

## WEAK CYLINDRIC PROBABILITY ALGEBRAS

M. Rašković, R.S. Đorđević and M. Bradić

*Communicated by Žarko Mijajlović*

**Abstract.** We prove an analog of the Boolean representation theorem for locally finite-dimensional weak cylindric probability algebras. These algebras are designed to provide an apparatus for an algebraic study of the weak probability logic  $L_{\mathcal{A}P\forall}$ .

The notion of a weak cylindric probability algebra will be introduced as a common algebraic abstraction from the theory of deductive systems of the weak probability logic  $L_{\mathcal{A}P\forall}$ , and the geometry associated with basic set-theoretic notions.

The logic  $L_{\mathcal{A}P\forall}$  is the minimal extension of the infinitary logic  $L_{\mathcal{A}}$  (see [3]) and the probability logic  $L_{\mathcal{A}P}$  (see [4]), where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a countable admissible set such that  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $L$  be a countable  $\mathcal{A}$ -recursive set of finitary relation, function and constant symbols. The set  $\text{Form}_L$  of all formulas of  $L_{\mathcal{A}P\forall}$  is closed under countable disjunctions ( $\vee$ ) and conjunctions ( $\wedge$ ), negation ( $\neg$ ), usual quantifiers ( $\forall, \exists$ ) and probability quantifiers ( $P\mathbf{v} \geq r$ ), where  $\mathbf{v}$  is a finite tuple of distinct variables and  $r \in \mathcal{A} \cap [0, 1]$ . This set contains as distinguished elements the expressions: false ( $F$ ), true ( $T$ ) and  $v_p = v_q$  for any  $p, q < \omega$ . The structure

$$\mathfrak{Form}_L = \langle \text{Form}_L, \vee, \wedge, \neg, F, T, \exists v_i, P\mathbf{v} \geq r, v_p = v_q \rangle$$

is the free algebra of formulas of  $L_{\mathcal{A}P\forall}$ .

Axioms and rules of inference for  $L_{\mathcal{A}P\forall}$  are those for  $L_{\mathcal{A}}$  and the weak  $L_{\mathcal{A}P}$ , as listed in [3] and [4], together with the following axioms (see [6]):

$$\begin{aligned} (AP\forall_1) \quad & (\forall x)\varphi \rightarrow (Px \geq 1)\varphi; \\ (AP\forall_2) \quad & (Px_1 \dots x_n \geq r)\varphi \rightarrow (Px_{\pi_1} \dots x_{\pi_n} \geq r)\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

---

*AMS Subject Classification* (1991): Primary 03C70

Research supported by Science Fund of Serbia through Mathematical Institute SANU grant number 04M03/C

where  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be any set of sentences of  $L_{APV}$ . The notion of a deduction of a formula  $\varphi$  from  $\Sigma$  (denoted by  $\Sigma \vdash \varphi$ ) is defined in the usual way. Let  $\equiv_\Sigma$  be a relation on  $\text{Form}_L$  defined by

$$\varphi \equiv_\Sigma \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Sigma \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi.$$

If  $\Sigma \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , then  $\Sigma \vdash (\exists x)\varphi \leftrightarrow (\exists x)\psi$  and  $\Sigma \vdash (P\mathbf{x} \geq r)\varphi \leftrightarrow (P\mathbf{x} \geq r)\psi$ . Hence the relation  $\equiv_\Sigma$  is a congruence relation on  $\mathfrak{Form}_L$ . Let  $\varphi^\Sigma$  be a set of all formulas  $\equiv_\Sigma$ -equivalent to  $\varphi$ , and let  $\text{Form}_L / \equiv_\Sigma$  be a set of all equivalence classes  $\varphi^\Sigma$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{Form}_L$ . Now, we construct the quotient algebra

$$\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_\Sigma = \langle \text{Form}_L / \equiv_\Sigma, \vee^\Sigma, \wedge^\Sigma, \neg^\Sigma, F^\Sigma, T^\Sigma, (\exists v_i)^\Sigma, (P\mathbf{v} \geq r)^\Sigma, (v_p = v_q)^\Sigma \rangle,$$

which will be called a weak cylindric probability algebra of formulas.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_i^{\mathfrak{A}}, f_j^{\mathfrak{A}}, c_k^{\mathfrak{A}}, \mu_n \rangle_{n < \omega}$  be a weak probability structure for  $L_{APV}$ ; i.e.,  $\langle A, R_i^{\mathfrak{A}}, f_j^{\mathfrak{A}}, c_k^{\mathfrak{A}} \rangle$  is a classical first-order structure and  $\mu_n$ 's are finitely additive probability measures defined on the set of all definable subsets of  $A^n$ . By using the natural definition of the satisfaction relation, we obtain the collection  $\mathbb{A}$  of all sets of the form  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{a \in A^\omega : \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi[a]\}$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{Form}_L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((\exists v_i)\varphi)^{\mathfrak{A}} &= \{a \in A^\omega : a \upharpoonright \omega \setminus \{i\} = b \upharpoonright \omega \setminus \{i\} \text{ for some } b \in \varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\}, \\ ((P\mathbf{v} \geq r)\varphi)^{\mathfrak{A}} &= \{a \in A^\omega : \mu_n\{(b_{k_1}, \dots, b_{k_n}) : b \in \varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}, (j \notin K \rightarrow b_j = a_j)\} \geq r\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{v} = v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}$  and  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Thus we get a weak cylindric probability set algebra. As usual, a unary cylindric set operation  $C_i$  is defined on the subsets of  $A^\omega$  by setting, for any  $X \subseteq A^\omega$ ,

$$C_i(X) = \{y \in A^\omega : y \upharpoonright \omega \setminus \{i\} = x \upharpoonright \omega \setminus \{i\} \text{ for some } x \in X\}.$$

Let  $\langle K \rangle$  be a tuple of distinct integers corresponding to a finite subset  $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$  of  $\omega$ . For each  $\langle K \rangle$  and  $r \in [0, 1]$ , we introduce a unary cylindric probability set operation  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r$  on the subsets of  $A^\omega$  by setting, for any  $X \subseteq A^\omega$ ,

$$C_{\langle K \rangle}^r(X) = \{y \in A^\omega : \mu_n\{(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_n}) : x \in X \ \& \ (j \notin K \rightarrow x_j = y_j)\} \geq r\}.$$

By means of  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r$  we obtain a cylinder generated by translating only the section of  $X$  whose measure is not less than  $r$  parallelly to the  $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ -axis of  $A^\omega$ . If  $K$  is a singleton  $\{k\}$ , then we write  $C_k^r$  instead of  $C_{\langle \{k\} \rangle}^r$ . It follows from  $C_i(\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}) = ((\exists v_i)\varphi)^{\mathfrak{A}}$  and  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r(\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}) = ((P\mathbf{v} \geq r)\varphi)^{\mathfrak{A}}$  that the function  $f: \text{Form}_L / \equiv_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  defined by  $f(\varphi^\Sigma) = \varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a "natural" homomorphic transformation from the weak cylindric probability algebra of formulas  $\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_\Sigma$  onto the weak cylindric probability set algebra

$$\langle \mathbb{A}, \cup, \cap, \sim, \emptyset, A^\omega, C_i, C_{\langle K \rangle}^r, D_{pq} \rangle,$$

where  $D_{pq} = \{a \in A^\omega : a_p = a_q\}$  and, so,  $D_{pq} = (v_p = v_q)^{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

The abstract notion of a weak cylindric probability algebra is defined by equations which hold in both algebras mentioned above. We suppose in advance that a fixed indexation by hereditarily countable sets (from  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{HC}$ ) is given. So let  $A = \{x_i : i \in I\}$  and  $I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . We say that a Boolean algebra  $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -complete if for any  $\{x_j : j \in J\} \subseteq A$ , where  $J \subseteq I$  and  $J \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\sum_{j \in J} x_j \in A$ .

*Definition 1.* A weak cylindric probability algebra is a structure

$$\mathbf{A} = \langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, C_i, C_{\langle K \rangle}^r, d_{pq} \rangle,$$

such that  $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -complete Boolean algebra,  $C_i$  and  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r$  are unary operations on  $A$  for each  $i < \omega$  and each finite  $K \subseteq \omega$ ,  $d_{pq} \in A$  for all  $p, q < \omega$ , and the following postulates hold (by convention, let  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 x$  for  $r \geq 1$ , and  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = C_{\langle K \rangle}^0 x$  for  $r \leq 0$ ).

- (WCP<sub>0</sub>)  $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, C_i, d_{pq} \rangle$  is a cylindric algebra of dimension  $\omega$ .
- (WCP<sub>1</sub>) (i)  $C_{\langle \emptyset \rangle}^r x = x$ , (ii)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r 0 = 0$ , where  $r > 0$ .
- (WCP<sub>2</sub>)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^0 x = 1$ .
- (WCP<sub>3</sub>) If  $r \geq s$ , then  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^s x$ .
- (WCP<sub>4</sub>)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r (x + C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y) = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x + C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y$ , where  $K \subseteq L$ .
- (WCP<sub>5</sub>) (i)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^s y \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^{r+s-1} (x \cdot y)$ ,  
(ii)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^s y \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 (x \cdot y) \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^{r+s} (x + y)$ .
- (WCP<sub>6</sub>)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r - x = - \sum_{m > 0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r+1/m} x$ .
- (WCP<sub>7</sub>)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \leq C_{\langle \pi(K) \rangle}^r x$ , where  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\langle \pi(K) \rangle$  is  $k_{\pi 1}, \dots, k_{\pi n}$ .
- (WCP<sub>8</sub>)  $-C_k^1 - x \leq C_k x$ .
- (WCP<sub>9</sub>) If  $i \in K$ , then: (i)  $C_i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x$ , (ii)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 C_i x = C_{\langle K \setminus \{i\} \rangle}^r C_i x$ .
- (WCP<sub>10</sub>) If  $i, j \notin K$ , then: (i)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r C_i (d_{ij} \cdot x) = C_i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r (d_{ij} \cdot x)$ ,  
(ii)  $C_{\langle K \cup \{i\} \rangle}^r C_j (d_{ij} \cdot x) = C_{\langle K \cup \{j\} \rangle}^r C_i (d_{ij} \cdot x)$ .

We point out that the axioms WCP<sub>2</sub>–WCP<sub>6</sub> express a well-known properties of finitely additive measures. The axioms WCP<sub>7</sub> and WCP<sub>8</sub> express the conditions (AP $\forall_2$ ) and (AP $\forall_1$ ) of  $L_{AP\forall}$ , respectively.

Now we give some properties of the operations  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r$ . The necessary properties of  $C_i$  and the substitution operation  $S_j^i$  defined by  $S_j^i x = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } i = j \\ C_i (d_{ij} \cdot x), & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$ , are well-known (see [2] and [5]).

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, C_i, C_{\langle K \rangle}^r, d_{pq} \rangle$  is a weak cylindric probability algebra, then:*

- (1)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r 1 = 1$ .

- (2) If  $r > 0$  and  $s > 0$ , then  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = x$  iff  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^s - x = -x$ .
- (3) If  $r > 0$  or  $r = s = 0$  and  $K \subseteq L$ , then  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r(x \cdot C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y) = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y$ .
- (4)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot -C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y \leq \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1/m}(x \cdot -y)$ .
- (5) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y$ .
- (6)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x + C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^r(x + y)$ .
- (7)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r(x \cdot y) \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y$ .
- (8)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 x \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 y = C_{\langle K \rangle}^1(x \cdot y)$ .
- (9)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 x = x$  iff  $C_{\langle K \rangle} x = x$ .
- (10) If  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$  and  $r > 0$ , then  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \leq C_{k_1} \dots C_{k_n} x$ .
- (11)  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 d_{pq} = d_{pq}$ , where  $p, q \notin K$ .
- (12) If  $i \in K$ , then: (a)  $S_j^i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x$ , (b)  $S_j^i S_i^m C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = S_j^m C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x$ .
- (13) If  $i, j \notin K$ , then: (a)  $S_j^i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r S_j^i x$ ,  
 (b)  $C_{\langle K \cup \{i\} \rangle}^r S_i^j x = C_{\langle K \cup \{j\} \rangle}^r S_j^i x$ .

*Proof.* (1) It follows from WCP<sub>1</sub> (ii) and WCP<sub>6</sub> that

$$C_{\langle K \rangle}^r 1 = - \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r+1/m} 0 = 1.$$

(2) If  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = x$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\langle K \rangle}^s - x &= - \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-s+1/m} x && \text{by WCP}_6 \\ &= - \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-s+1/m} C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x && \text{by assumption} \\ &= - \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x && \text{by WCP}_4 \text{ (putting } x = 0) \text{ and WCP}_1 \\ &= -x && \text{by WCP}_0. \end{aligned}$$

The converse follows by symmetry.

(3) It follows from (2), WCP<sub>4</sub> and WCP<sub>6</sub> that, for  $r > 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\langle K \rangle}^r(x \cdot C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y) &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^r - (-x + -C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y) \\ &= - \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r+1/m} (-x + -C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y) \\ &= - \left( \left( \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r+1/m} - x \right) + -C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y \right) \\ &= \left( - \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r+1/m} - x \right) \cdot C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y \\ &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot C_{\langle L \rangle}^s y. \end{aligned}$$

(4) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot -C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r+1/m} - y && \text{by WCP}_6 \\ &= \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r+1/m} - y && \text{by WCP}_0 \\ &\leq \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1/m}(x \cdot -y) && \text{by WCP}_5 \text{ (i)}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \cdot -y = 0$ . So,  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot -C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y = 0$  from (4) and  $\text{WCP}_1$ ; i.e.,  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y$ .

(6),(7) Immediate by (5) and  $x \leq x + y$ ,  $y \leq x + y$ ,  $x \cdot y \leq x$ ,  $x \cdot y \leq y$ .

(8) By  $\text{WCP}_5$  (i) we have  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 x \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 y \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^1(x \cdot y)$ . The reverse inequality is an instance of (7).

(9) If  $C_k^1 x = x$ , then  $C_k x = C_k C_k^1 x = C_k^1 x = x$  from  $\text{WCP}_9$  (i). It follows from  $\text{WCP}_3$ ,  $\text{WCP}_6$  and  $\text{WCP}_8$  that  $C_k^1 x \leq \sum_{m>0} C_k^{1/m} x = -C_k^1 - x \leq C_k x$ . Hence, if  $C_k x = x$ , then  $C_k^1 x \leq x$  and  $x = -C_k - x \leq C_k^1 x$  by  $\text{WCP}_0$  and  $\text{WCP}_8$ ; i.e.,  $x = C_k^1 x$ . Now, by induction, it follows from  $\text{WCP}_9$  that  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 x = x$  if and only if  $C_{\langle K \rangle} x = x$ .

(10) First, we prove  $-C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 - x \leq C_{k_1} \dots C_{k_n} x$  by induction on  $|K|$ . The inequality is clear if  $K = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$ . Now  $x \leq C_{k_{n+1}} x$ , so  $-C_{k_{n+1}} x \leq -x$ , and hence  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 - C_{k_{n+1}} x \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 - x$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} -C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 - x &\leq -C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 - C_{k_{n+1}} x = \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1/m} C_{k_{n+1}} x \\ &= \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \setminus \{k_{n+1}\} \rangle}^{1/m} C_{k_{n+1}} x = -C_{\langle K \setminus \{k_{n+1}\} \rangle}^1 - C_{k_{n+1}} x \\ &\leq C_{k_1} \dots C_{k_n} x. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, choose  $p > 0$  so that  $1/p < r$ . Then

$$C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \leq C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1/p} x \leq \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1/m} x = -C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 - x \leq C_{k_1} \dots C_{k_n} x.$$

(11) Immediate by (9) and  $C_{\langle K \rangle} d_{pq} = d_{pq}$ , where  $p, q \notin K$ .

(12) Assuming  $i \neq j$  and  $i \in K$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_j^i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x &= C_i(d_{ij} \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x) \\ &= C_i(d_{ij} \cdot C_i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x) \quad \text{by } \text{WCP}_9 \text{ (i)} \\ &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \quad \text{by } \text{WCP}_0, \end{aligned}$$

and  $S_j^i S_j^m C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = S_j^i S_j^m C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = S_j^m S_j^i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = S_j^m C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x$  by  $\text{WCP}_0$ .

(13) Assuming  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j \notin K$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_j^i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x &= C_i(d_{ij} \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x) = C_i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r(d_{ij} \cdot x) \quad \text{by (3)} \\ &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^r C_i(d_{ij} \cdot x) = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r S_j^i x, \quad \text{by } \text{WCP}_{10} \text{ (i)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\langle K \cup \{i\} \rangle}^r S_j^i x &= C_{\langle K \cup \{i\} \rangle}^r C_j(d_{ij} \cdot x) \\ &= C_{\langle K \cup \{j\} \rangle}^r C_i(d_{ij} \cdot x) \quad \text{by } \text{WCP}_{10} \text{ (ii)} \\ &= C_{\langle K \cup \{j\} \rangle}^r S_j^i x. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The algebraic notion of an ideal in a weak cylindric probability algebra can be modified using specific properties of these algebras.

*Definition 2.* An ideal in a cylindric probability algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a nonempty set  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq A$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\mathcal{I}$  is a Boolean ideal of  $\mathbf{A}$ ; i.e.,
  - (a)  $0 \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
  - (b) If  $\{a_j : j \in J\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  and  $J \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\sum_{j \in J} a_j \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
  - (c) If  $x \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $y \leq x$ , then  $y \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (2) For all  $i < \omega$ , if  $x \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $C_i x \in \mathcal{I}$ .

It follows from Definition 2 and (10) of Theorem 1 that, for any finite  $K \subseteq \omega$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ , if  $x \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \in \mathcal{I}$ . An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  determines the relation  $\sim = \{(x, y) : x \cdot -y + y \cdot -x \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . As usual, if  $x \sim y$ , then  $C_i x \sim C_i y$ . For  $r > 0$  and  $x, y \in A$ , we have

$$C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \cdot -C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y + C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y \cdot -C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \leq \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1/m} (x \cdot -y) + \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1/m} (y \cdot -x)$$

by (4) of Theorem 1. So, if  $x \sim y$ , then  $C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \sim C_{\langle K \rangle}^r y$ . Hence,  $\sim$  is a congruence relation of  $\mathbf{A}$ . We define a new algebra  $\mathbf{A}/\mathcal{I} = \langle A/\mathcal{I}, \hat{+}, \hat{\cdot}, \hat{-}, \hat{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{C}_i, \widehat{C_{\langle K \rangle}^r}, \hat{d}_{pq} \rangle$  as usual. It is not difficult to see that  $\mathbf{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is a weak cylindric probability algebra, and that there is a “natural” homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{A}/\mathcal{I}$ .

The dimension set  $\Delta x$  of an element  $x \in A$  is introduced by  $\Delta x = \{k : C_k x \neq x\}$ . It follows from the clause (9) of Theorem 1 that  $\Delta x = \{k : C_k^1 x \neq x\}$ , i.e., the coordinates in which  $x$  is not a cylinder can be obtained also by applying probability cylindrifications of the form  $C_k^1$ .

*Definition 3.* A weak cylindric probability algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is locally finite-dimensional if  $\Delta x$  is finite for all  $x \in A$ .

Every formula  $\varphi$  of  $L_{APV}$  has only finitely many free variables. If  $v_i$  is a variable not occurring in  $\varphi$ , then  $\models (\exists v_i)\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$  and  $\models (Pv_i > 0)\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$ . So, for any given set  $\Sigma$  of sentences of  $L_{APV}$ , there are at most finitely many indices  $i < \omega$  such that  $\varphi$  is not equivalent under  $\Sigma$  neither to  $(\exists v_i)\varphi$  nor to  $(Pv_i > 0)\varphi$ ; hence,  $\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_\Sigma$  is locally finite-dimensional weak cylindric probability algebra.

The following theorem gives some elementary properties of  $\Delta$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, C_i, C_{\langle K \rangle}^r, d_{pq} \rangle$  is a weak cylindric probability algebra, then:*

- (1)  $\Delta 0 = \Delta 1 = \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $\Delta(\sum_{j \in J} x_j) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} \Delta x_j$ ,  $J \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (3)  $\Delta(\prod_{j \in J} x_j) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} \Delta x_j$ ,  $J \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (4)  $\Delta - x = \Delta x$ ;
- (5)  $\Delta d_{pq} = \{p, q\}$ ;
- (6)  $\Delta C_i x \subseteq \Delta x \setminus \{i\}$ ;
- (7)  $\Delta S_j^i x \subseteq (\Delta x \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\}$ ;
- (8)  $\Delta C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x \subseteq \Delta x \setminus K$ .

*Proof.* The clauses (1)–(7) are well-known properties of  $\Delta$  from the classical theory of cylindric algebras.

(8) Let  $i$  be any integer such that  $i \notin \Delta x \setminus K$ . If  $i \in K$ , then  $C_i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x$  by WCP<sub>9</sub> (i). If  $i \notin \Delta x \cup K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} C_i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x &= C_i C_{\langle K \rangle}^r C_i x = C_i C_{\langle K \cup \{i\} \rangle}^r C_i x && \text{by WCP}_9 \text{ (ii)} \\ &= C_{\langle K \cup \{i\} \rangle}^r C_i x = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x && \text{by WCP}_9 \text{ (i)}. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $i \notin \Delta C_{\langle K \rangle}^r x$ .  $\square$

The main result of this paper is the following analog of the Boolean representation theorem from the classical theory of cylindric algebras.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a locally finite-dimensional weak cylindric probability algebra and  $|A| > 1$ , then there is a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}$  onto a weak cylindric probability set algebra.*

*Proof.* We prove that  $\mathbf{A}$  is isomorphic to a weak cylindric probability algebra of formulas  $\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_\Sigma$  for some  $L$  and  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $R_a$  be an  $n$ -ary relation symbol corresponding to  $a$  for each  $a \in A$ , where the integer  $n$  is obtained from  $\Delta a \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Fix the language  $L = \{R_a : a \in A\}$ . By induction on the complexity of formulas of the logic  $L_{APV}$  we define a function  $f: \text{Form}_L \rightarrow A$  satisfying: if  $\vdash \varphi$ , then  $f(\varphi) = 1$  as follows:

- (1) Let  $\varphi$  be an atomic formula  $R_a(v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n})$  and let  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  be the first  $n$  integers in  $\omega \setminus \{1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Then

$$f(\varphi) = S_{k_1}^{j_1} \cdots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^1 \cdots S_{j_n}^n a;$$

- (2)  $f(v_p = v_q) = d_{pq}$ ; (5)  $f(\bigwedge \Phi) = \prod_{\varphi \in \Phi} f(\varphi)$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ;  
(3)  $f(\neg \varphi) = -f(\varphi)$ ; (6)  $f((\exists v_i) \varphi) = C_i f(\varphi)$ ;  
(4)  $f(\bigvee \Phi) = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi} f(\varphi)$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ; (7)  $f((P\mathbf{v} \geq r) \varphi) = C_{\langle K \rangle}^r h(\varphi)$ ,

where  $\mathbf{v} = v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_m}$  and  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a formula of  $L_{APV}$  and let  $\varphi^*$  be a formula obtained by the substitution of some free variables  $v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}$  of  $\varphi$  with  $v_{m_1}, \dots, v_{m_n}$ , respectively. By induction on complexity of formulas of  $L_{APV}$ , we prove the following *substitution property*:

$$(S) \quad f(\varphi) = S_{k_1}^{j_1} \cdots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \cdots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\varphi^*),$$

where  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  are some distinct integers in  $\omega \setminus \{1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_n, m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ .

Suppose  $\varphi$  is  $R_a(v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n})$ . Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n$  be distinct integers in  $\omega \setminus \{1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_n, m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ . For some distinct integers  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  in the

set  $\omega \setminus \{1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_n, m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\varphi^*) &= S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} S_{m_1}^{q_1} \dots S_{m_n}^{q_n} S_{q_1}^1 \dots S_{q_n}^n a \\
&= S_{k_1}^{p_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{p_n} S_{p_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{p_n}^{m_n} S_{m_1}^{q_1} \dots S_{m_n}^{q_n} S_{q_1}^1 \dots S_{q_n}^n a \\
&= S_{k_1}^{p_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{p_n} S_{p_1}^{k_1} \dots S_{p_n}^{k_n} S_{k_1}^{q_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{q_n} S_{q_1}^1 \dots S_{q_n}^n a \\
&= S_{k_1}^{p_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{p_n} S_{k_1}^{q_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{q_n} S_{q_1}^1 \dots S_{q_n}^n a \\
&= S_{k_1}^{p_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{p_n} S_{k_1}^{p_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{p_n} S_{p_1}^1 \dots S_{p_n}^n a \\
&= S_{k_1}^{p_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{p_n} S_{p_1}^1 \dots S_{p_n}^n a \\
&= f(\varphi)
\end{aligned}$$

by  $\text{WCP}_0$  (see [2] or [5]).

Let  $\varphi$  be  $v_{k_1} = v_{k_2}$ . We may suppose  $k_1 \neq k_2$ . It follows from  $\text{WCP}_0$  that

$$f(\varphi) = d_{k_1 k_2} = S_{k_1}^{j_1} S_{k_2}^{j_2} S_{j_1}^{m_1} S_{j_2}^{m_2} d_{m_1 m_2} = S_{k_1}^{j_1} S_{k_2}^{j_2} S_{j_1}^{m_1} S_{j_2}^{m_2} f(\varphi^*).$$

The steps  $\neg\psi$ ,  $\bigvee \Phi$  and  $\bigwedge \Phi$  in the inductive proof of (S) are easy using appropriate properties of  $S_j^i$  (see [2] and [5]).

Let  $\varphi$  be  $(\exists v_i)\psi(v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}, v_i)$  and  $i \notin \{k_1, \dots, k_n, m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ . For some distinct integers  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  in  $\omega \setminus \{1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_n, m_1, \dots, m_n, i\}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\varphi) &= C_i S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\psi^*) \quad \text{by induction assumption} \\
&= S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} C_i f(\psi^*) \quad \text{by } \text{WCP}_0 \\
&= S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\varphi^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\varphi$  is  $(Pv_{l_1}, \dots, v_{l_m} \geq r)\psi(v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}, v_{l_1}, \dots, v_{l_m})$ ,  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_m\}$  and  $L \cap \{m_1, \dots, m_n, k_1, \dots, k_n\} = \emptyset$ . For some distinct integers  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  in  $\omega \setminus \{1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_n, m_1, \dots, m_n, l_1, \dots, l_n\}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\varphi) &= C_{\langle L \rangle}^r S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\psi^*) \quad \text{by induction assumption} \\
&= S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} C_{\langle L \rangle}^r f(\psi^*) \quad \text{by (13) (a) of Theorem 1} \\
&= S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\varphi^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by induction on the complexity of formulas of the logic  $L_{AP\forall}$ , we prove the following *dimension property*:

(D) if  $v_i$  does not occur free in  $\varphi$ , then  $i \notin \Delta f(\varphi)$ .

We point out only the case of the probability quantification, because other cases are easy using appropriate parts of Theorem 2. So, let  $\varphi$  be the formula



$(Pv_{l_1}, \dots, v_{l_m} \geq r)\psi(v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}, v_{l_1}, \dots, v_{l_m})$  such that  $v_i$  does not occur free in  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $i \notin \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(\varphi) &\subseteq \Delta f(\psi) \setminus \{l_1, \dots, l_m\} && \text{by (8) of Theorem 2} \\ &\subseteq \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} && \text{by induction hypothesis,} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $i \notin \Delta f(\varphi)$ .

Now we shall prove that each logical axiom of  $L_{AP\forall}$  is in the set

$$\Gamma = \{\varphi \in \text{Form}_L : f(\varphi) = 1\}.$$

(A) All axioms of  $L_A$  (see [3]):

It follows from the classical theory of cylindric algebras that each logical axiom of  $\mathcal{A} \cap L_{\omega\omega}$  is in  $\Gamma$ . Suppose  $\varphi$  is  $\bigwedge \Psi \rightarrow \psi$ , where  $\psi \in \Psi$ . Then

$$f(\varphi) = - \prod_{\xi \in \Psi} f(\xi) + f(\psi) \geq -f(\psi) + f(\psi) = 1.$$

Similarly, if  $\varphi$  is  $\neg \bigwedge \Psi \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{\psi \in \Psi} \neg \psi$ , then  $f(\varphi) = 1$ .

(AP) All axioms of the weak logic  $L_{AP}$  (see [4]):

Monotonicity: Let  $\varphi$  be  $(P\mathbf{v} \geq r)\psi \rightarrow (P\mathbf{v} \geq s)\psi$ , where  $r \geq s$ . Then for  $\mathbf{v} = v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}$  and  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$  we have  $f(\varphi) = -C_{\langle K \rangle}^r f(\psi) + C_{\langle K \rangle}^s f(\psi) = 1$  by WCP<sub>3</sub>.

Non-negativity: If  $\varphi$  is  $(P\mathbf{v} \geq 0)\psi$ , then  $f(\varphi) = C_{\langle K \rangle}^0 f(\psi) = 1$  by WCP<sub>2</sub>.

Let  $\varphi$  be  $\theta_1 \leftrightarrow \theta_2$ , where  $\theta_1$  is  $(Pv_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n} \geq r)\psi(v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n})$  and  $\theta_2$  is  $(Pv_{l_1}, \dots, v_{l_n} \geq r)\psi(v_{l_1}, \dots, v_{l_n})$ . Let  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$  and  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$ . We may assume that  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ . Let  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  be distinct integers in the set  $\omega \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_n, l_1, \dots, l_n\}$ . For some distinct integers  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  taken from the set  $\omega \setminus \{1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_n, l_1, \dots, l_n, m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta_1) &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^r S_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\psi^*) && \text{by (S)} \\ &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^r S_{k_1}^{l_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{l_n} S_{l_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{l_n}^{m_n} f(\psi^*) && \text{by WCP}_0 \\ &= C_{\langle L \rangle}^r S_{l_1}^{k_1} \dots S_{l_n}^{k_n} S_{l_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{l_n}^{m_n} f(\psi^*) && \text{by (13) (b) of Theorem 1} \\ &= C_{\langle L \rangle}^r S_{l_1}^{k_1} \dots S_{l_n}^{k_n} S_{k_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{k_n}^{m_n} f(\psi^*) && \text{by WCP}_0 \\ &= C_{\langle L \rangle}^r S_{l_1}^{j_1} \dots S_{l_n}^{j_n} S_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots S_{j_n}^{m_n} f(\psi^*) && \text{by WCP}_0 \\ &= f(\theta_2) && \text{by (S);} \end{aligned}$$

so,  $f(\varphi) = 1$ .

Finite additivity: (i) If  $\varphi$  is  $(P\mathbf{v} \leq r)\psi \wedge (P\mathbf{v} \leq s)\theta \rightarrow (P\mathbf{v} \leq r+s)(\psi \vee \theta)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi) &= -(C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r} - f(\psi) \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-s} - f(\theta)) + C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-(r+s)} - (f(\psi) + f(\theta)) \\ &\geq -C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r-s} (-f(\psi) \cdot -f(\theta)) + C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-(r+s)} - (f(\psi) + f(\theta)) && \text{by WCP}_5 \text{ (i)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $\varphi$  is  $(P\mathbf{v} \geq r)\psi \wedge (P\mathbf{v} \geq s)\theta \wedge (P\mathbf{v} \leq 0)(\psi \wedge \theta) \rightarrow (P\mathbf{v} \geq r+s)(\psi \vee \theta)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi) &= -\left(C_{\langle K \rangle}^r f(\psi) \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^s f(\theta) \cdot C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 - (f(\psi) \cdot f(\theta))\right) + C_{\langle K \rangle}^{r+s}(f(\psi) + f(\theta)) \\ &\geq -C_{\langle K \rangle}^{r+s}(f(\psi) + f(\theta)) + C_{\langle K \rangle}^{r+s}(f(\psi) + f(\theta)) \quad \text{by WCP}_5 \text{ (ii)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Archimedean property: If  $\varphi$  is  $(P\mathbf{v} > r)\psi \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{m>0} (P\mathbf{v} \geq r + 1/m)\psi$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f((P\mathbf{v} > r)\psi) &= -C_{\langle K \rangle}^{1-r} - f(\psi) = \sum_{m>0} C_{\langle K \rangle}^{r+1/m} f(\psi) \quad \text{by WCP}_6 \\ &= f\left(\bigvee_{m>0} (P\mathbf{v} \geq r + 1/m)\psi\right); \end{aligned}$$

so,  $f(\varphi) = 1$ .

(AP $\forall_1$ ) Let  $\varphi$  be  $(\forall v_i)\psi \rightarrow (Pv_i \geq 1)\psi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi) &= - - C_i - f(\psi) + C_i^1 f(\psi) \\ &\geq C_i - f(\psi) + - C_i - f(\psi) \quad \text{by WCP}_8 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

(AP $\forall_2$ ) Let  $\varphi$  be  $(Pv_{k_1} \cdots v_{k_n} \geq r)\psi \rightarrow (Pv_{k_{\pi_1}} \cdots v_{k_{\pi_n}} \geq r)\psi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi) &= -C_{\langle K \rangle}^r f(\psi) + C_{\langle \pi(K) \rangle}^r f(\psi) \\ &\geq -C_{\langle \pi(K) \rangle}^r f(\psi) + C_{\langle \pi(K) \rangle}^r f(\psi) \quad \text{by WCP}_7 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we shall prove that each logical theorem of  $L_{AP\forall}$  is in  $\Gamma$ . Obviously  $\Gamma$  is closed under Modus Ponens and under Conjunction rule. We have two Generalization rules.

If  $\varphi \rightarrow \psi(v_i) \in \Gamma$  and  $v_i$  is not free in  $\varphi$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi \rightarrow (\forall v_i)\psi) &= -f(\varphi) + - C_i - f(\psi) \\ &= -(C_i f(\varphi) \cdot C_i - f(\psi)) \quad \text{by (D)} \\ &= -C_i(C_i f(\varphi) \cdot - f(\psi)) \quad \text{by WCP}_0 \\ &= 1 \quad \text{by assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\varphi \rightarrow (\forall v_i)\psi \in \Gamma$ .

If  $\varphi \rightarrow \psi(v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}) \in \Gamma$  and  $v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}$  are not free in  $\varphi$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi \rightarrow (P\mathbf{v} \geq 1)\psi) &= -f(\varphi) + C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 f(\psi) \\ &= -C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 f(\varphi) + C_{\langle K \rangle}^1 f(\psi) \quad \text{by (D) and (11) of Theorem 1.} \\ &= C_{\langle K \rangle}^1(-f(\varphi) + f(\psi)) \quad \text{by WCP}_4 \text{ and (2) of Theorem 1.} \\ &= 1 \quad \text{by assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\varphi \rightarrow (P\mathbf{v} \geq 1)\psi \in \Gamma$ .

It follows that  $\vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$  implies  $f(\varphi) = f(\psi)$ . So, we introduce a well-defined function  $g: \text{Form}_L / \equiv_{\emptyset} \rightarrow A$  by  $g(\varphi^{\theta}) = f(\varphi)$ . It is easy to see that  $g$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_{\emptyset}$  onto  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $g(R_a(v_1, \dots, v_n)^{\theta}) = a$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{\varphi^{\theta} : g(\varphi^{\theta}) = 0\}$  be a subset of  $\text{Form}_L / \equiv_{\emptyset}$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be a set of all sentences  $\varphi$  of  $L_{AP\forall}$  such that  $(\neg\varphi)^{\theta} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_{\emptyset}$  and

$$\mathbf{A} \cong (\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_{\emptyset}) / \mathcal{I} \cong \mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_{\Sigma}.$$

Moreover,  $\Sigma$  is consistent, since  $|A| > 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weak probability model of  $\Sigma$  (see [6]). Then we have a “natural” homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{Form}_L / \equiv_{\Sigma}$  onto the weak cylindric probability set algebra

$$\langle \{ \varphi^{\mathfrak{A}} : \varphi \in \text{Form}_L \}, \cup, \cap, \sim, \emptyset, A^{\omega}, C_i, C_{\langle K \rangle}^r, D_{pq} \rangle.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Barwise, *Admissible Sets and Structures*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [2] L. Henkin, D. Monk and A. Tarski, *Cylindric Algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [3] H.J. Keisler, *Model Theory for Infinitary Logic*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [4] H.J. Keisler, *Probability quantifiers*; in: *Model Theoretic Logics* (J. Barwise and S. Feferman, eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1985, pp. 509–556.
- [5] J.D. Monk, *Mathematical Logic*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [6] M. Rašković, *Weak completeness theorem for  $L_{AP\forall}$  logic*, Zb. Rad. (Kragujevac) **8** (1987), 69–72.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet  
34001 Kragujevac, p.p. 50  
Yugoslavia

(Received 09 01 1996)