

## ON ONE HILBERT'S PROBLEM FOR THE LERCH ZETA-FUNCTION

R. Garunkštis and A. Laurinčikas

*Communicated by Aleksandar Ivić*

**Abstract.** The functional independence of the Lerch zeta-function  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  is obtained. The cases of transcendental and rational  $\alpha$  are considered.

### 1. Introduction

During the International Congress of Mathematicians in 1900 D. Hilbert raised a problem of algebraic-differential independence for functions given by Dirichlet series. Let  $s$  be a complex variable, and let, as usual,  $\zeta(s)$  denote the Riemann zeta-function. D. Hilbert noted that an algebraic-differential independence of  $\zeta(s)$  can be proved using the algebraic-differential independence of the Euler gamma-function  $\Gamma(s)$  and the functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$ . He also conjectured that there is no algebraic-differential equation with partial derivatives which can be satisfied by the function

$$\zeta(s, x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m^s}.$$

This conjecture was proved independently by D. D. Mordukhai-Boltovskoi [6] and by A. Ostrowski [7]. A. G. Postnikov [8] generalized the Hilbert problem for a system of Dirichlet series considering their differential independence. In [9] he dealt with the function

$$L(x, s, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s} x^m,$$

where  $\chi(m)$  is a Dirichlet character modulo  $q$ , and proved that the equation

$$P\left(x, s, \frac{\partial^{l+r} L(x, s, \chi)}{\partial x^l \partial s^r}\right) \equiv 0$$

---

*AMS Subject Classification* (1991): Primary 11M35, 11M41

*Keywords:* Lerch zeta-function, functional independence, universality.

Partially supported by Grant from Lithuanian Foundation of Studies and Science.

can not be satisfied for any polynomial  $P$ . S. M. Voronin [10], [12] obtained the functional independence of the Riemann zeta-function, see also [3]. Let  $F_l$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , be continuous functions, and let the equality

$$\sum_{l=0}^n s^l F_l(\zeta(s), \zeta'(s), \dots, \zeta^{(N-1)}(s)) = 0$$

be valid identically for  $s$ . Then he proved that  $F_l \equiv 0$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . The functional independence of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions and of Dirichlet series with multiplicative coefficients was obtained in [1], [11], [12], and in [2], [3], respectively.

The aim of this note is to prove the functional independence of the Lerch zeta-function. We recall that the Lerch zeta-function  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ , for  $\sigma > 1$ , is given by the following Dirichlet series

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s},$$

and otherwise by analytic continuation. Here  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , are real parameters. If  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integer numbers, then  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  is an entire function. In the case  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$  the Lerch zeta-function reduces to the Hurwitz zeta-function  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ . In this note we will discuss the case  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$  only. So we can suppose  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Let  $N$  be a natural number.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a transcendental number. Let  $F_l$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , be continuous functions, and let the equality*

$$\sum_{l=0}^n s^l F_l(L(\lambda, \alpha, s), L'(\lambda, \alpha, s), \dots, L^{(N-1)}(\lambda, \alpha, s)) = 0$$

*be valid identically for  $s$ . Then  $F_l \equiv 0$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .*

The case when  $\alpha$  is a rational number is more complicated. Let  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q}$ ,  $1 \leq a < q$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$ . In this case we suppose that the parameter  $\lambda$  is rational, too. Let  $\lambda = \frac{l}{r}$ ,  $1 \leq l < r$ ,  $(l, r) = 1$ . Moreover, we take  $k = rq$ ,  $d = (k, m)$ ,  $\beta_m = \frac{lm}{k}$ . Then, for  $\sigma > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ d=1}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \beta_m}}{m^s} = \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{v=0}^{\varphi(k)-1} \eta_v L(s, \chi_v),$$

where

$$\eta_v = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \equiv a \pmod{q}}}^k e^{2\pi i \beta_m} \bar{\chi}_v(m), \quad v = 0, 1, \dots, \varphi(k) - 1.$$

Here  $\chi_v$  denote the Dirichlet characters modulo  $k$ ,  $L(s, \chi_v)$  are the corresponding Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, and  $\varphi(k)$ , as usual, stands for the Euler function.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\lambda = \frac{l}{r}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q}$  be rational numbers. Suppose that there exists at least two primitive characters modulo  $k$  such that the corresponding numbers  $\eta_v$  are distinct from zero. Let the equality*

$$\sum_{l=0}^n s^l F_l \left( q^{-s} L(\lambda, \alpha, s), (q^{-s} L(\lambda, \alpha, s))', \dots, (q^{-s} L(\lambda, \alpha, s))^{(N-1)} \right) = 0$$

be valid identically for  $s$ . Then  $F_l \equiv 0$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

## 2. Auxiliary results

The proof of Theorem 1 and 2 is based on the universality property of the Lerch zeta-function. The universality of  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  was obtained in [4] and [5]. Let  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$  where  $\mathbb{C}$  stands for the complex plane. Denote by  $\text{meas}\{A\}$  the Lebesgue measure of the set  $A$ , and let, for  $T > 0$ ,

$$\nu_T(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T], \dots \},$$

where instead of dots we write a condition satisfied by  $\tau$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a transcendental number. Let  $K$  be a compact subset of the strip  $D$  with connected complement. Let  $f(s)$  be a continuous function on  $K$  which is analytic in the interior of  $K$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left( \sup_{s \in K} |L(\lambda, \alpha, s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

*Proof* of the lemma is given in [4].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\lambda = \frac{l}{r}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q}$  be rational numbers. Suppose there exist at least two primitive characters modulo  $k$  such that the corresponding numbers  $\eta_v$  are distinct from zero. Let  $0 < R < \frac{1}{4}$ , and let  $f(s)$  be a continuous function on the disc  $|s| \leq R$  and analytic in the interior of this disc. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left( \max_{|s| \leq R} \left| q^{-s - \frac{3}{4} - i\tau} L(\lambda, \alpha, s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau) - f(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

*Proof* of the lemma is given in [5].

We note that the proof of Lemma 1 is based on a limit theorem in the sense of the weak convergence of probability measures for  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  in the space of analytic functions  $H(D)$ . Let

$$\Omega_1 = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m,$$

where  $\gamma_m = \gamma$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\gamma\{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$ . Then  $\Omega_1$  is a compact Abelian topological group, and we have the probability space  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1), m_{1H})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  denotes the class of Borel sets of the space  $S$  and  $m_{1H}$  is the Haar measure on  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1))$ . Let  $\omega_1(m)$  stand for the projection of  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  to the coordinate space  $\gamma_m$ , and let

$$L_1(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega_1) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m} \omega_1(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s} \quad s \in D, \omega_1 \in \Omega_1.$$

Then  $L_1(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega_1)$  is an  $H(D)$ -valued random element defined on the probability space  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1), m_{1H})$ . Note that  $\{\omega_1(m), m = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is a sequence of independent random variables with respect to the measure  $m_{1H}$ . Now, using the linear independence over the field of rational numbers  $Q$  of the system  $\{\log(m + \alpha), m = 0, 1, \dots\}$  it is proved that the probability measure

$$P_T(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nu_T(L(\lambda, \alpha, s + i\tau) \in A, A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))),$$

converges weakly to the distribution of the random element  $L_1(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega_1)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . From this Lemma 1 easily follows.

In the case of rational  $\alpha$  the system  $\{\log(m + \alpha), m = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is not linearly independent over  $Q$ , and we must consider the system  $\{\log p, p \text{ is prime}\}$ . In this case the torus  $\Omega_1$  is changed by

$$\Omega_2 = \prod_p \gamma_p,$$

where  $\gamma_p = \gamma$  for all primes  $p$ , and we obtain the probability space  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_2), m_{2H})$  denoting by  $m_{2H}$  the Haar measure on  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_2))$ . Let  $\omega_2(p)$  stand for the projection of  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$  to the coordinate space  $\gamma_p$ , for a natural  $m$

$$\omega_2(m) = \prod_{p^\alpha \parallel m} \omega_2^\alpha(p),$$

and let

$$L_2(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega_2) = \omega_2(q) q^s e^{-(2\pi i \lambda a)/q} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \equiv a \pmod{q}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{(2\pi i \lambda m)/q} \omega_2(m)}{m^s}, \quad \omega_2 \in \Omega_2, s \in D.$$

Then it is proved that the probability measure  $P_T$  converges weakly to the distribution of the  $H(D)$ -valued random element  $L_2(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega_2)$ . Unfortunately, the random variables  $\omega_2(m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ , are not independent with respect to the measure  $m_{2H}$ , and therefore the above mentioned limit theorem for  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  in the space  $H(D)$  cannot be used to obtain the universality theorem. To prove Lemma 2 we write  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  in the form of a linear combination of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions and

then we apply a joint universality theorem for  $L$ -functions. However, this approach require a condition indicated in the statement of the lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $\alpha$  is a transcendental number. Let the map  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  be defined by the formula*

$$h(t) = \left( L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it), L'(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it), \dots, L^{(N-1)}(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it) \right), \quad \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1.$$

*Then the image of  $\mathbb{R}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that it is sufficient to prove that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for arbitrary complex numbers  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1}$  there exists a number  $\tau$  such that

$$|L^{(j)}(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + i\tau) - s_j| < \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ . We consider a polynomial

$$p_N(s) = \frac{s_{N-1}s^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} + \frac{s_{N-2}s^{N-2}}{(N-2)!} + \dots + \frac{s_0}{0!}.$$

Then, clearly,

$$p_N^{(j)}(0) = s_j$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \frac{1}{2} < \sigma_1 < 1$ , be fixed, and let  $K$  be a compact subset of the strip  $D$  such that  $\sigma_1$  is an interior point of  $K$ . Denote by  $\delta$  the distance of  $\sigma_1$  from the boundary of  $K$ . Using Lemma 1, we find a number  $\tau$  such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} |L(\lambda, \alpha, s + i\tau) - p_N(s - \sigma_1)| < \frac{\varepsilon \delta^N}{2^N N!}. \quad (2)$$

Hence the Cauchy integral formula

$$L^{(j)}(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma_1 + i\tau) - s_j = \frac{j!}{2\pi i} \int_{|s - \sigma_1| = \delta/2} \frac{L(\lambda, \alpha, s + i\tau) - p_N(s - \sigma_1)}{(s - \sigma_1)^{j+1}} ds$$

together with (2) yield (1).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\lambda = \frac{l}{r}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q}$  be rational numbers. Suppose there exist at least two primitive characters modulo  $k$  such that the corresponding numbers  $\eta_v$  are distinct from zero. Let the map  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  be defined by the formula*

$$h(t) = \left( (q^{-\sigma - it} L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it)), (q^{-\sigma - it} L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it))', \dots, (q^{-\sigma - it} L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it))^{(N-1)} \right), \quad \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1.$$

*Then the image of  $\mathbb{R}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .*

*Proof of the lemma uses Lemma 2 and completely coincides with that of Lemma 3.*

### 3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We use the Voronin method [1], [12]. It is sufficient to prove that  $F_n \equiv 0$ . Let contrary to the assertion of the theorem  $F_n \not\equiv 0$ . Hence it follows there exists a bounded region  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that the inequality

$$|F_n(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1})| > c > 0 \quad (3)$$

holds for all points  $(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{G}$ . By Lemma 3 there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\}$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$ , such that

$$(L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it_k), L'(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it_k), \dots, L^{(N-1)}(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it_k)) \in \mathcal{G}.$$

However this and (3) contradict the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence  $F_n \equiv 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2* is similar to that of Theorem 1, and it uses Lemma 4.

### REFERENCES

1. A. A. Karatsuba and S. M. Voronin, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
2. A. Laurinčikas, *On the universality theorem*, Liet. matem. Rink. **23**(3) (1983), 53–62 (in Russian).
3. A. Laurinčikas, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer; Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996.
4. A. Laurinčikas, *The universality of the Lerch zeta-function*, Lith. Math. J. **37**(3) (1997), 275–280.
5. A. Laurinčikas, *On the Lerch zeta-function with rational parameters*, Lith. Math. J. (to appear).
6. D. D. Mordukhai-Boltovskoi, *On the Hilbert problem*, Izv. Politech. Inst., Warszawa, 1914 (in Russian).
7. A. Ostrowski, *Über Dirichletsche Reihen und algebraische Differential-gleichungen*, Math. Z. **8** (1920), 241–298.
8. A. G. Postnikov, *On the differential independence of Dirichlet series*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **66**(4) (1949), 561–564, (in Russian).
9. A. G. Postnikov, *Generalization of one Hilbert's problem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **107**(4) (1956), 512–515, (in Russian).
10. S. M. Voronin, *On the differential independence of  $\zeta$ -functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **209**(6) (1973), 1264–1266, (in Russian).
11. S. M. Voronin, *On the functional independence of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions*, Acta Arith. **27** (1975), 493–503, (1975) (in Russian).
12. S. M. Voronin, *Analytic properties of generating Dirichlet functions of arithmetical objects*, Thesis Doctor phys.-matem. nauk, Moscow, 1977, (in Russian).

Department of Mathematics  
 Vilnius University  
 Naugarduko, 24  
 2006 Vilnius, Lithuania  
 antanas.laurincikas@maf.vu.lt

(Received 12 03 1998)