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#### Abstract

We study singular points of lightlike hypersurfaces of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ and the geometry of such hypersurfaces and use them for construction of an invariant normalization and an invariant affine connection of lightlike hypersurfaces.


Introduction. It is well-known that the pseudo-Riemannian manifolds $(M, g)$ of Lorentzian signature play a special role in geometry and physics, and that they are models of spacetime of general relativity. At the tangent space $T_{x}$ of an arbitrary point $x$ of such a manifold, one can invariantly define a real isotropic cone $C_{x}$. From the point of view of physics, this cone is the light cone: trajectories of light impulses emanating from the point $x$ are tangent to this cone.

Hypersurfaces of a Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$ can be of three types: spacelike, timelike, and lightlike (see, for example, [14] or [4]). For definiteness, we will assume that $\operatorname{dim} M=n+1$ and $\operatorname{sign} g=(n, 1)$.

The tangent hyperplane to a spacelike hypersurface $U^{n}$ at any point does not have real common points with the light cone $C_{x}$. This implies that on $U^{n}$ a proper Riemannian metric is induced. The tangent hyperplane to a timelike hypersurface $U^{n}$ at any point intersects the light cone $C_{x}$ along an $(n-1)$-dimensional cone. This implies that on $U^{n}$ a pseudo-Riemannian metric of Lorentzian signature $(n-1,1)$ is induced. Finally, the tangent hyperplane to a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ at any point is tangent to the light cones $C_{x}$. This implies that on $U^{n}$ a degenerate Riemannian metric signature $(n-1,0)$ is induced.

On spacelike and timelike hypersurfaces of a manifold of Lorentzian signature, an invariant normalization and an affine Levi-Civita connection are induced by a

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 53B20
This research was partially supported by the Volkswagen-Stiftung (RiP-program at Oberwolfach).
first-order neighborhood while on lightlike hypersurfaces one should use differential neighborhoods of higher order to construct an invariant normalization and an affine connection,

From the point of view of physics lightlike hypersurfaces are of great importance since they are models of different types of horizons studied in general relativity: event horizons, Cauchy's horizons, Kruskal's horizons (see [8] and [13]). This is the reason that the study of geometric structure of lightlike hypersurfaces is of interest.

In the current paper we consider lightlike hypersurfaces in the de Sitter space (a pseudo-Riemannian space of Lorentzian signature and constant positive curvature), study their geometric structure, and prove that there are singular points and singular submanifolds on them.

The de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ admits a realization on the exterior of an $n$ dimensional oval hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ of a projective space $P^{n+1}$. Thus the de Sitter space is isometric to a pseudoelliptic space, $S_{1}^{n+1} \sim \operatorname{ext} Q^{n}$. Since the interior of the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ is isometric to the hyperbolic geometry of the Lobachevsky space $H^{n+1}, H^{n+1} \sim \operatorname{int} Q^{n}$ and the geometry of $Q^{n}$ itself is equivalent to that of an $n$-dimensional conformal space $C^{n}, C^{n} \sim Q^{n}$, the groups of motions of these three spaces are isomorphic to each other and are isomorphic to the group $\mathbf{S O}(n+2,1)$ of rotations of a pseudo-Euclidean space $R_{1}^{n+2}$ of Lorentzian signature. This allows us to apply the apparatus developed in the book [4] for the conformal space $C^{n}$ to the study of the de Sitter space.

Note also that the geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of different signatures was the subject of many journal papers and even two books [9] and [12]. However, the geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces in the de Sitter space was not studied in spite of the fact that this geometry has many interesting geometric features.

In the present paper we study the geometry of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ using its connection with the geometry of the conformal space. We prove that the geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ is directly connected with the geometry of hypersurfaces of the conformal space $C^{n}$. The latter was studied in detail in the papers of the first author (see [1], [2]) and also in the book [4]. This simplifies the study of lightlike hypersurfaces of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ and makes possible to apply for their consideration the apparatus constructed in the conformal theory.

In Section 1 we study the geometry of the de Sitter space and its connection with the geometry of the conformal space. Next we study lightlike hypersurfaces $U^{n}$ in the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$, investigate their structure, and prove that such a hypersurface is tangentially degenerate of rank $r \leq n-1$. Its rectilinear or plane generators form an isotropic fibre bundle on $U^{n}$.

In Sections 2-5 we investigate lightlike hypersurfaces $U^{n}$ of maximal rank, and for their study we use the relationship between the geometry of such hypersurfaces and the geometry of hypersurfaces of the conformal space. For a lightlike hypersur-
face, we construct the fundamental quadratic forms and connections determined by a normalization of a hypersurface by means of a distribution (the screen distribution) which is complementary to the isotropic distribution. The screen distribution plays an important role in the book [9] since it defines a connection on a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$, and it appears to be important for applications. We prove that the screen distribution on a lightlike hypersurface can be constructed invariantly by means of quantities from a third-order differential neighborhood, that is, such a distribution is intrinsically connected with the geometry of a hypersurface.

In Section 5 we study singular points of a lightlike hypersurface in the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$, classify them, and describe the structure of hypersurfaces carrying singular points of different types. Moreover, we establish the connection of this classification with that of canal hypersurfaces of the conformal space.

The principal method of our investigation is the method of moving frames and exterior differential forms in the form in which it is presented in the books [3] and [4]. All functions considered in the paper are assumed to be real and differentiable, and all manifolds are assumed to be smooth with the possible exception of some isolated singular points and singular submanifolds.

1. The de Sitter space. 1. In a projective space $P^{n+1}$ of dimension $n+1$ we consider an oval hyperquadric $Q^{n}$. Let $x$ be a point of the space $P^{n+1}$ with projective coordinates $\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n+1}\right)$. The hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ is determined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, x):=g_{\xi \eta} x^{\xi} x^{\eta}=0, \quad \xi, \eta=0, \ldots, n+1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose left-hand side is a quadratic form $(x, x)$ of signature $(n+1,1)$. The hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ divides the space $P^{n+1}$ into two parts, external and internal. Normalize the quadratic form $(x, x)$ in such a way that for the points of the external part the inequality $(x, x)>0$ holds. This external domain is a model of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ (see [15]). We will identify the external domain of $Q^{n}$ with the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. The hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ is the absolute of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$.

On the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ of the space $P^{n+1}$ the geometry of a conformal space $C^{n}$ is realized. The bijective mapping $C^{n} \leftrightarrow Q^{n}$ is called the Darboux mapping, and the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ itself is called the Darboux hyperquadric.

Under the Darboux mapping to hyperspheres of the space $C^{n}$ there correspond cross-sections of the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ by hyperplanes $\xi$. But to a hyperplane $\xi$ there corresponds a point $x$ that is polar-conjugate to $\xi$ with respect to $Q^{n}$ and lies outside of $Q^{n}$, that is, a point of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. Thus to hyperspheres of the space $C^{n}$ there correspond points of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$.

Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. The tangent lines from the point $x$ to the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ form a second-order cone $C_{x}$ with vertex at the point $x$. This cone is called the isotropic cone. For spacetime whose model is the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ this cone is the light cone, and its generators are lines of propagation of light impulses whose source coincides with the point $x$.

The cone $C_{x}$ separates all straight lines passing through the point $x$ into spacelike (not having common points with the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ ), timelike (intersecting $Q^{n}$ in two different points), and lightlike (tangent to $Q^{n}$ ). The lightlike straight lines are generators of the cone $C_{x}$.

To a spacelike straight line $l \subset S_{1}^{n+1}$ there corresponds an elliptic pencil of hyperspheres in the conformal space $C^{n}$. All hyperspheres of this pencil pass through a common $(n-2)$-sphere $S^{n-2}$ (the center of this pencil). The sphere $S^{n-2}$ is the intersection of the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ and the $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace of the space $P^{n+1}$ which is polar-conjugate to the line $l$ with respect to the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$.

To a timelike straight line $l \subset S_{1}^{n+1}$ there corresponds a hyperbolic pencil of hyperspheres in the space $C^{n}$. Two arbitrary hyperspheres of this pencil do not have common points, and the pencil contains two hyperspheres of zero radius which correspond to the points of intersection of the straight line $l$ and the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$.

Finally, to a lightlike straight line $l \subset S_{1}^{n+1}$ there corresponds a parabolic pencil of hyperspheres in the space $C^{n}$ consisting of hyperspheres tangent one to another at a point that is a unique hypersphere of zero radius belonging to this pencil.

Hyperplanes of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ are also divided into three types. Spacelike hyperplanes do not have common points with the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$; a timelike hyperplane intersects $Q^{n}$ along a real hypersphere; and lightlike hyperplanes are tangent to $Q^{n}$. Subspaces of any dimension $r, 2 \leq r \leq n-1$, can be also classified in a similar manner.

Let us apply the method of moving frames to study some questions of differential geometry of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. With a point $x \in S_{1}^{n+1}$ we associate a family of projective frames $\left\{A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n+1}\right\}$. However, in order to apply formulas derived in the book [4], we will use the notations used in this book. Namely, we denote by $A_{n}$ the vertex of the moving frame which coincides with the point $x, A_{n}=x$; we locate the vertices $A_{0}, A_{i}$, and $A_{n+1}$ at the hyperplane $\xi$ which is polar conjugate to the point $x$ with respect to the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$, and we assume that the points $A_{0}$ and $A_{n+1}$ lie on the hypersphere $S^{n-1}=Q^{n} \cap \xi$, and the points $A_{i}$ are polar-conjugate to the straight line $A_{0} A_{n+1}$ with respect to $S^{n-1}$. Since $(x, x)>0$, we can normalize the point $A_{n}$ by the condition $\left(A_{n}, A_{n}\right)=1$. The points $A_{0}$ and $A_{n+1}$ are not polar-conjugate with respect to the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$. Hence we can normalize them by the condition $\left(A_{0}, A_{n+1}\right)=-1$. As a result, the matrix of scalar products of the frame elements has the form

$$
\left(A_{\xi}, A_{\eta}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1  \tag{2}\\
0 & g_{i j} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad i, j=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

and the quadratic form $(x, x)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, x)=g_{i j} x^{i} x^{j}+\left(x^{n}\right)^{2}-2 x^{0} x^{n+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadratic form $g_{i j} x^{i} x^{j}$ occurring in (3) is positive definite.
The equations of infinitesimal displacement of the conformal frame $\left\{A_{\xi}\right\}$, $\xi=0,1, \ldots, n+1$, we have constructed have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A_{\xi}=\omega_{\xi}^{\eta} A_{\eta}, \quad \xi, \eta=0,1, \ldots, n+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by (2), the 1 -forms $\omega_{\xi}^{\eta}$ satisfy the following Pfaffian equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{0}^{n+1}=\omega_{n+1}^{0}=0, & \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n+1}^{n+1}=0, \\
\omega_{i}^{n+1}=g_{i j} \omega_{0}^{j}, & \omega_{i}^{0}=g_{i j} \omega_{n+1}^{j}, \\
\omega_{n}^{n+1}-\omega_{0}^{n}=0, & \omega_{n}^{0}-\omega_{n+1}^{n}=0,  \tag{5}\\
g_{i j} \omega_{n}^{j}+\omega_{i}^{n}=0, & \omega_{n}^{n}=0, \\
d g_{i j}=g_{j k} \omega_{i}^{k}+g_{i k} \omega_{j}^{k} .
\end{array}
$$

These formulas are precisely the formulas derived in the book [4] (see p. 32) for the conformal space $C^{n}$.

It follows from (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A_{n}=\omega_{n}^{0} A_{0}+\omega_{n}^{i} A_{i}+\omega_{n}^{n+1} A_{n+1} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential $d A_{n}$ belong to the tangent space $T_{x}\left(S_{1}^{n+1}\right)$, and the 1 -forms $\omega_{n}^{0}, \omega_{n}^{i}$, and $\omega_{n}^{n+1}$ form a coframe of this space. The total number of these forms is $n+1$, and this number coincides with the dimension of $T_{x}\left(S_{1}^{n+1}\right)$. The scalar square of the differential $d A_{n}$ is the metric quadratic form $\tilde{g}$ on the manifold $S_{1}^{n+1}$. By (2), this quadratic form $\widetilde{g}$ can be written as

$$
\widetilde{g}=\left(d A_{n}, d A_{n}\right)=g_{i j} \omega_{n}^{i} \omega_{n}^{j}-2 \omega_{n}^{0} \omega_{n}^{n+1} .
$$

Since the first term of this expression is a positive definite quadratic form, the form $\widetilde{g}$ is of Lorentzian signature ( $n, 1$ ). The coefficients of the form $\widetilde{g}$ produce the metric tensor of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ whose matrix is obtained from the matrix (2) by deleting the $n$th row and the $n$th column.

The quadratic form $\tilde{g}$ defines on $S_{1}^{n+1}$ a pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature ( $n, 1$ ). The isotropic cone defined in the space $T_{x}\left(S_{1}^{n+1}\right)$ by the equation $\widetilde{g}=0$ coincides with the cone $C_{x}$ that we defined earlier in the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ geometrically.

The 1 -forms $\omega_{\xi}^{\eta}$ occurring in equations (4) satisfy the structure equations of the space $C^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{\xi}^{\eta}=\omega_{\xi}^{\zeta} \wedge \omega_{\zeta}^{\eta}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are obtained by taking exterior derivatives of equations (4) and which are conditions of complete integrability of (4). The forms $\omega_{\xi}^{\eta}$ are invariant forms of the fundamental group $\mathbf{P O}(n+2,1)$ of transformations of the spaces $H^{n+1}, C^{n}$, and $S_{1}^{n+1}$ which is locally isomorphic to the group $\mathbf{S O}(n+2,1)$.
2. Lightlike hypersurfaces in the de Sitter space. A hypersurface $U^{n}$ in the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ is said to be lightlike if all its tangent hyperplanes are lightlike, that is, they are tangent to the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ which is the absolute of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$.

Denote by $x$ an arbitrary point of the hypersurface $U^{n}$, by $\eta$ the tangent hyperplane to $U^{n}$ at the point $x, \eta=T_{x}\left(U^{n}\right)$, and by $y$ the point of tangency of the hyperplane $\eta$ with the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$. Next, as in Section 1, denote by $\xi$ the hyperplane which is polar-conjugate to the point $x$ with respect to the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$, and associate with a point $x$ a family of projective frames such that $x=A_{n}, y=A_{0}$, the points $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, belong to the intersection of the hyperplanes $\xi$ and $\eta, A_{i} \in \xi \cap \eta$, and the point $A_{n+1}$, as well as the point $A_{0}$, is the intersection point of the quadric $\xi \cap Q^{n}$ and the straight line that is polar-conjugate to the $(n-2)$-dimensional subspace spanned by the points $A_{i}$. In addition, we normalize the frame vertices in the same way as this was done in Section 1. Then the matrix of scalar products of the frame elements has the form (2), and the components of infinitesimal displacements of the moving frame satisfy the Pfaffian equations (5).

Since the hyperplane $\eta$ is tangent to the hypersurface $U^{n}$ at the point $x=A_{n}$ and does not contain the point $A_{n+1}$, the differential of the point $x=A_{n}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A_{n}=\omega_{n}^{0} A_{0}+\omega_{n}^{i} A_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following equation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}^{n+1}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the forms $\omega_{n}^{0}$ and $\omega_{n}^{i}$ are basis forms of the hypersurface $U^{n}$. By relations (5), it follows from equation (8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}^{n}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A_{0}=\omega_{0}^{0} A_{0}+\omega_{0}^{i} A_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking exterior derivative of equation (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}^{i} \wedge \omega_{i}^{n+1}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the forms $\omega_{n}^{i}$ are linearly independent, by Cartan's lemma, we find from (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}^{n+1}=\nu_{i j} \omega_{n}^{j}, \quad \nu_{i j}=\nu_{j i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying an appropriate formula from (5), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}^{i}=g^{i j} \omega_{j}^{n+1}=g^{i k} \nu_{k j} \omega_{n}^{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(g^{i j}\right)$ is the inverse matrix of the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$.
Now formulas (8) and (11) imply that for $\omega_{n}^{i}=0$, the point $A_{n}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$ moves along the isotropic straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$, and hence $U^{n}$ is a
ruled hypersurface. In what follows, we assume that the entire straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$ belongs to the hypersurface $U^{n}$. Thus the following theorem holds:

Theorem 1. A lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ is the image of the direct product $M^{n-1} \times l$ of a differentiable manifold $M^{n-1}$ and a projective line $l$ under the mapping $f: M^{n-1} \times l \rightarrow P^{n+1}$ into a projective space $P^{n+1}: U^{n}=f\left(M^{n-1} \times l\right)$ which sends the straight line $l$ to the straight line $A_{n} A_{0} \in P^{n+1}$.

Precisely this mapping is the subject of this paper.
In addition, formulas (8) and (11) show that at any point of a generator of the hypersurface $U^{n}$, its tangent hyperplane is fixed and coincides with the hyperplane $\eta$. Thus $U^{n}$ is a tangentially degenerate hypersurface.

We recall that the rank of a tangentially degenerate hypersurface is the number of parameters on which the family of its tangent hyperplanes depends (see, for example, [3, p. 113]). From relations (8) and (11) it follows that the tangent hyperplane $\eta$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$ along its generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ is determined by this generator and the points $A_{i}, \eta=A_{n} \wedge A_{0} \wedge A_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{n-1}$. The displacement of this hyperplane is determined by the differentials (8), (11), and

$$
d A_{i}=\omega_{i}^{0} A_{0}+\omega_{i}^{j} A_{j}+\omega_{i}^{n} A_{n}+\omega_{i}^{n+1} A_{n+1}
$$

But by (5), $\omega_{i}^{n}=-g_{i j} \omega_{n}^{j}$, and the forms $\omega_{i}^{n+1}$ are expressed according to formulas (13). ¿From formulas (13) and (14) it follows that the rank of a tangentially degenerate hypersurface $U^{n}$ is determined by the rank of the matrix $\left(\nu_{i j}\right)$ in terms of which the 1-forms $\omega_{i}^{n+1}$ and $\omega_{0}^{i}$ are expressed. But by (11) and (14) the dimension of the submanifold $V$ described by the point $A_{0}$ on the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ is also equal to the rank of the matrix $\left(\nu_{i j}\right)$. Thus we have proved the following result:

Theorem 2. A lightlike hypersurface of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ is a ruled tangentially degenerate hypersurface whose rank is equal to the dimension of the submanifold $V$ described by the point $A_{0}$ on the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$.

Denote the rank of the tensor $\nu_{i j}$ and of the hypersurface $U^{n}$ by $r$. In this and next sections we will assume that $r=n-1$. The case $r<n-1$ was considered by the authors in [6].

For $r=n-1$, the hypersurface $U^{n}$ carries an $(n-1)$-parameter family of isotropic rectilinear generators $l=A_{n} A_{0}$ along which the tangent hyperplane $T_{x}\left(U^{n}\right)$ is fixed. From the point of view of physics, the isotropic rectilinear generators of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ are trajectories of light impulses, and the hypersurface $U^{n}$ itself represents a light flux in spacetime.

Since $\operatorname{rank}\left(\nu_{i j}\right)=n-1$, the submanifold $V$ described by the point $A_{0}$ on the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ has dimension $n-1$, that is, $V$ is a hypersurface. We denote it by $V^{n-1}$. The tangent subspace $T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right)$ to $V^{n-1}$ is determined by the points $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}$. Since $\left(A_{n}, A_{i}\right)=0$, this tangent subspace is polar-conjugate to the rectilinear generator $A_{0} A_{n}$ of the lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$.

The submanifold $V^{n-1}$ of the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ is the image of a hypersurface of the conformal space $C^{n}$ under the Darboux mapping. We will denote this hypersurface also by $V^{n-1}$. In the space $C^{n}$, the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ is defined by equation (10) which by (5) is equivalent to equation (9) defining a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ in the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. To the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$ there corresponds a parabolic pencil of hyperspheres $A_{n}+s A_{0}$ tangent to the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ (see [4, p. 40]). Thus the following theorem is valid:

Theorem 3. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of hypersurfaces of the conformal space $C^{n}$ and the set of lightlike hypersurfaces of the maximal rank $r=n-1$ of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. To pencils of tangent hyperspheres of the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ there correspond isotropic rectilinear generators of the lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$.

Note that for lightlike hypersurfaces of the four-dimensional Minkowski space $M^{4}$ the result similar to the result of Theorem 2 was obtained in [11].
3. The fundamental forms and connections on a lightlike hypersurface of the de Sitter space. The first fundamental form of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ is a metric quadratic form. It is defined by the scalar square of the differential $d x$ of a point of this hypersurface. Since we have $x=A_{n}$, by (8) and (2) this scalar square has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d A_{n}, d A_{n}\right)=g_{i j} \omega_{n}^{i} \omega_{n}^{j}=g \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is a positive semidefinite differential quadratic form of signature ( $n-1,0$ ). It follows that the system of equations $\omega_{n}^{i}=0$ defines on the hypersurface $U^{n}$ a fibration of isotropic lines which, as we showed in Section 2, coincide with rectilinear generators of this hypersurface.

The second fundamental form of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ determines its deviation from the tangent hyperplane $\eta$. To find this quadratic form, we compute the part of the second differential of the point $A_{n}$ which does not belong to the tangent hyperplane $\eta=A_{0} \wedge A_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{n}$ :

$$
d^{2} A_{n} \equiv \omega_{n}^{i} \omega_{i}^{n+1} A_{n+1} \quad(\bmod \eta)
$$

This implies that the second fundamental form can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\omega_{n}^{i} \omega_{i}^{n+1}=\nu_{i j} \omega_{n}^{i} \omega_{n}^{j} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used expression (13) for the form $\omega_{i}^{n+1}$. Since we assumed that $\operatorname{rank}\left(\nu_{i j}\right)=n-1$, the rank of the quadratic form (16) as well as the rank of the form $g$ is equal to $n-1$. The nullspace of this quadratic form (see [14, p. 53]) is again determined by the system of equations $\omega_{n}^{i}=0$ and coincides with the isotropic direction on the hypersurface $U^{n}$. The reduction of the rank of the
quadratic form $b$ is connected with the tangential degeneracy of the hypersurface $U^{n}$. The latter was noted in Theorem 2.

On a hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ that corresponds to a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n} \subset S_{1}^{n+1}$, the quadratic forms (15) and (16) define the net of curvature lines, that is, an orthogonal and conjugate net.

To find the connection forms of the hypersurface $U^{n}$, we find exterior derivatives of its basis forms $\omega_{n}^{0}$ and $\omega_{n}^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega_{n}^{0} & =\omega_{n}^{0} \wedge \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{i} \wedge \omega_{i}^{0} \\
d \omega_{n}^{i} & =\omega_{n}^{0} \wedge \omega_{0}^{i}+\omega_{n}^{j} \wedge \omega_{j}^{i} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that the matrix 1-form

$$
\omega=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega_{0}^{0} & \omega_{i}^{0}  \tag{18}\\
\omega_{0}^{i} & \omega_{j}^{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

defines a torsion-free connection on the hypersurface $U^{n}$. To clarify the properties of this connection, we find its curvature forms. Taking exterior derivatives of the forms (18) and applying equations (5), (7), (9), and (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{0}^{0}=d \omega_{0}^{0}-\omega_{0}^{i} \wedge \omega_{i}^{0}=0 \\
& \Omega_{0}^{i}=d \omega_{0}^{i}-\omega_{0}^{0} \wedge \omega_{0}^{i}-\omega_{0}^{j} \wedge \omega_{j}^{i}=0  \tag{19}\\
& \Omega_{i}^{0}=d \omega_{i}^{0}-\omega_{i}^{0} \wedge \omega_{0}^{0}-\omega_{i}^{j} \wedge \omega_{j}^{0}=-g_{i j} \omega_{n}^{j} \wedge \omega_{n}^{0} \\
& \Omega_{j}^{i}=d \omega_{j}^{i}-\omega_{j}^{0} \wedge \omega_{0}^{i}-\omega_{j}^{k} \wedge \omega_{k}^{i}-\omega_{j}^{n+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1}^{i}=-g_{j k} \omega_{n}^{k} \wedge \omega_{n}^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

In these formulas the forms $\omega_{j}^{n+1}$ and $\omega_{0}^{i}$ are expressed in terms of the basis forms $\omega_{n}^{i}$, and the forms $\omega_{0}^{j}, \omega_{j}^{i}$, and $\omega_{i}^{0}$ are fiber forms. If the principal parameters are fixed, then these fiber forms are invariant forms of the group $G$ of admissible transformations of frames associated with a point $x=A_{n}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$, and the connection defined by the form (18) is a $G$-connection.

To assign an affine connection on the hypersurface $U^{n}$, it is necessary to make a reduction of the family of frames in such a way that the forms $\omega_{i}^{0}$ become principal. Denote by $\delta$ the symbol of differentiation with respect to the fiber parameters, that is, for a fixed point $x=A_{n}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$, and by $\pi_{\eta}^{\xi}$ the values of the 1-forms $\omega_{\eta}^{\xi}$ for a fixed point $x=A_{n}$, that is, $\pi_{\eta}^{\xi}=\omega_{\eta}^{\xi}(\delta)$. Then we obtain

$$
\pi_{n}^{0}=0, \pi_{n}^{i}=0, \pi_{i}^{n}=0, \pi_{i}^{n+1}=0
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta A_{i}=\pi_{i}^{0} A_{0}+\pi_{i}^{j} A_{j} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The points $A_{0}$ and $A_{i}$ determine the tangent subspace to the submanifold $V^{n-1}$ described by the point $A_{0}$ on the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$. If we fix an $(n-2)$ dimensional subspace $\zeta$ not containing the point $A_{0}$ in this tangent subspace and
place the points $A_{i}$ into $\zeta$, then we obtain $\pi_{i}^{0}=0$. This means that the forms $\omega_{i}^{0}$ become principal, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}^{0}=\mu_{i j} \omega_{n}^{j}+\mu_{i} \omega_{n}^{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as a result, an affine connection arises on the hypersurface $U^{n}$.
We will call the subspace $\zeta \subset T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right)$ the normalizing subspace of the lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$. We have proved the following result:

Theorem 4. If in every tangent subspace $T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right)$ of the submanifold $V^{n-1}$ associated with a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}, V^{n-1} \subset Q^{n}$, a normalizing ( $n-2$ )dimensional subspace $\zeta$ not containing the point $A_{0}$ is assigned, then there arises a torsion-free affine connection on $U^{n}$.

The last statement follows the first two equations of (19).
The constructed above fibration of normalizing subspaces $\zeta$ defines a distribution $\Delta$ of $(n-1)$-dimensional elements on a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$. In fact, the point $x=A_{n}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$ along with the subspace $\zeta=A_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{n-1}$ define the $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace which is complementary to the straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$ and lies in the tangent subspace $\eta$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$. Following the book [9], we will call this subspace the screen, and the distribution $\Delta$ the screen distribution. Since at the point $x$ the screen is determined by the subspace $A_{n} A_{1} \ldots A_{n-1}$, the differential equations of the screen distribution has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}^{0}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

But by (21)

$$
d \omega_{n}^{0}=\omega_{n}^{i} \wedge\left(\mu_{i j} \omega_{n}^{j}+\mu_{i} \omega_{n}^{0}\right)
$$

Hence the screen distribution is integrable if and only if the tensor $\mu_{i j}$ is symmetric. Thus we arrived at the following result:

Theorem 5. The fibration of normalizing subspaces $\zeta$ defines a screen distribution $\Delta$ of $(n-1)$-dimensional elements on a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$. This distribution is integrable if and only if the tensor $\mu_{i j}$ defined by equation (21) is symmetric.

Note that the configurations similar to that described in Theorem 5 occurred in the works of the Moscow geometers published in the 1950s. They were called the one-side stratifiable pairs of ruled surfaces (see [10, §30] or [3, p. 187]).

## 4. An invariant normalization of lightlike hypersurfaces of the de

 Sitter space. In [1] (see also [4, Ch. 2]) an invariant normalization of a hypersurfaces $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ was constructed. By Theorem 3, this normalization can be interpreted in terms of the geometry of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$.Taking exterior derivative of equations (10) defining the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ in the conformal space $C^{n}$, we obtain

$$
\omega_{i}^{n} \wedge \omega_{0}^{i}=0,
$$

from which by linear independence of the 1 -forms $\omega_{0}^{i}$ on $V^{n-1}$ and Cartan's lemma we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}^{n}=\lambda_{i j} \omega_{0}^{j}, \quad \lambda_{i j}=\lambda_{j i} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows we retain the notations used in the study of the geometry of hypersurfaces of the conformal space $C^{n}$ in the book [4].

It is not difficult to find relations between the coefficients $\nu_{i j}$ in formulas (13) and $\lambda_{i j}$ in formulas (23). Substituting the values of the forms $\omega_{i}^{n}$ and $\omega_{0}^{j}$ from (5) into (23), we find that

$$
-g_{i j} \omega_{n}^{j}=\lambda_{i j} g^{j k} \omega_{k}^{n+1}
$$

Solving these equations for $\omega_{k}^{n+1}$, we obtain

$$
\omega_{i}^{n+1}=-g_{i k} \widetilde{\lambda}^{k l} g_{l j} \omega_{n}^{j},
$$

where $\left(\widetilde{\lambda}^{k l}\right)$ is the inverse matrix of the matrix $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$. Comparing these equations with equations (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{i j}=-g_{i k} \tilde{\lambda}^{k l} g_{l j} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, in this computation we assumed that the matrix $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ is nondegenerate.
Let us clarify the geometric meaning of the vanishing of $\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$. To this end, we make an admissible transformation of the moving frame associated with a point of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}_{n}=A_{n}+s A_{0} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point $\widehat{A}_{n}$ as the point $A_{n}$ lies on the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$. Differentiating this point and applying formulas (8) and (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \widehat{A}_{n}=\left(d s+s \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}\right) A_{0}+\left(\omega_{n}^{i}+s \omega_{0}^{i}\right) A_{i} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that in the new frame the forms $\omega_{n}^{i}$ become

$$
\widehat{\omega}_{n}^{i}=\omega_{n}^{i}+s \omega_{0}^{i} .
$$

By (5) and (23), it follows that

$$
\widehat{\omega}_{n}^{i}=-g^{i k}\left(\lambda_{k j}-s g_{k j}\right) \omega_{0}^{j} .
$$

This implies that in the new frame the quantities $\lambda_{i j}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\lambda}_{i j}=\lambda_{i j}-s g_{i j} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider also the matrix $\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}\right)=\left(g^{i k} \widehat{\lambda}_{k j}\right)$. Since $g_{i j}$ is a nondegenerate tensor, the matrices $\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{i j}\right)$ have the same rank $\rho \leq n-1$.
¿From equation (26) it follows that

$$
d \widehat{A}_{n}=\left(d s+s \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}\right) A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i} A_{i} \omega_{0}^{j}
$$

The differential $d \widehat{A}_{n}$ is the differential of the mapping $f: M^{n-1} \times l \rightarrow P^{n+1}$ which was considered in Theorem 1. The linearly independent forms $\omega_{0}^{i}$ are basis forms on the manifold $M^{n-1}$, and the form $\widehat{\omega}_{n}^{0}=d s+s \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}$ containing a nonhomogeneous parameter $s$ of the projective line $l$ is a basis form on this line. Thus the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{28}\\
0 & \widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the Jacobi matrix of this mapping. Hence the tangent subspace to the hypersurface $U^{n}$ at the point $\widehat{A}_{n}$ is determined by the points $\widehat{A}_{n}, A_{0}$, and $\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i} A_{i}$. At the points, at which the rank $\rho$ of the matrix $\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}\right)$ is equal to $n-1, \rho=n-1$, the tangent subspace to the hypersurface $U^{n}$ has dimension $n$, and such points are regular points of the hypersurface. The points, at which the rank $\rho$ of the matrix $\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}\right)$ is reduced, are singular points of the hypersurface $U^{n}$. The coordinates of singular points are defined by the condition $\operatorname{det}\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}\right)=0$ which by (27) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda_{i j}-s g_{i j}\right)=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

the characteristic equation of the matrix $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ with respect to the tensor $g_{i j}$. The degree of this equation is equal to $n-1$.

In particular, if $A_{n}$ is a regular point of the hypersurface $U^{n}$, then the matrix $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ is nondegenerate, and equation (24) holds. On the other hand, if $A_{n}$ is a singular point of $U^{n}$, then equation (24) is meaningless.

Since the matrix $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ is symmetric and the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ defines a positive definite form of rank $n-1$, equation (29) has $n-1$ real roots if each root is counted as many times as its multiplicity. Thus on a rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ there are $n-1$ real singular points.

By Vieta's theorem, the sum of the roots of equation (29) is equal to the coefficient in $s^{n-2}$, and this coefficient is $\lambda_{i j} g^{i j}$. Consider the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{1}{n-1} \lambda_{i j} g^{i j} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the arithmetic mean of the roots of equation (29). This quantity $\lambda$ allows us to construct new quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}=\lambda_{i j}-\lambda g_{i j} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that the quantities $a_{i j}$ do not depend on the location of the point $A_{n}$ on the straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$, that is, $a_{i j}$ is invariant with respect to the transformation of the moving frame defined by equation (25). Thus the quantities $a_{i j}$ form a tensor on the hypersurface $U^{n}$ defined in its second-order neighborhood. This tensor satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j} g^{i j}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, it is apolar to the tensor $g_{i j}$.
On the straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$ we consider a point

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=A_{n}+\lambda A_{0} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to check that this point remains also fixed when the point $A_{n}$ moves along the straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$. Since $\lambda$ is the arithmetic mean of the roots of equation (29) defining singular points on the straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$, the point $C$ is the harmonic pole (see [7]) of the point $A_{0}$ with respect to these singular points. In particular, for $n=3$, the point $C$ is the fourth harmonic point to the point $A_{0}$ with respect to two singular points of the rectilinear generator $A_{3} A_{0}$ of the lightlike hypersurface $U^{3}$ of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{4}$.

In the conformal theory of hypersurfaces, to the point $C$ there corresponds a hypersphere which is tangent to the hypersurface at the point $A_{0}$. This hypersphere is called the central tangent hypersphere (see [4, pp. 41-42]). Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d^{2} A_{0}, C\right)=a_{i j} \omega_{0}^{i} \omega_{0}^{j} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

the cone

$$
a_{i j} \omega_{0}^{i} \omega_{0}^{j}=0
$$

with vertex at the point $A_{0}$ belonging to the tangent subspace $T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right)$ contains the directions along which the central hypersphere has a second-order tangency with the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ at the point $A_{0}$. From the apolarity condition (33) it follows that it is possible to inscribe an orthogonal $(n-1)$-hedron with vertex at $A_{0}$ into the cone defined by equation (34).

Now we can construct an invariant normalization of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. To this end, first we repeat some computations from Ch. 2 of [4].

Taking exterior derivatives of equations (23) and applying Cartan's lemma, we obtain the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \lambda_{i j}+\lambda_{i j} \omega_{0}^{0}+g_{i j} \omega_{n}^{0}=\lambda_{i j k} \omega_{0}^{k} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\nabla \lambda_{i j}=d \lambda_{i j}-\lambda_{i k} \omega_{j}^{k}-\lambda_{k j} \omega_{i}^{k}
$$

and the quantities $\lambda_{i j k}$ are symmetric with respect to all three indices. Equations (35) confirm one more time that the quantities $\lambda_{i j}$ do not form a tensor and depend on a location of the point $A_{n}$ on the straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$. This dependence is described by a closed form relation (27). From formulas (35) it follows that the quantity $\lambda$ defined by equations (30) satisfy the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda+\lambda \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}=\lambda_{k} \omega_{0}^{k} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{1}{n-1} g^{i j} \lambda_{i j k}
$$

(see formulas (2.1.36) and (2.1.37) in the book [4]). The quantities $\lambda_{k}$ as well as the quantities $\lambda_{i j k}$ are determined by a third-order neighborhood of a generator $A_{0} A_{n}$ of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n} \subset S_{1}^{n+1}$.

The point $C$ lying on the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$ describes a submanifold $W \subset U^{n}$ when $A_{n} A_{0}$ moves. Let us find the tangent subspace to $U^{n}$ at the point $C$. Differentiating equation (33) and applying formulas (8) and (11), we obtain

$$
d C=\left(d \lambda+\lambda \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}\right) A_{0}+\left(\omega_{n}^{i}+\lambda \omega_{0}^{i}\right) A_{i}
$$

By (5), (23), (30), and (36), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d C=\left(\lambda_{i} A_{0}-g^{j k} a_{k i} A_{j}\right) \omega_{0}^{i} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the affinor

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}^{i}=g^{i k} a_{k j} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose rank coincides with the rank of the tensor $a_{i j}$. Then equation (37) takes the form

$$
d C=\left(\lambda_{i} A_{0}-a_{i}^{j} A_{j}\right) \omega_{0}^{i}
$$

The points

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=\lambda_{i} A_{0}-a_{i}^{j} A_{j} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the point $C$ define the tangent subspace to the submanifold $W$ described by the point $C$ on the hypersurface $U^{n}$.

If the point $C$ is a regular point of the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$, then the rank of the tensor $a_{i j}$ defined by equations (30) as well as the rank of the affinor $a_{j}^{i}$ is equal to $n-1$. As a result, the points $C_{i}$ are linearly independent and together with the point $C$ define the ( $n-1$ )-dimensional tangent subspace $T_{C}(W)$, and the submanifold $W$ itself has dimension $n-1, \operatorname{dim} W=n-1$.

The points $C_{i}$ also belong to the tangent subspace $T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right)$ and define the ( $n-2$ )-dimensional subspace $\zeta=T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right) \cap T_{C}(W)$ in it. This subspace is a normalizing subspace. Since such a normalizing subspace is defined in each tangent subspace $T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right)$ of the hypersurface $V^{n-1} \subset Q^{n}$, there arises the fibration of
these subspaces which by Theorem 4 defines an invariant affine connection on the lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$. The subspace $T_{C}(W)$ is determined by a third-order neighborhood of a generator $A_{0} A_{n}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$.

Thus we proved the following result:
THEOREM 6. If the tensor $a_{i j}$ defined by formula (40) on a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n} \subset S_{1}^{n+1}$ is nondegenerate, then it is possible to construct the invariant normalization of $U^{n}$ by means of the $(n-2)$-dimensional subspaces

$$
\zeta=C_{1} \wedge C_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge C_{n-1}
$$

This normalization induces on $U^{n}$ an invariant affine connection intrinsically connected with the geometry of this hypersurface. The normalization as well as the induced affine connection are determined by a third-order neighborhood of a generator $A_{0} A_{n}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$.

Theorem 5 implies that the invariant normalization we have constructed defines on $U^{n}$ an invariant screen distribution $\Delta$ which is also intrinsically connected with the geometry of the hypersurface $U^{n}$; here $\Delta_{x}=x \wedge \xi, x \in A_{n} A_{0}$.

Note that for the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ a similar invariant normalization was constructed as far back as 1953 (see [1] and also [4, Ch. 2]). In the present paper we gave a new geometric meaning of this invariant normalization.

## 5. Singular points of lightlike hypersurfaces of the de Sitter space.

 As we indicated in Section 4, the points$$
\begin{equation*}
z=A_{n}+s A_{0} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of the lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ are singular if their nonhomogeneous coordinate $s$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda_{i j}-s g_{i j}\right)=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In these points the Jacobian of the mapping $f: M^{n-1} \times l \rightarrow P^{n+1}$, which is equal to the determinant of the matrix (28), vanishes.) We will investigate in more detail the structure of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ in a neighborhood of its singular point.

Equation (41) is the characteristic equation of the matrix $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ with respect to the tensor $\left(g_{i j}\right)$. The degree of this equation is $n-1$, and since the matrix $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ is symmetric and the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ is also symmetric and positive definite, then according to the well-known result of linear algebra, all roots of this equation are real, and the matrices $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ and $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ can be simultaneously reduced to a diagonal form.

Denote the roots of the characteristic equation by $s_{h}, h=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, and denote the corresponding singular points of the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{h}=A_{n}+s_{h} A_{0} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

These singular points are called foci of the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$.

It is clear from (42) that the point $A_{0}$ is not a focus of the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$. This is explained by the fact that by our assumption $\operatorname{rank}\left(\nu_{i j}\right)=n-1$, and by (14), on the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ the point $A_{0}$ describes a hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ which is transversal to the straight lines $A_{0} A_{n}$.

In the conformal theory of hypersurfaces, to the singular points $B_{h}$ there correspond the tangent hyperspheres defining the principal directions at a point $A_{0}$ of the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ (see [4, p. 56]).

We will construct a classification of singular points of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. We will use some computations that we made while constructing a classification of canal hypersurfaces in [5].

Suppose first that $B_{1}=A_{n}+s_{1} A_{0}$ be a singular point defined by a simple root $s_{1}$ of characteristic equation (41), $s_{1} \neq s_{h}, h=2, \ldots, n-1$. For this singular point we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d B_{1}=\left(d s_{1}+s_{1} \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}\right) A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i} \omega_{0}^{j} A_{i} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}=g^{i k}\left(\lambda_{k j}-s_{1} g_{k j}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a degenerate symmetric affinor having a single null eigenvalue. The matrix of this affinor can be reduced to a quasidiagonal form

$$
\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{j}^{i}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0  \tag{45}\\
0 & \widehat{\lambda}_{q}^{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $p, q=2, \ldots, n-1$, and $\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{q}^{p}\right)$ is a nondegenerate symmetric affinor. The matrices $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{i j}-s_{1} g_{i j}\right)$ are reduced to the forms

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & g_{p q}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \hat{\lambda}_{p q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{p q}\right)=\left(\lambda_{p q}-s_{1} g_{p q}\right)$ is a nondegenerate symmetric matrix.
Since the point $B_{1}$ is defined invariantly on the generator $A_{n} A_{0}$, then it will be fixed if $\omega_{0}^{i}=0$. Thus it follows from (43) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{1}+s_{1} \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}=s_{1 i} \omega^{i} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

here and in what follows $\omega^{i}=\omega_{0}^{i}$. By (45) and (46) relation (43) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d B_{1}=s_{11} \omega^{1} A_{0}+\left(s_{1 p} A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{p}^{q} A_{q}\right) \omega^{p} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the points $C_{p}=s_{1 p} A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{p}^{q} A_{q}$ are linearly independent and belong to the tangent subspace $T_{A_{0}}\left(V^{n-1}\right)$.

Consider the submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ described by the singular point $B_{1}$ in the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. This submanifold is called the focal manifold of the hypersurface $U^{n}$. Relation (47) shows that two cases are possible:

1) $s_{11} \neq 0$. In this case the submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is of dimension $n-1$, and its tangent subspace at the point $B_{1}$ is determined by the points $B_{1}, A_{0}$, and $C_{p}$. This subspace contains the straight line $A_{n} A_{0}$, intersects the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$, and thus it, as well as the submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ itself, is timelike. For $\omega^{p}=0$, the point $B_{1}$ describes a curve $\gamma$ on the submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ which is tangent to the straight line $B_{1} A_{0}$ coinciding with the generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$. The curve $\gamma$ is an isotropic curve of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. Thus on $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ there arises a fibre bundle of focal lines. The hypersurface $U^{n}$ is foliated into an ( $n-2$ )-parameter family of torses for which these lines are edges of regressions. The points $B_{1}$ are singular points of a kind which is called a fold. If the characteristic equation (41) has distinct roots, then an isotropic rectilinear generator $l$ of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ carries $n-1$ distinct foci $B_{h}, h=1, \ldots, n-1$. If for each of these foci the condition of type $s_{11} \neq 0$ holds, then each of them describes a focal submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{h}$, carrying conjugate net. Curves of one family of this net are tangent to the straight lines $l$, and this family is isotropic. On the hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the space $C^{n}=Q^{n}$ described by the point $A_{0}$, to these conjugate nets there correspond the net of curvature lines.
2) $s_{11}=0$. In this case relation (47) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d B_{1}=\left(s_{1 p} A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{p}^{q} A_{q}\right) \omega^{p} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the focal submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is of dimension $n-2$. Its tangent subspace at the point $B_{1}$ is determined by the points $B_{1}$ and $C_{p}$. An arbitrary point $z$ of this subspace can be written in the form

$$
z=z^{n} B_{1}+z^{p} C_{p}=z^{n}\left(A_{n}+s_{1} A_{0}\right)+z^{p}\left(s_{1 p} A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{p}^{q} A_{q}\right)
$$

Substituting the coordinates of this point into relation (3), we find that

$$
(z, z)=g_{r s} \widehat{\lambda}_{p}^{r} \widehat{\lambda}_{q}^{s} z^{p} z^{q}+\left(z^{n}\right)^{2}>0
$$

It follows that the tangent subspace $T_{B_{1}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ does not have common points with the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$, that is, it is spacelike. Since this takes place for any point $B_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, the focal submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is spacelike.

For $\omega^{p}=0$, the point $B_{1}$ is fixed. The subspace $T_{B_{1}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ will be fixed too. On the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$, the point $A_{0}$ describes a curve $q$ which is polar-conjugate to $T_{B_{1}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dim} T_{B_{1}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=n-2$, the curve $q$ is a conic, along which the two-dimensional plane polar-conjugate to the subspace $T_{B_{1}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ with respect to the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$, intersects $Q^{n}$. Thus for $\omega^{p}=$ 0 , the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of the hypersurface $U^{n}$ describes a twodimensional second-order cone with vertex at the point $B_{1}$ and the directrix
$q$. Hence in the case under consideration a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ is foliated into an $(n-2)$-parameter family of second-order cones whose vertices describe the $(n-2)$-dimensional focal submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, and the points $B_{1}$ are conic singular points of the hypersurface $U^{n}$.
The hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ corresponding to such a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ is a canal hypersurface which envelops an $(n-2)$ parameter family of hyperspheres. Such a hypersurface carries a family of cyclic generators which depends on the same number of parameters. Such hypersurfaces were investigated in detail in [5].
Further let $B_{1}$ be a singular point of multiplicity $m$, where $m \geq 2$, of a rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ defined by an $m$-multiple root of characteristic equation (41). We will assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=s_{2}=\ldots=s_{m}:=s_{0}, s_{0} \neq s_{p} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also assume that $a, b, c=1, \ldots, m$ and $p, q, r=m+1, \ldots, n-1$. Then the matrices $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ can be simultaneously reduced to quasidiagonal forms

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{a b} & 0 \\
0 & g_{p q}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s_{0} g_{a b} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{p q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We also construct the matrix $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{i j}\right)=\left(\lambda_{i j}-s_{0} g_{i j}\right)$. Then

$$
\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0  \tag{50}\\
0 & \widehat{\lambda}_{p q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\widehat{\lambda}_{p q}=\lambda_{p q}-s_{0} g_{p q}$ is a nondegenerate matrix of order $n-m-1$.
By relations (50) and formulas (5) and (23) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{a}^{n}-s_{0} \omega_{a}^{n+1}=0,  \tag{51}\\
\omega_{p}^{n}-s_{0} \omega_{p}^{n+1}=\widehat{\lambda}_{p q} \omega^{q} \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking exterior derivative of equation (51) and applying relation (52), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\lambda}_{p q} \omega_{a}^{p} \wedge \omega^{q}+g_{a b} \omega^{b} \wedge\left(d s_{0}+s_{0} \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}\right)=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the 1-form $d s_{0}+s_{0} \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}$ can be expressed in terms of the basis forms. We write these expressions in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{0}+s_{0} \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}=s_{0 c} \omega^{c}+s_{0 q} \omega^{q} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this decomposition into equation (53), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{p q} \omega_{a}^{p}+g_{a b} s_{0 q} \omega^{b}\right) \wedge \omega^{q}+g_{a b} s_{0 c} \omega^{b} \wedge \omega^{c}=0 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terms in the left hand side of (55) do not have similar terms. Hence both terms are equal to 0 . Equating to 0 the coefficients of the summands of the second term, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b} s_{0 c}=g_{a c} s_{0 b} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting this equation with the matrix $\left(g^{a b}\right)$ which is the inverse matrix of the matrix $\left(g_{a b}\right)$, we obtain

$$
m s_{0 c}=s_{0 c}
$$

Since $m \geq 2$, it follows that

$$
s_{0 c}=0
$$

and relation (54) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{0}+s_{0} \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}=s_{0 p} \omega^{p} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the singular point of multiplicity $m$ of the generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ in question the equation (43) can be written in the form

$$
d B_{1}=\left(d s_{0}+s_{0} \omega_{0}^{0}+\omega_{n}^{0}\right) A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{q}^{p} \omega_{0}^{q} A_{p}
$$

Substituting decomposition (57) in the last equation, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d B_{1}=\left(s_{0 p} A_{0}-\widehat{\lambda}_{p}^{q} A_{q}\right) \omega_{0}^{p} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is similar to equation (48) with the only difference that in (48) we had $p, q=2, \ldots, n-1$, and in (58) we have $p, q=m+1, \ldots, n-1$. Thus the point $B_{1}$ describes now a spacelike focal manifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ of dimension $n-m-1$. For $\omega_{0}^{p}=0$, the point $B_{1}$ is fixed, and the point $A_{0}$ describes an $m$-dimensional submanifold on the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$ which is a cross-section of $Q^{n}$ by an $(m+1)$-dimensional subspace that is polar-conjugate to the $(n-m-1)$-dimensional subspace tangent to the submanifold $\mathcal{F}_{1}$.

The point $B_{1}$ is a conic singular point of multiplicity $m$ of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$, and this hypersurface is foliated into an $(n-m-1)$-parameter family of $(m+1)$-dimensional second-order cones circumscribed about the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$. The hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ that corresponds to such a hypersurface $U^{n}$ is an $m$-canal hypersurface (i.e., the envelope of an ( $n-m-1$ )-parameter family of hyperspheres), and it carries an $m$-dimensional spherical generators.

Note also an extreme case when the rectilinear generator $A_{n} A_{0}$ of a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ carries a single singular point of multiplicity $n-1$. As follows from our consideration of the cases $m \geq 2$, this singular point is fixed, and the hypersurface $U^{n}$ become a second-order hypercone with vertex at this singular point which is circumscribed about the hyperquadric $Q^{n}$. This hypercone is the isotropic cone of the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. The hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ that corresponds to such a hypersurface $U^{n}$ is a hypersphere of the space $C^{n}$.

The following theorem combines the results of this section:
Theorem 7. A lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ of maximal rank $r=n-1$ of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ possesses $n-1$ real singular points on each of its rectilinear generators if each of these singular points is counted as many times as its multiplicity. The simple singular points can be of two kinds: a fold and conic. In the first case the hypersurface $U^{n}$ is foliated into an $(n-2)$-parameter family of torses, and in the second case it is foliated into an ( $n-2$ )-parameter family of second-order cones. The vertices of these cones describe the $(n-2)$-dimensional spacelike submanifold in the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. All multiple singular points of a hypersurface $U^{n}$ are conic. If a rectilinear generator of a hypersurface $U^{n}$ carries a singular point of multiplicity $m, 2 \leq m \leq n-1$, then the hypersurface $U^{n}$ is foliated into an $(n-m-1)$ parameter family of $(m+1)$-dimensional second-order cones. The vertices of these cones describe the $(n-m-1)$-dimensional spacelike submanifold in the space $S_{1}^{n+1}$. The hypersurface $V^{n-1}$ of the conformal space $C^{n}$ corresponding to a lightlike hypersurface $U^{n}$ with singular points of multiplicity $m$ is a canal hypersurface which envelops an ( $n-m-1$ )-parameter family of hyperspheres and has m-dimensional spherical generators.

Since lightlike hypersurfaces $U^{n}$ of the de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}$ represent a light flux (see Section 2), its focal submanifolds have the following physical meaning. If one of them is a lighting submanifold, then others will be manifolds of concentration of a light flux. Intensity of concentration depends on multiplicity of a focus describing this submanifold.

In the extreme case when an isotropic rectilinear generator $l=A_{n} A_{0}$ of a hypersurface $U^{n}$ carries one $(n-1)$-multiple focus, the hypersurfaces $U^{n}$ degenerates into the light cone generated by a point source of light. This cone represents a radiating light flux.

If each isotropic generator $l \subset U^{n}$ carries two foci $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ of multiplicities $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}=n-1, m_{1}>1, m_{2}>1$, then these foci describe spacelike submanifolds $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ of dimension $n-m_{1}-1$ and $n-m_{2}-1$, respectively. If one of these submanifolds is a lighting submanifold, then on the second one a light flux is concentrated.
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