

## ON CESARO MEANS IN HARDY SPACES

Miroslav Pavlović

*Communicated by Miroljub Jevtić*

**Abstract.** In the case  $1/2 < p < 1$  we generalize the Hardy-Littlewood theorem on  $(C, \alpha)$  means in  $H^p$ ,  $\alpha > 1/p - 1$ , by proving that  $M_p(\sigma_n^\alpha u, r) \leq C_{p,\alpha} M_p(u, r)$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , where  $u$  is a harmonic function such that  $\hat{u}(k) = 0$  for  $k < -2n$ . In the case  $p \leq 1/2$  such a generalization is not possible, but the above estimate is valid if  $\sigma_n^\alpha u$  are replaced by Riesz type means.

### 1. Introduction and results

Let  $H^p$  denote the usual Hardy space of analytic functions on the unit disc (cf. [1]). A result of Hardy and Littlewood [3] states that

$$(1) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha \phi\|_{H^p} \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|\phi\|_{H^p} \quad (0 < p < 1, \alpha > 1/p - 1),$$

where  $\sigma_n^\alpha \phi$  are the Cesáro means of order  $\alpha$  of the Taylor series of  $\phi$ . Gwilliam [2] extended this result to the case of harmonic functions by proving that

$$(2) \quad \sup_{r < 1} M_p(\sigma_n^\alpha u, r) \leq C_{p,\alpha} \sup_{r < 1} M_p(u, r),$$

where  $p$  and  $\alpha$  are as in (1), and

$$M_p(u, r) = \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |u(re^{it})|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right\}^{1/p}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

If  $u$  is the Poisson kernel, then  $M_p(u, r) \rightarrow 0$  ( $r \rightarrow 1^-$ ), when  $p < 1$ , which shows that (2) cannot be improved to obtain, for an arbitrary harmonic function  $u$ ,

$$(3) \quad M_p(\sigma_n^\alpha u, r) \leq C_{p,\alpha} M_p(u, r) \quad (0 < r < 1).$$

---

*AMS Subject Classification* (1991): Primary 30D55

*Key Words and Phrases*: Cesáro means, Hardy spaces, convolution

In the case  $p \leq 1/2$  the situation is even worse because then there exist harmonic polynomials  $u_n$ ,  $\deg(u_n) < n$ , such that  $M_p(\sigma_n^\alpha, l)/M_p(u_n, 1) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) (see Theorem 3 below). However, if  $1/2 < p < 1$ , then (3) holds provided  $\hat{u}(k) = 0$  for  $k < -2n$ . We state this fact in the following form.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in L_1(0, 2\pi)$  be such that  $\hat{f}(k) = 0$  for  $k < -2n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. If  $1/2 < p < 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/p - 1$ , then*

$$(4) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha f\|_p \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p,$$

where  $C_{p,\alpha}$  is a constant depending only on  $p$  and  $\alpha$ .

Here

$$\|f\|_p = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p},$$

and  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transformation of  $f \in L_1 = L_1(0, 2\pi)$ .

We will deduce Theorem 1 from the following result by using the known estimates for Fejer's kernels [5].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $0 < p < 1$  and  $f, g \in L_1$  be such that  $\hat{f}(k) = 0$  for  $k < -n$  and  $\hat{g}(k) = 0$  for  $k > n$ . Then*

$$(5) \quad \|f * g\|_p \leq C_p n^{1/p-1} \|f\|_p \|g\|_p.$$

Here  $f * g$  denotes the convolution of  $f$  and  $g$ . Under the conditions of Theorem 2 this is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $\leq n$ ; we have  $(f * g)^\wedge(k) = \hat{f}(k)\hat{g}(k)$ .

In Section 4 we will show how (1) can easily be deduced from another important result of Hardy and Littlewood (cf. [1]):

$$(6) \quad |\hat{\phi}(n)| \leq C_p n^{1/p-1} \|\phi\|_{H_p} \quad (\phi \in H^p, \quad 0 < p < 1).$$

(Here  $\hat{\phi}(n)$  are Taylor's coefficients of  $\phi$ .) Although (6) is contained in (5) we will deduce (5) from (6) very simply.

Theorem 2 will be used to prove part of the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $n$  and  $f$  be as in Theorem 1 and  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then*

$$(7) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha f\|_{1/2} \leq C_\alpha (\log(2n))^2 \|f\|_{1/2}$$

$$(8) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha f\|_p \leq C_{p,\alpha} n^{1/p-2} \|f\|_p \quad (0 < p < 1/2).$$

*These inequalities are the best possible in the sense that there are (nontrivial) trigonometric polynomials  $f_n$ , independent of  $p, \alpha$ , such that  $\deg(f_n) \leq n/2$  and*

$$(9) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha f_n\|_{1/2} \geq c_\alpha \|f_n\|_{1/2} (\log n)^2$$

$$(10) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha f_n\|_p \geq c_{p,\alpha} \|f_n\|_p n^{1/p-2} \quad (0 < p < 1/2).$$

As a further application of Theorem 2 we shall prove that Theorem 1 is true for all  $p < 1$  if the Cesàro means are replaced by the Riesz (spherical) means. The latter are defined as

$$(11) \quad (R_n^\alpha f)(t) = \sum_{|k| < n} \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2\right)^\alpha \hat{f}(k) e^{ikt}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

THEOREM 4. *If  $f$  is as in Theorem 1,  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/p - 1$ , then*

$$(12) \quad \|R_n^\alpha f\|_p \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p.$$

COROLLARY. *If  $f \in H^p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/p - 1$ , then  $\|R_n^\alpha f\|_{H^p} \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_{H^p}$ .*

#### Proof of Theorems 2, 1 and 4

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Assuming, as we may, that  $f$  and  $g$  are trigonometric polynomials we define the analytic polynomials  $F$  and  $G$  by  $\hat{F}(k) = \hat{f}(k - n)$  and  $\hat{G}(k) = \hat{g}(n - k)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . It follows from the hypotheses that  $g(-t) = e^{-\int} G(e^{it})$  and  $f(t) = e^{-\int} F(e^{it})$ . Now write  $f * g$  as

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \hat{f}(n - k) \hat{g}(n - k) e^{i(k-n)t} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \hat{G}(k) \hat{F}(2n - k) e^{i(k-n)t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for a fixed  $t$ , we have that  $(f * g)(t) = \hat{\phi}(2n) e^{-\int}$ , where  $\phi$  is the analytic function defined by

$$\phi(z) = G(e^{it}z)F(z).$$

Now we apply (6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(t)|^p &\leq C_p (2n)^{1-p} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| G\left(e^{i(t+\theta)}\right) F(e^{i\theta}) \right|^p d\theta \\ &= C_p n^{1-p} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(-t - \theta) f(\theta)|^p d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this over the interval  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$  and using Fubini's theorem we get (5).  
□

*Remark.* Somewhere we use  $C$  to denote constants which may vary from line to line.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Recall that  $\sigma_n^\alpha f = K_n^\alpha * f$ , where  $K_n^\alpha$  are Fejer's kernels,

$$(13) \quad K_n^\alpha(t) = \sum_{-n}^n \frac{B(\alpha, n+1)}{B(\alpha, n+1-|k|)} e^{ikt},$$

where  $B$  is the Euler Beta function. By Theorem 2, inequality (4) follows from the inequality  $\|K_n^\alpha\|_p \leq Cn^{1-1/p}$  ( $1/2 < p < 1, \alpha > 1/p - 1$ ). If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , this is easily obtained by integration from

$$|K_n^\alpha(t)| \leq C_\beta \min(n, n^{-\beta}|t|^{-\beta-1}) \quad (0 < \beta \leq 1, |t| < \pi)$$

(see [5, p. 48]). If  $\alpha > 1$ , we use the formula

$$K_n^\alpha(t) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{\alpha-2} A_j^1 K_j^1(t) \right) / A_n^\alpha,$$

where, for  $\beta > -1$ ,

$$(14) \quad A_n^\beta = \binom{n+\beta}{n} \sim \frac{n^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

(see [5, p. 42 and Ch. 3.13]). Combining these relations we find that  $|K_n^\alpha(t)| \leq C_\alpha n^{-1}|t|^{-2}$  ( $|t| < \pi$ ). Since also  $|K_n^\alpha(t)| \leq 2n+1$  (by (13)) we obtain

$$(15) \quad |K_n^\alpha(t)| \leq C_\alpha \min(n, n^{-1}|t|^{-2}) \quad (\alpha \geq 1, |t| < \pi).$$

Now integration yields the desired estimate for  $\|K_n\|_p$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* In this case we have  $R_n^\alpha f = T_n^\alpha * f$ , where

$$T_n^\alpha(t) = \sum_{-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^\alpha e^{ikt}.$$

Hence  $T_n^\alpha$  is the convolution of the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^\alpha r_n^k e^{ikt} &=: h(t) \\ \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^\alpha r_n^{-k} e^{ikt} &= h(-t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_n = 1 - 1/(n + 1)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2,

$$\|T_n^\alpha * f\|_p \leq C_p n^{1/p-1} \|T_n^\alpha\|_p \|f\|_p \leq C_p n^{2/p-2} \|h\|_p^2 \|f\|_p.$$

So it suffices to prove that  $\|h\|_p \leq C_{p,\alpha} n^{1-1/p}$ , for  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $\alpha > 1/p - 1$ . We have

$$h(t) = r_n^{-n} n^{-\alpha} e^{-int} \phi(r_n e^{it}),$$

where

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha z^k,$$

and hence

$$\|h\|_p \leq C n^{-\alpha} M_p(\phi, r_n).$$

Now we use two familiar estimates,

$$|\phi(z)| \leq C_\alpha |1 - z|^{-\alpha-1} \quad (|z| < 1)$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 - r e^{it}|^{-\beta} dt \leq C_\beta (1 - r)^{1-\beta} \quad (\beta > 1),$$

to obtain

$$M_p^p(\phi, r_n) \leq C_{p,\alpha} (1 - r_n)^{1-(\alpha+1)p}.$$

Combining these inequalities we conclude the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 3

Inequalities (7) and (8) follow from Theorem 2 and (15). To prove the rest define trigonometric polynomials  $f_n$  by  $\hat{f}_n(k) = \eta(k/n)$ , where  $\eta$  is an even  $C^\infty$ -function on the real line such that  $\eta(x) = 1$  for  $|x| < 1/4$  and  $\eta(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > 1/2$ . It is easily shown (see, for example, [4, p. 177]) that  $\|f_n\|_p \leq C_p n^{1-1/p}$ . So it remains to prove that

$$(16) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha f_n\|_p \geq c_\alpha n^{-1} (\log n)^2 \quad (p = 1/2)$$

$$(17) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha f_n\|_p \geq c_{p,\alpha} n^{-1} \quad (p < 1/2),$$

where  $c_\alpha$  and  $c_{p,\alpha}$  are positive constants.

Let

$$(18) \quad F_n(x) = \frac{\eta(x/n)}{B(\alpha, n+1-|x|)}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

$$G_n(t) = (1 - e^{it})^3 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(k) e^{ikt}.$$

We will show that there exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that

$$(19) \quad |G_n(t)| \geq A^{-1}n^{\alpha-1}t \quad (n > A, \quad A/n < t < \pi),$$

which will imply

$$(20) \quad |\sigma_n^\alpha f_n(t)| \geq c_\alpha n^{-1}t^{-2} \quad (A/n < t < \pi)$$

because

$$\sigma_n^\alpha f_n(t) = (1 - e^{it})^{-3}B(\alpha, n+1)G_n(t).$$

Inequalities (16) and (17) are immediate consequences of (20).

To prove (19) observe first that  $G_n$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $< n/2 + 3$ . The coefficients of  $G_n$  are given by

$$\hat{G}_n(k) = F_n(k) - 3F_n(k-1) + 3F_n(k-2) - F_n(k-3).$$

Using this we get

$$\hat{G}_n(1)e^{it} + \hat{G}_n(2)e^{2it} = (4F_n(1) - 3F_n(0) - F_n(2))e^{it}(1 - e^{it}).$$

Since, by (18), for  $n > 4$ ,

$$4F_n(1) - 3F_n(0) - F_n(2) = \left(4 - \frac{3(\alpha+n)}{n} - \frac{n}{\alpha+n-1}\right) \frac{1}{B(\alpha, n)} > c_\alpha n^{\alpha-1},$$

we see that

$$(21) \quad |\hat{G}_n(1)e^{it} + \hat{G}_n(2)e^{2it}| \geq c_\alpha n^{\alpha-1}|t| \quad (|t| < \pi).$$

On the other hand, if  $k \neq 1, 2$  and  $|k| < n/2 + 3$ , then we can apply Lagrange's theorem for symmetric differences to obtain

$$|\hat{G}_n(k)| \leq \sup\{|F_n'''(x)|: 0 < |x| < n/2 + 3\}.$$

(It follows from (18) that  $F_n'''(x)$  exists for  $x \neq 0$ .) The formula

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^m B(\alpha, n+1-x) = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{n-x} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-t}\right)^m dt$$

( $0 < x < n+1$ ) together with the inequality  $\log(1/(1-t)) \leq t/(1-t)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , shows that

$$(d/dx)^m B(\alpha, n+1-x) \leq B(\alpha+m, n+1+m-x) \leq C_\alpha n^{-\alpha-m}$$

for  $m = 1, 2, 3$  and  $0 < x < n/2 + 3$ ,  $n > 10$ . Using this we can show, after an elementary but rather long computation which we omit, that  $|F_n'''(x)| \leq C_\alpha n^{\alpha-3}$ . Hence  $|\hat{G}_n(k)| \leq C_\alpha n^{\alpha-3}$  and hence

$$\left| \sum_{k \neq 1, 2} \hat{G}_n(k) e^{ikt} \right| \leq C_\alpha n^{\alpha-2}.$$

Combining this with (21) we obtain

$$|G_n(t)| \geq c_\alpha n^{\alpha-1} |t| - C_\alpha n^{\alpha-2} \quad (|t| < \pi),$$

which implies (19). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

#### 4. Remarks

(A) A simple proof of (1) can be given by using the identity

$$\phi(z\zeta)(1-z)^{-\alpha-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k^\alpha(\sigma_k^\alpha \phi)(\zeta) z^k, \quad |z| < 1, \quad |\zeta| = 1,$$

where  $A_k^\alpha$  is defined by (14). From this and an obvious modification of (6) it follows that

$$r^{np} |A_n^\alpha(\sigma_n^\alpha \phi)(\zeta)|^p \leq C_p n^{1-p} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(re^{it}\zeta)|^p |1 - re^{it}|^{-(\alpha+1)p} dt$$

Now let  $r = 1 - 1/n$  and integrate over the circle  $|\zeta| = 1$  to obtain (1).

(B) Inequality (1) can also be deduced from (5) by considering  $\sigma_n^\alpha \phi$  as the convolution of the functions  $\phi(r_n e^{it})$ ,  $r_n = 1 - 1/(n+1)$ , and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{B(\alpha, n+1)}{B(\alpha, n+1-k)} r_n^{-k} e^{ikt} = r_n^{-n} B(\alpha, n+1) \alpha (1 - r_n e^{-it})^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{int}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P.L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [2] A.E. Gwilliam, *Mean values of power series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 345–352.
- [3] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, *Theorems concerning Cesàro means of power series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **36** (1934), 516–531.
- [4] M. Jevtić and M. Pavlović, *Multipliers from  $H^p$  to  $l^q$*  ( $0 < q < p < 1$ ), Arch. Math. **56** (1991), 174–180.
- [5] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical Series*, Dover, New York, 1955.

Matematički fakultet  
Studentski trg 16  
11001 Beograd, p.p. 550  
Yugoslavia

(Received 10 04 1996)