

## ON A GRAPH INVARIANT RELATED TO THE SUM OF ALL DISTANCES IN A GRAPH

A. Dobrynin and I. Gutman

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathbf{W}(G)$  be the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices of a graph  $G$ . For an edge  $e$  of  $G$ , connecting the vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , the number  $n_u(e)$  counts the vertices of  $G$  that lie closer to  $u$  than to  $v$ . In this paper we consider the graph invariant  $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \sum_e n_u(e)n_v(e)$ , defined for any connected graph  $G$ . According to a long-known result in the theory of graph distances, if  $G$  is a tree then  $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$ . We establish a number of properties of the graph invariant  $\mathbf{W}^*$ .

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider finite connected undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let  $G$  be such a graph, possessing  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. The vertex and edge sets of  $G$  are denoted by  $\mathbf{V}(G)$  and  $\mathbf{E}(G)$ , respectively.

The distance between the vertices of  $G$  is defined in the usual manner [1], namely  $d(x, y) = d(x, y | G)$  is equal to the number of edges in the shortest path connecting the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of the graph  $G$ . If  $G$  is connected, then  $d(x, y)$  exists for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{V}(G)$ .

The distance of a vertex  $v$  of  $G$  is defined as

$$d(v) = d(v | G) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v, x | G)$$

whereas the distance of the graph  $G$  is

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v | G).$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{W}(G)$  is equal to the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices in  $G$ . This quantity is sometimes called the Wiener number (or Wiener index), because the American scientist Harold Wiener seems to be the first to study  $\mathbf{W}$  and to

---

Supported by the Science Fund of Serbia, grant number 0401A, through Math. Inst.

*AMS Subject Classification* (1991): Primary 05 C 12

determine its properties [7]. The Wiener number has noteworthy applications in chemistry [4, 5, 7].

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two adjacent vertices of the graph  $G$  and  $e$  be the edge between them. Throughout this paper it will be always assumed that the edge labeled by  $e$  corresponds to a pair of vertices labeled by  $u$  and  $v$ ,  $e = (u, v)$

Define the sets  $\mathbf{B}_u(e)$  and  $\mathbf{B}_v(e)$  of vertices of  $G$ :

$$\mathbf{B}_u(e) = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{V}(G), d(x, u) < d(x, v)\}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_v(e) = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{V}(G), d(x, v) < d(x, u)\}.$$

Observe that if  $d(x, u) = d(x, v)$ , then the vertex  $x$  is neither in  $\mathbf{B}_u(e)$  nor in  $\mathbf{B}_v(e)$ . Let further  $n_u(e) = |\mathbf{B}_u(e)|$ ;  $n_v(e) = |\mathbf{B}_v(e)|$ .

The following result was both stated and proved in Wiener's first work [7] on the distance of graphs.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G$  is a tree then*

$$\mathbf{W}(G) = \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} n_u(e)n_v(e) \quad (1)$$

The identity (1) motivated us to introduce [2] and examine a novel graph invariant  $\mathbf{W}^* = \mathbf{W}^*(G)$ :

*Definition 1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph, then

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \mathbf{W}^*(G) = \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} n_u(e)n_v(e). \quad (2)$$

From Theorem 1 and Definition 1 it is immediately seen that if  $G$  is a tree, then  $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$ .

Further details on the relation between the invariants  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{W}^*$  are given in the subsequent section.

### 1. Relations between $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{W}^*$

We first provide a proof of the long-known Theorem 1. The reason for this is that the arguments utilized in the proof will enable us to deduce a few additional results on the invariant  $\mathbf{W}^*$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph and  $e = (u, v)$  an edge. Suppose that the following conditions are obeyed:

- (a) The shortest path between any two vertices of  $G$  is unique;
- (b) if  $x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e)$  and  $y \in \mathbf{B}_v(e)$ , then, and only then, the shortest path between  $x$  and  $y$  contains the edge  $e$ .

If both (a) and (b) hold, then the product  $n_u(e)n_v(e)$  counts the number of times the edge  $e$  belongs to the shortest path between pairs of vertices of  $G$ . The sum of  $n_u(e)n_v(e)$  over all edges of  $G$  is equal to the number of edges in the shortest

paths between all pairs of vertices of  $G$ , i.e., equal to the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices of  $G$ , i.e. equal to  $\mathbf{W}(G)$ .

It is evident that the conditions (a) and (b) are fulfilled if  $G$  is a tree. Consequently, the equation (1) hold for trees.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *The equality  $\mathbf{W}^* = \mathbf{W}$  holds for all graphs that satisfy the conditions (a) and (b).*

**COROLLARY 2.** *If conditions (a) and (b) are not simultaneously satisfied, then  $\mathbf{W}^* > \mathbf{W}$ .*

*Proof.* If (a) is violated, then the right-hand side of (2) counts the edges of more than one shortest path between pairs of vertices of  $G$ . If (b) is violated, then the right-hand side of (2) counts some edges that do not belong to shortest paths between pairs of vertices. In both cases  $\mathbf{W}^*$  will exceed  $\mathbf{W}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $G$  is a connected cyclic bipartite graph, then  $\mathbf{W}^*(G) > \mathbf{W}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a circuit of  $G$  having minimal size (say  $2k$ ). Two vertices of this circuit, being at maximal distance ( $= k$ ) are connected by two distinct shortest paths. Hence condition (b) from the proof of the Theorema 1 is violated.  $\square$

In the case of non-bipartite graphs it may happen that  $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$ . The simplest example for the equality between the two graph invariants is the complete graph  $K_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  [2].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the class of connected graphs in which every block is a complete graph. Then for  $G \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$ .*

*Proof of the Theorem 2.* It is sufficient to observe that the graphs from  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfy the conditions (a) and (b) from the proof of the Theorem 1.  $\square$

Note that  $\mathcal{K}$  contains the complete graphs (when the number of blocks is one) and the trees (when every block is a two-vertex complete graph).

*Conjecture.*  $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$  holds if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{K}$ .

## 2. The Invariant $\mathbf{W}^*$ of Bipartite Graphs

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $G$  is a connected bipartite graph on  $n$  vertices, and  $e$  its arbitrary edge, then  $n_u(e) + n_v(e) = n$ . If  $G$  is non-bipartite, then for the edges lying on odd-membered circuits,  $n_u(e) + n_v(e) < n$ .*

Proof of the above Lemma is given in [2].

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $G$  is a connected graph and  $e$  an arbitrary edge, then*

$$n_u(e) - n_v(e) = d(v|G) - d(u|G) \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathbf{B}_0(e)$  the set of vertices of  $G$  which are at equal distance to both  $u$  and  $v$ . Then, of course,  $\mathbf{B}_0(e) \cup \mathbf{B}_u(e) \cup \mathbf{B}_v(e) = \mathbf{V}(G)$ .

Further,

$$d(u|G) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e)} d(x, u) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_v(e)} d(x, u) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_0(e)} d(x, u) \quad (4)$$

$$d(v|G) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e)} d(x, v) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_v(e)} d(x, v) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_0(e)} d(x, v) \quad (5)$$

Subtracting (4) from (5) and taking into account

$$d(x, v) = d(x, u) + 1 \quad \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e)$$

$$d(x, v) = d(x, u) - 1 \quad \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_v(e)$$

$$d(x, v) = d(x, u) \quad \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_0(e)$$

we straightforwardly arrive at (3).  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $G$  is a connected bipartite graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, then*

$$\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ n^2 m - \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} [d(v|G) - d(u|G)]^2 \right] \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 1 and 2,  $2n_u(e) = n + [d(v|G) - d(u|G)]$  and  $2n_v(e) = n - [d(v|G) - d(u|G)]$ . Substituting this back into (2) we arrive at (6).  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathbf{N}(v) = \mathbf{N}(v|G)$  the set of all neighbors of the vertex  $v$  of the graph  $G$ . Clearly,  $|\mathbf{N}(v)| = \deg(v)$ , where  $\deg(v)$  is the degree of  $v$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** *Using the notation of Theorem 3,*

$$\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ n^2 m - \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v|G) \left[ d(v|G) \deg(v) - \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u|G) \right] \right]. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} [d(v) - d(u)]^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} [d(v)^2 + d(u)^2 - 2d(v)d(u)] \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v) \left[ d(v) \deg(v) - \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

because

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(v)^2 = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v)^2 \deg(v)$$

and

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u)^2 = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v)^2 \deg(v).$$

Substituting (8) back into (6) we obtain (7).  $\square$

In the case of trees, equations (6) and (7) automatically become statements about the graph distance  $\mathbf{W}$ . In the case, however, we can say more because of the identity

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u) = d(v) \deg(v) + n \deg(v) - 2(n - 1). \quad (9)$$

Relation (9) follows immediately from Lemmas 1 and 2, and from the fact that for trees  $\sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} n_u(e) = n - 1$ . By combining (7) and (9) we get

COROLLARY 5. *If  $T$  is a tree with  $n$  vertices, then*

$$\mathbf{W}(T) = \mathbf{W}^*(T) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ n(n - 1) + \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(T)} d(v|T) \deg(v) \right] \quad (10)$$

A result equivalent to (10) was recently obtained by Klein et al. [6], using a completely different way of reasoning. Another approach leading to (10), also based on a completely different way of reasoning, was put forward by one of the authors [3].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Buckley, F. Harary, *Distance in Graphs*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood, 1990.
- [2] I. Gutman, *A formula for the Wiener number of trees and its extension to cyclic graphs*, Graph Theory Notes, New York **27** (1994), 9–15.
- [3] I. Gutman, *Selected properties of the Shultz molecular topological index*, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. **34** (1994), 1087–1089.
- [4] I. Gutman, O.E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, pp. 123–128.
- [5] I. Gutman, Y.N. Yeh, S.L. Lee, Y.L. Luo, *Some recent results in the theory of the Wiener number*, Indian J. Chem. **32a** (1993), 651–661.
- [6] D.J. Klein, Z. Mihalić, D. Plavšić, N. Trinajstić, *Molecular topological index: A relation with the Wiener index*, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. **32** (1992), 304–305.
- [7] H. Wiener, *Structural determination of paraffin boiling points*, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. **69** (1947), 17–20.

Institute of Mathematics  
Russian Academy of Sciences  
Siberian Branch  
Novosibirsk 630090  
Russia

(Received 01 07 1994)

Faculty of Science  
University of Kragujevac  
P.O. Box 60  
YU-34000 Kragujevac  
Yugoslavia