PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE
Nouvelle série tome 54 (68), 1993, 120–125

ON TOPOLOGICAL SPACES WITH DENSE COMPLETELY METRIZABLE SUBSPACES

T. Nagamizu

Dedicated to Professor Akihiro Okuyama on his 60th birthday

Abstract. We obtain some characterizations for the spaces which have a dense completely metrizable subspace and some results related with these spaces.

1. Introduction. In 1991, Michael [**7**] gave some characterizations for the spaces called *almost Čech-complete* which are simply called *almost complete*.

We know that for a metrizable space X, the following statements are equivalent [**7**, Proposition 4.4]:

(1) X is a almost complete space,

(2) X has a dense completely metrizable subspace.

The first purpose of this paper is to obtain some characterizations for the spaces which have a dense completely metrizable subspace and some results related with these spaces.

Arhangel'skiĭ and Kočinac asked several questions on weakly perfect spaces and spaces with dense G_δ-diagonal [**1**]. The second purpose of this paper is to give answers to their Questions 8 and 9.

2. Definitions and notations. All considered spaces are completely regular. A sequence $\{U_n |\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of subsets of a space X is said to be *complete* if every filter base $\mathcal{F}$ on X which is controlled* by $\{U_n |\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ clusters at some $x \in X$.

A sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n |\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of collections of subsets of X is said to be *complete* if $\{U_n |\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a complete sequence whenever $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

A collection $\mathcal{U}$ of subsets of a space X is said to be an *almost cover* if $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$ is dense in X. Let $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be collections of subsets of X. We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is a *strong*

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 54 C 10, 54 E 99.

*$\mathcal{F}$ is controlled by $\{U_n\}$ if each U_n contains some $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

refinement of $\mathcal{U}$ if $\mathcal{V}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$ and for each element $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists an element $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}(V) \subset U$.

The following lemma is proved in [**7**, Lemma 4.6].

LEMMA 2.1. *If X has a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of open almost covers, then there exists a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of disjoint open almost covers of X such that $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ is a strong refinement of $\mathcal{V}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a collection of subsets of X. $\mathcal{U}$ is said to *separate points of* X if x and y are distinct points of X, then there exists different elements U_x and U_y in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U_x$ and $y \in U_y$. $\mathcal{U}$ is said to have a *finite intersection property* (f.i.p.) if every finite subcollection of $\mathcal{U}$ have a nonempty intersection.

3. Characterizations.

The main purpose in this section is to prove Theorem 3.4.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let X has a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of open almost covers such that for each sequence $\{U_n \mid U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n,\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ with f.i.p., the set $\bigcap\{\mathrm{Cl}(U_n) \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a singleton. Then there exists a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of disjoint open almost covers of X such that*

(i) *$\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ is a strong refinement of $\mathcal{V}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and*

(ii) *for each decreasing sequence $\{V_n \mid V_n \in \mathcal{V}_n,\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, the set $\bigcap\{\mathrm{Cl}(V_n) \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a singleton.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, there exists a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of disjoint open almost covers of X such that $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ is a strong refinement of $\mathcal{V}_n$ and $\mathcal{U}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a decreasing sequence where $V_n \in \mathcal{V}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. By the construction of $\mathcal{V}_n$, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}(V_{n+1}) \subset V_n \cap U_n$. Since $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is decreasing, $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ has f.i.p.

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we put $F_n = \mathrm{Cl}(V_{n+1})$. By completeness of $\{\mathcal{V}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, we have:

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} F_n = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} V_n = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(V_n) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(U_n).$$

Since $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(U_n)$ is a singleton, $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(V_n)$ is also a singleton. □

THEOREM 3.2. *Let X have a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of disjoint open almost covers such that*

(i) *$\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ is a strong refinement of $\mathcal{U}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and*

(ii) *the set $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(U_n)$ is a singleton for each decreasing sequence $\{U_n \mid U_n \in \mathcal{U},\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$.*

Then X has a dense G_δ completely metrizable subspace.

Proof. By [**7**, Proposition 4.5], X is a Baire space. Since $G_n = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n$ is an open dense subset in X for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $M = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} G_n$ is a dense G_δ set in X.

By the condition (ii), if x and y are two distinct points of M, then there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_n$ separates x and y.

Let us define the metric ρ on M by

$$\rho(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y \\ \min\{n \mid \mathcal{U}_n \text{ separates } x \text{ and } y\})^{-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that ρ is a complete metric on M, by the condition (i) and (ii). Moreover, $U_n \cap M$ is a $1/n$-open ball at x for each $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $x \in U_n \cap M$. Hence the original topology on M is stronger than ρ-topology.

Now we show the next claim.

CLAIM. *Let F be a closed subset of M and $x \in M \backslash F$. Then there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $x \in U_n$ and $U_n \cap F = \oslash$.*

Proof of the claim. Suppose that $U_n \cap F \neq \oslash$ whenever $x \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Pick a point x_n in $U_n \cap F$, and put $F_n = \mathrm{Cl}\{x_m \mid m \geq n+1\}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then by the condition (i), $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a decreasing sequence, and $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} U_n$, by the condition (ii). It follows that

$$\oslash \neq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} F_n \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} U_n = \{x\}.$$

Hence $x \in F$. This is a contradiction, and the claim is proved.

By the claim, ρ-topology is stronger than the original topology. It follows that M is a dense G_δ completely metrizable subspace. The proof is complete. □

THEOREM 3.3. *Let X be a space with a dense completely metrizable subspace. Then there exists a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of open almost covers of X such that for each sequence $\{U_n \mid U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n,\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ with the f.i.p., the set $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(U_n)$ is a singleton.*

Proof. Let M be a dense completely metrizable subspace of X and ρ a compatible metric on M. Let $U(x,n)$ be an open subset of X such that $B(x,1/n) = U(x,n) \cap M$ for each $x \in M$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $B(x,1/n) = \{y \in M \mid \rho(x,y) < 1/n\}$ be a $1/n$-open ball in M. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}, \mathcal{U}_n = \{U(x,n) \mid x \in M\}$ is an open almost cover of X.

Now we show that $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a complete sequence. Let $\{U_n \mid U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n,\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be a sequence and $\mathcal{F}$ a filter base on X which is controlled by $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F_n \subset U_n$. By the construction of $\mathcal{U}_n$, there exists x_n such that $x_n \in M$, $U_n = U(x_n, n)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ has the f.i.p., it follows that $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a ρ-Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ converges to x_0. Therefore we have that $x_0 \in \bigcap\{\mathrm{Cl}(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Hence $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a complete sequence.

In the same way, it is easy to see that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(U_n) = \{x_0\}$. The proof is complete. □

These results lead to the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *For the space X, the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *X has a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of open almost covers such that for each sequence $\{U_n \mid U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n,\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ with f.i.p., the set $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(U_n)$ is a singleton.*

(2) *X has a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of disjoint open almost covers such that*

(i) *$\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ is a strong refinement of $\mathcal{U}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and*

(ii) *for each decreasing sequence $\{U_n \mid U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n,\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, the set $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(U_n)$ is a singleton.*

(3) *X has a dense G_δ completely metrizable subspace.*

(4) *X has a dense completely metrizable subspace.*

A space X is said to be a *Namioka space* if the following condition is satisfied:

(∗) for any compact space Y and any separately continuous function $f\colon X \times Y \to \mathbf{R}$, there exists a dense G_δ subset $A \subset X$ such that f is jointly continuous at each point of $A \times Y$.

Next we consider the following game. Let α and β be two players with β the first to move. β starts by choosing a nonempty open subset $U_1 \subset X$. Then α chooses an open subset $V_1 \subset U_1$ and a point $x_1 \in V_1$. β then chooses a nonempty open subset $U_2 \subset V_1$ (he may choose as he wishes but is expected to escape from x_1). Next α chooses an open subset $V_2 \subset U_2$ and a point $x_2 \in V_2$, and so on. α wins if any subsequence $\{x_{n_p} \mid p \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ accumulates to at least one point of the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$. Then X is said to be *σ-well α-favorable* if α has a winning strategy in the game above.

It is well known that σ-well α-favorable spaces are Namioka [**9**, Theorem 6.3].

THEOREM 3.5. *Let X be a space with a dense completely metrizable subspace M. Then X is a σ-well α-favorable space. Hence X is a Namioka space.*

Proof. Let U_1 be a nonempty open subset of X. Since M is a dense subspace, we can pick a point x_1 in $M \cap U_1$. Then there exists a nonempty open subset V_1 of X such that $x_1 \in V_1 \subset \mathrm{Cl}(V_1) \subset U_1$ and $\mathrm{d}_M - \mathrm{diam}(V_1 \cap M) \le 1/2$, where d_M is a compatible metric on M. By induction, there exists a sequence $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in X and sequences $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of subsets of X such that

$$x_n \in V_n \cap M,\ \ U_{n+1} \subset V_n \subset \mathrm{Cl}(V_n) \subset U_n,\ \text{ and }\ \mathrm{d}_M - \mathrm{diam}(V_n \cap M) \le 1/n+1$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a d_M-Cauchy sequence in M, there exists x_0 in M such that $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ converges to x_0. By the construction of $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, we have $x_0 \in \bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{N}} V_n = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Cl}(V_n)$. The proof is complete. □

THEOREM 3.6. *Let X be a space with a dense completely metrizable subspace, Y a space and $f\colon X \to Y$ an irreducible, closed, continuous and onto map. Then Y has a dense completely metrizable subspace.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, there exists a complete sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n | \ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of disjoint open almost covers of X, which satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of (2). For each $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, put $W(U) = Y \backslash f(X \backslash U)$. Then each $W(U)$ is a nonempty open subset of Y. Now put $\mathcal{V}_n = \{W(U) | \ U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. It is easy to see that $\{\mathcal{V}_n | \ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a complete sequence of open almost covers of Y, which satisfies the condition (1) of Theorem 3.4. The proof is complete. □

4. countable dense Δ-base. Here $\Delta_X = \{(x,x) | \ x \in X\}$ is the diagonal in $X \times X$. Arhangel'skiĭ and Kočinac [**1**] asked the following questions:

Question 1. When there exist a countable family $\mathcal{U}$ of open sets in $X \times X$ such that $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \cap \Delta_X$ is dense in Δ_X and for each open neighborhood V of Δ_X in $X \times X$ one can find $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \subset V$? Such $\mathcal{U}$ will be called a *dense* Δ*-base* of X.

Question 2. Let X be a compact space with a countable dense Δ-base. Does there exist a dense open metrizable subspace $Y \subset X$? A dense separable subspace $Z \subset X$?

It is clear that if X has a dense discrete subspace, then X has a countable dense Δ-base. Now we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let X be a compact space. If X has a dense completely metrizable subspace, then X has a countable dense Δ-base.*

Proof. Let M be a completely metrizable subspace of X and ρ a compatible metric on M. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, put $V_n = \{(x,y) \in M \times M | \ \rho(x,y) < 1/n\}$. Since each V_n is open set in $M \times M$, there exists an open set U_n in $X \times X$ such that $V_n = U_n \cap (M \times M)$. We show that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n | \ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a countable dense Δ-base of X.

Let V be an open neighborhood of Δ_X in $X \times X$. Then we prove that there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $U_n \subset V$. By normality of $X \times X$, it is enough to show that $U_n \subset \mathrm{Cl}(V)$.

Indeed, suppose that $U_n \not\subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(V)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then there exists $(x_n, y_n) \in V_n \backslash \mathrm{Cl}(V)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. By the definition of V_n, $\rho(x_n, y_n) < 1/n$ and $\{(x_n, y_n) | \ N \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset (X \times X) \setminus \mathrm{Cl}(V) \subset (X \times X) \backslash V$. Since $(X \times X) \backslash V$ is compact, there exists a cluster point (x_0, y_0) of $\{(x_n, y_n) | \ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X) \backslash V$. Hence $x_0 \neq y_0$. Then there exist open subsets V_{x_0} and V_{y_0} such that $x_0 \in V_{x_0}$, $y_0 \in V_{y_0}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(V_{x_0}) \cap \mathrm{Cl}(V_{y_0}) = \emptyset$. By the completeness, it follows that dist $(\mathrm{Cl}(V_{x_0}) \cap M, \mathrm{Cl}(V_{y_0}) \cap M) > 0$. But $\rho(x_n, y_n) < 1/n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, a contradiction.

Finally, since $\Delta_M \subset (\bigcap \mathcal{U}) \cap \Delta_X$, the set $(\bigcap \mathcal{U}) \cap \Delta_X$ is dense in Δ_X. The proof is complete. □

Next we consider Question 2. We remark the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let X be a space and M a dense completely metrizable subspace of X. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *X is separable.*

(2) *M is separable.*

(3) *X satisfies the countable chain condition.*

We have the negative answer of the second part of Question 2.

Example 4.3. Let X be the closed ordinal space $[0, \Omega]$, where Ω is the first uncountable ordinal. Since X is a compact scattered space, it has a dense uncountable discrete subspace. Therefore X has a countable dense Δ-base. But it is clear that X does not have any dense separable metrizable subspaces.

Let us note that if M is a dense open metrizable subspace of a compact space X, then M is a completely metrizable.

REFERENCES

[1] A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ and Lj.D. Kočinac, *On a dense G_δ-diagonal*, Publ. Inst. Math. **47** (61) (1990), 121–126.

[2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, (1977).

[3] Z. Frolik, *Generalizations of the G_δ-property of complete metric spaces*, Czech. Math. J. **10** (1960), 359–379.

[4] Z. Frolik, *Baire spaces and some generalizations of complete metric spaces*, Czech. Math. J. **11** (1961), 237–247.

[5] R.W. Hansell, J.E. Jayne and M. Talagrand, *First class selector for weakly upper semi-continuous multi-valued maps in Banach spaces*, J. Reine. Angew. Mathematik **361** (1985), 201–220.

[6] E. Michael, *A note on completely metrizable spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1985), 513–522.

[7] E. Michael, *Almost complete spaces, hypercomplete spaces and related mapping theorems*, Topology Appl. **41** (1991), 113–130.

[8] I. Namioka, *Radon-Nikodým compact spaces and fragmentablity*, Mathematika **34** (1987), 258–281.

[9] Z. Piotrowski, *Separately and jointly continuity*, Real Anal. Exchange **11** (1985/86), no. 2, 293–322.

[10] N.K. Ribarska, *Internal characterization of fragmentable spaces*, Mathematika **34** (1987), 243–257.

[11] D.B. Shakhmatov, *Compact spaces and their generalizations*, (preprint).

[12] C. Stegall, *Topological spaces with dense subspaces that are homeomorphic to complete metric spaces and the classification of $C(K)$ Banach spaces*, Mathematika **34** (1987), 101–107.

[13] C. Stegall, *The topology of certain spaces of measures*, Topology Appl. **41** (1991), 73–112.

Fukui National College of Technology
Geshi Sabae
Fukui 916
JAPAN

(Received 12 11 1993)
(Revised 19 04 1994)