

## FREE OBJECTS IN PRIMITIVE VARIETIES OF $n$ -GROUPOIDS

G. Čupona and S. Markovski

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Đ. Kurepa*

**Abstract.** A variety of  $n$ -groupoids (i.e. algebras with one  $n$ -ary operation  $f$ ) is said to be a primitive  $n$ -variety if it is defined by a system of identities of the following form:

$$f(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = f(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_n}) \quad (*)$$

Here we give a convenient description of free objects in primitive  $n$ -varieties, and several properties of free objects are also established.

**1. Introduction.** Identities of the form  $(*)$  are called primitive  $n$ -identities, where we take  $n$  to be a fixed positive integer, and  $i_\lambda, j_\mu$  are positive integers. We do not make any distinction between two equivalent identities, and that is the reason why we assume  $1 \leq i_\nu, j_\nu \leq 2n$ . A set  $\Sigma$  of primitive  $n$ -identities is said to be complete if it contains every primitive  $n$ -identity which is a consequence of  $\Sigma$ . Everywhere in this paper we suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a complete system of primitive  $n$ -identities, and we also take  $n \geq 2$ , since for  $n = 1$  the only nontrivial primitive 1-identity is  $f(x) = f(y)$ , which gives rise to constant unars.

The main results obtained here are the construction of free  $\Sigma$ -objects with given basis  $B$  and the following theorems, which are corollaries of the obtained construction.

**THEOREM A.** *A free  $\Sigma$ -object has a unique basis.*  $\square$

**THEOREM B.** *Every subobject of a free  $\Sigma$ -object is a free  $\Sigma$ -object as well.*  $\square$

For any identity  $(*)$  we put  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ ,  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$ .

**THEOREM C.** *Assume that there is an identity  $(*)$  in  $\Sigma$  such that  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ . If  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  is the largest integer such that  $(*)$  is in  $\Sigma$  for  $I = \{1\}$  and for every  $J$  with  $|J| \leq k$ , then any free  $\Sigma$ -object with rank  $k$  has a subobject with infinite rank.*  $\square$

**THEOREM D.** *For every identity (\*) in  $\Sigma$  let  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$  and assume that if (\*) is in  $\Sigma$  for  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $|J| \geq 2$ . Then every free  $\Sigma$ -object has a subobject with infinite rank.  $\square$*

**THEOREM E.** *The word problem is solvable in any primitive  $n$ -variety.  $\square$*

**2. Complete sets of primitive  $n$ -identities.** As we already mentioned in section 1, we assume that in (\*) we have  $1 \leq i_\nu, j_\nu \leq 2n = m$  for each  $\nu$ . In such a way the primitive  $n$ -identities can be considered as transformations of the set  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , i.e. as elements of the set  $\mathcal{T} = M^m (= \{\varphi | \varphi: M \rightarrow M\})$ . Next, in this paper we will not make any distinction between the sets  $M^m$  and  $M^n \times M^n$ , where  $M^n = \{\psi | \psi: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow M\}$ . Namely, if  $\varphi \in M^m$  and  $\varphi_L, \varphi_R \in M^n$  are defined by

$$\varphi_L(i) = \varphi(i), \quad \varphi_R(i) = \varphi(n+i)$$

for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $(\varphi_L, \varphi_R)$  will be considered as another notation of  $\varphi$ .

We stress again that we suppose here and further on that  $\Sigma$  denotes a complete set of primitive  $n$ -identities, where  $n \geq 2$  is a given integer. By the above agreement, we also have that  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ .

Every subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  induces a relation  $\sim_\Lambda$  on  $M^n$  defined by

$$\varphi \sim_\Lambda \psi \Leftrightarrow (\varphi, \psi) \in \Lambda.$$

The following completeness theorem is a consequence of a result from [2]:

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *A subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is complete iff it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\sim_\Lambda$  is an equivalence relation on  $M^n$ ;
- (ii)  $\Lambda$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{T}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T} \circ \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$ , where  $\circ$  denotes the usual superposition of transformations.  $\square$

The following property (shown in [2]) will be used in the next section:

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma$  be such that  $\ker \xi_R = \ker \eta_L$ , and denote by  $T(\xi, \eta)$  the set of all elements  $\zeta \in \mathcal{T}$  which satisfy the following conditions:  $\zeta_L = \xi_L$  and*

$$\xi(i) = \xi(k+n), \quad \eta(k) = \eta(j+n) \Rightarrow \zeta(i) = \zeta(j+n)$$

*for every  $i, k, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $T(\xi, \eta) \neq \emptyset$  and  $T(\xi, \eta) \subseteq \Sigma$  (and, furthermore,  $\mathcal{T} \circ T(\xi, \eta) \subseteq \Sigma$ ).  $\square$*

Given any complete set  $\Sigma$  of primitive  $n$ -identities, by  $\Sigma[M]$  we denote the quotient set  $M^n / \sim_\Sigma$ , and if  $\varphi \in M^n$ , then by  $[\varphi] \in \Sigma[M]$  we denote the corresponding class of equivalent elements. (Further on, we will write simply  $\sim$  instead of  $\sim_\Sigma$ .)

For any  $\mathbf{i} \in M$ , let  $i \in M^n$  be defined by  $\mathbf{i}(\nu) = i$  for each  $\nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We say that  $\Sigma$  is with constant if [1] = [2].

If  $\varphi \in M^n$ , then the set  $\{\varphi(1), \dots, \varphi(n)\}$  is called the content of  $\varphi$ , and will be denoted by  $\text{cnt}(\varphi)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Sigma$  is with constant;
- (ii)  $[\mathbf{i}] = [\mathbf{j}]$  for any  $i, j \in M$ ;
- (iii) there exist  $\varphi, \eta \in M^n$  such that  $[\varphi] = [\eta]$  and the contents of  $\varphi$  and  $\eta$  are disjoint.  $\square$

If  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then any element of  $[\mathbf{i}]$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -constant;  $\Sigma$  is said to be with absolute constant if  $\Sigma[M]$  is a singleton. Denote by  $\varepsilon$  the element of  $M^n$  defined by  $\varepsilon(\nu) = \nu$  for each  $\nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Sigma$  is with absolute constant;
- (ii)  $\varphi \sim \eta$  for any  $\varphi, \eta \in M^n$ ;
- (iii) there is a  $\varphi \in M^n$  such that  $\varepsilon \sim \varphi$  and  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varphi$  have disjoint contents.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If  $\varphi \in M^n$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant, then there is an  $\eta \in [\varphi]$  such that  $\text{cnt}(\eta)$  is a subset of  $\text{cnt}(\psi)$  for any  $\psi \in [\varphi]$ .*

(Then we say that  $\eta$  is a minimal member of  $[\varphi]$ .)

*Proof.* Since  $A = \{\text{cnt}(\xi) \mid \xi \in [\varphi]\}$  is a finite set, there is an  $\eta \in [\varphi]$  such that  $\text{cnt}(\eta)$  is a minimal member in  $A$ . Assume that  $\text{cnt}(\eta)$  and  $\text{cnt}(\eta')$  are different minimal members in  $A$ . Then  $\text{cnt}(\eta) \cap \text{cnt}(\eta') \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\varphi$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant. Let  $i \in \text{cnt}(\eta) \cap \text{cnt}(\eta')$  and let  $j \in \text{cnt}(\eta') \setminus \text{cnt}(\eta)$ . Define  $\zeta \in \mathcal{T}$  by  $\zeta(j) = i$  and  $\zeta(k) = k$  for any  $k \neq j$ . Then  $\zeta \circ (\eta, \eta') = (\eta, \eta'') \in \Sigma$  for some  $\eta'' \in M^n$  such that  $\text{cnt}(\eta'') = \text{cnt}(\eta') \setminus \{j\}$ .  $\square$

Now we define the notion of the  $\Sigma$ -content of an element  $\varphi \in M^n$ , denoted by  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varphi)$ , as follows. We put  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varphi) = \emptyset$  if  $\varphi$  is a  $\Sigma$ -constant, and  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varphi) = \text{cnt}(\eta)$  if  $\varphi$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant and  $\eta$  is a minimal member of  $[\varphi]$ . Note that  $\xi \sim \varphi$  implies  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\xi) = \text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varphi)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.6. *There exists a  $\varphi \in M^n$  such that  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varphi)$  is a singleton iff  $\Sigma$  is without constant.  $\square$*

$\Sigma$  is said to be essentially  $k$ -ary iff  $|\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varepsilon)| = k$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then the order of the constant of  $\Sigma$  is said to be  $k$  iff  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varphi) = \emptyset$  for each  $\varphi \in M^n$  such that  $|\text{cnt}(\varphi)| \leq k$ , and  $k$  is the largest such integer. Therefore we have:

PROPOSITION 2.7. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Sigma$  is with absolute constant;
- (ii)  $\Sigma$  is with constant of order  $n$ .  $\square$

**3.  $\Sigma$ -objects.** Let  $A$  be a nonempty set and let  $\Sigma$  be a complete set of primitive  $n$ -identities. Define a relation  $\sim_{\Sigma, A}$  (shortly denoted by  $\sim_A$ ) on the set  $A^n (= \{\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{a}: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A\})$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \iff (\exists \xi \in \Sigma) \ker \xi = \ker(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$$

where  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^n$  and  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in A^m$  is defined as in the preceding section, i.e.  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})(i) = \mathbf{a}(i)$ ,  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})(i+n) = \mathbf{b}(i)$ , for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

The following statement is a corollary from Proposition 1.1 (and its generalization as well):

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** (i)  $\sim_A$  is an equivalence relation. (ii) If  $\mathbf{a} \sim_A \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$  is a transformation of  $A$  and  $\mathbf{c} \circ (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}')$ , then  $\mathbf{a}' \sim_A \mathbf{b}'$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* We will give only a sketch of the proof, and we will use the fact that  $\Sigma$  is a complete set of identities. Let  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in A^n$ .

- (i) Then for suitably chosen  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  we have  $\ker \varphi(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \ker(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$ , and also if  $\ker \xi = \ker(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ , then  $\ker(\xi_R, \xi_L) = \ker(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ . The transitivity follows by using Proposition 2.2.
- (ii) If  $\ker \xi = \ker(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  and  $\mathbf{c} \circ (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}')$ , then there is a  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $\ker \varphi \xi = \ker(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}')$ , and  $\xi \in \Sigma$  implies  $\varphi \xi \in \Sigma$  by Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

We denote by  $\Sigma[A]$  the quotient set  $A^n / \sim_A$  and by  $[\mathbf{a}]$  the class of equivalent elements of  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$ . (So,  $[\mathbf{a}] = [\mathbf{b}]$  iff  $\mathbf{a} \sim_A \mathbf{b}$ .) If  $A = M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , then  $\sim_A$  and  $\sim$  have the same meaning as in section 2.

Proposition 2.2–2.6 have obvious generalizations, and we make a summary below.

(1)  $|\Sigma[A]| = 1$  iff one of the following cases appears: 1.1)  $|A| = 1$ ; 1.2)  $\Sigma$  is with absolute constant; 1.3)  $|A| \leq k$  and  $\Sigma$  is with constant of order  $k$ .

(2) If  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$ , then the set  $\text{cnt}(\mathbf{a}) = \{\mathbf{a}(1), \dots, \mathbf{a}(n)\}$  is called the content of  $\mathbf{a}$ . If  $\Sigma$  is with constant and  $|\text{cnt}(\mathbf{a})| = 1$ , then the class of equivalent elements  $[\mathbf{a}]$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{o}(\notin A)$  and called the zero of  $\Sigma[A]$ . Then we also say that the  $\Sigma$ -content of  $\mathbf{o}$  is empty, and we denote it by  $\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{o}) = \emptyset$ ; moreover, for each  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{o}$  we put  $\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{c}) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathbf{b} \in A^n$ . If either  $\Sigma$  is without constant or  $[\mathbf{b}] \neq \mathbf{o}$ , then in the family of sets  $\{\text{cnt}(\mathbf{c}) | \mathbf{c} \in [\mathbf{b}]\}$  there is the least member which will be denoted by  $\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}[\mathbf{b}]$  and called the  $\Sigma$ -content of  $[\mathbf{b}]$ ; in this case we also let  $\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{c}) = \text{cnt}_{\Sigma}[\mathbf{b}]$  for each  $\mathbf{c} \in [\mathbf{b}]$ . And, if  $\mathbf{d} \in [\mathbf{b}]$  is such that  $\text{cnt}(\mathbf{d}) = \text{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{d})$ , then we say that  $\mathbf{d}$  is a minimal member of  $[\mathbf{b}]$ . (We note that  $[\mathbf{b}]$  can contain distinct minimal members.)

(3) If  $\Sigma$  is with constant then  $|\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}[\mathbf{a}]| \geq 2$  for each  $[\mathbf{a}] \neq \mathbf{o}$ , but if  $\Sigma$  is without constant then  $|\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{a})| = 1$  for every  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$  such that  $|\text{cnt}(\mathbf{a})| = 1$ . If  $\Sigma$  is essentially unary then  $|\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{a})| = 1$  for every  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$ .

(4) If  $A \subseteq B$  then the canonical mapping from  $\Sigma[A]$  into  $\Sigma[B]$  is injective, and then we can assume that  $\Sigma[A] \subseteq \Sigma[B]$ , in the following sense: if  $[\mathbf{a}] \in \Sigma[B]$  and  $\text{cnt}_{\Sigma}[\mathbf{a}] \subseteq A$ , then we take  $[\mathbf{a}] \in \Sigma[A]$  as well.

An algebra  $(A, f)$  with  $n$ -ary operation  $f$  (i.e. an  $n$ -groupoid) is called a  $\Sigma$ -object if it satisfies all the identities belonging to  $\Sigma$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *An  $n$ -groupoid  $(A, f)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -object iff*

$$\mathbf{a} \sim_A \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{a}) = f(\mathbf{b})$$

for every  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^n$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\text{nat}(\sim_A)$  the natural mapping  $\mathbf{a} \mapsto [\mathbf{a}]$  from  $A^n$  into  $\Sigma[A]$ . Then by Proposition 3.2 we have:

PROPOSITION 3.3. *An  $n$ -groupoid  $(A, f)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -object iff there is a unique mapping  $\underline{f}: \Sigma[A] \rightarrow A$  such that  $\underline{f} \circ \text{nat}(\sim_A) = f$ . (Certainly, the existence of such a mapping  $\underline{f}$  implies its uniqueness.)  $\square$*

Now we have a more convenient alternative definition of a  $\Sigma$ -object. Namely, if  $\underline{f}$  is a mapping from  $\Sigma[A]$  into  $A$ , then the pair  $(A, \underline{f})$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -object with carrier  $A$  and operation  $\underline{f}$ . Further on, by a  $\Sigma$ -object we will understand the kind of structure we have just defined. Thus, for subobjects and homomorphisms we have the following characterizations:

PROPOSITION 3.4. *If  $\mathbf{A} = (A, \underline{f})$  is a  $\Sigma$ -object and  $C \subseteq A$ , then  $C$  is a subobject of  $\mathbf{A}$  iff  $\underline{f}(\Sigma[C]) \subseteq C$ .  $\square$*

Thus, any subobject of a  $\Sigma$ -object is a  $\Sigma$ -object too.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, \underline{f})$  and  $\mathbf{B} = (B, \underline{g})$  be  $\Sigma$ -objects, and let  $h: A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping. Then  $h$  induces a unique mapping  $\underline{h}: \Sigma[A] \rightarrow \Sigma[B]$  such that  $\underline{h} \circ \text{nat}(\sim_A) = \text{nat}(\sim_B) \circ h$ , and  $h$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}$  into  $\mathbf{B}$  iff  $h \circ \underline{f} = \underline{g} \circ \underline{h}$ .  $\square$*

(We note that  $h: A \rightarrow B$  induces a mapping  $h^{(n)}: A^n \rightarrow B^n$  such that  $[\mathbf{a}] = [\mathbf{b}]$  in  $\Sigma[A]$  implies  $[h^{(n)}(\mathbf{a})] = [h^{(n)}(\mathbf{b})]$  in  $\Sigma[B]$ , and then  $\underline{h}([\mathbf{a}]) = [\underline{h}^{(n)}(\mathbf{a})]$  for each  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$ .)

The notion of a partial  $\Sigma$ -object can be defined as follows. Let  $A$  be a nonempty set,  $\mathcal{D}$  a subset of  $\Sigma[A]$  and  $\underline{f}$  a mapping from  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $A$ . Then we say that the triple  $(A, \mathcal{D}, \underline{f})$  is a partial  $\Sigma$ -object. It can be easily seen that this definition is compatible with Evans' definition of partial algebras in a variety of algebras (see [3], where the words "incomplete" and "a class of algebras  $\mathcal{V}$ " are used instead of "partial" and "a variety  $\mathcal{V}$ "). Furthermore, if  $(A, \mathcal{D}, \underline{f})$  is a given partial  $\Sigma$ -object and  $q$  a fixed element of  $A$ , then if we define  $\underline{g}: \Sigma[A] \rightarrow A$  by

$$\underline{g}([\mathbf{a}]) = \begin{cases} \underline{f}([\mathbf{a}]), & \text{if } [\mathbf{a}] \in \mathcal{D} \\ q, & \text{if } [\mathbf{a}] \in \Sigma[A] \setminus \mathcal{D}' \end{cases}$$

then  $(A, \underline{g})$  is a  $\Sigma$ -object which is an extension of  $(A, \mathcal{D}, \underline{f})$ . Now we can apply the well known Evans' result [3, p. 68] "if  $\mathcal{V}$  is a class of algebras having the property that any incomplete  $\mathcal{V}$ -algebra can be embedded in a  $\mathcal{V}$ -algebra, then the word problem can be solved for this class" to obtain the proof of Theorem E of section 1.

**4. A construction of free  $\Sigma$ -objects.** Here we will give a construction of free  $\Sigma$ -objects with basis  $B$ , where  $B$  is a given nonempty set. Let  $(B_p | p \geq 0)$  be a sequence of sets defined inductively as follows:

$$B_0 = B, \quad B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \Sigma[B_p],$$

and let

$$F(\Sigma, B) = \bigcup_{(B_p | p \geq 0)}.$$

(We will write simply  $F$  instead of  $F(\Sigma, B)$ , when  $\Sigma$  and  $B$  are known.) By induction on  $p$  one can easily prove that  $\Sigma[F] = F \setminus B$ .

If  $u \in F$  and if  $p$  is the least number such that  $u \in B_p$ , then we say that  $p$  is the hierarchy of  $u$  and write  $\chi(u) = p$ . It is clear that if  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then  $\chi(\mathbf{o}) = 1$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $u \in F$  and let  $u$  not be a constant. Then  $\chi(u) = p+1$  iff  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(u) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is such that  $\chi(v_i) \leq p$  for each  $i$  and  $\chi(v_j) = p$  for some  $j$  ( $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ).  $\square$*

Define an operation  $\underline{f}: \Sigma[F] \rightarrow F$  by  $\underline{f}(u) = u$  for each  $u \in \Sigma[F]$ . Then we have:

**PROPOSITION 4.2.**  *$(F, \underline{f})$  is a  $\Sigma$ -object generated by the set  $B$ .  $\square$*

Let  $(C, \underline{g})$  be an arbitrary  $\Sigma$ -object and let  $h: B \rightarrow C$  be a mapping. Put  $h_0 = h$  and suppose that  $h_r: B_r \rightarrow C$  is a well defined mapping for each  $r \leq p$  in such a way that  $h_r$  is an extension of  $h_{r-1}$ , and if  $r > 0$ ,  $\chi(u) = r$ , then  $h_r(u) = \underline{g} \circ \underline{h}_{r-1}(u)$ , where  $\underline{h}_{r-1}: \Sigma[B_{r-1}] \rightarrow \Sigma[C]$  is defined as in Proposition 3.5. Now define  $h_{p+1}: B_{p+1} \rightarrow C$  to be the extension of  $h_p$  such that  $h_{p+1}(u) = \underline{g} \circ \underline{h}_p(u)$  for each  $u$  with  $\chi(u) = p+1$ . (Note that if  $\chi(u) = p+1$ , then  $u \in \Sigma[B_p]$ , and thus  $\underline{h}_p(u) \in \Sigma[C]$  is well defined by Proposition 3.5.) In such a way we have defined a chain of mappings  $(h_p | p \geq 0)$ , and its union  $\bar{h} = \bigcup (h_p | p \geq 0)$  is an extension of  $h$  and a homomorphism from  $(F, \underline{f})$  into  $(C, \underline{g})$  as well. Thus we have the following

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If  $B$  is a nonempty set, then  $(F, \underline{f})$  is a free object with basis  $B$ .  $\square$*

The preceding construction of free  $\Sigma$ -objects is somewhat obscure, but in some cases it can be considerably simplified.

**Example 4.4.** If  $\Sigma$  is with constant and  $a, b \in B$ , then we have  $[a^n] = [b^n] = \mathbf{o}$ , where  $\mathbf{o}$  is the zero of  $F$ . (Here, and later on,  $a^n: i \mapsto a$  for each  $a \in A$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .) Clearly,  $\mathbf{o} \in B_1 \setminus B$  and if  $\Sigma$  is with absolute constant, then  $F = B \cup \{\mathbf{o}\}$  and  $\underline{f}(u) = \mathbf{o}$  for each  $u \in \Sigma[B \cup \{\mathbf{o}\}]$ . Therefore, if  $\Sigma$  is with absolute constant, then every constant  $n$ -groupoid is freely generated by the set of elements distinct from the constant (i.e.  $\mathbf{o}$ ). We have the same result if  $\Sigma$  is with constant, of order  $k$  and  $|B| < k$ . (Moreover, if  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then any one-element groupoid can be considered as free  $\Sigma$ -object with empty basis.)  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** Assume that  $\Sigma$  is essentially unar, i.e. for each  $\varphi \in M^n$  there is an  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(\varphi, \mathbf{j}) \in \Sigma$  for  $j = \varphi(i)$ . Then the class of  $\Sigma$ -objects

can be viewed as the class of unars. Namely, if  $(G, h)$  is a unar and if we define a mapping  $\underline{g}: \Sigma[G] \rightarrow G$  by  $\underline{g}(\mathbf{a}) = h(\mathbf{a}(i))$ , then we get a  $\Sigma$ -object  $(G, \underline{g})$ , and any  $\Sigma$ -object can be obtained in such a manner. Moreover,  $(G, \underline{g})$  is a free  $\Sigma$ -object with basis  $B$  iff  $(G, h)$  is a free unar with basis  $B$ .  $\square$

We note that a subunar of a finitely generated free unar is finitely generated too, and thus Example 4.5 shows that the assumptions of Theorem  $D$  are essential.

*Example 4.6.* Let  $n = 3$  and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety defined by the identities

$$f(x, x, x) = f(x, x, y) = f(y, y, y), \quad f(x, y, z) = f(y, x, z) = f(x, z, y).$$

If  $B = \{b\}$ ,  $\mathbf{o} \neq b$  and if we put  $G = \{\mathbf{o}, b\}$  and  $g(u, v, w) = \mathbf{o}$  for each  $u, v, w \in G$ , then  $(G, g)$  is a free object in  $\mathcal{V}$  with basis  $B$  of rank 1. Now, take  $B = \{b, c\}$ ,  $b \neq c$  and  $\mathbf{o} \notin B$ , and define the sets  $B_p$  inductively by

$$B_0 = B \cup \{\mathbf{o}\}, \quad B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \{\{u, v, w\} \mid u \neq v \neq w \neq u, u, v, w \in B_p\}$$

Let  $H = \bigcup (B_p \mid p \geq 0)$  and let

$$h(u, v, w) = \begin{cases} \{u, v, w\}, & \text{if } u \neq v \neq w \neq u. \\ \mathbf{o}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathbf{H} = (H, h)$  is a free object in  $\mathcal{V}$  with basis  $B$ . The subset  $D$  of  $H$ , where  $D = \{d_i \mid i \geq 0\}$  and the elements  $d_i$  are defined inductively by  $d_0 = \{\mathbf{o}, b, c\}$ ,  $d_{p+1} = \{\mathbf{o}, b, d_p\}$  is a basis of infinite rank of the subobject  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $\mathbf{H}$  generated by  $D$ .  $\square$

*Example 4.7.* There exist exactly 6 nonequivalent primitive 2-identities:  $xy = xy$ ,  $xy = yx$ ,  $xy = xx$ ,  $xy = yy$ ,  $xx = yy$ ,  $xy = zw$ . (Here a usual notation of identities is used.) One can form 7 primitive 2-varieties, 6 of them being defined by a single identity of the above ones, and  $\mathcal{V} = \text{Var}(\{xy = yx, xx = yy\})$ . In the variety  $\mathcal{V}$  we can describe a free object with nonempty basis  $B$  by  $F = \bigcup (B_p \mid p \geq 0)$ , where  $B_0 = B$ ,  $B_1 = B \cup \{\mathbf{o}\} \cup \{\{u, v\} \mid u, v \in B, u \neq v\}$ ,  $\mathbf{o} \notin B$ , and  $B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \{\{u, v\} \mid u, v \in B_p, u \neq v\}$  when  $p \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**5. Some properties of free  $\Sigma$ -objects.** Here we will give proofs of Theorems  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  of section 1. Although one can prove these theorems by using an induction on hierarchy, we will rather use the ideas involved in [1].

Assume that  $\mathbf{G} = (G, g)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -object. An element  $a \in G$  is said to be prime in  $\mathbf{G}$  if  $a \neq \underline{g}([\mathbf{b}])$  for any  $[\mathbf{b}] \in \Sigma[G]$ . If  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then each element of  $\mathbf{G}$  is said to be an improper divisor of the zero  $\mathbf{o} \in \Sigma[G]$ . If  $c \in G$  is nonzero and nonprime element, then there is a  $[\mathbf{b}] \in \Sigma[G]$  such that  $c = \underline{g}([\mathbf{b}])$ , and let  $\mathbf{a}$  be a minimal member of  $[\mathbf{b}]$ . Then each element  $d \in \text{cnt}(\mathbf{a}) = \text{cnt}_{\Sigma}[\mathbf{a}]$  is called a proper divisor of  $c$ . A sequence (finite or infinite) of elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  of  $G$  is said to be a divisor chain in  $\mathbf{G}$  iff for every  $i > 1$   $a_i$  is a proper divisor of  $a_{i-1}$ .

Now we have another characterization of free  $\Sigma$ -objects:

**THEOREM 5.1.** *A  $\Sigma$ -object  $\mathbf{H} = (H, \underline{h})$  is a free  $\Sigma$ -object with a nonempty basis  $B \subseteq H$  iff the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  *$B$  is the set of prime elements in  $\mathbf{H}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $c \in H$  is nonprime, then there is a unique  $[\mathbf{b}] \in \Sigma[B]$  such that  $c = \underline{h}([\mathbf{b}])$ .*
- (iii) *Every divisor chain in  $\mathbf{H}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $(F, \underline{f})$  satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii).

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{H}$  satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii), then it is easy to show by induction on hierarchy that there is an isomorphism  $g: (F, \underline{f}) \rightarrow (H, \underline{h})$  such that  $g(b) = b$  for each  $b \in B$ .  $\square$

Now, Theorem A is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.1, for the set of prime elements of a free  $\Sigma$ -object is its unique basis. (We should emphasize here that we do not need Theorem 5.1 to prove Theorem A, since it follows directly from the definition of primitive  $n$ -identities.)

Assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is a subobject of  $(F, \underline{f})$ . The set of prime elements in  $\mathbf{G}$  (considered as a  $\Sigma$ -object) is empty only if  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is with zero and  $G = \{\mathbf{o}\}$ , and then  $\mathbf{G}$  is free with an empty basis. If the set  $C$  of prime elements in  $\mathbf{G}$  is nonempty, then  $C$  is a basis of  $\mathbf{G}$ , since conditions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 5.1 are hereditary. This completes the proof of Theorem B.

Now, let  $\Sigma$  be with constant of order  $k < n$ , and let  $B = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ . Then  $B_1 = B \cup \{\mathbf{o}\}$  and  $\text{cnt}_\Sigma(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k \mathbf{o}^{n-k}) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \mathbf{o}\}$ . Consider the subset  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p, \dots\}$  of  $F$ , where  $c_1 = [a_1 \dots a_k \mathbf{o}^{n-k}]$ ,  $c_{p+1} = [a_1 \dots a_k c_p^{n-k}]$ . Let  $Q$  be the subobject of  $(F, \underline{f})$  generated by  $C$ . Clearly,  $C$  is the set of prime elements in  $Q$ . (Namely,  $c_p$  is a divisor of  $c_{p+1}$  in  $F$ , but this does not hold in  $Q$ .) This completes the proof of Theorem C, since the conditions for  $\Sigma$  stated in Theorem C show that  $\Sigma$  is with constant of order  $k$ .

It remains to show Theorem D. First we note that the assumption in this Theorem can be expressed by  $|\text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varepsilon)| = k \geq 2$ . Take  $\varphi$  to be a minimal member in  $[\varepsilon]$ , and  $i \in \text{cnt}_\Sigma(\varphi)$ . Let  $B$  be a nonempty set,  $b \in B$  and define a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  by  $a_1 = b$ ,  $a_{i+1} = [a_i^n]$  for  $0 < i < n$ , and an infinite sequence  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p, \dots$  by  $c_1 = a_n$ ,  $c_{p+1} = [a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1} c_p a_{i+1} \dots a_n]$ . Then  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $c_r \neq c_s$  for  $r \neq s$ . This implies that  $C = \{c_r | r \geq 1\}$  is an infinite basis of the subobject  $Q$  of  $(F, \underline{f})$  generated by  $C$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R.H. Bruck, *A Survey of Binary Systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958
2. G. Čupona, S. Markovski S., Ž. Popeska, *Primitive  $n$ -identities*, Contributions to General Algebra **9** (1995), 107–116
3. T. Evans, *The word problem for abstract algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **26**(1951), 64–71

Prirodno-matematički fakultet, Institut za informatika, 91000 Skopje, Makedonija

(Received 01 05 1995)