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#### Abstract

Recently, Kanas and Wiśniowska [7, 8, 9] introduced the class of $k$-uniformly convex, and related class of $k$-starlike functions $(0 \leq k<\infty)$, denoted $k-\mathcal{U C V}$ and $k-\mathcal{S T}$, respectively. In the present paper a notion of generalized convexity, by applying the well known Ruscheweyh derivative, is introduced. Some extremal problems for functions satisfying the condition of generalized convexity are solved.


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ a class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U}$, by $\mathcal{C V}$ its subclass consisting of convex and univalent functions, and by $\mathcal{U C V}$ a class of uniformly convex, univalent functions in $\mathcal{U}$. Futher on, let $k-\mathcal{U C V},(0 \leq k<\infty)$, be a class of $k$-uniformly convex univalent functions in $\mathcal{U}$, introduced and investigated by Kanas and Wiśniowska in [7] and [8].

A geometric characterization of $k-\mathcal{U C V}$ is that this class is a collection of functions $f$ which map each circular arc with center at the point $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}(|\zeta| \leq k)$, onto an arc which is a convex arc. An analytic condition for members of $k-\mathcal{U C} \mathcal{V}$ was stated as:

Theorem 1.1. [7] Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and $0 \leq k<\infty$. Then $f \in k-\mathcal{U C V}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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We shall also consider the class denoted $k-\mathcal{S T}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k-\mathcal{S T}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}: \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.2) and (1.3) the class $k-\mathcal{S T}$ in a natural way emerged as the class of functions with the property that $g \in k-\mathcal{U C V}$ if and only if $z g^{\prime}(z) \in k-\mathcal{S T}$.

Setting $q(z)=1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ (and $q(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ for the case of class $k-\mathcal{S T}$ ) we may rewrite the conditions (1.2) and (1.3), respectively, in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} q(z)>k|q(z)-1| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (1.4) may be also read as a description of the range of the expression $q(z)(z \in \mathcal{U})$, that is a conic domains $\Omega_{k}$, such that $1 \in \Omega_{k}$ and $q \in \Omega_{k}$. Let $\mathcal{P}\left(p_{k}\right)$ $(0 \leq k<\infty)$, be a subclass of the well known class of Carathéodory functions $\mathcal{P}$, consisting of functions with the property (1.4). Also, let $p_{k}$ denote the ekstremal functions in $\mathcal{P}\left(p_{k}\right)$. The explicit form of functions $p_{k}$ were determined (cf. [7]). Obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+2 z+2 z^{2}+2 z^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (compare [10] or [11])

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} z+\frac{16}{3 \pi^{2}} z^{2}+\frac{184}{45 \pi^{2}} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $0<k<1$ (see [6], [7] and [8]),

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k}(z) & =\frac{1}{1-k^{2}} \cos \left\{A i \log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right\}-\frac{k^{2}}{1-k^{2}}  \tag{1.7}\\
& =1+\frac{1}{1-k^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{2 n} 2^{l}\binom{A}{l}\binom{2 n-1}{2 n-l}\right] z^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k$. Finally when $k>1$, the function $p_{k}$ has the form (cf. [7], [8])

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{k^{2}-1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 K(\kappa)} \int_{0}^{\frac{u(z)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}}\right)+\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}-1}  \tag{1.8}\\
& =1+\frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \sqrt{\kappa}\left(k^{2}-1\right) K^{2}(\kappa)(1+\kappa)} \times\left\{z+\frac{4 K^{2}(\kappa)\left(\kappa^{2}+6 \kappa+1\right)-\pi^{2}}{24 \sqrt{\kappa} K^{2}(\kappa)(1+\kappa)} z^{2}+\cdots\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
u(z)=\frac{z-\sqrt{\kappa}}{1-\sqrt{\kappa} z} \quad(0<\kappa<1, z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

where $\kappa$ is chosen, such that

$$
k=\cosh \frac{\pi K^{\prime}(\kappa)}{4 K(\kappa)}
$$

$K(\kappa)$ is Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind, and $K^{\prime}(\kappa)$ is complementary integral of $K(\kappa)$.

Ruscheweyh [12] introduced the operator $D^{\lambda}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, defined by the Hadamard product (or convolution)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\lambda} f(z)=f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} \quad(\lambda \geq-1, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{n} f(z)=\frac{z\left(z^{n-1} f(z)\right)^{(n)}}{n!} \quad\left(n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}\right) \\
D^{0} f(z)=f(z), D^{1} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z), D^{2} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)+(1 / 2) z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

We observe that the power series of $D^{\lambda} f(z)$ for the function $f$ of the form (1.1), in view of (1.9), is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\lambda} f(z)=z+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m+\lambda)}{(m-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)} a_{m} z^{m} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Ruschweyh derivative new classes of convex and starlike functions were introduced. For instance, in [12] author investigated the class denoted $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ such that Re $D^{n+1} f(z) / D^{n} f(z)>1 / 2$. He proved, among others, that $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ is a subclass of $\mathcal{S T}(1 / 2)$. Clearly $\mathcal{K}_{1}=\mathcal{C} \mathcal{V}$. Subsequent generalization is due to Al-Amiri [1], who studied the class of functions $f$ such that $D^{\lambda+1} f(z) / D^{\lambda} f(z) \prec 1 /(1-z)$.

Other approach to generalization one may find in [13], [2] and [3]. The class $\mathcal{R}_{n}=\left\{f: \operatorname{Re} z\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime} / D^{\lambda} f(z)>0\right\}$ was considered in [13] and the class $\mathcal{R}_{n}(\alpha)=\left\{f: \operatorname{Re} z\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime} / D^{\lambda} f(z)>\alpha\right\}$ was investigated in [2], [3]. Also, in [5] the class $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda}(\beta)=\left\{f: z\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime} / D^{\lambda} f(z) \prec[(1+z) /(1-z)]^{\beta}\right\}$ was studied. Therefore it seems natural to use the Ruscheweyh derivative to introduce the notion of generalized convexity related to the mentioned earlier classes $k-\mathcal{S T}$ or $k-\mathcal{U C V}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $k \in[0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \geq-1$. By $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{K}(\lambda, k)$ we denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}\right)>k\left|\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}-1\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}, k \in[0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \geq-1$. We say that the function $f$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}\right)>k\left|\frac{z\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}-1\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. It is easy to check that for $\lambda=0$ both definitions reduce to the condition (1.3) and when $\lambda=1$ the condition (1.12) coincides with (1.2).

## 2. Properties of the class $\mathcal{U K}(\lambda, k)$

In the Section 2 we will assume that $\lambda \geq-1$. By virtue of (1.11) and the properties of the domain $\Omega_{k}$ we have for $f \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{K}(\lambda, k)$ with $0 \leq k<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}\right)>\frac{k}{k+1} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}\right)\right|< \begin{cases}\arctan 1 / k & 0<k<\infty  \tag{2.2}\\ \pi / 2 & k=0\end{cases}
$$

Setting $k=1$ we get from (2.1) that $\operatorname{Re} D^{\lambda+1} f(z) / D^{\lambda} f(z)>1 / 2$ so that for $k \geq 1$ we have $\mathcal{U K}(\lambda, k) \subset \mathcal{K}_{n}$.

Taking into account the fundamental relation $p_{k}(z)=D^{\lambda+1} f_{k}(z) / D^{\lambda} f_{k}(z)$ between the extremal functions in the classes $\mathcal{P}\left(p_{k}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U K}(\lambda, k)$, and in view of $(1.10),(1.11)$ we have for $f_{k}(z)=z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ and $p_{k}(z)=1+P_{1} z+$ $P_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, a coefficients relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma(m+\lambda)}{(m-2)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)} A_{m}=\sum_{p=1}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(p+\lambda)}{(p-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)} A_{p} P_{m-p}, \quad A_{1}=1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, by a straightforward computation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=P_{1}, \quad A_{3}=\frac{P_{2}+(\lambda+1) P_{1}^{2}}{2+\lambda}, \quad A_{4}=\frac{2 P_{3}+3(1+\lambda) P_{1} P_{2}+(1+\lambda)^{2} P_{1}^{3}}{(2+\lambda)(3+\lambda)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficient $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ given in a complete form in [8].
Observe also, that the coefficients $A_{n}$ are nonnegative, since $\lambda \geq-1$ and $P_{n}$ are nonnegative.

ThEOREM 2.1. Let $k \in[0, \infty)$, and $f$ of the form (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{U K}(\lambda, k)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq A_{2},\left|a_{3}\right| \leq A_{3} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the univalency od $p_{k}$ and the relationship between $f$ and $p(z)=$ $1+p_{1} z+\cdots$, we have

$$
\frac{\Gamma(m+\lambda)}{(m-2)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)} a_{m}=\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(l+\lambda)}{(l-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)} a_{l} p_{m-l}, \quad a_{1}=1
$$

The function

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+p_{k}^{-1}(p(z))}{1-p_{k}^{-1}(p(z))}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$, and $\operatorname{Re} q(z)>0$. Since

$$
p(z)=p_{k}\left(\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} P_{1} z+\left[\frac{1}{2} c_{2} P_{1}+\frac{1}{4} c_{1}^{2}\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right] z^{2}+\cdots
$$

we have $\left|a_{2}\right|=\left|p_{1}\right| \leq\left|c_{1} P_{1}\right| / 2 \leq P_{1}=A_{2}$, where we have used the inequality $\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2$. By virtue of the same estimation and the relation $\left|p_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|p_{2}\right| \leq P_{1}{ }^{2}+P_{2}$, (cf. [8]), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2+\lambda)\left|a_{3}\right| & =\left|p_{2}\right|+(\lambda+1)\left|p_{1}^{2}\right|=\left|p_{2}\right|+\left|p_{1}\right|^{2}+\lambda\left|p_{1}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq P_{2}+P_{1}^{2}+\lambda P_{1}^{2}=P_{2}+(\lambda+1) P_{1}^{2}=(2+\lambda) A_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

Theorem 2.2. Let $0 \leq k<\infty$, and let $f$ of the form (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{U K}(\lambda, k)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{P_{1}\left(1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right)_{n-2}}{(2+\lambda)_{n-2}}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\tau)_{n}$ is the Pochhammer symbol.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1 the result is clearly true for $n=2$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be an integer number satisfying $n \geq 2$ and assume that the inequality is true for all $l \leq n-1$. Then for $p \in P\left(p_{k}\right), p(z)=1+p_{1} z+\cdots$ and $p(z)=D^{\lambda+1} f(z) / D^{\lambda} f(z)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{n}\right| & =\left|\frac{(n-2)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(l+\lambda)}{(l-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)} a_{l} p_{n-l}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(n-2)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}\left[P_{1}+\sum_{l=2}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(l+\lambda)}{(l-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)} \frac{P_{1}\left(1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right)_{l-2}}{(2+\lambda)_{l-2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{(n-2)!\Gamma(2+\lambda) P_{1}}{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}\left[1+\sum_{l=2}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(l+\lambda)}{(l-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)} \frac{\left(1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right)_{l-2}}{(2+\lambda)_{l-2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have applied the induction hypothesis to the $\left|a_{l}\right|$ and the Rogosinski result $\left|p_{j}\right| \leq P_{1}$. Since

$$
\frac{\Gamma(l+\lambda)}{\Gamma(1+\lambda)(2+\lambda)_{l-2}}=1+\lambda
$$

it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{l=2}^{n-1} \frac{1+\lambda}{(l-1)!}\left(1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right)_{l-2}=\frac{\left(1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right)_{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above is true by the sequence of conversions, below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\sum_{l=2}^{n-1} \frac{1+\lambda}{(l-1)!}\left(1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right)_{l-2} \\
& =\frac{1}{(n-2)!}\left\{(n-2)!+(n-2)!(1+\lambda) P_{1}+\frac{(n-2)!}{2!}(1+\lambda) P_{1}\left[1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{(n-2)!}{3!}(1+\lambda) P_{1}\left[1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right]\left[2+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right]+\cdots+\left[n-3+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{(n-2)!}\left[1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right]\left\{(n-2)!+\frac{(n-2)!}{2!}(1+\lambda) P_{1}+\cdots+\left[n-3!+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{(n-2)!}\left[1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right]\left[2+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right] \cdots\left[n-3+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right] \\
& =\frac{\left(1+(1+\lambda) P_{1}\right)_{n-2}}{(n-2)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

as asserted in (2.7).
Corollary 2.1. For $\lambda=0$ Theorem 2.2 reduces to the coefficients estimates in the class $k-\mathcal{S T}$ (cf. [9]).

THEOREM 2.3. If for the function $f$ of the form (1.1) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!}[k(n-1)+\lambda+n]\left|a_{n}\right|<\Gamma(2+\lambda) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $k \in[0, \infty)$ then $f \in \mathcal{U K}(\lambda, k)$.
Proof. The condition (1.11) is equivalent to

$$
S:=k\left|\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}-1\right|-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}-1\right)<1 .
$$

Then

$$
S \leq(k+1)\left|\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}-1\right|=(k+1)\left|\frac{z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)} a_{n} z^{n}}{z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)} a_{n} z^{n}}-1\right|<1
$$

if

$$
(k+1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)}\left[\frac{n+\lambda}{1+\lambda}-1\right]\left|a_{n}\right|<1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)}\left|a_{n}\right|
$$

which holds when the inequality (2.8) is fulfilled.
Corollary 2.2. For $\lambda=0$ Theorem 2.3 coincides with results obtained in [9].

Theorem 2.4. Let $k \in[0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \geq-1$. The function $f$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U K}(\lambda, k)$ if and only if $(f * H)(z) / z \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{U}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+2}}\left[1-\frac{B z}{B-1}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=t k \pm \sqrt{t^{2}-(t k-1)^{2}}, \quad\left(t^{2}-(t k-1)^{2} \geq 0, t \geq 0\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The condition (1.11) means that the values of $D^{\lambda+1} f(z) / D^{\lambda} f(z)(z \in$ $\mathcal{U})$ lie in a conic domain $\Omega_{k}$. Since $\partial \Omega_{k}=\left\{u+i v: u^{2}=k^{2}(u-1)^{2}+k^{2} v^{2}\right\}$ the condition (1.11) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{D^{\lambda} f(z)} \neq t k \pm \sqrt{t^{2}-(t k-1)^{2}}=B \quad\left(z \in \mathcal{U}, t^{2}-(t k-1)^{2} \geq 0, t \geq 0\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the definition of $D^{\lambda} f(z)$ and properties of Hadamard product, (2.11) will hold if $(f * H)(z) / z \neq 0$, with the function $H$ given by (2.9).

Theorem 2.5. The coefficients $h_{n}$ of the function $H$ given by (2.9) satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{n}\right| \leq[\lambda+n+k(n-1)] \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \quad(n=2,3, \ldots) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the power series of the function $H$ we have

$$
h_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)}\left(\lambda+\frac{B-n}{B-1}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h_{n}\right|^{2} & =\left[\frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)}\right]^{2}\left[(\lambda+1)^{2}-\frac{2 k(1+\lambda)(n-1)}{t}+\frac{(n-1)(2 \lambda+n+1)}{t^{2}}\right] \\
& =:\left[\frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(2+\lambda)}\right]^{2} v(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $v(t)$ is decreasing in the interval $\left[1 /(k+1), t_{0}\right)$ and increasing in $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ with $t_{0}=(2 \lambda+n+1) /[k(1+\lambda)]$ with its minimum at $t_{0}$. The limit of $v(t)$ as $t$ tends to infinity is equal to $(1+\lambda)^{2}$, and $v(1 /(k+1))=[\lambda+n+k(n-1)]^{2} \geq(1+\lambda)^{2}$. Thus the maximal value of $v(t)$ is attained at the point $1 /(k+1)$, so the coefficients of $H$ must satisfy the inequality (2.12).

Corollary 2.3. The function $g(z)=z+C z^{n} \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{K}(\lambda, k)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C| \leq \frac{(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+2)}{[\lambda+n+k(n-1)] \Gamma(\lambda+n)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we prove the sufficient condition. Since

$$
\left|\frac{(g * H)(z)}{z}\right|=\left|1+h_{n} C z^{n-1}\right| \geq 1-\left|h_{n} C z\right| \geq 1-|z|>0 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

then $g \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{K}(\lambda, k)$. Assume next, for neccessity, that $g \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{K}(\lambda, k)$, and

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda+n+k(n-1)] \Gamma(\lambda+n)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+2)} z^{n} .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{(g * h)(z)}{z}=1+C \frac{[\lambda+n+k(n-1)] \Gamma(\lambda+n)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+2)} z^{n-1}
$$

Thus, for $|C|>[\lambda+n+k(n-1)] \Gamma(\lambda+n)] /[(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+2)]$ there exists a point $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(g * h)(\zeta) / \zeta=0$, so that the inequality (2.13) must hold.

## 3. Properties of the class $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$

Assume, like in Section 2 that $\lambda \geq-1$. First observe that the class $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$ is closely related to the class $k-\mathcal{S T}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{U \mathcal { R }}(\lambda, k) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad D^{\lambda} f(z) \in k-\mathcal{S T} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying relation (3.1) numerous properties of the class $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$ may be transformed from the class $k-\mathcal{S T}$.

By the equivalence $p_{k}(z)=z\left(D^{\lambda} f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime} / D^{\lambda} f_{k}(z)$ between classes $\mathcal{P}\left(p_{k}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$, and in view of (1.10), (1.12) we have for $f_{k}(z)=z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ and $p_{k}(z)=1+P_{1} z+P_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma(m+\lambda)}{(m-2)!} A_{m}=\sum_{p=1}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(p+\lambda)}{(p-1)!} A_{p} P_{m-p}, A_{1}=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\frac{P_{1}}{1+\lambda}, \quad A_{3}=\frac{P_{2}+P_{1}^{2}}{(1+\lambda)(2+\lambda)}, \quad A_{4}=\frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda)}{\Gamma(4+\lambda)}\left[2 P_{3}+3 P_{1} P_{2}+P_{1}^{3}\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficient $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ given in a complete form in [8].
Theorem 3.1. Let $k \in[0, \infty)$, and $f$ of the form (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{U R}(\lambda, k)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq A_{2},\left|a_{3}\right| \leq A_{3}, \text { for } k \in[0, \infty), \text { and } \quad\left|a_{4}\right| \leq A_{4}, \text { when } k \in[0,1] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Proof follows immediately from the relation (3.1) and the results obtained in the paper [9].

THEOREM 3.2. Let $0 \leq k<\infty$, and let $f$ of the form (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{\left(P_{1}\right)_{n-1} \Gamma(1+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying the relation (3.1) and the estimates of coefficients in the class $k-\mathcal{S T}$ we obtain the desired result.

Theorem 3.3. If for the function $f$ of the form (1.1) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(1+\lambda)}[n(k+1)-k]\left|a_{n}\right|<1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true for some $k \in[0, \infty)$ then $f \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$.
Proof. Reasoning along the same line as in proof of Theorem 2.3 we have the condition (3.6).

THEOREM 3.4. Let $k \in[0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \geq-1$. The function $f$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$ if and only if $(f * G)(z) / z \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{U}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+2}}\left[1-\frac{(B+\lambda) z}{B-1}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $B$ defined in (2.10).
Proof. Bearing in mind the relation (3.1) and the duality results in the class $k-\mathcal{S T}$ (cf. [9]) we get the thesis.

THEOREM 3.5. The coefficients $g_{n}$ of the function $G$ given by (3.7) satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}\right| \leq[n(k+1)-k] \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the power series of the function $G$ we get

$$
g_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \frac{B-n}{B-1}
$$

The expression $[\Gamma(\lambda+n)] /[(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+1)]$ does not depend on $B=B(t)$, so $g_{n}$ attains its maximum at maximum of the factor $[B-n] /[B-1]$, namely at $t_{0}=1 /(k+1)$. The maximum is equal to $n(k+1)-k$ (cf. [9]). Hence we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 3.1. The function $g(z)=z+C z^{n} \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{R}(\lambda, k)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C| \leq \frac{(n-1)!\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{[n(k+1)-k] \Gamma(\lambda+n)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3.5 and the reasoning similar to that in Section 2.
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