## CONVERGENCE OF SUBSERIES OF THE HARMONIC SERIES AND ASYMPTOTIC DENSITIES OF SETS OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

## Barry J. Powell and Tibor Šalát

**Abstract.** We investigate the relation between the convergence of subseries  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1}$  of the harmonic series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}$  and the asymptotic densities d(M) of sets  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n < \ldots\}$  of positive integers. Here,  $d(M) = \lim_{x \to \infty} M(x)/x$ , where  $M(x) = \sum_{a \in M, a \leq x} 1$ .

It is known that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ , then d(M) = 0. We show that this relation cannot be substantially improved. In particular, we give two counterexamples to the previous assertion (contained in Theorem 3 of [3]) that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{x\to\infty} M(x) \log x/x = 0$ .

Furthermore, we proceed to prove, more generally, in Theorems 1 and 2 herein that if  $\limsup_{x\to\infty} g(x) = +\infty$ , where  $g: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ , then there exists an infinite set  $M \subset N$  such that  $\sum_{m\in M} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  and simultaneously  $\limsup_{x\to\infty} M(x)g(x)/x = +\infty$ .

Whereas, in Theorems 3, 4, and 5 we prove that if  $\sum_{m \in M} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ , then  $L(M,g) = \liminf_{x \to \infty} M(x)g(x)/x = 0$  for certain functions g(x), in particular,  $g(x) = \log x \cdot \log \log x$ .

In Theorem 7 we generalize Theorems 3, 4, and 5 by proving that if  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = +\infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) = +\infty$ , then L(M, g) = 0 for the sets M referred to above.

In Theorem 6, in contrast to Theorem 7, we prove that if g(x) is a nondecreasing function on  $(0, +\infty)$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) < +\infty$ , then there exists a set M (as defined above) such that L(M,g) > 0.

In Theorem 8 we give a new proof of the known result that  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n)/n^2 < +\infty$ .

We thus give new formulations of well-known principles of analytic number theory.

Numerous remarks and examples are provided throughout the paper in supplement to and clarification of the main Theorems.

There exists a relation between the convergence of subseries

(1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{-1} \qquad (k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n < \dots)$$

of the harmonic series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}$  and the asymptotic densities of sets

(1') 
$$K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n < \dots\}$$

AMS Subject Classification (1985): Primary 11 B 99

(see Theorem A). We shall show that this relation cannot be substantially improved.

If  $M \subset N = \{1, 2, ..., n, ...\}$ , then d(M) denotes the asymptotic density the set M, i.e.  $d(M) = \lim_{x\to\infty} M(x)/x$  if the limit on the right-hand side exists, here

$$M(x) = \sum_{a \in M, a \le x} 1$$

(cf. [1, p. xix]).

The following theorem expresses the mentioned relation between the convergence of subseries (1) and the asymptotic densities of sets (1').

THEOREM A. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{-1} < +\infty$ , then d(K) = 0.

For the proof of Theorem A see e.g. [5, Theorem 1]. Theorem A can be easily deduced also from the following result:

Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  be a series with real terms, let  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \ldots \ge a_n \ge \ldots, a_n \to 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty$ . Denote by N(x) the number of *n*'s for which an  $a_n \ge x > 0$ . Then

(2) 
$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} xN(x) = 0$$

(cf. [4], [8]).

If we put  $a_n = k_n^{-1}$  (n = 1, 2, ...), then we have for x > 0:

$$N(x) = \#\{n : a_n \ge x\} = \#\{n : k_n \le 1/x\} = K(1/x).$$

Hence according to (2) we get

$$0 = \lim_{x \to 0+} xN(x) = \lim_{x \to 0+} \frac{K(1/x)}{1/x} = \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{K(y)}{y} = d(K), \qquad d(K) = 0.$$

In [3] the following theorem is introduced (see Theorem 3 in [3]).

THEOREM B. If  $M \subset N$  and  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ , and if  $c_M = \lim_{x \to \infty} M(x) \log x/x$  exists, then  $c_M = 0^*$ .

The following two examples show that Theorem B is not valid if the existence of the limit  $c_M$  is not assumed. (cf. [6]).

*Example* 1. Put  $M = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} M_n$ , where  $M_n = \{n^{n^2} + 1, n^{n^2} + 2, \dots, n^{n^2} + n^{n^2-2}\}$   $(n = 2, 3, \dots)$ . Then it can be easily shown (cf. [6]) that  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$  and  $\limsup_{x \to \infty} M(x)/x = +\infty$ .

*Example 2.* Let  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be the increasing sequence of all prime numbers. We shall write p(k) instead of  $p_k$  (k = 1, 2, ...). Put  $Q = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n$ , where

$$Q_n = \{ p(n^{n^2} + 1), p(n^{n^2} + 2), \dots, p(n^{n^2} + t_n) \},\$$
  
$$t_n = [n^{-2} \cdot p(n^{n^2})], \qquad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

\*In [3] the notation  $v_M(x)$  is used instead of M(x).

Powell and Šalát

A detailed computation shows (cf. [6]) that  $\sum_{q \in Q} q^{-1} < +\infty$  and

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{Q(x) \log x}{x} \ge \frac{a}{2b^2} > 0,$$

where a, b are positive constants occurring in the Tchebysheff's inequalities

 $an\log n < p_n < bn\log n \qquad (n = 2, 3, \dots)$ 

(cf. [6]). For example, if Q(x) represents the number of twin primes  $\leq x$ , the result  $\lim_{x\to\infty} (Q(x)/\Pi(x)) = 0$  established in [3] does not follow from the fact that the sum of the reciprocals of the twin primes converges.

*Remark* 1. In [5] the following result is proved (see Theorem 2 in [5]).

Let

$$d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \ldots \ge d_n \ge \ldots, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n = +\infty$$

and let  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) d_k < +\infty$ , where  $\varepsilon_k(x)$  (k = 1, 2, ...) are dyadic digits of the number  $x \in (0, 1]$  (i.e.  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) 2^{-k}$  is the nonterminating dyadic expansion of x). Then we have  $p_1 = \liminf_{n \to \infty} p(n, x)/n = 0$ , where  $p(n, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k(x)$  (n = 1, 2, ...).

If we apply this result to the subseries of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}$  we see that the convergence of such subseries implies that "the lower density" of this subseries in  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}$  is zero. An analogous consideration can be made also for subseries of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^{-1}$ .

The foregoing examples 1, 2 suggest the formulation and the proof of the following theorem which shows that the result obtained in Theorem A cannot be substantially improved. In what follows we shall give the proof of Theorem 1 published in [6] without the proof.

THEOREM 1. Let  $g: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = +\infty$  (arbitrarily slowly). Then there exists an infinite set  $M \subset N$  such that  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$  and simultaneously

(3) 
$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} M(x)g(x)/x = +\infty$$

*Proof*. We can assume without loss of generality that  $g(t) \ge 1$  for each  $t \ge 1$ .

We can construct (by induction) two sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , of positive integers with the following properties:

(a) 
$$x_n \ge n^3$$
  $(n = 1, 2, ...),$  (c)  $t_n = [n^{-2}x_n]$   $(n = 1, 2, ...),$   
(b)  $\forall_{t \ge x_n} g(t) \ge n^3$   $(n = 1, 2, ...),$  (d)  $x_n > x_{n-1} + t_{n-1}$   $(n = 2, 3, ...).$   
Put

$$M_n = \{x_n + 1, x_n + 2, \dots, x_n + t_n\}, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, ); \qquad M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n.$$

According to (d) the sets  $M_n$ , (n = 1, 2, ...) are mutually disjoint. A simple estimation gives

$$\sum_{m \in M_n} m^{-1} \le t_n x_n^{-1} \le n^{-2} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

hence  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ .

Putting  $y_n = x_n + t_n$  (n = 1, 2, ...) we have

$$M(y_n) \ge t_n > n^{-2}x_n - 1, \quad y_n \le (1 + n^{-2})x_n \qquad (n = 1, 2, ...).$$

Using (a), (b) we get

$$\frac{M(y_n)g(y_n)}{y_n} \ge n^3 \frac{n^{-2}x_n - 1}{(1+n^{-2})x_n} \ge \frac{1}{2}n^3 \left(\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{x_n}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2}(n-1) \to +\infty \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty).$$

Hence (3) holds and the proof is finished.

A little modification of the construction of the set M in the proof of Theorem 1 leads to the following more general result.

THEOREM 2. Let 
$$g: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$$
, and

(4) 
$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} g(x) = +\infty$$

Then there exists an infinite set  $M \subset N$  such that  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$  and simultaneously we have  $\limsup_{x \to \infty} M(x)g(x)/x = +\infty$ .

Remark 2. Condition (4) cannot be omitted. If  $\limsup_{x\to\infty} g(x) < +\infty$  holds, then it follows from Theorem A that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} M(x)g(x)/x = 0$  for each set  $M \subset N$  with  $\sum_{m\in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. Construct by induction a sequence

$$\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \qquad 2 \le x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < \dots$$

of real numbers such that (a)  $x_n \ge n^3$  (n = 1, 2, ...), (b)  $x_n > (x_{n-1}+1)(1-n^{-2})^{-1}$ (n = 2, 3, ...), (c)  $g(x_n) \ge n^3$  (n = 1, 2, ...).

This is possible since (4) holds. Let us remark that from (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-1} + 1 &< x_n(1 - n^{-2}) & (n \ge 2), \\ x_{n-1} + x_n n^{-2} &< x_n - 1 & (n \ge 2), \\ x_{n-1} + [n^{-2}x_n] &< x_n - 1(<[x_n]) & (n \ge 2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

(5) 
$$x_{n-1} + [n^{-2}x_n] < [x_n] \quad (n \ge 2).$$

Put  $M = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} M_n$ , where

$$M_n = \{ [x_n] - t_n, [x_n] - t_n + 1, \dots, [x_n] - 1 \}$$
  
$$t_n = [n^{-2}x_n] \qquad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Let us remark that according to (5) the sets  $M_n$  (n = 2, 3, ...) are mutually disjoint. By a simple estimation we get

$$\sum_{n \in M_n} m^{-1} \le \frac{1}{[x_n] - t_n} \qquad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

But we have  $[x_n] - t_n \ge x_n - 1 - n^{-2}x_n = x_n(1 - n^{-2}) - 1$   $(n \ge 2)$  and therefore

$$\sum_{m \in M_n} m^{-1} \le \frac{1}{x_n (1 - n^{-2}) - 1} n^{-2} x_n$$
$$\le n^{-2} \frac{1}{1 - n^{-2} - x_n^{-1}} \le n^{-2} \frac{1}{1 - 4^{-1} - 8^{-1}} = \frac{8}{5} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Thus  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ .

Put  $A_n = M(x_n)g(x_n)/x_n$  (n = 2, 3, ...). We have

$$M(x_n) \ge t_n \ge n^{-2}x_n - 1$$
  $(n = 2, 3, ...).$ 

Using (a) and (c) we obtain

$$A_n \ge \frac{(n^{-2}x_n - 1)n^3}{x_n} = (n^{-2} - x_n^{-1})n^3$$
  
=  $n - n^3 x_n^{-1} \ge n - 1 \to +\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Hence  $\limsup_{x\to\infty} M(x)g(x)/x = +\infty$ . This ends the proof.

Note that the converse of Theorem A is false. For example, if K represents the set of all prime numbers, d(K) = 0, while  $\sum p^{-1}$  diverges.

Professor A. Schinzel remarked\*\* in connection with Theorems A and B that the following result holds.

THEOREM 3. Let  $M \subset N$  and  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ . Then we have  $\liminf_{x \to \infty} M(x) \log x/x = 0$ . Hence  $\liminf_{x \to \infty} M(x)/\Pi(x) = 0$ .

Remark 3. If  $c_M = \lim_{x \to \infty} M(x) \log x / x$  exists, then  $c_M = 0$ .

*Proof*. We have from Theorem 3 above

$$c_M = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x) \log x}{x} = \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x) \log x}{x} = 0.$$
 Q.E.D.

This is the result actually proved in Theorem 3 of [3].

We shall not give the proof of Theorem 3 because it is an easy consequence of Theorem 4. In what follows, we put for brevity  $\log_k x = \log \log \ldots \log x$ 

THEOREM 4. Suppose that the function  $g: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  satisfies the condition

$$g(x) = O(\log x \log_2 x) \qquad (x \to +\infty).$$

64

<sup>\*\*</sup>at Summer School on Number Theory 1985 in High Tatras, Czechoslovakia

If  $M \subset N$  and  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ , then  $\liminf_{x \to \infty} M(x)g(x)/x = 0$ .

*Proof*. Assume that there are a > 0 and  $x_0 > 0$  such that

(6) 
$$M(x)g(x)/x \ge a > 0 \quad \text{for } x > x_0.$$

According to the assumption there exists a K > 0 and  $x_1 > 0$  such that

(7) 
$$g(x) \le K \log \log_2 x$$

for  $x > x_1$ .

Choose an  $n_1 \in N$  such that  $m_n > \max\{x_0, x_1\}$  for  $n > n_1$ ,  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n < \ldots\}$ . Then putting  $x = m_n$  in (6) we get

(8) 
$$ng(m_n)/m_n \ge a > 0 \quad \text{for } n > n_1.$$

Using (7), (8) we get for  $n > n_1$ 

(9) 
$$a/n \le K \log m_n \log_2 m_n / m_n.$$

But  $\log m_n \log_2 m_n < \sqrt{m_n}$  for each  $n > n_2 > n_1$  ( $n_2$  is a suitable number). Then

$$a/n \le K/\sqrt{m_n}, \quad m_n \le (K/a)^2 n^2,$$
$$\log m_n \le 2\log n + C_1, \quad C_1 = 2\log(K/a),$$
$$\log_2 m_n \le \log_2 n + \log 2 + \sigma(1) \quad (n \to \infty).$$

We obtain by (9)

$$d_n = \frac{a}{K} \frac{1}{n(2\log n + C_1)(\log_2 n + \log 2 + \sigma(1))} \le m_n^{-1}$$

for  $n > n_2$ . Since  $\sum_{n > n_2} d_n = +\infty$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} = +\infty$  — a contradiction. In an analogous way the following more general result can be proved.

THEOREM 5. Suppose that the function  $g: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  satisfies the condition

$$g(x) = O(\log x \log_2 x \dots \log_k x) \qquad (x \to \infty).$$
  
If  $M \subset N$  and  $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ , then  $\liminf_{x \to \infty} M(x)g(x)/x = 0.$ 

Observe that the conditions satisfied by g in the Theorems 4 and 5 imply that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) = +\infty$ . In the following theorem we shall investigate the behavior of

$$L(M,g) = \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x)g(x)}{x}$$

for sets  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n < \ldots\} \subset N$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ . In the first place we shall do it under the assumption that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) < +\infty$ .

THEOREM 6. Let  $g:(0,+\infty)\to(0,+\infty)$  be a nondecreasing function. Suppose that

(10) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ng(n)} < +\infty$$

Then there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots m_n \dots\} \subset N$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} < +\infty$  such that L(M,g) > 0.

*Proof.* Since the function g is nondecreasing, it follows from (10) that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = +\infty$ . In the contrary case, if  $g(n) \leq K$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  we have  $1/(ng(n)) \geq 1/(Kn)$  and so  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) = +\infty$  by the comparison test, a contradiction to (10).

Define  $\{m_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  as follows:

$$m_1 = 1,$$
  $m_2 = 2,$   
 $m_n = n,$  if  $n > 2$  and  $g(n-1) \le 2$   
 $m_n = [(n-1)g(n-1)],$  if  $n > 2$  and  $g(n-1) > 2$ 

If i is the first integer > 2 for which g(i-1) > 2, we have

$$m_i = [(i-1)g(i-1)] > (i-1)g(i-1) - 1$$
  
> 2(i-1) - 1 = 2i - 3 > i - 1 = m\_{i-1}.

Therefore  $m_i > m_{i-1}$ . Furthermore, for  $j \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} m_{i+j} &= [(i+j-1)g(i+j-1)] > (i+j-1)g(i+j-1) - 1 \\ &\geq (i+j-1)g(i+j-2) - 1 > (i+j-2)g(i+j-2) \\ &\geq m_{i+j-1}, \end{split}$$

therefore  $m_{i+j} > m_{i+j-1}, j \ge 1$ , and so  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < ... < m_n < ...$ 

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} g(n-1) = +\infty$ , we have  $m_{n+1} = [ng(n)]$ , n > T for some  $T \in N$ .

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (ng(n))/[ng(n)] = 1$ , we have  $\sum_{n=T+2}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  by the limit comparison test. Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ . As  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} = +\infty$ , and from Theorem A,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(n)/n = \lim_{n\to\infty} nm_n^{-1} = 0$ , so that  $m_n > n$  for n > J for some positive integer J.

Thus for  $\max\{J, T\} < n$ , we have  $n < m_n$ , and hence  $m_n \le x < m_{n+1}$  implies that

$$\frac{M(x)g(x)}{x} > \frac{ng(m_n)}{m_{n+1}} \ge \frac{ng(n)}{[ng(n)]} \ge 1 > 0,$$

since g(x) is nondecreasing, and thus  $g(x) \ge g(m_n) \ge g(n)$  for  $x \ge m_n > n$ . Thus M(x)g(x)/x > 1 for  $x > m_J, m_T$ . Therefore  $L(M,g) \ge 1 > 0$ . Q.E.D.

Example 3(a). The function g,  $g(x) = \max\{1, (\log x)^{\alpha}\}$   $(\alpha > 1)$  or more generally  $g(x) = \max\{1, \log x \log_2 x \dots (\log_k x)^{\alpha}\}$   $(\alpha > 1)$  satisfies Theorem 6, i.e. g is nondecreasing and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) < +\infty$ . Hence there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n < \dots\} \subset N$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  such that L(M,g) > 0(compare this fact with Theorems 4, 5).

*Example* 3(b). The function g,  $g(x) = \max\{1, x^x\}$  (x > 0) also satisfies Theorem 6 — g is nondecreasing and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) < +\infty$ . Hence there exists again a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n < \ldots\} \subset N$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  such that L(M, g) > 0.

The foregoing Theorem 6 can suggest the conjecture that in general if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) < +\infty$ , then there is a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n < \ldots\} \subset N$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  such that L(M,g) > 0. The following example shows that such conjecture is false.

*Example* 4. Let  $f: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = +\infty$ . Choose the function  $g: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  in the following way: Put  $g(j^2) = \log j^2$  (j = 2, 3, ...) and g(x) = f(x) for each  $x \in (0, +\infty)$ ,  $x \neq j^2$  (j = 2, 3, ...). Then evidently

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ng(n)} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{nf(n)} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2 \log(j^2)} < +\infty.$$

We shall show that for each set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots\} \subset N$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  we have L(M,g) = 0. Let M be such a set. Then according to Theorem 3 we have

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} M(x) \log x / x = 0$$

Hence there exists a sequence  $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n < \ldots$ ,  $x_n \to +\infty$  of real numbers such that

(11) 
$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} M(x_k) \log x_k / x_k = 0.$$

For each  $x_k \in R$  there exists a  $j = j(x_k) \in N$  such that  $j^2 < x_k \leq (j+1)^2$ . But then by a simple estimation we get

(12) 
$$\frac{M(j^2)\log j^2}{(j+1)^2} \le \frac{M(x_k)\log x_k}{x_k}.$$

According to (11) for each  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists a  $k_0$  such that for each  $k>k_0$  we have

(13) 
$$M(x_k)\log x_k/x_k < \varepsilon.$$

But then for  $j = j(x_k)$  we get from (12) and (13)

$$\frac{M(j^2)\log j^2}{(j+1)^2} < \varepsilon.$$

For such j we have

(14) 
$$\frac{j^2}{(j+1)^2} \cdot \frac{M(j^2)\log j^2}{j^2} < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^2/(n+1)^2 = 1$ , it is evident from (14) that for each sufficiently large k (say for  $k > k_1 > k_0$ ) we have (for  $j = j(x_k)$ )

(15) 
$$M(j^2)\log j^2/j^2 < \varepsilon.$$

Hence for an infinite number of j's we have (15). From this the equality L(M,g) = 0 follows at once.

In this example  $f(x) = x^x$  would suffice to disprove the conjecture.

Remark 4. Let  $g: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  and let  $\liminf_{x\to\infty} g(x) < +\infty$ . If  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \subset N$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ , then according to Theorem A we have

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} M(x)g(x)/x = 0$$

holds. This shows that by investigation of the behavior of L(M, g) we can restrict ourselves to the case if  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = +\infty$ . The following theorem is a generalization of Theorems 4, 5.

THEOREM 7. Let  $g: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  with  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = +\infty$ . Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) = +\infty$ . Then for each set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \subset N$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  we have L(M,g) = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose that L(M,g) > 0. Then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  and  $n_0 \in N$  such that

$$M(n)g(n)/n \ge \delta > 0$$

for each  $n > n_0$ . From this we get

(16) 
$$\frac{\delta}{ng(n)} \le \frac{M(n)}{n^2} \qquad (n > n_0).$$

Let  $i_0$  be the first positive integer with  $n_0 < m_{i_0}$ . Then the set of all positive integers  $n > m_{i_0}$  can be partitioned into the intervals  $(m_r, m_{r+1}]$ ,  $(r = i_0, i_0 + 1, \dots)$ .

Let  $m_r < n \le m_{r+1}$ . Then  $M(n) \le r+1$  and so  $M(n)/n^2 \le (r+1)/n^2$ . By a simple estimation we get

(17) 
$$\sum_{\substack{m_r < n \le m_{r+1} \\ < (r+1) \int_{m_r}^{m_{r+1}} \frac{dt}{t^2}} \le (r+1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{m_r < n \le m_{r+1} \\ = (r+1) \left(\frac{1}{m_r} - \frac{1}{m_{r+1}}\right)}.$$

We shall show that

(18) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{M(n)}{n^2} < +\infty.$$

For this it suffices to show by Cauchy's condition for convergence of series that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $j_0 \ge i_0$  such that for any two numbers  $j \ge j_0$  and  $k \in N$  we have

(19) 
$$\sum_{n=m_{j+1}}^{m_{j+k}} \frac{M(n)}{n^2} < \varepsilon$$

Using (17) we get

$$\sum_{n=m_{j+1}}^{m_{j+k}} \frac{M(n)}{n^2} < \sum_{r=j}^{j+k-1} (r+1) \left(\frac{1}{m_r} - \frac{1}{m_{r+1}}\right)$$
$$= (j+1) \left(\frac{1}{m_j} - \frac{1}{m_{j+1}}\right) + (j+2) \left(\frac{1}{m_{j+1}} - \frac{1}{m_{j+2}}\right)$$
$$+ \dots + (j+k-1) \left(\frac{1}{m_{j+k-2}} - \frac{1}{m_{j+k-1}}\right) + (j+k) \left(\frac{1}{m_{j+k-1}} - \frac{1}{m_{j+k}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{j+1}{m_j} + \frac{1}{m_{j+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{m_{j+k-1}} - \frac{j+k}{m_{j+k}}$$
$$< \frac{j+1}{m_j} + \frac{1}{m_{j+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{m_{j+k-1}}.$$

Hence we get

(20) 
$$\sum_{n=m_{j+1}}^{m_{j+k}} \frac{M(n)}{n^2} < \frac{j+1}{m_j} + \frac{1}{m_{j+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{m_{j+k-1}}.$$

Choose a  $j_0$  such that for each  $j \ge j_0$  we have

$$(21) \qquad (j+1)/m_j < \varepsilon/2$$

(see Theorem A) and

(22) 
$$\sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Then (19) follows from (20) because of (21), (22). Hence (18) holds and from (16) we get  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(ng(n)) < +\infty$  — a contradiction. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 8. Let  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < ...\} \subset N$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$  If and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n)/n^2 < +\infty$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ . The convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n)/n^2$  is already proved in the proof of Theorem 7.

(2) Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n)/n^2 < +\infty$ . We shall prove that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$ . Put  $C_k = \sum_{m_k \le n < m_{k+1}} M(n)/n^2$  (k = 1, 2, ...). Then  $C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n)/n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$ . By a simple estimation we get

$$C_k = k \cdot \sum_{m_k \le n < m_{k+1}} n^{-2} \ge k \int_{m_k}^{m_{k+1}} \frac{dt}{t^2} = k \left( \frac{1}{m_k} - \frac{1}{m_{k+1}} \right)$$

But then we have for each  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

$$C \ge \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_k \ge 1\left(\frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2}\right) + 2\left(\frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_3}\right) + \dots + n\left(\frac{1}{m_n} - \frac{1}{m_{n+1}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m_n} - \frac{n}{m_{n+1}},$$

Powell and Šalát

hence

(23) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m_k} \le C + \frac{n}{m_{n+1}} \le C + 1$$

since  $n/m_{n+1} \leq 1$ . As (23) holds for each  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , we get by  $n \to \infty$ 

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} \le C + 1 < +\infty. \qquad \Box$$

Another proof of Theorem 8 is given by Krzyś [2] and is also noted by Šalát [7].

Remark 5. (to Theorem B and previous theorems) For each set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots m_n < \ldots\} \subset N$  satisfying  $M(x) = O(x/(\log x)^{1+\varepsilon}), \sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ , then  $c_M = 0$ , where  $c_M = \lim_{x \to \infty} M(x) \log x/x$ .

*Proof*. We have

$$\frac{M(x)\log x}{x} \le \frac{Kx}{(\log x)^{1+\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{\log x}{x} = \frac{K}{(\log x)^{\varepsilon}}$$

for some constants  $K, \varepsilon > 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{K}{(\log x)^{\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{and thus} \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x) \log x}{x} = 0.$$

Thus Theorem B with stronger hypothesis is true.

*Example* 5. Let 
$$M = \{1^2, 2^2, 3^2, \dots, n^2, \dots\}$$
. Then  
 $M(x) = \sqrt{x} = O\left(x/(\log x)^{1+\varepsilon}\right), \qquad c_M = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{x} = 0.$ 

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, Sequences I, Oxford, 1966.
- [2] J. Krzyś, Oliver's theorem and its generalizations, Prace Mat. 2 (1956), 159-164.
- [3] B.J. Powell, Primitive densities of certain sets of primes, J. Number Theory 12 (1980), 210-217.
- [4] Problem E 1552 [1962-1008], Proposed by D. Rearick, Solution by R. Greenberg in Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 894.
- [5] T. Šalát, On subseries, Math. Zeitschr. 85 (1964), 209-225.
- [6] T. Šalát, Convergence of subseries of the harmonic series and asymptotic densities of sets of integers, Acta Math. Univ. Comm. (to appear).
- [7] T. Šalát, Infinite Series, Academia, Prague 1974, p. 101.
- [8] J. P. Tull and D. Rearick, A convergence criterion for positive series, Amer. Math. Monthly 71 (1965), 294-295.

 $(Received \ 02 \ 10 \ 1990)$ 

Barry J. Powell 230 Market Street Kirkland, Washington 98033, U.S.A.

Tibor Šalát Univerzita Komenskeho Matematicke-fyzikalna fakulta Katedra algebry a téorie čísiel Bratislava-Mlynská dolina 842–15, Czechoslovakia

70