4$  and  $E_1^5$ .

#### 1. Introduction

A submanifolds of finite type were defined by Chen in [1]. Recall that a submanifold M is said to be of *finite type* (finite Chen type) if its position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  can be written as a finite sum of the eigenfunctions  $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_k$  of the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  of M. More explicitly,

(1.1) 
$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i, \quad \Delta \mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i,$$

where  $x_0$  is a constant vector,  $x_i$  are non-constant vectors and  $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_k$  are eigenvalues of  $\Delta$ .

The simplest submanifolds of finite type are the curves of finite type. A curve  $\alpha$  is said to be of (finite) k-type for some natural number k if its Laplace operator  $\Delta$  has exactly k eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$  in decomposition (1.1) which are all different. In particular, if one of the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$  in decomposition (1.1) is equal to zero,  $\alpha$  is said to be of (finite) null k-type. Moreover, in the case of null k-type submanifolds, decomposition (1.1) is not unique.

Finite type curves in the Euclidean space  $E^n$  were studied in [1], [2] and [3]. A full classification of 1-type, 2-type and 3-type curves in the space  $E^n$  is given respectively in [4], [6] and [8]. On the other hand, finite type curves in Minkowski

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C50; Secondary 53C40...

Key words and phrases. Minkowski space; causal character; Laplace operator; submanifolds of finite type.

spaces were studied in [5] and [7]. It is interesting that hyperbolas and straight lines are the only 1-type curves in Minkowski spaces. A classification of non-planar 3-type curves in the Minkowski 3-space is given in [10]. It is proved in [1] that arbitrary curve of k-type is contained in at most 2k-dimensional subspace of the space  $E^n$ . This implies that the dimension n of the space  $E^n$  is not greater than 2k. Similarly, arbitrary curve of k-type is contained in at most 2k-dimensional subspace of the Minkowski space  $E_1^n$ , so the dimension n of the space  $E_1^n$  is not greater than 2k+1. Spacelike and timelike curves of 2-type, lying fully in the Minkowski space  $E_1^3$  are classified in [11]. In this paper, we give a classification of all 2-type spacelike and timelike curves, lying fully in the Minkowski spaces  $E_1^4$  and  $E_1^5$ . In this way, classification of such curves in Minkowski spaces is completed.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In the sequel, we introduce some basic definitions and notions. Let  $E_1^n$  denote the *n*-dimensional Minkowski space, i.e., the Euclidean space  $E^n$  with the standard flat metric given by

$$g = -dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2,$$

where  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is a rectangular coordinate system of  $E_1^n$ .

Recall that arbitrary subspace W of the Minkowski space  $E_1^n$  is said to be:

- (1) spacelike if  $g|_W$  is positive definite;
- (2) timelike if  $g|_W$  is nondegenerate of index 1;
- (3) lightlike (isotropic) if  $g|_W$  is degenerate.

The type into which W falls is called its causal character. In the same manner, a vector a in the space  $E_1^n$  is said to be:

- (1) spacelike if g(a, a) > 0 or a = 0;
- (2) timelike if g(a, a) < 0;
- (3) null (lightlike) if g(a, a) = 0 and  $a \neq 0$ .

Similarly, a curve  $\alpha = \alpha(s)$  in  $E_1^n$  is said to be spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike), if respectively all of its velocity vectors  $\alpha'(s)$  are spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike).

The norm of a vector a is given by  $||a|| = \sqrt{|g(a,a)|}$  and two vectors a, b are said to be orthogonal if g(a,b) = 0.

Next, the curve  $\alpha(s)$  is said to be of unit speed, if for its velocity holds  $v = \|\alpha'(s)\| = 1$ , i.e., if  $g(\alpha'(s), \alpha'(s)) = \pm 1$ . The Laplace operator  $\Delta$  of the curve  $\alpha(s)$  is defined by  $\Delta = \pm d^2/ds^2$ . Its eigenfunctions are the functions s,  $\cos(ps)$ ,  $\sin(ps)$ ,  $\cosh(ps)$  and  $\sinh(ps)$ . Following the definition of Chen, the curve  $\alpha(s)$  is of finite type in the space  $E_1^n$  if and only if it can be written in the form

$$\alpha(s) = a_0 + b_0 s + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i \cos(p_i s) + b_i \sin(p_i s)) + \sum_{j=1}^{t} (c_j \cosh(q_j s) + d_j \sinh(q_j s)),$$

where  $a_0, b_0, a_i, b_i, c_j, d_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p_i, q_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < p_1 < \cdots < p_m$ ,  $0 < q_1 < \cdots < q_t$ .

Further, recall that an isometry of the space  $E_1^n$  is a diffeomorphism  $I: E_1^n \to E_1^n$  that preserves metric. More explicitly, g(I(a),I(b))=g(a,b) for each  $a,b\in E_1^n$ . We mention here that the spacelike rotation (in the spacelike 2-plane  $\{x_3,x_4\}$ ) and the timelike rotation (in the timelike 2-plane  $\{x_1,x_2\}$ ) in the space  $E_1^4$  may be expressed respectively by matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(\varphi) & \sin(\varphi) \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin(\varphi) & \cos(\varphi) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(\varphi) & \sinh(\varphi) & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh(\varphi) & \cosh(\varphi) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Such rotations in the space  $E_1^5$  may be expressed in a similar way ([9]).

## 3. A classification of 2-type curves in the Minkowski space $E_1^4$

It is known that the space  $E_1^3$  is contained in the space  $E_1^4$  as its timelike subspace, i.e., as its timelike hyperplane. Therefore, if  $\alpha$  is a 2-type curve in  $E_1^3$ , it is also a 2-type curve in  $E_1^4$ . All 2-type spacelike and timelike curves, lying fully in  $E_1^3$  are classified in [11]. In the following two theorems, we give a classification of null 2-type and 2-type spacelike and timelike curves, lying fully in  $E_1^4$  and not lying in its timelike hyperplane.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\alpha(s)$  be a unit speed spacelike or timelike curve, with one eigenvalue of its Laplace operator  $\Delta$  in decomposition (1.1) equal to zero, lying fully in  $E_1^4$  and not lying in its timelike hyperplane. Then up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a null 2-type curve if and only if  $\alpha$  is a part of one of the following spacelike circular helices:

$$\begin{array}{l} (1) \ \alpha(s) = (0, ms, n\cos(ps), n\sin(ps)), \quad m^2 + p^2n^2 = 1, \quad p \in N, m, n \in R_0; \\ (2) \ \alpha(s) = (ms, ms, n\cos(ps), n\sin(ps)), \quad p^2n^2 = 1, \quad p \in N, m, n \in R_0; \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha(s)$  satisfies the assumptions of the theorem and that it is a null 2-type curve. Then  $\alpha(s)$  can be written in one of the following forms

(a) 
$$\alpha(s) = a + bs + c\cos(ps) + d\sin(ps);$$

(b) 
$$\alpha(s) = a + bs + c \cosh(ps) + d \sinh(ps)$$
:

where  $p \in N$ ,  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . Denote by  $R_0$  the set of all real numbers different from zero. Next, assume that a = (0, 0, 0, 0) up to a translation and let  $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ ,  $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$ ,  $d = (d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)$ . In the sequel, we consider cases (a) and (b).

Case (a). Since  $g(\alpha', \alpha') = \pm 1$  and using the linear independence of the functions  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$ , we obtain the system of equations

(1) 
$$g(b,b) + \frac{p^2}{2}(g(c,c) + g(d,d)) = \pm 1,$$

(2) 
$$g(b,c) = g(b,d) = g(c,d) = 0,$$

(3) 
$$q(c,c) - q(d,d) = 0.$$

With respect to the causal character of the vectors c and d, we distinguish three subcases: (a.1) g(c,c) = g(d,d) > 0; (a.2) g(c,c) = g(d,d) = 0; (a.3) g(c,c) = g(d,d) < 0.

(a.1) We may assume that  $c=(0,0,c_3,0), d=(0,0,0,c_3), c_3\neq 0$ . The equation (2) implies that  $b=(b_1,b_2,0,0)$ . If b is spacelike, let  $b_1=\rho\sinh(\varphi), b_2=\rho\cosh(\varphi), \rho\in R_0, \varphi\in R$ . Then  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (\rho s \sinh(\varphi), \rho s \cosh(\varphi), c_3 \cos(ps), c_3 \sin(ps))$$

$$= (0, \rho s, c_3 \cos(ps), c_3 \sin(ps)) \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(\varphi) & \sinh(\varphi) & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh(\varphi) & \cosh(\varphi) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike circular helix lying fully in a spacelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , where the equation (1) gives  $\rho^2 + p^2 c_3^2 = 1$ . Next, if b is timelike, let  $b_1 = \rho \cosh(\varphi)$ ,  $b_2 = \rho \sinh(\varphi)$ ,  $\rho \in R_0$ ,  $\varphi \in R$ . Then  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (\rho s \cosh(\varphi), \rho s \sinh(\varphi), c_3 \cos(ps), c_3 \sin(ps))$$

$$= (\rho s, 0, c_3 \cos(ps), c_3 \sin(ps)) \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(\varphi) & \sinh(\varphi) & 0 & 0\\ \sinh(\varphi) & \cosh(\varphi) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  lies fully in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which is a contradiction to the assumption of the theorem. Finally, if b is null, let  $b=(b_1,b_1,0,0)$ ,  $b_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $\alpha$  has the form  $\alpha(s)=(b_1s,b_1s,c_3\cos(ps),c_3\sin(ps))$ , where the equation (1) gives  $p^2c_3^2=1$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike circular helix with a null axis lying fully in a lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ .

(a.2) In this subcase, assume that  $c=(c_1,0,0,c_1), d=(d_1,0,0,d_1), c_1$  and  $d_1$  are not both equal to zero. The equation (2) implies that  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_1)$ . Let  $b_1=\rho\cos(\varphi), b_2=\rho\sin(\varphi), \rho\in R_0, \varphi\in R$ . Then  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (b_1 s + c_1 \cos(ps) + d_1 \sin(ps), \rho s \cos(\varphi), \rho s \sin(\varphi), \\ b_1 s + c_1 \cos(ps) + d_1 \sin(ps)) \\ &= (b_1 s + c_1 \cos(ps) + d_1 \sin(ps), \rho s, 0, \\ b_1 s + c_1 \cos(ps) + d_1 \sin(ps)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\varphi) & \sin(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin(\varphi) & \cos(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Consequently,  $\alpha$  lies fully in a 2-dimensional lightlike subspace of  $E_1^4$  and hence lies in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which is a contradiction.

(a.3) It follows that c and d are mutually orthogonal timelike vectors in  $E_1^4$ , which is not possible.

Case (b). Since  $g(\alpha', \alpha') = \pm 1$  and using the linear independence of the functions  $\sinh(x)$  and  $\cosh(x)$ , we obtain the system of equations

(1) 
$$g(b,b) + \frac{p^2}{2}(g(d,d) - g(c,c)) = \pm 1,$$

(2) 
$$g(b,c) = g(b,d) = g(c,d) = 0,$$

(3) 
$$g(c,c) + g(d,d) = 0$$

With respect to the causal character of the vectors c and d, we distinguish three subcases: (b.1) g(c,c) = -g(d,d) > 0; (b.2) g(c,c) = -g(d,d) < 0; (b.3) g(c,c) = -g(d,d) = 0.

(b.1) We may take  $c = (0, 0, 0, c_4)$ ,  $d = (c_4, 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $c_4 \neq 0$ . The equation (2) gives  $b = (0, b_2, b_3, 0)$ . Let  $b_2 = \rho \cos(\varphi)$ ,  $b_3 = \rho \sin(\varphi)$ ,  $\rho \in R_0$ ,  $\varphi \in R$ . Then  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (c_4 \sinh(ps), \rho s \cos(\varphi), \rho s \sin(\varphi), c_4 \cosh(ps))$$

$$= (c_4 \sinh(ps), \rho s, 0, c_4 \cosh(ps)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\varphi) & \sin(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin(\varphi) & \cos(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This means that  $\alpha$  lies fully in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which is a contradiction.

(b.2) In a similar way we get a contradiction.

(b.3) We may assume that  $c=(c_1,0,0,c_1),\ d=(d_1,0,0,d_1),\ c_1$  and  $d_1$  are not both equal to zero. The equation (2) gives  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_1)$ . Let  $b_2=\rho\cos(\varphi),\ b_3=\rho\sin(\varphi),\ \rho\in R_0,\ \varphi\in R$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (b_1 s + c_1 \cosh(ps) + d_1 \sinh(ps), \rho s \cos(\varphi), \rho s \sin(\varphi), \\ b_1 s + c_1 \cosh(ps) + d_1 \sinh(ps)) \\ &= (b_1 s + c_1 \cosh(ps) + d_1 \sinh(ps), \rho s, 0, \\ b_1 s + c_1 \cosh(ps) + d_1 \sinh(ps)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\varphi) & \sin(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin(\varphi) & \cos(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\alpha$  lies fully in a 2-dimensional lightlike subspace and hence lies in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

Remark 3.1. All curves of null 2-type lie fully in a hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $\alpha(s)$  be a unit speed spacelike or timelike curve with both eigenvalues of its Laplace operator  $\Delta$  in decomposition (1.1) different from zero, lying fully in  $E_1^4$  and not lying in its timelike hyperplane. Then up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a 2-type curve if and only if  $\alpha$  is a part of one of the following curves:

(1) 
$$\alpha(s) = (m \cosh(ts), n \cos(ps), -n \sin(ps), m \sinh(ts));$$
  
 $p^2 n^2 + t^2 m^2 = 1, \quad p, t \in N, \quad m, n \in R_0;$ 

```
(2) \alpha(s) = (m \sinh(ts), n \cos(ps), -n \sin(ps), m \cosh(ts));
         p^2n^2-t^2m^2=1, p, t \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \in \mathbb{R}_0;
 (3) \alpha(s) = (k\cos(ps) + l\sin(ps) + m\cosh(ts) + n\sinh(ts), r\cos(ps), -r\sin(ps),
                               k\cos(ps) + l\sin(ps) + m\cosh(ts) + n\sinh(ts);
         p^2r^2=1,\quad m^2+n^2\neq 0,\quad p,t\in N,\quad m,n,k,l\in R;
 (4) \alpha(s) = (m\cos(ps) + n\sin(ps), m\cos(ps) + n\sin(ps), k\sin(ts), k\cos(ts));
           t^2k^2 = 1, m^2 + n^2 \neq 0, p, t \in N, t \neq 3p, m, n \in R;
 (5) \alpha(s) = (k\cos(ps) + l\sin(ps) + m\cos(ts) + n\sin(ts),
                               k\cos(ps) + l\sin(ps) + m\cos(ts) + n\sin(ts), r\cos(ps), r\sin(ps));
p^{2}r^{2} = 1, \quad m^{2} + n^{2} \neq 0, \quad p, t \in N, \quad m, n, k, l \in R;
(6) \ \alpha(s) = (r\cos(ps), m\cos(p(\omega - s)), \frac{m^{2}}{12n}\cos(p(2\omega + s)) - \frac{r^{2}}{12n}\cos(ps) + n\cos(3ps), \frac{m^{2}}{12n}\sin(p(2\omega + s)) - \frac{r^{2}}{12n}\sin(ps) + n\sin(3ps));
p^{2}(\frac{m^{2} - r^{2}}{2} + (\frac{1}{12n})^{2}(m^{4} + r^{4} - 2m^{2}r^{2}\cos(2p\omega)) + 9n^{2}) = \pm 1, \quad p \in N,
r = m \quad n \in \mathbb{R}_{0}.
 (7) \alpha(s) = (r\sin(ps), r\sin(ps), m\cos(3ps), m\sin(3ps)), (3pm)^2 = 1,
            p \in N, m, r \in R_0;
 (8) \alpha(s) = (0, r\sin(ps), m\cos(ps) + k\cos(3ps), m\sin(ps) + k\sin(3ps));
             r^2 + 12mk = 0, p^2(m-3k)^2 = 1, p \in N, r, m, k \in R_0;
 (9) \alpha(s) = (r\sin(ps), 0, r\cos(ps) + m\cos(3ps), r\sin(ps) + m\sin(3ps));
r = 12m, \quad (9pm)^2 = 1, \quad p \in N, \quad m, r \in R_0;
(10) \ \alpha(s) = \left(-\frac{r^2}{12n}\cosh(ps) - \frac{m^2}{12n}\cosh(p(2\omega - s)) + n\cosh(3ps), r\cosh(ps), \right.
m\cosh(p(\omega + s)), -\frac{r^2}{12n}\sinh(ps) + \frac{m^2}{12n}\sinh(p(2\omega - s)) + n\sinh(3ps));
p^2(\left(\frac{1}{12n}\right)^2(r^4 + m^4 + 2m^2r^2\cosh(2p\omega)) - \frac{r^2 + m^2}{2} + 9n^2) = \pm 1, \quad p \in N,
r, m, n, \omega \in R_0;
(11) \ \alpha(s) = \left(-\frac{r^2}{12n}\cosh(ps) - \frac{m^2}{12n}\cosh(p(2\omega - s)) + n\cosh(3ps), r\sinh(ps), \right.
m\cosh(p(\omega + s)), -\frac{r^2}{12n}\sinh(ps) + \frac{m^2}{12n}\sinh(p(2\omega - s)) + n\sinh(3ps));
p^2(\left(\frac{1}{12n}\right)^2(r^4 + m^4 + 2m^2r^2\cosh(2p\omega)) + \frac{r^2 - m^2}{2} + 9n^2) = \pm 1, \quad p \in N,
r, m, n, \omega \in R_0;
(12) \ \alpha(s) = \left(-\frac{r^2}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)} - \frac{m^2}{12n}\cosh(ps) + n\cosh(3ps), re^{p(s-\theta)},
m\cosh(ps), \frac{r^2}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)} - \frac{m^2}{12n}\sinh(ps) + n\sinh(3ps)\right);
p^2(\left(\frac{m}{12n}\right)^2(m^2 + 4r^2e^{-2p\theta}) - \frac{m^2}{2} + 9n^2) = \pm 1, \ p \in N, \ r, m, n \in R_0, \ \theta \in R;
(13) \ \alpha(s) = \left(-\frac{r^2}{12n}\cosh(ps) - \frac{m^2}{12n}\cosh(p(2\omega - s)) + n\cosh(3ps), r\sinh(ps), \\ m\sinh(p(\omega + s)), -\frac{r^2}{12n}\sinh(ps) + \frac{m^2}{12n}\sinh(p(2\omega - s)) + n\sinh(3ps)\right);
p^2(\left(\frac{1}{12n}\right)^2(r^4 + m^4 + 2r^2m^2\cosh(2p\omega)) + \frac{r^2 + m^2}{2} + 9n^2) = 1, \quad p \in \mathbb{N},
r, m, n, \omega \in R_{0};
(14) \ \alpha(s) = \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)} - \frac{m^{2}}{12n}\cosh(ps) + n\cosh(3ps), re^{p(s-\theta)}, m\sinh(ps), \frac{r^{2}}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)} - \frac{m^{2}}{12n}\sinh(ps) + n\sinh(3ps)\right);
p^{2}\left(\left(\frac{m}{12n}\right)^{2}\left(m^{2} + 4r^{2}e^{-2p\theta}\right) + \frac{m^{2}}{2} + 9n^{2}\right) = 1, \ p \in N, \ r, m, n \in R_{0}, \ \theta \in R;
(15) \ \alpha(s) = \left(\frac{r^2}{12n}\sinh(ps) + \frac{m^2}{12n}\sinh(p(s-2\omega)) + n\sinh(3ps), r\cosh(ps), \\ m\cosh(p(\omega+s)), \frac{r^2}{12n}\cosh(ps) + \frac{m^2}{12n}\cosh(p(s-2\omega)) + n\cosh(3ps)); \\ p^2(\left(\frac{1}{12n}\right)^2(-r^4 - m^4 - 2r^2m^2\cosh(2p\omega)) - \frac{r^2 + m^2}{2} - 9n^2) = -1, \quad p \in N,
```

$$\begin{array}{l} r,m,n,\omega\in R_{0};\\ (16)\ \alpha(s)=(\frac{r^{2}}{12n}\sinh(ps)+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\sinh(p(s-2\omega))+n\sinh(3ps),r\sinh(ps),\\ m\cosh(p(\omega+s)),\frac{r^{2}}{12n}\cosh(ps)+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\cosh(p(s-2\omega))+n\cosh(3ps));\\ p^{2}((\frac{1}{12n})^{2}(-r^{4}-m^{4}-2r^{2}m^{2}\cosh(2p\omega))+\frac{r^{2}-m^{2}}{2}-9n^{2})=\pm 1,\quad p\in N,\\ r,m,n,\omega\in R_{0};\\ (17)\ \alpha(s)=(-\frac{r^{2}}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)}+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\sinh(ps)+n\sinh(3ps),re^{p(s-\theta)},\\ m\cosh(ps),\frac{r^{2}}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)}+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\cosh(ps)+n\cosh(3ps));\\ -p^{2}((\frac{m}{12n})^{2}(m^{2}+4r^{2}e^{-2p\theta})+\frac{m^{2}}{2}+9n^{2})=-1,\ p\in N,\ r,m,n\in R_{0},\ \theta\in R;\\ (18)\ \alpha(s)=(\frac{r^{2}}{12n}\sinh(ps)+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\sinh(p(s-2\omega))+n\sinh(3ps),r\sinh(ps),\\ m\sinh(p(\omega+s)),\frac{r^{2}}{12n}\cosh(ps)+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\cosh(p(s-2\omega))+n\cosh(3ps));\\ p^{2}((\frac{1}{12n})^{2}(-r^{4}-m^{4}-2r^{2}m^{2}\cosh(2p\omega))+\frac{r^{2}+m^{2}}{2}-9n^{2})=\pm 1,\quad p\in N,\\ r,m,n,\omega\in R_{0};\\ (19)\ \alpha(s)=(-\frac{r^{2}}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)}+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\sinh(ps)+n\sinh(3ps),re^{p(s-\theta)},\\ m\sinh(ps),\frac{r^{2}}{6n}e^{-p(s+2\theta)}+\frac{m^{2}}{12n}\cosh(ps)+n\cosh(3ps));\\ p^{2}(-(\frac{m}{12n})^{2}(m^{2}+4r^{2}e^{-2p\theta})+\frac{m^{2}}{2}-9n^{2})=\pm 1,\ p\in N,r,m,n\in R_{0},\ \theta\in R. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha(s)$  satisfies the assumptions of the theorem and that it is a 2-type curve. Then  $\alpha(s)$  can be written in one of the following forms

- (a)  $\alpha(s) = a + b\cos(ps) + c\sin(ps) + d\cosh(ts) + e\sinh(ts)$ ;
- (b)  $\alpha(s) = a + b\cos(ps) + c\sin(ps) + d\cos(ts) + e\sin(ts);$
- (c)  $\alpha(s) = a + b \cosh(ps) + c \sinh(ps) + d \cosh(ts) + e \sinh(ts)$ ;

where  $p, t \in N$ ,  $a, b, c, d, e \in R^4$ . Denote by  $R_0$  the set of all real numbers different from zero. Next, assume that 0 and that <math>a = (0, 0, 0, 0) up to a translation. Denote vectors b, c, d, e by  $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$  and so on. In the sequel, we consider cases (a), (b) and (c).

Case(a). Since  $g(\alpha', \alpha') = \pm 1$  and using the linear independence of the functions  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$  as well as  $\sinh(x)$  and  $\cosh(x)$ , we get the system of equations:

(1) 
$$\frac{p^2}{2}(g(b,b) + g(c,c)) + \frac{t^2}{2}(g(e,e) - g(d,d)) = \pm 1,$$

(2) 
$$g(c,c) - g(b,b) = 0,$$

(3) 
$$g(e, e) + g(d, d) = 0,$$

(4) 
$$g(b,d) = g(b,e) = g(c,d) = g(c,e) = g(b,c) = g(d,e) = 0.$$

With respect to the causal character of vectors d and e, we distinguish three subcases: (a.1) g(e,e) = -g(d,d) > 0; (a.2) g(e,e) = -g(d,d) < 0; (a.3) g(e,e) = -g(d,d) = 0.

(a.1) In this subcase, assume that  $d = (e_4, 0, 0, 0), e = (0, 0, 0, e_4), e_4 \neq 0$ . The equation (4) implies  $b = (0, b_2, b_3, 0), c = (0, c_2, c_3, 0)$ . Next, let  $b_2 = \rho \cos(p\varphi), c_2 = \rho \sin(p\varphi), \rho \in R_0, \varphi \in R$ . Then the equations (2) and (4) imply  $b_3 = \rho \sin(p\varphi)$ ,

 $c_3 = -\rho \cos(p\varphi)$ . Hence  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (e_4 \cosh(ts), \rho \cos(p(\varphi - s)), \rho \sin(p(\varphi - s)), e_4 \sinh(ts)) \\ &= (e_4 \cosh(ts), \rho \cos(ps), -\rho \sin(ps), \end{split}$$

$$e_4 \sinh(ts)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(p\varphi) & \sin(p\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin(p\varphi) & \cos(p\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2\rho^2 + t^2e_4^2 = 1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  lies fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (1).

(a.2) We may assume that  $e=(e_1,0,0,0)$ ,  $d=(0,0,0,e_1)$ ,  $e_1\neq 0$ . This subcase is analogous to the subcase (a.1), so we get that (up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ )  $\alpha$  has the form  $\alpha(s)=(e_1\sinh(ts),\rho\cos(ps),-\rho\sin(ps),e_1\cosh(ts))$ , where the equation (1) gives  $p^2\rho^2-t^2e_1^2=\pm 1$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike or a timelike curve lying fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (2). The causal character of  $\alpha$  depends on the choice of the constants.

(a.3) We may take that  $d=(d_1,0,0,d_1),\ e=(e_1,0,0,e_1),\ d_1$  and  $e_1$  are not both equal to zero. The equation (4) gives  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_1),\ c=(c_1,c_2,c_3,c_1).$  Let  $b_2=\rho\cos(p\varphi),\ c_2=\rho\sin(p\varphi),\ \rho\in R_0,\ \varphi\in R$ . Then equations (2) and (4) imply  $b_3=\rho\sin(p\varphi),\ c_3=-\rho\cos(p\varphi)$ . Hence  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cosh(ts) + e_1 \sinh(ts), \rho \cos(p(\varphi - s)), \\ \rho \sin(p(\varphi - s)), b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cosh(ts) + e_1 \sinh(ts)) \\ &= (b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cosh(ts) + e_1 \sinh(ts), \rho \cos(ps), -\rho \sin(ps), \\ b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cosh(ts) \\ &+ e_1 \sinh(ts)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(p\varphi) & \sin(p\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin(p\varphi) & \cos(p\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

where the equation (1) gives  $p^2 \rho^2 = 1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in a lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (3).

Case(b). After using the equation  $g(\alpha'(s), \alpha'(s)) = \pm 1$ , we get that the arguments of the functions  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$  are the numbers  $\{2p, 2t, p+t, t-p\}$ . Since  $0 , we distinguish two subcases: (b.1) <math>t - p \neq 2p$ ; (b.2) t - p = 2p.

(b.1)  $t-p \neq 2p$ . The corresponding system of equations has the form

(1) 
$$\frac{p^2}{2}(g(b,b) + g(c,c)) + \frac{t^2}{2}(g(d,d) + g(e,e)) = \pm 1,$$

(2) 
$$g(c,c) - g(b,b) = 0,$$

(3) 
$$g(e,e) - g(d,d) = 0,$$

(4) 
$$g(b,c) = g(b,d) = g(b,e) = g(c,d) = g(c,e) = g(d,e) = 0.$$

50

With respect to the causal character of vectors d and e, we distinguish three subcases: (b.1.1) g(e,e) = g(d,d) > 0; (b.1.2) g(e,e) = g(d,d) = 0; (b.1.3) g(e,e) = 0g(d, d) > 0.

(b.1.1) Take that  $e = (0, 0, e_3, 0), d = (0, 0, 0, e_3), e_3 \neq 0$ . Then the equation (4) implies  $b = (b_1, b_2, 0, 0, 0), c = (c_1, c_2, 0, 0)$ . If g(b, b) = g(c, c) > 0, then there exist four mutually orthogonal spacelike vectors b, c, d, e in  $E_1^4$ , which is impossible. If g(b,b) = g(c,c) < 0, then there exist two mutually orthogonal timelike vectors b and c in  $E_1^4$ , which is impossible again. Finally, if g(b,b) = g(c,c) = 0, take  $b = (b_1, b_1, 0, 0), c = (c_1, c_1, 0, 0), b_1$  and  $c_1$  are not both equal to zero. We obtain that  $\alpha$  has the equation  $\alpha(s) = (b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps), b_1 \cos(ps) + c_2 \sin(ps))$  $c_1 \sin(ps), e_3 \sin(ts), e_3 \cos(ts)$ , where the equation (1) becomes  $t^2 e_3^2 = 1$ . Thus  $\alpha$ is a spacelike curve, lying fully in a lightlike hyperplane of  $\mathbb{E}^4_1$ , which gives form

(b.1.2) Assume that  $d = (d_1, d_1, 0, 0), e = (e_1, e_1, 0, 0), d_1$  and  $e_1$  are not both equal to zero. Then (4) implies that  $b=(b_1,b_1,b_3,b_4), c=(c_1,c_1,c_3,c_4)$ . Let  $b_3 = \rho \cos(p\varphi), b_4 = \rho \sin(p\varphi), \rho \in R_0, \varphi \in R$ . The equations (2) and (4) give  $c_3 = -\rho \sin(p\varphi), c_4 = \rho \cos(p\varphi)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cos(ts) + e_1 \sin(ts), \\ b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cos(ts) + e_1 \sin(ts), \\ \rho \cos(p(\varphi + s)), \rho \sin(p(\varphi + s))) \\ &= (b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cos(ts) + e_1 \sin(ts), \\ b_1 \cos(ps) + c_1 \sin(ps) + d_1 \cos(ts) + e_1 \sin(ts), \\ \rho \cos(ps), \rho \sin(ps)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(p\varphi) & \sin(p\varphi) \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin(p\varphi) & \cos(p\varphi) \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2\rho^2=1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in a lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (5).

(b.1.3) It follows that d and e are mutually orthogonal timelike vectors in  $E_1^4$ , which is not possible.

(b.2) Since  $g(\alpha', \alpha') = \pm 1$ , we get the system of the equations:

(1) 
$$\frac{p^2}{2}(g(b,b) + g(c,c)) + \frac{t^2}{2}(g(d,d) + g(e,e)) = \pm 1,$$

(2) 
$$\frac{p^{2}}{2}(g(c,c) - g(b,b)) + pt(g(b,d) + g(c,e)) = 0,$$
(3) 
$$g(e,e) - g(d,d) = 0,$$
(4) 
$$-p^{2}g(b,c) + pt(g(b,e) - g(c,d)) = 0,$$

(3) 
$$g(e,e) - g(d,d) = 0,$$

(4) 
$$-p^2g(b,c) + pt(g(b,e) - g(c,d)) = 0,$$

$$(5) g(d,e) = 0,$$

(6) 
$$g(c,e) - g(b,d) = 0,$$

(7) 
$$g(b,e) + g(c,d) = 0.$$

Again we distinguish three subcases: (b.2.1) g(e, e) = g(d, d) > 0; (b.2.2) g(e, e) = g(d, d) = 0; (b.2.3) g(e, e) = g(d, d) < 0.

(b.2.1) We may take  $d=(0,0,d_3,0)$ ,  $e=(0,0,0,d_3)$ ,  $d_3\neq 0$ . The equations (6) and (7) give  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4)$ ,  $c=(c_1,c_2,-b_4,b_3)$ . If b,d,e (c,d,e) are linearly dependent vectors, then  $b_1=b_2=0$   $(c_1=c_2=0)$ , so  $\alpha$  lies fully in a 3-dimensional subspace of the space  $E_1^4$ . Moreover, if  $b_1\neq 0$  and  $c_2\neq 0$   $(b_2\neq 0$  and  $c_1\neq 0)$ , then  $\alpha$  lies fully in the space  $E_1^4$ . In the sequel, we consider each of these cases.

$$(b.2.1.1)$$
  $b_1 \neq 0$ ,  $c_2 \neq 0$  (or  $b_2 \neq 0$ ,  $c_1 \neq 0$ ).

Let  $b_1 = \rho \cos(p\varphi)$ ,  $c_1 = \rho \sin(p\varphi)$ ,  $b_2 = m \cos(p\theta)$ ,  $c_2 = m \sin(p\theta)$ ,  $\rho, m \in R_0$ ,  $\varphi, \theta \in R, \varphi \neq \theta, p\varphi \neq \frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi, p\theta \neq k\pi, k \in Z$ . Then the equations (2) and (4) imply that  $b_3 = \frac{1}{12d_3}(m^2 \cos(2p\theta) - \rho^2 \cos(2p\varphi))$ ,  $b_4 = \frac{1}{12d_3}(m^2 \sin(2p\theta) - \rho^2 \sin(2p\varphi))$ . Consequently,  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (\rho\cos(p(\varphi-s)), m\cos(p(\theta-s)), \\ &\frac{m^2}{12d_3}\cos(p(2\theta+s)) - \frac{\rho^2}{12d_3}\cos(p(2\varphi+s)) + d_3\cos(3ps), \\ &\frac{m^2}{12d_3}\sin(p(2\theta+s)) - \frac{\rho^2}{12d_3}\sin(p(2\varphi+s)) + d_3\sin(3ps)). \end{split}$$

Putting  $u = s - \varphi$  and  $\omega = \theta - \varphi$ , we get that

$$\begin{split} \alpha(u) &= (\rho\cos(pu), m\cos(p(\omega-u)), \frac{m^2}{12d_3}\cos(p(2\omega+u)) - \frac{\rho^2}{12d_3}\cos(pu) \\ &+ d_3\cos(3pu), \frac{m^2}{12d_3}\sin(p(2\omega+u)) - \frac{\rho^2}{12d_3}\sin(pu) \\ &+ d_3\sin(3pu)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(3p\varphi) & \sin(3p\varphi) \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin(3p\varphi) & \cos(3p\varphi) \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2(\frac{1}{2}(m^2-\rho^2)+(\frac{1}{12d_3})^2(m^4+\rho^4-2m^2\rho^2\cos(2p\omega))+9d_3^2)=\pm 1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike or a timelike curve lying fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (6).

$$(b.2.1.2)$$
  $b_1 = b_2 = 0$  (or  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ ).

The equation (4) implies  $b_4 = 0$  and thus  $b = (0, 0, b_3, 0)$ ,  $c = (c_1, c_2, 0, b_3)$ . If  $b_3 = 0$ , then the equation (2) gives  $c = (c_1, c_1, 0, 0)$ ,  $c_1 \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  has the equation  $\alpha(s) = (c_1 \sin(ps), c_1 \sin(ps), d_3 \cos(3ps), d_3 \sin(3ps))$ , where the equation (1) becomes  $(3pd_3)^2 = 1$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve, lying fully in a lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ . This gives us form (7). Next, suppose that  $b_3 \neq 0$ . If c is spacelike, let  $c_1 = \rho \sinh(p\theta)$ ,  $c_2 = \rho \cosh(p\theta)$ ,  $\rho \in R_0$ ,  $\theta \in R$ . Then the equation (2) gives  $\rho^2 + 12b_3d_3 = 0$  and  $\alpha$  has the equation

$$\alpha(s) = (\rho \sinh(p\theta) \sin(ps), \rho \cosh(p\theta) \sin(ps), b_3 \cos(ps) + d_3 \cos(3ps),$$

$$b_3 \sin(ps) + d_3 \sin(3ps))$$

$$= (0, \rho \sin(ps), b_3 \cos(ps) + d_3 \cos(3ps),$$

$$b_3 \sin(ps) + d_3 \sin(3ps)) \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(p\theta) & \sinh(p\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh(p\theta) & \cosh(p\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2(b_3 - 3d_3)^2 = 1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in a spacelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (8). If cis timelike, then  $\alpha$  lies fully in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which is a contradiction. Finally, if c is null, let  $c_1 = b_3 \cosh(p\theta)$ ,  $c_2 = b_3 \sinh(p\theta)$ ,  $b_3 \in R_0$ ,  $\theta \in R$ . Then (2) gives  $b_3 = 12d_3$ , so  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (b_3 \cosh(p\theta) \sin(ps), b_3 \sinh(p\theta) \sin(ps), b_3 \cos(ps) + d_3 \cos(3ps),$$
$$b_3 \sin(ps) + d_3 \sin(3ps))$$

$$= (b_3 \sin(ps), 0, b_3 \cos(ps) + d_3 \cos(3ps)$$

$$b_3 \sin(ps) + d_3 \sin(3ps)) \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(p\theta) & \sinh(p\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh(p\theta) & \cosh(p\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the equation (1) becomes  $(9pd_3)^2 = 1$  and up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in a lightlike hyperplane of the space  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (9).

(b.2.2) Take that  $d = (d_1, d_1, 0, 0), e = (e_1, e_1, 0, 0), i.e., that <math>d = \lambda e, \lambda \in R$ ,  $d_1$  and  $e_1$  are not both equal to zero. The equations (6) and (7) imply (1 +  $\lambda^2 g(c,d) = 0$  and thus g(c,d) = 0. Then g(b,d) = 0, and thus  $b = (b_1, b_1, b_3, b_4)$ ,  $c = (c_1, c_1, c_3, c_4)$ . We have the same vectors b, c, d, e as in the subcase (b.1.2), so we get the same form (5) of the curve  $\alpha$ .

(b.2.3) It follows that d and e are two mutually orthogonal timelike vectors in  $E_1^4$ , which is not possible.

Case (c). After using the equation  $g(\alpha', \alpha') = \pm 1$ , we get that arguments of the functions sinh(x) and cosh(x) are the numbers  $\{2p, 2t, p+t, t-p\}$ . Since 0 ,we distinguish two subcases: (c.1)  $t-p \neq 2p$ ; (c.2) t-p=2p.

(c.1)  $t-p \neq 2p$ . The corresponding system of equations has the form:

(1) 
$$\frac{p^2}{2}(g(c,c) - g(b,b)) + \frac{t^2}{2}(g(e,e) - g(d,d)) = \pm 1,$$
(2) 
$$g(d,d) + g(e,e) = 0,$$

(2) 
$$g(d,d) + g(e,e) = 0,$$

(3) 
$$g(b,b) + g(c,c) = 0,$$

(4) 
$$g(b,c) = g(b,d) = g(b,e) = g(c,d) = g(c,e) = g(d,e) = 0.$$

With respect to the causal character of vectors d and e, we distinguish three subcases: (c.1.1) g(e, e) = -g(d, d) > 0; (c.1.2) g(e, e) = -g(d, d) < 0; (c.1.3) g(e, e) = -g(d, d) < 0-g(d,d) = 0.

(c.1.1) Assume that  $d = (e_4, 0, 0, 0), e = (0, 0, 0, e_4), e_4 \neq 0$ . The equation (4) implies  $b = (0, b_2, b_3, 0), c = (0, c_2, c_3, 0),$  while the equation (3) gives b = c = 0, which is a contradiction.

(c.1.2) Again we get a contradiction.

(c.1.3) Assume that  $d = (d_1, 0, 0, d_1), e = (e_1, 0, 0, e_1), d_1$  and  $e_1$  are not both equal to zero. Then the equations (3) and (4) imply b=c=0, which is a contradiction.

(c.2) t-p=2p. The corresponding system of equations has the form:

(1) 
$$\frac{p^2}{2}(g(c,c) - g(b,b)) + \frac{t^2}{2}(g(e,e) - g(d,d)) = \pm 1,$$

(2) 
$$g(e, e) + g(d, d) = 0,$$

(3) 
$$\frac{p^2}{2}(g(b,b) + g(c,c)) + pt(g(c,e) - g(b,d)) = 0,$$

(4) 
$$p^{2}g(b,c) + pt(g(c,d) - g(b,e)) = 0,$$

$$(5) g(d,e) = 0,$$

(6) 
$$g(b,d) + g(c,e) = 0$$

(7) 
$$g(b,e) + g(c,d) = 0.$$

With respect to the causal character of vectors d and e, we distinguish three subcases: (c.2.1) g(e, e) = -g(d, d) > 0; (c.2.2) g(e, e) = -g(d, d) < 0; (c.2.3) g(e, e) = -g(d, d) < 0-g(d,d) = 0.

(c.2.1) We may assume that  $e = (0,0,0,e_4), d = (e_4,0,0,0), e_4 \neq 0$ . The equations (6) and (7) give  $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), c = (b_4, c_2, c_3, b_1)$ . If b, d, e (c, d, e)are linearly dependent vectors, then  $\alpha$  lies fully in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which is a contradiction. Next, comparing the numbers  $b_2$  and  $c_2$  as well as  $b_3$  and  $c_3$ , the following possibilities may occur:

$$(c.2.1.1)$$
  $b_2^2 > c_2^2$ ,  $b_3^2 > c_3^2$ ;

$$(c.2.1.2)$$
  $b_2^2 < c_2^2$ ,  $b_3^2 > c_3^2$  (or  $b_2^2 > c_2^2$ ,  $b_3^2 < c_3^2$ );

$$\begin{array}{l} (c.2.1.1) \ b_2^2 > c_2^2, \quad b_3^2 > c_3^2; \\ (c.2.1.2) \ b_2^2 < c_2^2, \quad b_3^2 > c_3^2 \quad (\text{ or } b_2^2 > c_2^2, \quad b_3^2 < c_3^2); \\ (c.2.1.3) \ b_2 = c_2 \neq 0, \quad b_3^2 > c_3^2 \quad (\text{ or } b_2^2 > c_2^2, \quad b_3 = c_3 \neq 0); \end{array}$$

$$(c.2.1.4)$$
  $b_2^2 < c_2^2$ ,  $b_3^2 < c_3^2$ ;

$$\begin{array}{l} (c.2.1.5) \ b_2 = c_2 \neq 0, \quad b_3 > c_3 \quad \text{(of } b_2 > c_2, \quad b_3 = c_3 \neq 0), \\ (c.2.1.4) \ b_2^2 < c_2^2, \quad b_3^2 < c_3^2; \\ (c.2.1.5) \ b_2 = c_2 \neq 0, \quad b_3^2 < c_3^2 \quad \text{(or } b_2^2 < c_2^2, \quad b_3 = c_3 \neq 0); \\ (c.2.1.6) \ b_2 = c_2 \neq 0, \quad b_3 = c_3 \neq 0. \end{array}$$

$$(c.2.1.6)$$
  $b_2 = c_2 \neq 0$ ,  $b_3 = c_3 \neq 0$ .

In the sequel, we consider them separately.

(c.2.1.1) Let  $b_2 = \rho \cosh(p\varphi)$ ,  $c_2 = \rho \sinh(p\varphi)$ ,  $b_3 = m \cosh(p\theta)$ ,  $c_3 = m \sinh(p\theta)$ ,  $m, \rho \in R_0, \ \varphi, \theta \in R, \ \varphi \neq \theta$ . Then the equations (3) and (4) imply  $b_1 = -\frac{1}{12e_4}(\rho^2\cosh(2p\varphi) + m^2\cosh(2p\theta)), \ b_4 = \frac{1}{12e_4}(\rho^2\sinh(2p\varphi) + m^2\sinh(2p\theta))$ . Thus  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (\frac{-\rho^2}{12e_4}\cosh(p(2\varphi - s)) - \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\cosh(p(2\theta - s)) + e_4\cosh(3ps), \\ &\rho\cosh(p(\varphi + s)), m\cosh(p(\theta + s)), \\ &\frac{\rho^2}{12e_4}\sinh(p(2\varphi - s)) + \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\sinh(p(2\theta - s)) + e_4\sinh(3ps)). \end{split}$$

Putting  $u = s + \varphi$  and  $\omega = \theta - \varphi$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \alpha(u) &= \big( -\frac{\rho^2}{12e_4} \cosh(pu) - \frac{m^2}{12e_4} \cosh(p(2\omega - u)) + e_4 \cosh(3pu), \rho \cosh(pu), \\ &m \cosh(p(u+\omega)), -\frac{\rho^2}{12e_4} \sinh(pu) + \frac{m^2}{12e_4} \sinh(p(2\omega - u)) \\ &+ e_4 \sinh(3pu) \big) \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(3p\varphi) & 0 & 0 & -\sinh(3p\varphi) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sinh(3p\varphi) & 0 & 0 & \cosh(3p\varphi) \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2((\frac{1}{12e_4})^2(\rho^4 + m^4 + 2m^2\rho^2\cosh(2p\omega)) - \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2 + m^2) + 9e_4^2) = \pm 1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike or a timelike curve lying fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (10).

(c.2.1.2) Using the similar methods as in the previous subcase (c.2.1.1), we get that  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(u) = \left(\frac{-\rho^2}{12e_4}\cosh(pu) - \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\cosh(p(2\omega - u)) + e_4\cosh(3pu), \rho\sinh(pu), \\ m\cosh(p(u + \omega)), \frac{-\rho^2}{12e_4}\sinh(pu) + \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\sinh(p(2\omega - u)) + e_4\sinh(3pu)\right),$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2((\frac{1}{12e_4})^2(\rho^4 + m^4 + 2m^2\rho^2\cosh(2p\omega)) + \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2 - m^2) + 9e_4^2) = \pm 1$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is a spacelike or a timelike curve lying fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (11). In the case  $b_2^2 > c_2^2$ ,  $b_3^2 < c_3^2$ , up to isometries we obtain the same form (11).

(c.2.1.3) Let  $b_3 = m \cosh(p\theta)$ ,  $c_3 = m \sinh(p\theta)$ ,  $m \in R_0$ ,  $\theta \in R$ . Then the equations (3) and (4) imply  $b_1 = -\frac{1}{12e_4}(2b_2^2 + m^2 \cosh(2p\theta))$ ,  $b_4 = \frac{1}{12e_4}(2b_2^2 + m^2 \sinh(2p\theta))$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  has the equation

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (\frac{-b_2^2}{6e_4}e^{-ps} - \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\cosh(p(2\theta-s)) + e_4\cosh(3ps), b_2e^{ps}, m\cosh(p(\theta+s)), \\ &\frac{b_2^2}{6e_4}e^{-ps} + \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\sinh(p(2\theta-s)) + e_4\sinh(3ps)). \end{split}$$

Putting  $u = s + \theta$ , we get that

$$\begin{split} \alpha(u) &= (\frac{-b_2^2}{6e_4}e^{-p(u+2\theta)} - \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\cosh(pu) + e_4\cosh(3pu), b_2e^{p(u-\theta)}, m\cosh(pu), \\ &\frac{b_2^2}{6e_4}e^{-p(u+2\theta)} - \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\sinh(pu) \\ &+ e_4\sinh(3pu)) \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(3p\theta) & 0 & 0 & -\sinh(3p\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sinh(3p\theta) & 0 & 0 & \cosh(3p\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2((\frac{m}{12e_4})^2(m^2+4b_2^2e^{-2p\theta})-\frac{m^2}{2}+9e_4^2)=\pm 1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^4$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike or a timelike curve lying fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (12). In the case  $b_2^2 > c_2^2$ ,  $b_3 = c_3 \neq 0$ , (up to isometries) we obtain the same form (12).

(c.2.1.4) Using the similar methods as in the subcase (c.2.1.1), up to isometries of  $E_1^4$  we get that  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\begin{split} \alpha(s) &= (\,-\,\frac{\rho^2}{12e_4}\cosh(ps)\,-\,\frac{m^2}{12e_4}\cosh(p(2\omega-s)) + e_4\cosh(3ps), \rho\sinh(ps), \\ &m \sinh(p(s+\omega)), -\frac{\rho^2}{12e_4}\sinh(ps) + \frac{m^2}{12e_4}\sinh(p(2\omega-s)) + e_4\sinh(3ps)) \end{split}$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2((\frac{1}{12e_4})^2(\rho^4 + m^4 + 2m^2\rho^2\cosh(2p\omega)) + \frac{\rho^2 + m^2}{2} + 9e_4^2) = 1$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (13).

(c.2.1.5) Using the similar methods as in the subcase (c.2.1.3), up to isometries of  $E_1^4$  we obtain that  $\alpha$  has the equation

$$\begin{split} \alpha(u) &= (-\frac{b_2^2}{6e_4} e^{-p(u+2\theta)} - \frac{m^2}{12e_4} \cosh(pu) + e_4 \cosh(3pu), b_2 e^{p(u-\theta)}, \\ & m \sinh(pu), \frac{b_2^2}{6e_4} e^{-p(u+2\theta)} - \frac{m^2}{12e_4} \sinh(pu) + e_4 \sinh(3pu)) \end{split}$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2((\frac{m}{12e_4})^2(m^2+4b_2^2e^{-2p\theta})+\frac{m^2}{2}+9e_4^2)=1$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in  $E_1^4$ , which gives form (14). In the case  $b_2^2 < c_2^2$ ,  $b_3 = c_3 \neq 0$  (up to isometries) we obtain the same form (14).

(c.2.1.6) The equations (3) and (4) give  $b_1 = -\frac{1}{6e_4}(b_2^2 + b_3^2) = -b_4$ . It follows that b, c, d, e are linearly dependent vectors and since d is timelike,  $\alpha$  lies fully in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$ , which is a contradiction.

(c.2.2) This subcase is analogous to the subcase (c.2.1). Thus the similar computations give forms (15), (16), (17), (18) and (19) of the curve  $\alpha$ .

(c.2.3) Since d and e are two linearly dependent null vectors,  $\alpha$  lies in a 3-dimensional subspace of  $E_1^4$ . Let  $d=(d_1,d_1,0,0),\ e=(e_1,e_1,0,0),\ d_1$  and  $e_1$  are not both equal to zero. Then  $d=\lambda e,\ \lambda\in R$ , and the equations (6) and (7) give  $(1-\lambda^2)\ g(c,d)=0$ . Hence we distinguish two subcases: (c.2.3.1) g(c,d)=0; (c.2.3.2)  $\lambda^2=1$ .

(c.2.3.1) The equation (7) gives g(b,e)=0 and thus  $b=(b_1,b_1,b_3,b_4)$ ,  $c=(c_1,c_1,c_3,c_4)$ . Then g(b,d)=g(c,e)=0, so the equations (3) and (4) give b=c=0, which is a contradiction.

(c.2.3.2) The equation (6) implies  $g(b+\lambda c,d)=0$ . Next, equations (3) and (4) give that d and  $b-\lambda c$  are two linearly independent null vectors. On the contrary, if d and  $b-\lambda c$  are two linearly dependent null vectors, then  $b-\lambda c=\mu d,\,\mu\in R$ . Thus (6) implies  $g(\lambda c+\mu d,d)+g(c,\lambda d)=0$ , i.e., g(c,d)=0, which gives a contradiction, as in subcase (c.2.3.1). Next, assume that  $b-\lambda c=(-a_0,a_0,0,0),\,a_0\neq 0$ . Then  $b+\lambda c=(b_0,b_0,b_3,b_4),\,b_4\neq 0$  and the last two equations for  $b-\lambda c$  and  $b+\lambda c$  give  $b=\frac{1}{2}(b_0-a_0,b_0+a_0,b_3,b_4),\,c=\frac{\lambda}{2}(a_0+b_0,b_0-a_0,b_3,b_4)$ . Let  $m=\frac{b_0-a_0}{2},\,n=\frac{b_0+a_0}{2}$ . Consequently,  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (m\cosh(ps) + \lambda n\sinh(ps) + d_1e^{3\lambda ps}, n\cosh(ps) + \lambda m\sinh(ps) + d_1e^{3\lambda ps}, \frac{b_3}{2}e^{\lambda ps}, \frac{b_4}{2}e^{\lambda ps}).$$

Finally, let  $b_3 = \rho \cos(p\theta)$ ,  $b_4 = \rho \sin(p\theta)$ ,  $\rho \in R_0$ ,  $\theta \in R$ . Then we get that

 $\alpha(s) = (m\cosh(ps) + \lambda n\sinh(ps) + d_1e^{3\lambda ps}, n\cosh(ps) + \lambda m\sinh(ps) + d_1e^{3\lambda ps},$ 

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho e^{\lambda ps}, 0) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \cos(p\theta) & \sin(p\theta)\\ 0 & 0 & \sin(p\theta) & -\cos(p\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

and thus  $\alpha$  lies fully in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^4$  which is a contradiction.

### 4. A classification of 2-type curves in the Minkowski space E<sub>1</sub><sup>5</sup>

Since a 2-type curve is contained in at most 4-dimensional subspace of the space  $E_1^n$ , we study the cases when  $\alpha$  lies in a spacelike, timelike or lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^5$ . The case when  $\alpha$  lies fully in a timelike hyperplane of  $E_1^5$  is equivalent to the case when  $\alpha$  lies fully in  $E_1^4$ . This case has already been studied in the theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $\alpha(s)$  be a unit speed spacelike or timelike curve, with both eigenvalues of its Laplace operator  $\Delta$  in decomposition (1.1) different from zero, lying fully in  $E_1^5$  and not lying in its timelike hyperplane. Then up to isometries of  $E_1^5$ ,  $\alpha$  is a 2-type curve if and only if  $\alpha$  is a part of one of the following curves:

- (1)  $\alpha(s) = (0, m\cos(ps), m\sin(ps), n\sin(ts), n\cos(ts)), \quad p^2m^2 + t^2n^2 = 1, p, t \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \neq 3p, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{R}_0;$
- (2)  $\alpha(s) = (0, m \sin(ps), r \cos(p(s-\theta)), \frac{r^2}{12n} \cos(p(2\theta+s)) \frac{m^2}{12n} \cos(ps) + n \cos(3ps), \frac{r^2}{12n} \sin(p(2\theta+s)) \frac{m^2}{12n} \sin(ps) + n \sin(3ps)),$  $p^2(\frac{r^2+m^2}{2} + (\frac{1}{12n})^2((r^2-m^2)^2 + 4r^2m^2\sin^2(p\theta)) + 9n^2) = 1, \quad p \in N,$  $\theta \in R, \quad r, m, n \in R_0;$
- (3)  $\alpha(s) = (m \sin(p(s+\theta)), m \sin(p(s+\theta)), r \cos(ps), \frac{r^2}{12n} \cos(ps) + n \cos(3ps)$  $\frac{r^2}{12n} \sin(ps) + n \sin(3ps)),$   $p^2(\frac{r^2}{2} + (\frac{r^2}{12n})^2 + 9n^2) = 1, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r, m, n \in \mathbb{R}_0.$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha(s)$  satisfies the assumptions of the theorem and that it is a 2-type curve. Then proof of the theorem 3.2 implies that subcases (b.1.1) and (b.2.1) are now the only possible cases. With respect to the causal character of vectors b, c, d, e, it is easy to see that in all other subcases we get a contradiction. In the sequel, we consider subcases (b.1.1) and (b.2.1) separately.

(b.1.1) g(e,e) = g(d,d) > 0. If g(b,b) = g(c,c) > 0, then b, c, d, e are mutually orthogonal spacelike vectors, so we may take  $b = (0, b_2, 0, 0, 0), c = (0, 0, b_2, 0, 0), d = (0, 0, 0, d_4, 0), e = (0, 0, 0, 0, d_4), b_2 \neq 0, d_4 \neq 0$ . Thus  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (0, b_2 \cos(ps), b_2 \sin(ps), d_4 \cos(ts), d_4 \sin(ts)),$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2b_2^2 + t^2d_4^2 = 1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^5$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in a spacelike hyperplane of the space  $E_1^5$ , which gives form (1).

 $(b.2.1) \ g(e,e) = g(d,d) > 0$ . Take that  $d = (0,0,0,d_4,0), \ e = (0,0,0,0,d_4), d_4 \neq 0$ . Further, vectors b and c belong to a spacelike or to a lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^5$ . If b and c belong to a spacelike hyperplane, then they are all spacelike vectors. Let  $b = m_1 f + m_2 d + m_3 e, \ c = n_1 h + n_2 f + n_3 d + n_4 e, \ \text{where} \ f = (0,0,d_4,0,0), \ h = (0,d_4,0,0), \ m_1,m_2,m_3,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4 \in R_0$ . It follows that  $b = (0,0,b_3,b_4,b_5), c = (0,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5)$ . Next, the equations (6) and (7) give  $c = (0,c_2,c_3,-b_5,b_4)$  and the equations (2) and (4) give  $b_4 = \frac{1}{12d_4}(b_3^2 - c_2^2 - c_3^2), \ b_5 = \frac{1}{6d_4}b_3c_3$ . Let

 $b_3 = \rho \cos(p\theta), c_3 = \rho \sin(p\theta), \rho \in R_0, \theta \in R$ . Then  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (0, c_2 \sin(ps), \rho \cos(p(s-\theta)), \frac{\rho^2}{12d_4} \cos(p(2\theta+s)) - \frac{c_2^2}{12d_4} \cos(ps) + d_4 \cos(3ps), \frac{\rho^2}{12d_4} \sin(p(2\theta+s)) - \frac{c_2^2}{12d_4} \sin(ps) + d_4 \sin(3ps)),$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2(\frac{\rho^2+c_2^2}{2}+\frac{1}{(12d_4)^2}((\rho^2-c_2^2)^2+4\rho^2c_2^2\sin^2(p\theta))+9n^2)=1$ . Up to isometries of  $E_1^5$ ,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in a spacelike hyperplane of the space  $E_1^5$ , which gives form (2). Finally, if b and c belong to a lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^5$ , let  $b=m_1f+m_2d+m_3e$ ,  $c=n_1h+n_2f+n_3d+n_4e$ , where  $f=(0,0,d_4,0,0),\ h=(d_4,d_4,0,0),\ m_1,m_2,m_3,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in R_0$ . It follows that  $b=(0,0,b_3,b_4,b_5),\ c=(c_1,c_1,c_3,c_4,c_5)$ . Further, equations (6) and (7) give  $c=(c_1,c_1,c_3,-b_5,b_4)$  and from equations (2) and (4) follows  $b_4=\frac{1}{12d_4}(b_3^2-c_3^2),\ b_5=\frac{1}{6d_4}b_3c_3$ . Let  $b_3=\rho\cos(p\theta),\ c_3=\rho\sin(p\theta),\ \rho\in R_0,\ \theta\in R$ . Thus  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha(s) = (c_1 \sin(ps), c_1 \sin(ps), \rho \cos(p(s-\theta)), \frac{\rho^2}{12d_4} \cos(p(2\theta+s)) + d_4 \cos(3ps), \frac{\rho^2}{12d_4} \sin(p(2\theta+s)) + d_4 \sin(3ps)).$$

Putting  $u = s - \theta$ , we get that

$$\alpha(u) = (c_1 \sin(p(u+\theta)), c_1 \sin(p(u+\theta)), \rho \cos(pu), \frac{\rho^2}{12d_4} \cos(pu) + d_4 \cos(3pu),$$

$$\frac{\rho^2}{12d_4} \sin(pu) + d_4 \sin(3pu)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos(3p\theta) & \sin(3p\theta)\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin(3p\theta) & \cos(3p\theta) \end{bmatrix},$$

where the equation (1) becomes  $p^2(\frac{\rho^2}{2}+(\frac{\rho^2}{12n})^2+9n^2)=1$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  is a spacelike curve lying fully in a lightlike hyperplane of  $E_1^5$ , which gives form (3) and completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$ 

#### References

- B.Y. Chen, Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
- [2] B.Y. Chen, J. Deprez, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, *Curves of finite type*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds II, (1990), 76-110.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, F. Dillen and L. Verstraelen, Finite type space curves, Soochow J. Math. 12 (1986), 1-10.
- [4] B.Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, Ruled surfaces of finite type, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 42 (1990), 447-453.
- [5] H. Chung, D. Kim and K. Sohn, Finite type curves in Lorentz Minkowski plane, Honam J. Math. 17 (1995), 41-47.
- [6] F. Dillen, M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, Classification of curves of Chen type 2, Differential Geometry, in honor of R. Rosca, (1991), 101-106.

- [7] F. Dillen, I. Van de Woestijne, L. Verstraelen and J. Walrave, Curves and ruled surfaces of finite type in Minkowski space, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VII, (1995), 124–127.
- [8] M. Petrović-Torgašev and L. Verstraelen, 3-type curves in the Euclidean space E<sup>4</sup>, Novi Sad J. Math. 29 (1999), 231-247.
- [9] J.L. Synge, Relativity: the Special Theory, North-Holland Amsterdam, London, 1972.
- [10] E. Šućurović, A classification of 3-type curves in Minkowski 3-space E<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup>, II, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 68(82) (2000), 117-132.
- [11] J. Walrave, Curves and Surfaces in Minkowski Space, Doctoral thesis, K. U. Leuven, Fac. of Science, Leuven, 1995.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet 34000 Kragujevac Yugoslavia (Received 20 03 2001) (Revised 05 02 2002)

emilija@knez.uis.kg.ac.yu