

A NOTE ON A THEOREM OF I. VIDAV

Vladimir Rakočević

Communicated by Mirosljub Jevtić

ABSTRACT. We give a new proof of an important result of Vidav.

We shall consider only bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. Vidav [10] in the very interesting paper *On idempotent operators in a Hilbert space*, among other things, proved the following result:

THEOREM 1. (Vidav [10, Theorem 2]) *The equations*

$$(1.1) \quad FF^* = A, \quad F^*F = B$$

can be solved with an idempotent operator F if and only if A and B are selfadjoint operators satisfying the relations

$$(1.2) \quad ABA = A^2, \quad BAB = B^2.$$

F is uniquely determined.

Let us recall that Vidav gave two proofs of Theorem 1, that is, the first proof is geometric and the second proof is algebraically. The essential part in the both proofs is that (1.2) implies (1.1). The aim of this note is to give an alternative proof of Theorem 1, that is (1.2) implies (1.1). Our method of proof is new, and we use some properties of theory of generalized inverses.

Let us recall that Drazin [2] has introduced and investigated a generalized inverse (he called it *pseudoinverse*) in associative rings and semigroups, i.e., if S is an algebraic semigroup (or associative ring), then an element $a \in S$ is said to have a *Drazin inverse* if there exists $x \in S$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad a^m = a^{m+1}x \quad \text{for some non-negative integer } m,$$

$$(1.4) \quad x = ax^2 \quad \text{and} \quad ax = xa.$$

If a has Drazin inverse, then the smallest non-negative integer m in (1.3) is called the *index* $i(a)$ of a . It is well known that there is at most one x such that equations (1.3) and (1.4) hold. The unique x is denoted by a^D and called the *Drazin inverse* of a . For recent results on Drazin inverse see e.g. [3], [5], [6], [7], [9].

Let A denote a unital C^* -algebra. An element $a \in A$ is *Moore-Penrose invertible* if there exists $x \in A$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad axa = a, \quad xax = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax, \quad \text{and} \quad (xa)^* = xa.$$

There is at most one element x satisfying (1.5); this is well known result of Penrose [7]. If a is Moore-Penrose invertible, the unique solution of (1.5) is called the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of a and is denoted by a^\dagger . For recent results on Moore-Penrose inverse see e.g. [3], [4], [6], [7], [9].

If $a \in A$, then $\sigma(a)$ and $\text{acc } \sigma(a)$ denote the spectrum and the set of all accumulation points of $\sigma(a)$, respectively; a is *quasipolar* if $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma(a)$, and *polar* if it is quasipolar and 0 is at most a pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda; a) = (\lambda - a)^{-1}$ of a . In particular, a is *simply polar* if 0 is at most a simple pole of $R(\lambda; a)$ [3], [5], [6], [7].

Let us recall that $a \in A$ is *positive* if $a^* = a$ and $\sigma(a) \subset [0, +\infty)$. Notation: $a \geq 0$ [1], [3].

THEOREM 2. *Let A denote a unital C^* -algebra. The equations*

$$(2.1) \quad ff^* = a, \quad f^*f = b$$

can be solved with an idempotent element f if and only if a and b are selfadjoint elements satisfying the relations

$$(2.2) \quad aba = a^2, \quad bab = b^2.$$

f is uniquely determined.

Proof. We shall only prove that (2.2) implies (2.1). Suppose that (2.2) holds, and that a and b are not invertible. Then

$$(2.3) \quad a(b-1)[a(b-1)]^* = a(b-1)^2a = a^3 - a^2 \geq 0.$$

By the spectral mapping theorem 0 is isolated point of $\sigma(a)$. Hence a is Drazin invertible [5, Theorem 4.2] and by [6, Lemma 1.5] a is simply polar and $i(a) = 1$. Thus a is Moore-Penrose invertible [4, Theorem 6], [6, Theorem 2.8], and since $a = a^*$ we have $a^D = a^\dagger$ [6, Example 2.3]. Hence $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$. Let us define

$$(2.4) \quad f = a^\dagger ab.$$

Now, by (2.2) we have

$$(2.5) \quad f^2 = (a^\dagger ab)(a^\dagger ab) = (a^\dagger(aba)(a^\dagger b)) = a^\dagger a^2 a^\dagger b = a^\dagger ab = f,$$

that is f is idempotent. Again by (2.2) we get

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} ff^* &= (a^\dagger ab)(a^\dagger ab)^* = a^\dagger a(b^2)aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a(bab)aa^\dagger \\ &= a^\dagger(aba)baa^\dagger = a^\dagger(a^2)baa^\dagger = (aba)a^\dagger = a^2a^\dagger = a. \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that by (2.3) $\sigma(a) \subset \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$. Hence $a \geq 0$. We can conclude that $b \geq 0$. Further

$$(2.7) \quad (ba^\dagger a)(ba^\dagger a)^* = (ba^\dagger a)(a^\dagger ab) = ba^\dagger ab \geq 0.$$

By (2.2)

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (ba^\dagger ab)^2 &= ba^\dagger a(b^2)a^\dagger ab = ba^\dagger a(bab)a^\dagger ab = ba^\dagger(aba)ba^\dagger ab = \\ &= ba^\dagger(a^2)ba^\dagger ab = b(aba)a^\dagger b = b(a^2)a^\dagger b = bab = b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since each positive element has a unique positive square root [1], [3] by (2.7) and (2.8) we get

$$(2.9) \quad ba^\dagger ab = b.$$

Finally, (2.9) implies

$$f^*f = (a^\dagger ab)^*(a^\dagger ab) = ba^\dagger ab = b.$$

Hence it is proved that (2.2) implies (2.1). \square

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to Professor J. J. Koliha who kindly provided me with copies of his recent papers and preprints.

References

1. S. K. Berberian, *Lectures in Functional Analysis and Operator Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974.
2. M. P. Drazin, *Pseudoinverse in associative rings and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Monthly **65** (1958), 506–514.
3. R. Harte, *Invertibility and Singularity for Bounded Linear Operators*, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1988.
4. R. Harte and M. Mbekhta, *On generalized inverses in C^* -algebras*, Studia Math. **103** (1992), 71–77.
5. J. J. Koliha, *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math. J. **38** (1996), 367–381.
6. J. J. Koliha, *The Drazin and Moore-Penrose inverse in C^* -algebras*, The University of Melbourne, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Preprint, January (1998).
7. J. J. Koliha and V. Rakočević, *Continuity of the Drazin inverse II*, Studia Math. **131** (1998), 167–177.
8. R. Penrose, *A generalized inverse for matrices*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **51** (1955), 406–413.
9. V. Rakočević, *Continuity of the Drazin inverse*, J. Operator Theory **41** (1999), 55–68.
10. I. Vidav, *On idempotent operators in a Hilbert space*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **4(18)** (1964), 157–163.

Filozofski fakultet
18000 Niš
Yugoslavia

vrakoc@bankerinter.net
vrakoc@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu

(Received 16 07 1999)