

## ON HB-RECURRENT HYPERBOLIC KAEHLERIAN SPACES

Nevena Pušić

**Abstract.** We consider a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space with recurrent HB-tensor. We obtain some geometrical characterization of such a space. Particularly, we prove that HB-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space cannot be non-trivially Ricci-recurrent. Also, we give an analogue of Olszak's lemma.

**0. Introduction.** If an  $n (= 2m)$ -dimensional pseudo-Riemannian space  $M_n$  with metric  $(g_{ij})$  is provided by a nondegenerate structure tensor  $(F_j^i)$  satisfying the conditions

$$F_{j,k} = 0, \quad F_j^i F_i^k = \delta_j^k, \quad F_{jk} = F_j^i g_{ik} = -F_{kj},$$

$M_n$  is called a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space.

As it was proved in [4], a nondegenerate structure has  $n$  (the dimension of the space) linearly independent eigenvectors in the tangent space. In [4] was also proved

**PROPOSITION 1.** (A) *Every vector in the tangent space of a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space is transformed by the structure into an orthogonal vector.* (B) *The scalar square of a vector-original is opposite to the scalar square of the vector-image.*  $\square$

In accordance with Proposition 1, eigenvectors of the structure are isotropic (null-vectors). As the structure has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors, there exists a basis of the tangent space of a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space where these isotropic vectors serve as basic vector fields. In such a basis, metric tensor is hybrid and the structure tensor is pure. Covariant structure tensor is also hybrid. Using this coordinate system, we can show that a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space admits isotropic vector fields which are not eigen for the structure. Moreover, such a coordinate system shows that a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space is naturally divided into two totally geodesic subspaces of equal dimension. Such a basis is called a

---

*AMS Subject Classification* (1990): Primary 53 B 35, 53 B 60

*Key words and phrases:* HB-tensor, Ricci tensor, isotropic vector field

*separated basis*. Also, according to Proposition 1(B) there exist vectors of positive scalar square (space-like vectors) and vectors of negative scalar square (time-like vectors). Space-like vectors may serve as a domain for the involution  $(F_j^i)$  and its codomain will be the set of time-like vectors. We may choose such a basis; then the metric tensor will be pure tensor of signature  $(n, n)$  and  $(F_j^i)$  will be a hybrid tensor. Such a basis is called an *adapted basis*.

For all the considerations in this paper we shall use an arbitrarily chosen basis – it will be neither separated nor adapted. However, all our results may be transferred into these special bases and some of them may look even simpler.

In [4] were investigated the properties of hyperbolic Kaehlerian space. Particularly, there was investigated a conformal connection (as there is no conformal transformation which can be introduced naturally) and a tensor which is an invariant for all conformal connections on a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HB}_{jkl}^i = & K_{jkl}^i - \frac{1}{n+4} [\delta_l^i K_{kj} - \delta_k^i K_{lj} + g_{kj} K_l^i - g_{lj} K_k^i \\ & + F_l^i S_{kj} - F_k^i S_{lj} + F_{kj} S_l^i - F_{lj} S_k^i + 2S_j^i F_{kl} + 2S_{kl} F_j^i \\ & - \frac{K}{n+2} (\delta_l^i g_{kj} - \delta_k^i g_{lj} + F_{lj} F_k^i - F_l^i F_{kj} - 2F_j^i F_{kl})]. \end{aligned}$$

By  $K_{jkl}^i$  we denote the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection for the metric  $(g_{ij})$ , by  $K_{ij}$  the corresponding Ricci tensor and by  $K$  the corresponding curvature scalar. We also have  $S_{lj} = K_{la} F_j^a$ . In [4] was proved that the tensor  $S_{lj}$  is skew-symmetric. The HB-tensor has algebraic properties similar to a curvature tensor

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{HB}_{ijkl} &= -\text{HB}_{jikl}, \quad \text{HB}_{ijkl} = -\text{HB}_{ijlk}, \quad \text{HB}_{ijkl} = \text{HB}_{klij} \\ \text{HB}_{ijkl} + \text{HB}_{iklj} + \text{HB}_{iljk} &= 0, \quad \text{HB}_{jkt}^t = 0, \quad \text{HB}_{tkl}^i F_j^t - \text{HB}_{jkl}^t F_t^i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we are going to investigate a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space satisfying

$$(0.2) \quad \text{HB}_{ijkl,s} = \kappa_s \text{HB}_{ijkl}$$

for some nonzero vector field  $\kappa_s$ .

In order to avoid very long and complicated calculations, we introduce the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{kj} &= \frac{1}{n+4} \left[ K_{kj} - \frac{K}{2(n+2)} g_{kj} \right], \quad \Pi_{kj} = \Pi_{jk} \\ T_{kj} &= \frac{1}{n+4} \left[ S_{kj} + \frac{K}{2(n+2)} F_{kj} \right], \quad T_{kj} = -T_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

One can easily see that these tensors are related to each other by  $T_{kj} = \Pi_{ka} F_j^a$ . We can write

$$(0.3) \quad \text{HB}_{jkl}^i = K_{jkl}^i - D_{jkl}^i,$$

where

$$D_{jkl}^i = \delta_l^i \Pi_{kj} - \delta_k^i \Pi_{lj} + g_{kj} \Pi_l^i - g_{lj} \Pi_k^i + F_l^i T_{kj} - F_k^i T_{lj} + F_{kj} T_l^i - F_{lj} T_k^i + 2T_j^i F_{kl} + 2T_{kl} F_j^i.$$

All these abbreviations are from [4].

We shall use the results of Prasad [3] about a Kaehlerian space with recurrent Bochner tensor, the results of Adati and Miyazawa [1] about (pseudo) Riemannian spaces with recurrent Weyl conformal curvature tensors, commenting the Roter's construction of the metric tensor for the essential case [6] and the results of Pušić [5] about hyperbolic Kaehlerian spaces which are HB-symmetric. Also, we shall prove a lemma analogous to Olszak's lemma [2].

**1. An Einstein HB-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space.** Suppose that the hyperbolic Kaehlerian space is an Einstein space, i.e. that

$$(1.1) \quad K_{ij} = \frac{K}{n} g_{ij},$$

wherefrom

$$(1.2) \quad S_{ij} = -\frac{K}{n} F_{ij}$$

On an Einstein space the curvature scalar is a global constant so these two tensors are parallel.

Suppose, now, that the space is HB-recurrent that is, that (0.7) holds. Using (1.1) and (1.2) we can express the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor:

$$(1.3) \quad K_{ijkl,s} = \kappa_s \left[ K_{ijkl} - \frac{K}{n(n+2)} (g_{li} g_{kj} - g_{ki} g_{lj} - F_{li} F_{kj} + F_{ki} F_{lj} - 2F_{ji} F_{kl}) \right]$$

As the space is an Einstein space, the well-known formula  $K_{jkl,s}^s = K_{jk,l} - K_{jl,k}$  gives  $K_{jkl,s}^s = 0$ . Applying effectively the second Bianchi identity to the curvature tensor

$$K_{ijkl,s} + K_{ijls,k} + K_{ijsk,l} = 0$$

and taking into account (1.3), we obtain, after transvection by  $\kappa^s$

$$(1.4) \quad \kappa_s \kappa^s \left[ K_{ijkl} - \frac{K}{n(n+2)} (g_{li} g_{kj} - g_{ki} g_{lj} - F_{li} F_{kj} + F_{ki} F_{lj} - 2F_{kl} F_{ji}) \right] = 0.$$

So, we proved

**THEOREM 1.** *If a HB-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space is an Einstein space, then the formula (1.4) is valid and one of the following cases occurs:*

- (a) *the recurrence vector  $\kappa_s$  vanishes and the space is HB-parallel,*
- (b)  *$\kappa_s \kappa^s = 0$  the recurrence vector is isotropic,*
- (c)  *$H_{ijkl} = K_{ijkl} - \frac{K}{n(n+2)} (g_{li} g_{kj} - g_{ki} g_{lj} - F_{li} F_{kj} + F_{ki} F_{lj} - 2F_{kl} F_{ji}) = 0,$*

*which means that the space is a space of almost constant curvature.  $\square$*

For further investigation we shall use two Walker' s lemmas [7]:

LEMMA 1. *The curvature tensor satisfies the identity:*

$$(1.5) \quad K_{ijkl,m,s} - K_{ijkl,s,m} + K_{klms,i,j} - K_{klms,j,i} + K_{msij,k,l} - K_{msij,l,k} = 0. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2. *If  $a_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $b_\gamma$  are two number sets with following properties:*

$$(1.6) \quad a_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\beta\alpha}, \quad a_{\alpha\beta}b_\gamma + a_{\beta\gamma}b_\alpha + a_{\gamma\alpha}b_\beta = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, N$$

*then either all  $a_{\alpha\beta}$  vanish or all  $b_\gamma$  vanish.*  $\square$

According to (1.3), the following is valid:

$$(1.7) \quad K_{ijkl,s,m} = (\kappa_{s,m} + \kappa_s \kappa_m)H_{ijkl} \quad \text{or} \quad K_{ijkl,s,m} - K_{ijkl,m,s} = (\kappa_{s,m} - \kappa_{m,s})H_{ijkl}.$$

One can easily see that the tensor  $H_{ijkl}$  has the property  $H_{ijkl} = H_{kl ij}$ . If we apply the formula (1.5), then we obtain

$$(\kappa_{m,s} - \kappa_{s,m})H_{ijkl} + (\kappa_{i,j} - \kappa_{j,i})H_{klms} + (\kappa_{k,l} - \kappa_{l,k})H_{msij} = 0.$$

If we arrange pairs of indices in this way:  $(ms) \rightarrow \alpha$ ,  $(ij) \rightarrow \beta$ ,  $(kl) \rightarrow \gamma$ ; then the formula above gets the form (1.6) and, by Lemma 2 we obtain  $\kappa_{m,s} = \kappa_{s,m}$  or  $H_{ijkl} = 0$ .

We have proved

THEOREM 2. *If a HB-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space is an Einstein space, then either the recurrence vector is a gradient or the space is a space of almost constant curvature.*  $\square$

**2. HB-recurrent Ricci recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space.** Suppose now, that the hyperbolic Kaehlerian space, besides (0.7), satisfies the condition  $K_{ij,k} = \kappa_k^* K_{ij}$ . First, we can prove the following

THEOREM 3. *At a HB-recurrent Ricci-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space the recurrence vector of the Ricci tensor is a gradient if and only if the recurrence vector of HB-tensor is a gradient.*  $\square$

*Proof.* Using (0.3) we get  $\text{HB}_{ijkl} = K_{ijkl} - D_{ijkl}$  or

$$K_{ijkl,m,s} = (\kappa_{m,s} + \kappa_m \kappa_s) \text{HB}_{ijkl} + (\kappa_{m,s}^* + \kappa_m^* \kappa_s^*) D_{ijkl}.$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\kappa_{m,s} - \kappa_{s,m}) \text{HB}_{ijkl} + (\kappa_{i,j} - \kappa_{j,i}) \text{HB}_{klms} + (\kappa_{k,l} - \kappa_{l,k}) \text{HB}_{msij} \\ & + (\kappa_{m,s}^* - \kappa_{s,m}^*) D_{ijkl} + (\kappa_{i,j}^* - \kappa_{j,i}^*) D_{klms} + (\kappa_{k,l}^* - \kappa_{l,k}^*) D_{msij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If one of these two vectors, for example,  $\kappa_m$ , is a gradient, then the whole first row in the formula above vanishes. We can apply Lemma 2 to the second row. The tensor  $D_{ijkl}$  is also invariant with respect to interchanging places of the first

and the second pair of indices. Then either  $D_{ijkl} = 0$  and the space is recurrent (trivial case) or the vector  $\kappa_m^*$  is a gradient. ■

Further we shall prove

**THEOREM 4.** *If a HB-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space, which is not flat is Ricci-flat, then the space is recurrent and the recurrence vector is zero or null-vector. □*

*Proof.* If the space is Ricci-flat, then the curvature scalar vanishes, HB-tensor is equal to curvature tensor and  $K_{jkl,s}^i = \kappa_s K_{ijk}^i$ . As the space is Ricci-flat, we have  $K_{jkl,a}^a = K_{jk,l} - K_{jl,k} = 0$ . Further, as the curvature tensor is recurrent, the Bianchi identity will look this way

$$\kappa_s K_{jkl}^i + \kappa_k K_{jls}^i + \kappa_l K_{jsk}^i = 0.$$

After transvection by  $\kappa^s$ , we obtain  $\kappa^s \kappa_s K_{jkl}^i = 0$  and the recurrence vector is either a zero vector or it is isotropic. ■

Now we shall prove that both  $\kappa_m$  and  $\kappa_m^*$  are gradient vector fields. We need

**LEMMA 3.** (Olszak [2]) *Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N$  and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N$  are two sets of numbers which are linearly independent as elements of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . Let  $T_{AB}$  and  $S_{AB}$  are numbers such that  $T_{AB} = T_{BA}$  and  $S_{AB} = S_{BA}$  and let the condition*

$$T_{AB}\sigma_C + T_{BC}\sigma_A + T_{CA}\sigma_B + S_{AB}\omega_C S_{BC}\omega_A + S_{CA}\omega_B = 0$$

*be satisfied. Then there exists a set of numbers  $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N$  such that*

$$T_{AB} = \omega_A \vartheta_B - \omega_B \vartheta_A; \quad S_{AB} = \sigma_A \vartheta_B + \sigma_B \vartheta_A. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3 is a generalization of Lemma 2. Now we can prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $HK_n$  be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space of dimension greater or equal to 4 and let  $U_1$  (respectively  $U_2$ ) be a subset of  $HK_n$  consisting of the points where Ricci tensor (respectively HB-tensor) does not vanish. Suppose that*

$$K_{ij,k,l} - K_{ij,l,k} = a_{kl} K_{ij} \quad \text{on } U_1$$

*for a skew-symmetric tensor  $a$ , and*

$$\text{HB}_{ijkl,m,s} - \text{HB}_{ijkl,s,m} = \text{HB}_{ijkl} b_{ms} \quad \text{on } U_2$$

*for another skew-symmetric tensor  $b$ . Then  $a_{ij} = 0$  everywhere on  $U_1$  and  $b_{ij} = 0$  everywhere on  $U_2$ . □*

*Proof.* We shall first consider the set  $U_1 \setminus U_2$ . On this set,  $\text{HB}_{ijkl} = 0$  and  $\text{HB}_{ijkl,m,s} - \text{HB}_{ijkl,s,m} = 0$ . Then  $K_{ijkl,m,s} - K_{ijkl,s,m} = K_{ijkl} a_{ms}$ . Usig Lemma 1 we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad K_{ijkl} a_{ms} + K_{klms} a_{ij} + K_{msij} a_{kl} = 0.$$

If we arrange pairs of indices in this way:  $(ij) \rightarrow \alpha$ ,  $(kl) \rightarrow \beta$ ,  $(ms) \rightarrow \gamma$  and use Lemma 2, then from (2.1) we obtain that either the space is flat (what is impossible as the point belongs to  $U_1$ ) or  $a_{ms} = 0$ .

In the next step, we consider the set  $U_2 \setminus U_1$ . On this set  $K_{ij} = 0$  and, consequently,  $K_{ij,k,l} - K_{ij,l,k} = 0$ . Then  $K_{ijkl} = \text{HB}_{ijkl}$  and  $K_{ijkl,m,s} - K_{ijkl,s,m} = \text{HB}_{ijkl}b_{ms}$ . Using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 again, we obtain that either  $\text{HB}_{ijkl} = 0$  (what is impossible as the point belongs to  $U_2$ ), or  $b_{ms} = 0$ . Now we shall consider the set  $U_1 \cap U_2$ , if it is not empty. We have

$$K_{ijkl,m,s} - K_{ijkl,s,m} = (K_{ijkl} - \text{HB}_{ijkl})a_{ms} + \text{HB}_{ijkl}b_{ms}$$

and, according to Lemma 1

$$(2.2) \quad (K_{ijkl} - \text{HB}_{ijkl})a_{ms} + (K_{klms} - \text{HB}_{klms})a_{ij} + (K_{msij} - \text{HB}_{msij})a_{kl} \\ + \text{HB}_{ijkl}b_{ms} + \text{HB}_{klms}b_{ij} + \text{HB}_{msij}b_{kl} = 0.$$

We shall prove that tensors  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  are linearly dependent (proportional). Suppose that they are linearly independent. Then, using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \text{HB}_{ijkl} - K_{ijkl} = b_{ij}c_{kl} + b_{kl}c_{ij}$$

where  $c_{ij}$  is another skew-symmetric tensor field. Then we get

$$(2.4) \quad g_{li}K_{kj} - g_{ki}K_{lj} + g_{kj}K_{li} - g_{lj}K_{ki} + F_{li}S_{kj} - F_{ki}S_{lj} + F_{kj}S_{li} - F_{lj}S_{ki} \\ + 2S_{ji}F_{kl} + 2S_{kl}F_{ji} - \frac{K}{n+2}(g_{li}g_{kj} - g_{ki}g_{lj} + F_{lj}F_{ki} - F_{li}F_{kj} - 2F_{ji}F_{kl}) \\ = (n+4)(b_{ij}c_{kl} + b_{kl}c_{ij}).$$

Suppose, now, that  $K_{sr}X^sX^r = 0$  for any isotropic vector field  $X$ . As there exists a basis consisting of isotropic vector fields only, then  $K_{ij} = K/n g_{ij}$  and (2.4) gives

$$\frac{K}{n(n+2)}(g_{li}g_{kj} - g_{ki}g_{lj} + F_{lj}F_{ki} - F_{li}F_{kj} - 2F_{ji}F_{kl}) = b_{ij}c_{kl} + b_{kl}c_{ij}.$$

Transvecting this by  $g^{li}g^{kj}$ , we obtain  $K = 2b_{ij}c^{ij}$ . On the other hand, transvecting (2.3) by  $g^{li}g^{kj}$ , we obtain  $K = -2b_{ij}c^{ij}$  and this means that  $K = 0$ . As the space is an Einstein space, then it is Ricci-flat, what is impossible. Then we know that there exists at least one isotropic vector field  $X$  such that  $K_{sr}X^sX^r \neq 0$ . Now we transvect (2.4) by  $X^jX^k$  and obtain

$$(2.5) \quad g_{li}K_{kj}X^kX^j = X_i \left( K_{kl}X^k - \frac{K}{2(n+2)}X_l \right) + X_l \left( K_{ki}X^k - \frac{K}{2(n+2)}X_i \right) \\ + 3\tilde{X}_i \left( K_{la}\tilde{X}^a - \frac{K}{2(n+2)}\tilde{X}_l \right) + 3\tilde{X}_l \left( K_{ia}\tilde{X}^a - \frac{K}{2(n+2)}\tilde{X}_i \right) \\ + 2(n+4)b_{lj}c_{ki}X^jX^k$$

One can easily prove that  $b_{ij}c_{kl}X^jX^k + b_{kl}c_{ij}X^jX^k = 2b_{lj}c_{ki}X^jX^k$ . Components of the vector  $\tilde{X}$ , which is the image of the vector  $X$  by the structure, are denoted by  $\tilde{X}^i$ . The vector  $\tilde{X}$  is also isotropic. Now we transvect (2.5) by  $g^{li}$  and obtain

$$K_{kj}X^kX^j = 2b_{lj}c_k^lX^jX^k.$$

On the other hand, if we transvect (2.3) by  $g^{li}X^kX^j$ , then we get

$$-K_{jk}X^jX^k = 2b_{lj}c_k^lX^jX^k$$

and then  $K_{jk}X^jX^k = 0$ , a contradiction. So, tensors  $a$  and  $b$  are mutually proportional.

As  $a_{ij} = \lambda b_{ij}$ , (2.2) gets the form

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\lambda K_{ijkl} + (1 - \lambda)\text{HB}_{ijkl}]b_{ms} + [\lambda K_{klms} + (1 - \lambda)\text{HB}_{klms}]b_{ij} \\ & + [\lambda K_{msij} + (1 - \lambda)\text{HB}_{msij}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that (2.6) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2. If  $\lambda K_{ijkl} + (1 - \lambda)\text{HB}_{ijkl} = 0$ , then  $K_{ijkl} = \sigma\text{HB}_{ijkl}$  and the space is Ricci-flat. But the considered point belongs to  $U_2$ . Then  $b_{ms} = 0$  and  $a_{ms} = 0$  as they are proportional. ■

The following theorem is a corollary of Theorem 5:

**THEOREM 6.** *If a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space of dimension greater than 4 is nontrivially HB-recurrent and Ricci-recurrent, then both recurrence vectors are gradients. □*

*Proof.* As the space is HB-recurrent and Ricci-recurrent, then

$$K_{ij,k,l} - K_{ij,l,k} = (\kappa_{k,l}^* - \kappa_{l,k}^*)K_{ij}, \text{HB}_{ijkl,m,s} - \text{HB}_{ijkl,s,m} = (\kappa_{m,s} - \kappa_{s,m})\text{HB}_{ijkl}.$$

According to Theorem 5, we have  $\kappa_{k,l}^* = \kappa_{l,k}^*$  and  $\kappa_{m,s} = \kappa_{s,m}$  what proves the statement. ■

Now we are able to give a classification of HB-recurrent Ricci-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian spaces. Using (0.3) and the Ricci identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{HB}_{ilm}^h \text{HB}_{ijk}^t - \text{HB}_{ilm}^t \text{HB}_{tjk}^h - \text{HB}_{jlm}^t \text{HB}_{itk}^h - \text{HB}_{klm}^t \text{HB}_{itj}^h \\ & + D_{ilm}^h \text{HB}_{ijk}^t - D_{ilm}^t \text{HB}_{tjk}^h - D_{jlm}^t \text{HB}_{itk}^h - D_{klm}^t \text{HB}_{itj}^h = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating this covariantly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\kappa_s(\text{HB}_{ilm}^h \text{HB}_{ijk}^t - \text{HB}_{ilm}^t \text{HB}_{tjk}^h - \text{HB}_{jlm}^t \text{HB}_{itk}^h - \text{HB}_{klm}^t \text{HB}_{itj}^h) \\ & + (\kappa_s + \kappa_s^*)(D_{ilm}^h \text{HB}_{ijk}^t - D_{ilm}^t \text{HB}_{tjk}^h - D_{jlm}^t \text{HB}_{itk}^h - D_{klm}^t \text{HB}_{itj}^h) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

wherefrom

$$(2.7) \quad (\kappa_s^* - \kappa_s)(D_{ilm}^h \text{HB}_{ijk}^t - D_{ilm}^t \text{HB}_{tjk}^h - D_{jlm}^t \text{HB}_{itk}^h - D_{klm}^t \text{HB}_{itj}^h) = 0.$$

The last relation gives  $\kappa_s^* = \kappa_s$  and then the space is recurrent, or

$$D_{ilm}^h \text{HB}_{ijk}^t - D_{ilm}^t \text{HB}_{tjk}^h - D_{jlm}^t \text{HB}_{itk}^h - D_{klm}^t \text{HB}_{itj}^h = 0.$$

Tensors  $\Pi_{ij}$ ,  $T_{ij}$  and  $F_{ij}$  are related to HB-tensor by relations proved in [4]. From above we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad (n+4)\Pi_{lt}\text{HB}^t_{ijk} + \Pi\text{HB}_{lijk} = 0.$$

As the tensor  $\Pi_{ij}$  is also recurrent, we have

$$\kappa^{*a}\Pi_{la} = \frac{n+1}{n+4}\kappa_l^*\Pi \quad \left( \Pi = \Pi_{ij}g^{ij} = \frac{K}{2(n+2)} \right).$$

Transvecting (2.8) by  $\kappa^{*l}$ , we obtain either

$$(2.9) \quad (a) \quad \Pi = 0 (\Leftrightarrow K = 0), \quad \text{or} \quad (b) \quad \kappa_t^*\text{HB}^t_{ijk} = 0.$$

Suppose that (2.9) (b) holds. Then we have  $D^h_{ilm}\kappa_l^*\kappa^{*m} = 0$ . After transvection by  $\text{HB}^t_{ijk}$  and using formula (2.8), we get

$$(n+2)\kappa_a^*\kappa^{*a}\Pi\text{HB}_{lijk} = 0.$$

If (2.9) (a) is satisfied, then (2.8) becomes  $\Pi_{lt}\text{HB}^t_{ijk} = 0$ . Transvecting (2.7) by  $\Pi_{hs}$ , we obtain  $D^h_{tlm}\text{HB}^t_{ijk}\Pi_{hs} = 0$ . This gives

$$\text{HB}_{lijk}(\Pi_{bs}\Pi_m^b) - \text{HB}_{mijk}(\Pi_{bs}\Pi_l^b) = 0.$$

Then, transvecting by  $g^{sm}$  we obtain that either the space is HB-flat or  $\Pi_{ba}\Pi^{ba} = 0$ .

We have proved

**THEOREM 7.** *If a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space is HB-recurrent and Ricci-recurrent, then one of the following cases occurs:*

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| (1) $\Pi = 0 \Leftrightarrow K = 0$ and $K_{ab}K^{ab} = 0$ ; | (3) HB – flat ;                             |
| (2) $\kappa_a = 0$ (Ricci-parallel);                         | (4) $\kappa_a^*\kappa^{*a} = 0$ . $\square$ |

### 3. Effective expression for covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor.

Using relations which connect the curvature tensor of the hyperbolic Kaehlerian space with the structure, we obtain:  $S_{ij} = K_{bij_a}F^{ab}$  and, using this,

$$(3.2) \quad S_{ij} = -1/2F^{sm}K_{msij}.$$

Using the Ricci identity and the relation (0.1), we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad K_{kb,a} = F_b^l F_a^j (K_{kj,l} - K_{lj,k}).$$

Differentiating covariantly  $\text{HB}^i_{jkl}$  by  $\kappa^m$  and contracting indices  $i$  and  $m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_{jk,l} - K_{jl,k} &= \frac{1}{n+4} \left[ 4(K_{kj,l} - K_{lj,k}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{n}{2(n+2)} (K_{,l}g_{kj} - K_{,k}g_{lj} + K_{,a}F_k^a F_{lj} - K_{,a}F_l^a F_{kj} - 2K_{,a}F_j^a F_{kl}) \right] + \kappa^i \text{HB}^i_{jkl} \end{aligned}$$



and finally

$$K_{kj,l} - K_{lj,k} = \frac{1}{2(n+2)} (K_{,l}g_{kj} - K_{,l}g_{lj} + K_{,a}F_k^a F_{lj} - K_{,a}F_l^a F_{kj} - 2K_{,a}F_j^a F_{kl}) \\ + \frac{n+4}{n} \kappa_i \text{HB}_{jkl}^i$$

Then, by (3.1)

$$(3.2) \quad K_{kj,l} = \frac{1}{2(n+2)} (K_{,k}g_{jl} + K_{,j}g_{kl} + K_{,a}F_k^a F_{lj} + K_{,a}F_j^a F_{lk} + 2K_{,l}g_{kj}) \\ + \frac{n+4}{n} \kappa^i \text{HB}_{iakb} F_j^a F_l^b.$$

**4. Existence of essentially HB-recurrent Ricci-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space.** We want to find out does there exist a nontrivially HB-recurrent Ricci-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space (essential case). For this purpose, suppose  $\Pi \neq 0$  and according to 2, we have (2.9) (b). Using this, we obtain:

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa^{*i} K_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{n+4} [\kappa_l^* K_{kj} - \kappa_k^* K_{lj} + g_{kj} \kappa^{*i} K_{li} - g_{lj} \kappa^{*i} K_{ki} + \hat{\kappa}_l^* S_{kj} - \hat{\kappa}_k^* S_{lj} \\ + F_{kj} S_{li} \kappa^{*i} - F_{lj} S_{ki} \kappa^{*i} + 2S_{ji} \kappa^{*i} F_{kl} + 2\hat{\kappa}_j^* S_{kl} \\ - \frac{K}{n+2} (\kappa_l^* g_{kj} - \kappa_k^* g_{lj} + F_{lj} \hat{\kappa}_k^* - F_{kj} \hat{\kappa}_l^* - 2\hat{\kappa}_j^* F_{kl})]$$

where  $\hat{\kappa}_k^* = \kappa_a F_k^a$ . Using the formula

$$(4.2) \quad \kappa^{*i} K_{il} = K \kappa_l^* / 2$$

we obtain  $S_{ji} \kappa^{*i} = -\frac{1}{2} K \kappa_j^*$ . We can express (4.1) in the following form:

$$(4.3) \quad \kappa^{*i} K_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{n+4} (\kappa_l^* M_{kj} - \kappa_k^* M_{lj} + \hat{\kappa}_l^* N_{kj} - \hat{\kappa}_k^* N_{lj} + 2\hat{\kappa}_j^* N_{kl})$$

where  $M_{kj} = K_{kj} + \frac{n}{2(n+2)} K g_{kj}$  and  $N_{kj} = S_{kj} - \frac{n}{2(n+2)} K F_{kj}$ .  $M_{kj}$  and  $N_{kj}$  are related by  $N_{kj} = M_{ka} F_j^a$ . The tensor  $M_{kj}$  is symmetric and the tensor  $N_{kj}$  is skew-symmetric. Let us transvect (4.3) by  $g^{jk}$ . Then

$$\kappa^{*i} K_{il} = \frac{1}{n+4} [\kappa_l^* M - \kappa_k^* M_l^k]$$

where

$$M = M_{jk} g^{jk} = K \frac{n^2 + 2n + 4}{2(n+2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_k^* M_l^k = \frac{n+1}{n+2} K \kappa_l^*.$$

In such a way, we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \kappa^{*i} K_{il} = \frac{n^2 + 2}{2(n+2)(n+4)} K \kappa_l^*.$$

In comparison of (4.4) and (4.2) there yields either  $K = 0$  or  $\kappa_j^* = 0$ . The last relation, in fact, means that the space is not Ricci-recurrent, but Ricci-parallel. This is a simpler case.

By this investigation we in fact loose the case (4) from Theorem 7 as one of possible cases which even may be an essential case. There remains only case (1) as a possible origin of essential cases. Such a situation was geometrically expected. Roter [6] constructed an essentially conformally recurrent Ricci-recurrent (pseudo) Riemannian space (i.e. he constructed its metric tensor) whose curvature scalar vanished and the recurrence vector was not isotropic.

Now we are going to look for nontrivial (essential) HB-recurrent Ricci-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space with vanishing curvature scalar. First, from (3.2) we have

$$(4.5) \quad K_{kj,l} = \frac{n+4}{n} \kappa^i \text{HB}_{iakb} F_j^a F_l^b.$$

Further, if  $K = 0$ , then  $K_{ab} K^{ab} = 0$  (case (1)) and  $\kappa^i \text{HB}_{iakb} F_j^a F_l^b = 0$ . As the Ricci tensor is symmetric, then

$$\kappa^i \text{HB}_{iakb} F_j^a F_l^b = \kappa^i \text{HB}_{iajb} F_k^a F_l^b$$

After transvection by  $F_m^l F_t^k$  we obtain

$$\kappa^i \text{HB}_{iakm} F_j^a F_t^k = \kappa^i \text{HB}_{itjm} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa^i \text{HB}_{iakm} F_j^a F_k^t = -\kappa^i \text{HB}_{itjm}.$$

By (4.5), the last relation means that  $K_{mj,t} = -\kappa^i \text{HB}_{itjm}$ . As the Ricci tensor is symmetric and HB-tensor is skew-symmetric with respect to indices  $j, m$  we get either  $\kappa_t^* = 0$  (the space is Ricci-parallel and recurrent) or  $K_{mj} = 0$  (the space is Ricci-flat and recurrent). Now we have

**COROLLARY 1.** *There does not exist nontrivially HB-recurrent hyperbolic Kaehlerian space which is nontrivially Ricci-recurrent.  $\square$*

Instead of Theorem 7, we can state

**THEOREM 8.** *If a hyperbolic Kaehlerian space is HB-recurrent and Ricci-recurrent, then one of the following cases occurs:*

- (1) *the recurrence vectors of HB-tensor and Ricci tensor are equal and the space is recurrent*
- (2) *the space is HB-symmetric and Ricci-recurrent*
- (3) *the space is HB-flat and Ricci-recurrent*
- (4) *the space is Ricci-flat*
- (5) *the curvature scalar of the space vanishes and there one of the following cases occurs:*
  - (a) *the space is Ricci-parallel*
  - (b) *the space is Ricci-flat*
  - (c) *the space is recurrent and it is not a flat extension of  $HK_2$ .  $\square$*

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Adati and T. Miyazawa, *On a Riemannian space with recurrent conformal curvature*, Tensor (N.S.) **18** (1967) 348–358
- [2] Z. Olszak, *On Ricci-recurrent manifolds*, Colloq. Math. **52** (1987), 205–211
- [3] B.N. Prasad, *On a Kaehlerian space with recurrent Bochner curvature tensor*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. **53** (1972–1973) 87–93
- [4] N. Pušić, *On an invariant tensor of a conformal transformation of a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold*, Zb. Rad. Fil. Fak. u Nišu, Ser. Mat. **4** (1990) 55–64
- [5] N. Pušić, *On HB-parallel hyperbolic Kaehlerian spaces*, Colloq. Math., to appear
- [6] W. Roter, *On conformally recurrent Ricci-recurrent manifolds*, Colloq. Math. **44** (1982) 45–57
- [7] H.S. Ruse, A.G. Walker, T.J. Willmore, *Harmonic Spaces*, Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 1961.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet  
Institut za matematiku  
21000 Novi Sad  
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4

(Received 18 03 1993)  
(Revised 24 10 1994)