

ON M -BLOCH FUNCTIONS

Miroljub Jevtić and Miroslav Pavlović

Abstract. We define the class M , which contains eigenfunctions of the invariant Laplacian derivatives of \mathcal{M} -harmonic functions, etc. For $f \in M$ we define $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ and derive several quantities equivalent to $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. Particularly, if f is \mathcal{M} -harmonic function, then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the usual Bloch norm. Higher-order derivatives characterisation of \mathcal{M} -harmonic Bloch space is also given.

1. Introduction. Let B be the open unit ball in C^n with (normalized) volume measure ν . Let S denote the boundary of B , and let σ be the usual rotation invariant measure defined on S .

Let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the invariant Laplacian on B . That is, $\tilde{\Delta}f(z) = \Delta(f \circ \varphi_z)(0)$, $f \in C^2(B)$, where Δ is the ordinary Laplacian and φ_z the standard automorphism of B ($\varphi_z \in \text{Aut}(B)$) taking 0 to z [13].

For $z \in B$ and r between 0 and 1 let $E_r(z) = \{w \in B : |\varphi_z(w)| < r\}$. We shall set $|E_r(z)| = \nu(E_r(z))$.

For fixed r , $0 < r < 1$, $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $f \in C(B)$, we define

$$\hat{f}(z, r) = \frac{1}{|E_r(z)|} \int_{E_r(z)} f(w) d\nu(w),$$

$$MO_p f(z, r) = \left(\frac{1}{|E_r(z)|} \int_{E_r(z)} |f(w) - \hat{f}(z, r)|^p d\nu(w) \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$MO_\infty f(z, r) = \sup \{ |f(w) - \hat{f}(z, r)| : w \in E_r(z) \},$$

$$MO_p^* f(z, r) = \left(\frac{1}{|E_r(z)|} \int_{E_r(z)} |f(w) - f(z)|^p d\nu(w) \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$MO_\infty^* f(z, r) = \sup \{ |f(w) - f(z)| : w \in E_r(z) \}.$$

A function $f \in C^2(B)$ is said to be of class M if there is a constant K , $0 < K < \infty$, such that $|\tilde{\Delta}f(z)| \leq Kr^{-2}MO_{\infty}^*f(z, r)$, for all $z \in B$, $0 < r < 1$.

To show that M contains eigenfunctions of $\tilde{\Delta}$, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 1.1 [12]. *If $f \in C^2(B)$ and $0 < r < 1$, then*

$$f(0) = \int_S f(r\xi) d\sigma(\xi) - \int_{rB} \tilde{\Delta}f(z)G(|z|, r) d\tau(z),$$

where $d\tau(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-n-1} d\nu(z)$ and $G(t, r) = \frac{1}{2n} \int_t^r \rho^{1-2n}(1 - \rho^2)^{n-1} d\rho$, $0 < t < r < 1$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *If $f \in X_{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in C$, i.e. $\tilde{\Delta}f = \lambda f$, then $f \in M$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\int_S (f(r\xi) - f(0)) d\sigma(\xi) = \lambda \int_{rB} (f(z) - f(0))G(|z|, r) d\tau(z) + \tilde{\Delta}f(0) \int_{rB} G(|z|, r) d\tau(z).$$

Using the definition of $G(|z|, r)$ and Fubini's Theorem, we get

$$\int_{rB} G(|z|, r) d\tau(z) = \frac{1}{2n} \int_0^r \rho^{1-2n}(1 - \rho^2)^{n-1} d\rho \int_{\rho B} d\tau(z) = \frac{1}{2n} \int_0^r \rho(1 - \rho^2)^{-1} d\rho.$$

Combining these results we obtain

$$\int_S (f(r\xi) - f(0)) d\sigma(\xi) = \lambda \int_{rB} (f(z) - f(0))G(|z|, r) d\tau(z) + \tilde{\Delta}f(0) \frac{1}{4n} \log \frac{1}{1 - r^2}.$$

This implies that $|\tilde{\Delta}f(0)| \leq Kr^{-2}MO_{\infty}^*f(0, r)$. If z is arbitrary, we consider the function $f \circ \varphi_z$.

Recall that a function f is \mathcal{M} -harmonic, $f \in \mathcal{M}$, if $\tilde{\Delta}f = 0$. An application of representation theorems for derivatives of \mathcal{M} -harmonic functions obtained in [2], shows that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then all derivatives of f , which, in general, are not \mathcal{M} -harmonic, are in M .

For $f \in C^1(B)$, $Df = (\partial f/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial z_n)$ denotes the complex gradient of f , $\nabla f = (\partial f/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial x_{2n})$; $z_k = x_{2k-1} + ix_{2k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, denotes the real gradient of f .

For $f \in C^1(B)$, let $\tilde{D}f(z) = D(f \circ \varphi_z)(0)$, $z \in B$ and $\tilde{\nabla}f(z) = \nabla(f \circ \varphi_z)(0)$, $z \in B$, be the invariant complex gradient of f and the invariant real gradient of f respectively. We say that $f \in M$ is a M -Bloch function, and write $f \in M\mathcal{B}$, if $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{z \in B} |\tilde{\nabla}f(z)| < \infty$.

Let $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a Bergman metric on B . By definition [11, p. 45] β is the “integrated form” of the infinitesimal metric

$$G_z = (g_{ij}(z)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \log K(z, z) \right),$$

where $K(z, w) = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-n-1}$ is the Bergman kernel for B .

For $f \in C^1(B)$, the following quantity, depending on f , will play a special role in

$$Qf(z) = \sup_{|w|=1} \left\{ \frac{(|\langle Df(z), \bar{w} \rangle|^2 + |\langle D\bar{f}(z), w \rangle|^2)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{\langle G_z w, w \rangle}} \right\}.$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ denote the Lipschitz norm, i.e. if f is a continuous function on B , then $\|f\|_\beta$ is the smallest value $A \geq 0$ for which $|f(z) - f(w)| \leq A\beta(z, w)$, $z, w \in B$. We say that $f \in \text{Lip } \beta$ if $\|f\|_\beta < \infty$.

We are now ready to assert our first result. For $f \in M$ we give several different quantities equivalent to $\|f\|_B$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$. If $f \in M$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in \text{Lip } \beta$,
- (ii) $\sup_{z \in B} Q_p f(z) < \infty$, where $Q_p f(z) = \left(\int_B |f \circ \varphi_z(w) - f(z)|^p d\nu(w) \right)^{1/p}$,
- (iii) $\sup_{z \in B} MO_\infty^* f(z, r) < \infty$,
- (iv) $\sup_{z \in B} MO_p^* f(z, r) < \infty$,
- (v) $f \in MB$,
- (vi) $\sup_{z \in B} Qf(z) < \infty$,
- (vii) $\sup_{z \in B} MO_\infty f(z, r) < \infty$,
- (viii) $\sup_{z \in B} MO_p f(z, r) < \infty$.

Theorem 1 was first proved for the class H of holomorphic functions, then for \mathcal{M} -harmonic functions ([4], [15], [3], [7], [8]).

Since $H \subset \mathcal{M} \subset M$, a natural question is: What is the largest class for which Theorem 1 remains valid?

For $f \in \mathcal{M}$ let be $\partial f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right)$ and for any positive integer m we can write

$$\partial^m f(z) = (\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z))_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m} \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial^m f(z)|^2 = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m} |\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z)|^2,$$

where

$$\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z) = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} f(z)}{\partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_n^{\alpha_n} \partial \bar{z}_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial \bar{z}_n^{\beta_n}},$$

and α and β are multi-indices.

Our second result is the following theorem which relates the Bloch norm of an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function with quantities involving integrals of higher order derivatives of the function. Even though $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$, $f \in \mathcal{M}$, is not a norm, we refer to $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ as to the Bloch's norm of the function f . The quantity $|f(0)| + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ defines a norm on the linear space \mathcal{M} which, equipped with this norm, is a Banach space.

THEOREM 2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < r < 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function f , the following are equivalent*

- (i) $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$,
- (ii) $\sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|) |\partial f(z)| < \infty$,
- (iii) $\sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|)^m |\partial^m f(z)| < \infty$,
- (iv) $\sup_{z \in B} \int_{E_r(z)} |\partial^m f(w)|^p (1 - |w|)^{mp-n-1} d\nu(w) < \infty$.

For analytic functions this theorem was proved in [5] and [14].

In [9] it was established that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. More precisely, the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$,
- (ii) $\sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|) |Rf(z)| < \infty$,
- (iii) $\sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|^2) (|Rf(z)| + |R\bar{f}(z)|) < \infty$,

where, as usual, R denotes the radial derivative $R = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. From Theorem 13 [6, p. 329] it follows that (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). It is trivial that (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). That (iv) implies (v) follows from the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1 [10]. *Let $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < p < \infty$. There is a constant $C = C(p, r, n)$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then*

$$|\tilde{\nabla} f(w)|^p \leq C \int_{E_r(w)} |f(z) - f(w)|^p d\tau(z), \quad \text{for all } w \in B.$$

In [10] it was proved that if $f \in C^1(B)$, then $Qf(\varphi(z)) = Q(f \circ \varphi)(z)$, $z \in B$, for all $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(B)$ (see also [8]).

Since $\alpha^2 = \inf_{|w|=1} \langle G_0 w, w \rangle > 0$, it follows from the definition of $Qf(z)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(f \circ \varphi_z)(0) &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (|D(f \circ \varphi_z)(0)|^2 + |D(\bar{f} \circ \varphi_z)(0)|^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} (|\tilde{D}f(z)|^2 + |\tilde{D}\bar{f}(z)|^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{2}} |\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|, \quad (\text{see [12]}), \end{aligned}$$

and, hence $Qf(z) = Q(f \circ \varphi_z)(0) \leq C|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|$. From the preceding we conclude that (v) \Rightarrow (vi).

(In this paper the constant is denoted by C , which may indicate different constants from one case to the other).

Let $z, w \in B$ and let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$ be a geodesic (in the Bergman metric) with $\gamma(0) = z$ and $\gamma(1) = w$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(w)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma(t)) dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 \left| \langle Df(\gamma(t)), \overline{\gamma'(t)} \rangle + \overline{\langle D\bar{f}(\gamma(t)), \overline{\gamma'(t)} \rangle} \right| dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 Qf(\gamma(t)) \sqrt{\langle G_{\gamma(t)} \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle} dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sup_{\xi \in B} Qf(\xi) \beta(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|f\|_\beta \leq \sqrt{2} \sup_{z \in B} Qf(z)$. So we have proved that the statements (i) through (vi) are equivalent.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that if $f \in M$, then $|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)| \leq C MO_p f(z, r)$. Obviously, $MO_p f(z, r) \leq C MO_\infty f(z, r)$. Hence, (vii) \Rightarrow (viii) \Rightarrow (v).

Since

$$|E_r(z)| \cong (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1} \cong (1 - |u|^2)^{n+1}, \quad u \in E_r(z),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(w) - \hat{f}(z, r)| &\leq C \int_{E_r(z)} |f(w) - f(u)| d\tau(u) \leq \\ &\leq C |f(w) - f(z)| + C \int_{E_r(z)} |f(u) - f(z)| d\tau(u). \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude that $MO_\infty f(z, r) \leq C MO_\infty^* f(z, r)$. Thus (iii) \Rightarrow (vii). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We start with the following

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $k \geq m$ be positive integers, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$. There exists a constant $C = C(k, m, p, r, n)$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then*

$$|\partial^k f(w)|^p \leq C(1 - |w|)^{(m-k)p} \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^m f(z)|^p d\tau(z), \quad \text{for all } w \in B.$$

Proof. Let α and β be multi-indices. By equality (1.3) in [2] we have

$$F(-|\beta|, -|\alpha|, n; r^2) \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(w) = \int_S (1 - \langle w, r\xi \rangle)^{-|\alpha|} (1 - \langle r\xi, w \rangle)^{-|\beta|} \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(r\xi) d\sigma(\xi),$$

where $F(a, b, c; x)$ denotes the usual hypergeometric function. Multiplying this equality by $2nr^{2n-1}(1-r^2)^{-n-1}h(r) dr$, where h is a radial function which belongs to $C^\infty(B)$, with compact support in B such that $\int_B F(-|\beta|, -|\alpha|, n; |z|^2)h(z) d\tau(z) = 1$, then, by integrating from 0 to 1 and using the invariance of the measure τ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(w) &= \int_B h(\varphi_w(z)) \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z) d\tau(z)}{(1 - \langle w, \varphi_w(z) \rangle)^{|\alpha|} (1 - \langle \varphi_w(z), w \rangle)^{|\beta|}} \\ &= \int_B h(\varphi_w(z)) \frac{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{|\alpha|} (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{|\beta|}}{(1 - |w|^2)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}} \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z) d\tau(z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

by Theorem 2.2.2 of [13, p. 26].

Since, $|1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \cong 1 - |w|^2$, $z \in E_r(w)$, by a suitable choice of a function h , we obtain

$$|\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(w)| \leq C \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z)| d\tau(z).$$

Hence,

$$|\partial^m f(w)| \leq C \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^m f(z)| d\tau(z).$$

By Lemma 2.4 of [12] (see also [1]) we find that

$$|\partial^m f(w)|^p \leq C \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^m f(z)|^p d\tau(z).$$

By differentiating under the integral sign in (3.1), and by using the expression for $\varphi_z(w)$ [13] and using the same arguments as above, we conclude that

$$|D_j \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(w)| \leq \frac{C}{1-|w|} \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z)| d\tau(z), \quad w \in B, 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

and

$$|\bar{D}_j \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(w)| \leq \frac{C}{1-|w|} \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z)| d\tau(z), \quad w \in B, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Therefore,

$$|\partial^{m+1} f(w)| \leq \frac{C}{1-|w|} \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^m f(z)| d\tau(z).$$

Adapting the argument given in [12, Lemma 2.4] we find that

$$|\partial^{m+1} f(w)|^p \leq \frac{C}{(1-|w|)^p} \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^m f(z)|^p d\tau(z).$$

An argument by induction shows that

$$|\partial^k f(w)|^p \leq \frac{C}{(1-|w|)^{(k-m)p}} \int_{E_r(w)} |\partial^m f(z)|^p d\tau(z).$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2. If $z \in E_r(w)$ then $1-|w|^2 \cong 1-|z|^2$. Hence, from Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(1-|z|)^m |\partial^m f(z)| \leq C \int_{E_r(z)} (1-|w|) |\partial f(w)| d\tau(w) \leq C \|f\|_B \tau(E_r(z)),$$

by Theorem 3. Since $\tau(E_r(z)) = r^{2n}(1-r^2)^{-n}$, we have that (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

Conversely, assuming that $\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(0) = 0$, we have that

$$|\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z)| \leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{d}{dr} \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(rz) \right| dr \leq C \int_0^1 |\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} f(rz)| dr.$$

Hence,

$$|\partial^k f(z)| \leq C \int_0^1 |\partial^{k+1} f(tz)| dt,$$

for any positive integer k . The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) follows immediately.

Since $\tau(E_r(w))$ is bounded by a constant independent of w , we have that (iii) \Rightarrow (iv).

Let $k \geq m$ be a positive integer. Then by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$(1-|z|)^{kp} |\partial^k f(z)|^p \leq C \int_{E_r(z)} |\partial^m f(w)|^p (1-|w|)^{mp} d\tau(w).$$

Thus, (iv) implies that $\sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|)^k |\partial^k f(z)| < \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ahern, J. Bruna, *Maximal and area integral characterization of Hardy-Sobolev spaces in the unit ball of C^n* , Rev. Math. Iberoamericana **4** (1988), 123–153.
- [2] P. Ahern, C. Cascante, *Exceptional sets for Poisson integral of potentials on the unit sphere in C^n , $p \leq 1$* , Pacific J. Math. **153** (1992), 1–15.
- [3] J. Arazy, S. Fisher, S. Janson, J. Peetre, *Membership of Hankel operators on the ball in unitary ideals*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 485–508.
- [4] S. Axler, *The Bergman space, the Bloch space and commutators of multiplication operators*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 315–332.
- [5] F. Beatrus, J. Burbea, *Holomorphic Sobolev spaces on the ball*, Dissertationes Math. **256** (1989), 1–57.
- [6] D. Békollé, C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn, K. H. Zhu, *BMO in the Bergman metric on bounded symmetric domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **93** (1990), 310–350.
- [7] K. Hahn, E. Youssfi, *Möbius invariant Besov p -spaces and Hankel operators in the Bergman space on the ball in C^n* , Complex Variables **17** (1991), 89–104.
- [8] K. Hahn, E. Youssfi, *\mathcal{M} -harmonic Besov p -spaces and Hankel operators in the Bergman space on the ball in C^n* , Manuscripta Math. **71** (1991), 67–81.
- [9] M. Jevtić, M. Pavlović, *On \mathcal{M} -harmonic Bloch space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [10] M. Jevtić, M. Pavlović, *M -Besov p -classes and Hankel operators in the Bergman space on the unit ball*, (submitted for publication).
- [11] S. Krantz, *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, Willey, New York, 1982.
- [12] M. Pavlović, *Inequalities for the gradient of eigenfunctions of the invariant Laplacian in the unit ball*, Indag. Math. **2** (1991), 89–98.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of C^n* , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [14] K. Stroethoff, *Besov-type characterizations for the Bloch space*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **39** (1989), 405–420.
- [15] R. Timoney, *Bloch functions in several complex variables, I*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 241–267.

Matematički fakultet
 Studentski trg 16
 11001 Beograd, p.p. 550
 Yugoslavia

(Received 06 05 1992)