

TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON
 M -HARMONIC HARDY SPACE $H_m^p(S)$ WITH $0 < p \leq 1$

Miroljub Jevtić

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović

Abstract. Let B^n be the unit ball in C^n , S is the boundary of B^n . Let $L^p(S)$ denote the usual Lebesgue spaces over S with respect to the normalized surface measure, $H_m^p(B^n)$ is the Hardy space of M -harmonic functions and $H_{at}^p(S)$ denotes the atomic Hardy spaces defined in [4]. Let $P : L^2(S) \rightarrow H_m^2(B^n)$ denote the Poisson–Szëgo projection. We use $M_f : L^p(S) \rightarrow L^p(S)$ to denote the multiplication operator, and we define the Toeplitz operator $T_f = PM_f$. The paper gives characterization theorems on f such that the Toeplitz operator T_f is bounded from $H_{at}^p(S) \rightarrow H_m^p(B^n)$ with $0 < p \leq 1$.

1. Introduction

Let B^n denote the unit ball in C^n . Set S as the boundary of B^n . Let σ be the normalized surface measure over S . Here $L^p(S)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space over S with respect to σ for $0 < p \leq \infty$, $H_a^p(B^n)$ denotes the usual Hardy space of holomorphic functions, $H_m^p(B^n)$ denotes the Hardy space of M -harmonic functions and $H_{at}^p(S)$ denotes the atom Hardy space of complex valued functions on S defined in [4] ($H_{at}^p(S) = L^p(S)$, for $1 < p < \infty$). Also we let $BMO(S)$ denote the usual bounded mean oscillation function space with norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ and $BMOA$ be its holomorphic subspace. We define another function space $LMO(S)$. We say a function $f \in LMO(S)$ if $f \in L^1(S)$ and $\|f\|_{LMO} < \infty$ where

$$\|f\|_{LMO} = \|f\|_{L^1(S)} + \sup_{\xi \in S, \delta > 0} \left\{ \frac{\log\left(\frac{2}{\sigma(B(\xi, \delta))}\right)}{\sigma(B(\xi, \delta))} \int_{B(\xi, \delta)} |f - f_B| d\sigma \right\}.$$

and

$$f_B = \frac{1}{\sigma(B(\xi, \delta))} \int_{B(\xi, \delta)} f(\eta) d\sigma(\eta).$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 47G10, 47B35

Supported by Ministry of Science and Technology of Serbia, grant number 04M03/E

Here $B = B(\xi, \delta) = B_\delta(\xi) = \{\eta \in S : |1 - \langle \eta, \xi \rangle| < \delta\}$ is nonisotropic ball on S .

Let $C : L^2(S) \rightarrow H_a^2(B^n)$ denote the orthogonal projection, i.e.

$$C[f](z) = \int_S f(\xi) C(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi), \quad z \in B^n, f \in L^2(S),$$

where $C(z, \xi) = (1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle)^{-n}$ is the Cauchy kernel for the unit ball B^n .

The Poisson–Szegő projection is an integral operator

$$P[f](z) = \int_S f(\xi) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi), \quad z \in B^n, f \in L^2(S).$$

The integral kernel $P(z, \xi) = \frac{(1-|z|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z, \xi \rangle|^{2n}}$ is called the Poisson–Szegő kernel of B^n .

We use M_f to denote the multiplication operator, and we define the Toeplitz operators as

$$T_f^C = CM_f \quad \text{and} \quad T_f = PM_f.$$

We begin with a characterization theorem on f such that the Toeplitz operator T_f^C is bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_a^1(B^n)$.

THEOREM 0. *Let $f \in L^2(S)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in L^\infty(S) \cap \text{LMO}(S)$,
- (ii) *The Toeplitz operators T_f^C and $T_{\bar{f}}^C$ are bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_a^1(B^n)$,*
- (iii) $M_f : \text{BMO}(S) \rightarrow \text{BMO}(S)$ *is bounded,*
- (iv) $M_f : \text{BMOA} \rightarrow \text{BMO}(S)$ *is bounded,*
- (v) *The Toeplitz operator T_f^C is bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_a^1(B^n)$.*

This is Theorem 1 in [7]. We note that in the proof of the implication (iv) \Rightarrow (i) it is not shown that $M_f : \text{BMOA} \rightarrow \text{BMO}(S)$ implies $f \in L^\infty$. Here is a simple proof.

For $\xi_0 \in S$ any Lebesgue point of f , we consider $g_{\xi_0}(z) = \log(1 - \langle z, \xi_0 \rangle) \in \text{BMOA}$ with $\|g_{\xi_0}\|_* \leq C$, where C is a constant depending only on n . By assumption we have $\|fg_{\xi_0}\|_* \leq C$ (In this paper constants are denoted by C which may indicate a different constant from one occurrence to the next). Therefore, for any nonisotropic ball $B_\delta(\xi_1) \subset S$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_1))} \int_{B_\delta(\xi_1)} |f(\eta)g_{\xi_0}(\eta)| \, d\sigma(\eta) \leq C \log \frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_1))}$$

(see [7, Lemma 1]).

In particular, if we let $\xi_1 = \xi_0$ and $0 < \delta < 1/4$, we have

$$C|g_{\xi_0}(\eta)| \geq \log \frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_0))}, \quad \eta \in B_\delta(\xi_0)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} C \log \frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_0))} &\geq \frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_0))} \int_{B_\delta(\xi_0)} |f(\eta)g_{\xi_0}(\eta)| d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\geq C^{-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_0))} \right) \frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_0))} \int_{B_\delta(\xi_0)} |f(\eta)| d\sigma(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_0))} \int_{B_\delta(\xi_0)} |f(\eta)| d\sigma(\eta) \leq C^2$$

for all $\delta > 0$. Since ξ_0 is Lebesgue point, we have $|f(\xi_0)| \leq C^2$. By Lebesgue theorem, we have $|f(\xi)| \leq C^2$ for a.e. $\xi \in S$. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.

The main purpose of this paper is to give characterization theorems on f such that the Toeplitz operator T_f is bounded from $H_{at}^p(S) \rightarrow H_m^p(B^n)$ with $0 < p \leq 1$. More precisely we prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in L^2(S)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in L^\infty(S) \cap \text{LMO}(S)$
- (ii) *The Toeplitz operator T_f is bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_m^1(B^n)$.*
- (iii) $M_f : \text{BMO}(S) \rightarrow \text{BMO}(S)$ *is bounded.*

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in L^2(S)$, and $0 < p < 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in (H_{at}^p(S))^*$
- (ii) $T_f : H_{at}^p(S) \rightarrow H_m^p(B^n)$ *is bounded.*

2. Some basic notations and known results

Let $\tilde{\Delta} = (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j,k} (\delta_{jk} - z_j \bar{z}_k) D_j \bar{D}_k$ be the invariant or Bergman laplacian. The functions annihilated by $\tilde{\Delta}$ are called M -harmonic functions, $f \in M$, (see [8, Chapter 4], for general properties of these functions).

We will also use the following expressions, defined for a smooth function u in B^n :

- (a) The radial maximal function

$$u^+(\xi) = \sup\{|u(r\xi)|; 0 \leq r < 1\}.$$

- (b) The admissible maximal function

$$M_\delta[u](\xi) = M[u](\xi) = \sup \left\{ |u(z)| : z \in D_\delta(\xi) \right\}.$$

(c) The admissible area function

$$S[u](\xi) = \left\{ \int_{D_\delta(\xi)} \|\nabla_{B^n} u(z)\|_{B^n}^2 d\tau(z) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Here, in (b) and (c), $D_\delta(\xi) = D(\xi)$ is the admissible approach region given by

$$D_\delta(\xi) = \{z \in B^n : |1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle| < \delta(1 - |z|^2)\},$$

$$d\tau(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} dV(z),$$

dV denoting Lebesgue measure, and $\|\nabla_{B^n} u\|_{B^n}$ is the Bergman length of the Bergman gradient given in coordinates by

$$\|\nabla_{B^n} u\|_{B^n}^2 =$$

$$(1 - |z|^2) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i u|^2 - \left| \sum_{i=1}^n z_i D_i u \right|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{D}_i u|^2 - \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}_i \bar{D}_i u \right|^2 \right\}.$$

A function $f \in M$ is said to belong $H_m^p(B^n)$, $0 < p < \infty$, if $M_\delta[f] \in L^p(S)$.

For the proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 the following two lemmas will be needed.

LEMMA 2.1. [1] *Let $u \in M$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $u \in H_m^1(B^n)$
- (ii) *The radial maximal function $u^+ \in L^1(S)$.*
- (iii) *The area function $S[u] \in L^1(S)$.*
- (iv) *There exists $f \in H_{at}^1(S)$ such that $u = P[f]$.*

LEMMA 2.2. [1], [4], [5], [8] *The following statements hold:*

- (i) $P : H_{at}^p(S) \rightarrow H_m^p(B^n)$, $0 < p < \infty$ *is bounded and onto.*
- (ii) *The dual space of $H_{at}^p(S)$, $0 < p < 1$, is $\mathcal{L}^\gamma(S)$, $\gamma = n(1/p - 1)$.*
- (iii) *The dual space of $H_{at}^1(S)$ is $\text{BMO}(S)$.*

See [4] and [7] for the definition of $\mathcal{L}^\gamma(S)$ spaces.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

First, we prove (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

By Lemma 2.1 every function in the space $H_m^1(B^n)$ is the Poisson integral of a function in the space $H_{at}^1(S)$ and so the hypotheses that T_f is bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_m^1(B^n)$ is equivalent to the hypotheses that M_f is bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$

to itself. But then by duality $(H_{at}^1(S))^* = \text{BMO}(S)$ (Lemma 2.2) M_f is bounded from $\text{BMO}(S)$ to itself.

By Theorem 0 we have that (iii) \Leftrightarrow (i).

The proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

By the atomic decomposition theorem [4], [7], it suffices to show that for every atom a on S with support $B_0 = B(\xi_0, \delta)$, we have

$$\|T_f(a)\|_{H_m^1(B^n)} \leq C(\|f\|_{\text{LMO}} + \|f\|_\infty).$$

Now we let $B_1 = 2B_0 = B(\xi_0, 2\delta)$. Since $f \in L^\infty(S)$, $T_f : L^2(S) \rightarrow H_m^2(B^n)$ is bounded (Lemma 2.2). So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1} \left(\sup_{z \in D(\eta)} \int_{B_0} |a(\xi)| |f(\xi)| P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \right) d\sigma(\eta) \\ & \leq \|f\|_\infty \left(\int_{B_1} \left(\sup_{z \in D(\eta)} \int_{B_0} |a(\xi)| P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \right)^2 d\sigma(\eta) \right)^{1/2} (\sigma(B_1))^{1/2} \\ & \leq \|f\|_\infty \|a\|_{L^2(S)} (\sigma(B_1))^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used Lemma 2.2 and the estimate $|a(\xi)| \leq (\sigma(B_0))^{-1}$, $\xi \in B_0$). Let $\eta \in S \setminus B_1$ and $z \in D_\delta(\eta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_f(a)(z) &= \int_{B_0} a(\xi) f(\xi) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \int_{B_0} a(\xi) (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) + f_{B_0} \int_{B_0} a(\xi) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= I_1(z) + I_2(z) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S \setminus B_1} \sup_{z \in D_\delta(\eta)} |I_2(z)| d\sigma(\eta) &\leq |f_{B_0}| \int_S \left(\sup_{z \in D_\delta(\eta)} \int_{B_0} |a(\xi)| P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \right) d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\leq C |f_{B_0}| \|a\|_{H_{at}^1(S)} \leq C \|f\|_\infty, \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1 (or Lemma 2.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(z) &= \int_{B_0} (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) a(\xi) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \int_{B_0} (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) a(\xi) [P(z, \xi) - P(z, \xi_0)] d\sigma(\xi) \\ &\quad + \int_{B_0} (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) a(\xi) P(z, \xi_0) d\sigma(\xi) = I_{11}(z) + I_{12}(z) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S \setminus B_1} \left(\sup_{z \in D_\delta(\eta)} |I_{12}(z)| \right) d\sigma(\eta) \\
& \leq \int_{S \setminus B_1} \left(\sup_{z \in D_\delta(\eta)} \int_{B_0} |f(\xi) - f_{B_0}| |a(\xi)| P(z, \xi_0) d\sigma(\xi) \right) d\sigma(\eta) \\
& \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{\text{LMO}}}{\log \frac{2}{\sigma(B_0)}} \int_{S \setminus B_1} \left(\sup_{z \in D_\delta(\eta)} P(z, \xi_0) \right) d\sigma(\eta) \\
& \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{\text{LMO}}}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}} \int_{S \setminus B_1} \frac{d\sigma(\eta)}{|1 - \langle \eta, \xi_0 \rangle|^n} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{LMO}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{11}(z)| & \leq \frac{C}{\sigma(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |f(\xi) - f_{B_0}| |P(z, \xi) - P(z, \xi_0)| d\sigma(\xi) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\sigma(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |f(\xi) - f_{B_0}| \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{|1 - \langle z, \xi_0 \rangle|^{n+1/2}} d\sigma(\xi) \\
& \leq C \|f\|_* \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{|1 - \langle \eta, \xi_0 \rangle|^{n+1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(See [8]) (Note that $z \in D_\delta(\eta)$ and $\eta \in S \setminus B_1$).

Therefore

$$\int_{S \setminus B_1} \sup_{z \in D_\delta(\eta)} |I_{11}(z)| d\sigma(\eta) \leq C \|f\|_* \leq C \|f\|_\infty.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2

First, we prove that (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $g \in H_{at}^p(S) \cap L^2(S)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_S f(\xi) g(\xi) d\sigma(\xi) \right| & = |P[fg](0)| = |T_f(g)(0)| \\
& \leq \|T_f g\|_{H_m^p(B^n)} \leq \|T_f\| \|g\|_{H_{at}^p(S)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $H_{at}^p(S) \cap L^2(S)$ is dense in $H_{at}^p(S)$, then we have that

$$\left| \int_S f(\xi) g(\xi) d\sigma(\xi) \right| \leq \|T_f\| \|g\|_{H_{at}^p(S)}$$

for all $g \in H_{at}^p(S)$. Therefore $f \in (H_{at}^p(S))^*$, i.e. (i) holds.

Now we prove that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By the atom decomposition theorem, we need only to show that

$$\|T_f(a)\|_{H_m^p(B^n)} \leq C(f),$$

for all p -atom a will support $B(\xi_0, \delta) \subset S$ for some $\xi_0 \in S$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

Now we proceed as in the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv), Theorem 2 [7]. The contributions of $B(\xi_0, 2\delta)$ to the integral $\int_S (\sup_{z \in D(\eta)} |T_f(a)(z)|)^p d\sigma(\eta)$ is estimated using Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of the map $P : L^2(S) \rightarrow H_m^2(B^n)$.

For points $\eta \notin B(\xi_0, 2\delta)$ one uses the cancellation of the atom and boundedness $P : H_{at}^p(S) \rightarrow H_m^p(B^n)$ (Lemma 2.2) to obtain the desired estimate.

REFERENCES

1. P. Ahern, J. Bruna and C. Cascante, *H^p -theory for generalized M -harmonic functions in the unit ball*, Indiana Univ. Math J. **45** (1996), 103–135.
2. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. Stein, *Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis*, J. Funct. Anal. **62** (1985), 304–335.
3. C. Fefferman, E. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. **129** (1972), 137–193.
4. J. Garnett and R. Latter, *Hardy spaces in several complex variables*, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), 27–42.
5. D. Geller, *Some results in H^p theory for the Heisenberg group*, Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), 365–391.
6. M. Jevtić, *On the Carleson measure characterization of BMO functions on the unit sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 3371–3378.
7. Song-Ying Li, *Toeplitz Operators on Hardy Space $H^p(S)$ with $0 < p \leq 1$* , Integral Equat. Oper. Theory **15** (1992), 807–823.
8. W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

Matematički fakultet
Studentski trg 16
11001 Beograd, p.p. 550
Yugoslavia

(Received 08 11 1996)
(Revised 14 07 1997)