PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE Nouvelle série, tome 56 (70), 1994, 135-139

# THE DUAL OF THE BERGMAN SPACE DEFINED ON A HYPERBOLIC PLANE DOMAIN

### Miodrag Mateljević

## Communicated by Miroljub Jevtić

**Abstract**. We determine the dual of Bergman space over a plane domain whose boundary has at least three finite boundary points. An important tool in our approach is the existence of the reproducing kernel function with corresponding properties on a hyperbolic plane domain.

We say that a plane domain D is hyperbolic if D has three or more boundary points in **C**. Suppose that D is a hyperbolic plane domain and let U denote the open unit disk in C. This hypothesis implies that there is a universal covering mapping  $p: U \to D$  with a Fuchsian covering group G for which  $U/G \cong D$ . The Poincaré density (metric)  $r = r_D$  for the region D is defined by the equation  $r(p(z))|p'(z)| = r_U(z), z \in U$ , where  $r_U(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1}$  is the hyperbolic density on U. To obtain the kernel function, we first form the series:

$$F(z,\zeta) = \sum_{B \in \Gamma} K_U(B(z),\zeta)B'(z)^2$$

defined for z and  $\zeta$  in U. Then let the kernel function  $K = K_D$  be determined by

$$K(p(z), p(\zeta))p'(z)^2 \overline{p'(\zeta)^2} = F(z, \zeta) \qquad z, \zeta \in U.$$

For the proof that  $K = K_D$  is well defined and satisfies the properties of the next lemma see Lemma 3, p. 80 of [3].

LEMMA A. For any hyperbolic plane domain D in C, the kernel function  $K(z,\zeta)$  defined for all  $(z,\zeta)$  in  $D \times D$  is holomorphic in z and has the following properties

$$K(z,\zeta) = \overline{K(\zeta,z)},$$
 (i)

$$\iint_{D} |K(z,\zeta)| dx dy \le \pi r^2(\zeta), \tag{ii}$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 46J15

Miodrag Mateljević

$$f(z) = \frac{3}{\pi} \iint_{D} r^{-2}(\zeta) K(z,\zeta) f(\zeta) d\xi d\eta, \qquad \text{(iii)}$$

for every integrable holomorphic function f on D.

From now on let D denote a plane domain and let  $L^p(D)$ ,  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ , be the space of all measurable functions f on D for which

$$||f||_p^p = \iint_D |f(z)|^p dx dy < +\infty$$

Let further  $B^p = B^p(D)$  denote the subspace of  $L^p$  consisting of holomorphic functions on D. For every  $\varphi \subset L^p(D)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , let K denote the projection defined by:

$$K\varphi(z) = \frac{3}{\pi} \iint_{D} r^{-2}(\zeta) K(z,\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d\xi d\eta, \qquad z \in D.$$

By  $L_r^{\infty}$  we denote the space of all measurable functions  $\psi$  on D for which

$$\|\psi\|_{\infty,r} = \operatorname{ess sup}_{w \in D} r^{-2}(w) |\psi(w)| < \infty,$$

and by  $B_r^{\infty}$  the subspace of  $L_r^{\infty}$  consisting of holomorphic functions on D. If  $D = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{a, b, \infty\}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbf{C}, a \neq b$  and  $f \in B^1(D)$ , then f must be the identically zero function on D. But if the boundary of the plane domain D has at least three finite boundary points a, b and c, then the function  $f(z) = [(z-a)(z-b)(z-c)]^{-1}$  belongs to  $B^1(D)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let D be a plane domain, whose boundary has at least three finite boundary points. To each bounded linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $B^1(D)$ , there corresponds a unique  $g \in B_r^{\infty}(D)$  such that

$$\Phi(f) = \iint_{D} r^{-2}(w) f(w) \overline{g(w)} du dv, \qquad f \in B^{1}(D).$$
(1)

Moreover, if  $\Phi$  and g are related as in (1), then  $\frac{1}{3} \|g\|_{\infty,r} \le \|\Phi\| \le \|g\|_{\infty,r}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi$  be a bounded linear functional on  $B^1 = B^1(D)$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem,  $\Phi$  can be extended to a bounded linear functional  $\tilde{\Phi}$  on  $L^1$  so that  $\|\tilde{\Phi}\| = \|\Phi\|$ .

By Theorem 6.16 of [4] there is a unique  $\tilde{\psi} \in L^{\infty}$  such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\varphi) = \iint_D \varphi(w) \tilde{\psi}(w) du dv \qquad (\varphi \in L^1)$$

and that  $\|\tilde{\Phi}\| = \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{\infty}$ .

Let  $\psi(w) = r^2(w)\tilde{\psi}(w)$ , so that  $\psi \in L^{\infty}_r(D)$ . Let  $g = K\psi$ . Using again the part (ii) of Lemma A we get:

$$|g(z)| = |(K\psi)(z)| \le \frac{3}{\pi} \iint_{D} r^{-2}(\zeta) K(z,\zeta) |\psi(\zeta)| d\zeta d\eta \le 3r^{2}(z) ||\psi||_{\infty,r},$$

136

where  $z \in D$ . Thus  $g \in B_r^{\infty}$  and

$$\|g\|_{\infty,r} \le 3\|\psi\|_{\infty,r}.\tag{2}$$

137

For our purpose it is convenient to use the notation:

$$\langle \varphi, \tau \rangle = \iint_D r^{-2}(w)\varphi(w)\overline{\tau(w)}dudv,$$

if the right hand side exists. Note that  $\tilde{\Phi}(\varphi) = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ ,  $\varphi \in L^1$ . Now let  $f \in B^1$ . Then:

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \langle f,K\psi\rangle = \frac{3}{\pi} \iint_{D} (r^{-2}(\zeta)f(\zeta) \iint_{D} r^{-2}(w)\overline{K(\zeta,w)\psi(w)}dudv)d\xi d\eta$$

Since  $K(z, w) = \overline{K(w, z)}$ , using Fubini's theorem and the reproducing property which satisfies f, we get  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f, \psi \rangle$ . Hence  $\langle f, g \rangle = \tilde{\Phi}(f) = \Phi(f)$ .

Let us prove the uniqueness of g. Let g and  $g_1$  satisfy (1) and let  $h = g - g_1$ . Then

$$\langle f, h \rangle = 0, \qquad \text{for every } f \in B^1.$$
 (3)

Using the reproducing property

$$\overline{h(\zeta)} = \overline{(Kh)(\zeta)} = \frac{3}{\pi} \iint_{D} r^{-2}(w) K(w,\zeta) \overline{h(w)} du dv \qquad (\zeta \in D),$$

Fubini's theorem and (3) we can show that

$$\langle \varphi, h \rangle = \langle \varphi, Kh \rangle = \langle K\varphi, h \rangle = 0,$$

for every  $\varphi \in L^1$ . Now the integral of  $h = g - g_1$  over any measurable set  $E \subset D$  of finite measure is 0 (as we see by taking  $\chi_E$  for  $\varphi$ ) and hence  $h \equiv 0$  on D. By (2) we have

$$\frac{1}{3} ||g||_{\infty,r} \le ||\Phi|| \le ||g||_{\infty,r}.$$

Note that, among other things, the space  $L_r^{\infty}$  has an important role in the theory of quasiconformal mapping (see [2] and [3]).

The dual of  $B^1(U)$  was determined in [1]. In this case we can describe the dual space with respect to the weighted pairing

$$\langle f,g \rangle_s = \int\limits_U (1-|w|^2)^s f(w)\overline{g(w)} du dv, \quad \text{for all } s \ge 0.$$

Let  $\Lambda_S$ ,  $0 \leq s < +\infty$ , denote the space of all measurable functions g on U for which  $r^{-s}g$  is a bounded function on U and  $H\Lambda_s$  the corresponding subspace consisting of holomorphic functions on U.

#### Miodrag Mateljević

PROPOSITION 2. If  $\Phi$  is a bounded linear functional on  $B^1(U)$ , then: (a) for every s > 0 there exists a  $g \in H\Lambda_s$  such that  $\Phi(f) = \langle f, g \rangle_s$  for all  $f \in B^1$ ; (b) there exists a holomorphic function G which belongs to the Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\Phi(f) = \lim_{r \to 1} \langle f_r, G \rangle_0, \quad \text{for all } f \in B^1.$$

Here  $f_r$  has the usual meaning defined by  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ . In the case D = UTheorem 1 is reduced to the case s = 2 of Proposition 2.

Proof of the part (a). In the proof of Theorem 1 we showed that there exists  $\tilde{\psi} \in L^{\infty}$  such that  $\Phi(f) = \langle f, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_0$  for every  $f \in B^1$ . Let  $\psi = r^s \tilde{\psi}$ . If s > 0 then Proposition 1.4.10 of [5] shows that the operator  $T_s$  (see chapter 7 of [5] for the definition) is a bounded operator from  $\Lambda_s$  into  $H\Lambda_s$ . Hence  $g = T_s \psi$  belongs to  $H\Lambda_s$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 we can show that  $\langle f, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_0 = \langle f, \psi \rangle_s = \langle f, T_s \psi \rangle_s$  for every  $f \in B^1$ .

Proof of the part (b). Let  $G = T_0 \dot{\psi}$ . Another application on Proposition 1.4.10 of [5] shows that  $G \in \mathcal{B}$  (Note that  $T_0$  is a bounded operator from  $L_0 = L^{\infty}$  into Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  which is strictly larger then  $L^{\infty}$ , but  $T_0$  is not bounded from  $L_0 = L^{\infty}$  into  $L^{\infty}$ ). Now the assertion (b) follows from the relations

$$\Phi(f_r) = \langle f_r, \hat{\psi} \rangle_0 = \langle f_r, T_0 \hat{\psi} \rangle_0 = \langle f_r, G \rangle_0, \quad 0 < r < 1, \text{ and } \Phi(f) = \lim_{r \to 1_-} \Phi(f_r).$$

It would be interesting to give the appropriate generalizations of Proposition 2 and also of the statements which we used in our proof of Proposition 2, concerning more general domains than the unit disk.

We say that a hyperbolic domain D in  $\mathbf{C}$  is strongly hyperbolic if every component of  $\partial D$  is different from a point. If D is a hyperbolic domain then  $r_D(z) \leq \text{dist} (z, \partial D)^{-1}$ , for every  $z \in D$ , where  $d(z) = \text{dist} (z, \partial D)$  denotes the distance from z to  $\partial D$ .

If D is strongly hyperbolic we realized that

$$\frac{1}{4}d_D^{-1}(z) \le r_D(z) \le d_D^{-1}(z).$$
(4)

If D is only hyperbolic, then the first inequality in (4) does not hold as the following example shows.

*Example.* If  $D = U \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $r(z) = [|z| \log(1/(|z|))]^{-1}$ . Thus r(z)d(z) tends to zero when  $D \ni z \to 0$ .

Now it is natural to ask whether there exists a version of Theorem 1 and Lemma A with d instead of  $r^{-1}$ ?

We are indebted to professor M. Pavlović for helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

 J.M. Anderson, J. Clunie, Ch. Pommerenke, On the Bloch functions and normal functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 270 (1974), 12–37.

- [2] K. Astala, F. Gehring, Injectivity, the BMO norm and the universal Teichmuller space, J. Anal. Math. 46 (1986), 16-56.
- [3] F.P. Gardiner, *Teichmuller Theory and Quadratic Differentials*, J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1987.
- [4] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [5] W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $C^n$ , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

Matematički fakultet(Received 08 01 1995)11001 Beograd, p.p. 550(Revised 25 05 1995)YugoslaviaE-addresses: epmfm37@yubgss21.bg.ac.yu