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#### Abstract

We determine all graphs $G$ such that $G$ and its complementary graph $\bar{G}$ have exactly one (or, respectively, exactly two) positive eigenvalues.


## 1. Introduction

We consider only finite, undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. The spectrum of a graph is the spectrum of its $0-1$ adjacency matrix. Relation $H \subseteq G$ will always mean that $H$ is an induced subgraph of a graph $G$.

Generally speaking, for every graph theoretical property a problem can be posed of finding all graphs $G$ such that both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ possess it. This topics was treated by several authors in the past ten years. The problem we consider is to find all graphs which together with their complementary graphs have exactly one (respectively, exactly two) positive eigenvalues.

We say that a graph $G$ is $p$-positive $(p \geq 1)$ if it has exactly $p$ positive eigenvalues (including multiplicities). A graph $G$ is double p-positive if both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ have exactly $p$ positive eigenvalues. In this paper we determine all double $p$-positive graphs for $p=1$ and $p=2$.

It is well known that complete multipartite graphs are the only connected 1-positive graphs [5]. A similar characterization of connected $p$-positive graphs $(p \geq 2)$ is still an unsolved problem.

In the sequel we give some basic definitions and lemmas.
Lemma 1 [5]. A graph without isolated vertices is not a complete multipartite graph if and only if it contains any graph from Fig. 1 as an induced subgraph.

Next, let $X$ and $Y$ be two disjoint subsets of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a graph $G$. We say that subsets $X$ and $Y$ are completely adjacent in $G$ if each vertex from $X$ is


Fig. 1
adjacent to each vertex from $Y$. Similarly, we say that these subsets are completely nonadjacent if no vertex from $X$ is adjacent to any vertex from $Y$.

Now, we define two binary relations $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ on the vertex set $V(G)$ of a graph $G$ in the following way:
$1^{\circ}$ the vertices $x$ and $y$ are in the relation $\rho_{1}$ if they have the same neighbours in $V(G)$;
$2^{\circ}$ the vertices $x$ and $y$ are in the relation $\rho_{2}$ if they are adjacent and have the same neighbours in the set $V(G) \backslash\{x, y\}$.

The relation $\rho_{1}$ is obviously an equivalence relation on the vertex set $V(G)$. Let $\left\{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{k}\right\}$ be the corresponding quotient set and let $\left|N_{i}\right|=n_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$. The subsets $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{k}$ (characteristic subsets of $G$ ) have the following property: any two vertices from the same subset are not adjacent and any two subsets are completely adjacent or completely nonadjacent in $G$. The corresponding quotient graph of $G$ is called the canonical graph of $G$ and is denoted by $g$ and obviously $g \subseteq G$. For instance, if $G$ is a complete $s$-partite graph, then its canonical graph is the complete graph $K_{s}$. Of course, the canonical graph of the complete graph $K_{n}$ is $K_{n}$ itself.

Next, let $n^{+}(G)$ and $n^{-}(G)$ be the numbers of positive and negative eigenvalues of $G$, respectively.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the Interlacing theorem [1, p. 19] and the fact that adding a vertex related to one already present increases the nullity by 1 .

Lemma 2 [2]. Let $g$ be the canonical graph of a graph $G$. Then $n^{+}(G)=$ $n^{+}(g), n^{-}(G)=n^{-}(g) . \square$

The relation $\rho_{2}$ is symmetric and transitive. By this relation the vertex set $V(G)$ can be divided into certain disjoint subsets $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{p}$ such that, for each $i=1, \ldots, p$, the graph induced by the set $C_{i}$ is a complete graph. Two subsets $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}(i \neq j)$ are always completely adjacent or completely nonadjacent in $G$.

For the subset $N_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$, relation $x \rho_{1} N_{i}$ will mean that $x \rho_{1} y$ holds for each vertex $y \in N_{i}$. Similarly, for the subset $C_{j}(1 \leq j \leq p)$, relation $x \rho_{2} C_{j}$ will mean that $x \rho_{2} y$ holds for each vertex $y \in C_{j}(x \neq y)$. If $\left|N_{i}\right|>1$ and $\left|C_{j}\right|>1$ then obviously $N_{i} \cap C_{j}=\varnothing$.

Next, denote by a white circle $\bigcirc$ any graph without edges, and by a black circle any complete graph. The line between two circles will mean that all possible edges between the corresponding graphs are present.

Let $G_{i}(i=1, \ldots, s)$ be a sequence of disjoint graphs, i.e. such that $V\left(G_{i}\right) \cap V\left(G_{j}\right)=\varnothing(i \neq j)$. Denote by $P\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right)$ the graph obtained from the direct sum $G_{1} \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} G_{n}$ by joining every vertex of $G_{i}$ with every vertex of $G_{i+1}(i=1, \ldots, s-1)$. Next, denote by $Q\left(G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}, G_{4}, G_{5}\right)$ the graph obtained from $P\left(G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}, G_{4}\right)$ and $G_{5}$ by joining every vertex of $G_{5}$ with every vertex of $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$. The graphs $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{q}\right)$, $\overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r}}, P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right), \quad \overline{P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}}$ and $Q\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}, K_{1}\right)$, depicted in Figure 2, will be essential for our purposes.
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First, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions under which any of the graphs $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{q}\right), \overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{r}}$ is a 2-positive graph.

Lemma 3. The graph $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{q}\right)$ i a 2-positive graph if and only if the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m n p q+m+n+p+q \leq m n p+n p q+m p+m q+n q+1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof. Positive eigenvalues of the graph $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{q}\right)$ are determined by the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\lambda)= & (\lambda+1)^{4}-(m+n+p+q)(\lambda+1)^{3}+(m p+m q+n q)(\lambda+1)^{2} \\
& +(m n p+n p q)(\lambda+1)-m n p q=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a matter of routine to see that this equation has exactly two positive roots if and only if $D(0) \geq 0$, i.e. if and only if the relation (1) holds.

Lemma 4. The graph $\overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{r}}$ is a 2-positive graph if and only if the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m n p q r+m n p q+m+n+p+q+r \leq m n q+m n r+m p q+n p r+p q r+m n+n p+p q+1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof. Positive eigenvalues of the graph $\overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{r}}$ are determined by the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{5}-(m+n+p+q+r)(\lambda+1)^{4}+(m n+n p+p q)(\lambda+1)^{3} \\
& +(m n q+m n r+m p q+n p r+p q r)(\lambda+1)^{2}-m n p q(\lambda+1)-m n p q r=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly as in Lemma 3, the above equation will have two positive roots if and only if $D(0) \geq 0$, i.e. if and only if the relation (2) holds.

Lemma 5. For all values of the parameters $m, n, p, q \in \mathbf{N}$ the graphs $P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, K_{1}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right), \overline{P\left(K_{1}, K_{1}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right)+\bar{K}_{q}}$, and $Q\left(K_{1}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right)$ are 2-positive graphs.

Proof. Positive eigenvalues of the three graphs $P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, K_{1}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)$, $\overline{P\left(K_{1}, K_{1}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}}$, and $Q\left(K_{1}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right)$ are determined, respectively, by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{4}-(m+p+2) \lambda^{3}+(m p-3 m-3 p+1) \lambda^{2}+(4 m p-2 m-2 p) \lambda+3 m p=0 \\
\lambda^{3}-(n+q+1) \lambda^{2}-2(q+1) \lambda+2 n(q+1)=0 \\
\lambda^{4}-(n+p-2) \lambda^{3}-(3 n+3 p-1) \lambda^{2}+2(n p-n-p) \lambda+3 n p=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Since each of the equations above has exactly two positive roots, the statement is proved.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 1. Graph $G$ is double 1-positive if and only if $G$ or $\bar{G}$ is the graph $P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}\right)(m \geq 2, n \geq 1)$.

Proof. As it is known, at least one of the graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$ must be connected. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $G$ is a connected graph, since in the opposite case the proof is quite similar. Also it is known that complete multipartite graphs $K_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}}$ are the only connected 1-positive graphs ([5]). The proof is now an easy consequence of the relation $\bar{K}_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}}=K_{n_{1}} \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} K_{n_{s}}$ and the fact that $K_{n_{1}} \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} K_{n_{s}}$ is a 1-positive graph if and only if exactly one of the parameters $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}$ is greater than 1.

Theorem 2. Graph $G$ is double 2-positive if and only if $G$ or $\bar{G}$ is one of the following 18 (families of) graphs:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1^{\circ} & Q\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}, K_{1}\right) & (m, n, p, q \geq 1) ; \\
2^{\circ} & P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)+\bar{K}_{q} & (m, n, p \geq 1 ; q \geq 0) ; \\
3^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0) ; \\
4^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0) ; \\
5^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{p}, K_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0) ;
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
6^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0 ; m n p q+m+n+p+q \\
& & \leq m n p+n p q+m p+m q+n q+1) \\
7^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m} \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{q}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0 ; m p q+m+p \\
& & \leq 2 m p+m q+p q) ; \\
8^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0 ; m q r \leq m q+m r+q r) ; \\
9^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0 ; n q r+1 \\
& & \leq 2 n r+q r+n+q) ; \\
10^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0 ; m n q r+m+r \\
& & \leq m n r+m n+m q+n r+q r) ; \\
11^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0 ; n p q r+n p q+p+q+r \\
& & \leq n p r+p q r+n p+n q+n r+2 p q) ; \\
12^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, \bar{K}_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{r} & (m, n, p, q \geq 1 ; r \geq 0 ; m n p q r+m n p q+m+n \\
& & \\
& & +p+q+r \leq m n q+m n r+m p q \\
13^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q} & (m p r+p q r+m n+n p+p q+1) ; \\
14^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q} & (m, n, q \geq 1 ; p \geq 2) ; \\
15^{\circ} & P\left(K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}\right)+\dot{K_{q}} & (m, n, q \geq 1 ; p \geq 2) ; \\
16^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}\right)+\bar{K}_{q} & (m, n, q \geq 1 ; p \geq 2) ; \\
17^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}\right) \dot{+} K_{p} \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q} & (m, p \geq 2 ; n \geq 1 ; q \geq 0) ; \\
18^{\circ} & P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}\right) \dot{+} K_{p} \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q} & (m, p \geq 2 ; n \geq 1 ; q \geq 0) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ or $\bar{G}$ be one of the graphs $1^{\circ}-12^{\circ}$ with corresponding values of the respective parameters. Then, with regard to Lemmas 2, 3, 4 and 5, we have $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$. For instance, if $G=Q\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}, K_{1}\right)(m, n, p, q \geq 1)$, then $\bar{G}=Q\left(\bar{K}_{p}, K_{m}, K_{q}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{1}\right)$ and $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}\left(Q\left(K_{1}, K_{1}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)\right)=2$, $n^{+}(\bar{G})=n^{+}\left(Q\left(K_{1}, K_{1}, K_{m}, K_{q}, K_{1}\right)\right)=2$.

Now, let $G$ or $\bar{G}$ be one of the graphs $13^{\circ}-16^{\circ}$. Canonical graphs of these graphs are of the type $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right)+K_{1}$ and canonical graphs of their complementary graphs are of the type $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right)(p \geq 2)$. Since, $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right) \subseteq$ $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right) \dot{+} K_{1} \subseteq P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right) \dot{+} K_{1}$ and $n^{+}\left(P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)\right)=2$, we have by Lemma 2 that $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$.

Next, let $G$ or $\bar{G}$ be one of the graphs $17^{\circ}-18^{\circ}$. Canonical graphs of these graphs are of the type $K_{n} \dot{+} K_{p} \dot{+} K_{1}(n, p \geq 2)$ and canonical graphs of their complementary graphs are of the type $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right)(m \geq 2)$. Since $n^{+}\left(K_{n} \dot{+}\right.$ $\left.K_{p} \dot{+} K_{1}\right)=2$ and $n^{+}\left(P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right)\right)=2$, we conclude by Lemma 2 that $n^{+}(G)=$ $n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$.

This completes one part of the proof.
Now, assume that $G$ is a double 2-positive graph. As it is known, at least one of the graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$ must be connected. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $G$ is a connected graph, since the proof is quite similar otherwise.

Next, note that there is exactly one graph with 5 vertices and exactly 56 graphs with 6 vertices such that they or their complementary graphs are 3-positive
graphs. 27 such graphs with at most 7 edges are depicted in Fig. 3. The mentioned 27 graphs suffice for our purposes. By the Interlacing theorem, any of the graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$ does not contain any of the above 57 graphs as an induced subgraph.

Since $G$ is connected and is not a complete multipartite graph, by Lemma 1 it contains one of the graphs $H_{1}, H_{2}$ from Fig. 1 as an induced subgraph. We distinguish the following two cases:
(A) $G$ contains the graph $H_{1}$ as an induced subgraph;
(B) $G$ contains the graph $H_{2}$ and does not contain the graph $H_{1}$ as an induced subgraph.

Note that both graphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are labelled, and the vertex set is $\{1,2,3,4\}$ in both cases.





$G_{26}$

Fig. 3
Case A. Denote by $T_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}\left(1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq 4 ; 1 \leq k \leq 4\right)$ the set of all vertices in $V(G) \backslash V\left(H_{1}\right)$ which are adjacent exactly to the vertices $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ of the graph $H_{1}$. Next, let $T_{0}$ be the set of all vertices in $V(G) \backslash V\left(H_{1}\right)$ which are nonadjacent to any vertex of the graph $H_{1}$.

The set $T_{14}$ is empty (in the opposite case, we would have the contradiction $G_{1} \subseteq G^{1}$ ). The set $T_{0}$ is also empty $\left(G_{7} \subseteq G\right.$ or $G_{8} \subseteq G$ or $G_{14} \subseteq G$ or $G_{16} \subseteq G$ or $G_{17} \subseteq G$ or $G_{23} \subseteq G$ or $G_{26} \subseteq G$ or $\left.G_{19} \subseteq \bar{G}\right)$.


Table 1
The adjacency relations between the sets $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}, T_{12}, T_{13}, T_{23}, T_{24}$, $T_{34}, T_{123}, T_{124}, T_{134}, T_{234}$ and $T_{1234}$ in $G$ are obtained by direct checking. They are presented in Table 1. The fact that corresponding sets are completely adjacent, completely nonadjacent or noncoexistent is denoted by the symbols 1,0 and $\varnothing$, respectively. For example, we have that the sets $T_{1}$ and $T_{12}$ are completely adjacent $\left(G_{14} \subseteq G\right)$, while the sets $T_{1}$ and $T_{4}$ are noncoexistent, i.e. they cannot be nonempty at same time in the graph $G\left(G_{8} \subseteq G\right.$ or $\left.G_{12} \subseteq G\right)$.

In the same table adjacency relations in each of the mentioned sets are presented. So, we have that the graphs induced by the sets $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}, T_{13}, T_{23}$, $T_{24}, T_{124}$ and $T_{134}$ have no edges whereas the graphs induced by the sets $T_{12}, T_{34}$, $T_{123}, T_{234}$ and $T_{1234}$ are complete. Besides, each of the sets $T_{1}, T_{4}, T_{23}, T_{124}$ and $T_{134}$ has at most one vertex, which is indicated by the symbol $0_{1}$.

From Table 1 we conclude that the sets $T_{2}$ and $T_{12}$ are noncoexistent, and that $1 \rho_{1} T_{2}$ and $1 \rho_{2} T_{12}$. Also, the sets $T_{3}$ and $T_{34}, T_{13}$ and $T_{123}, T_{24}$ and $T_{234}$

[^0]are noncoexistent, and we have $4 \rho_{1} T_{3}, 4 \rho_{2} T_{34}, 2 \rho_{1} T_{13}, 2 \rho_{2} T_{123}, 3 \rho_{1} T_{24}$ and $3 \rho_{2} T_{234}$.

Now, taking into account symmetry and excluding isomorphic graphs, we distinguish the following subcases:
(A.1) $T_{1} \neq \varnothing$;
(A.2) $T_{23} \neq \varnothing$;
(A.3) $T_{124} \neq \varnothing$;
(A.4) $T_{1}=T_{4}=T_{23}=T_{124}=T_{134}=\varnothing$.

Ad (A.1). In this case the set of vertices $V(G)$ is a subset of the set $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup$ $T_{1} \cup T_{12} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{234}$. Hence, the graph $G$ is of the type $P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)$, and the graph $\bar{G}$ is of the type $P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)$.

The canonical graph of the graph $G$ is of the type $P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, K_{1}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)$, while the canonical graph of the graph $\bar{G}$ is of the type $P\left(K_{1}, K_{1}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right)$. By Lemmas 2 and 5 we conclude that $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$. Thus, the graph $G$ is of the type $2^{\circ}$ (with $q=0$ ).

Ad (A.2). In this case the set of vertices $V(G)$ is a subset of the set $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup T_{23} \cup T_{123} \cup T_{234}$, so that $G$ is of the type $Q\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}, K_{1}\right)$. Consequently, the graph $\bar{G}$ is of the type $Q\left(\bar{K}_{n}, K_{q}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{p}, K_{1}\right)$.

The canonical graphs of the graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are respectively of types $Q\left(K_{1}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right)$ and $Q\left(K_{1}, K_{q}, K_{m}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right)$. By Lemmas 2 and 5 we get $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$, which means that $G$ is of the type $1^{\circ}$ 。

Ad (A.3). In this case the set of vertices $V(G)$ is a subset of the set $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup \underline{T_{3} \cup T_{12} \cup T_{24} \cup T_{124} \cup T_{1234} \text {. Consequently, the graph } G \text { is }}$ of the type $\overline{P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}}$ and the graph $\bar{G}$ is of the type $P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right)+\bar{K}_{q}$.

The canonical graphs of the graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are respectively of the types $\overline{P\left(K_{1}, K_{1}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{1}, K_{1}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}}$ and $P\left(K_{1}, K_{m}, K_{1}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right) \dot{\bar{G}} K_{1}$. By Lemmas 2 and 5 we have that $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$, which means that $\bar{G}$ is of the type $2^{\circ}$.

Ad (A.4). In this case, by Table 1, we have that the set of vertices $V(G)$ is a subset of one of the following 10 sets: $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup \cup T_{3} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{234} \cup T_{1234}$, $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup T_{24} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{123} \cup T_{1234}, V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{12} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{24} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{1234}, V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup$ $T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{24} \cup T_{1234}, V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{24} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{1234}, V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup T_{123} \cup$ $T_{234} \cup T_{1234}, V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{234} \cup T_{1234}, V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{123} \cup T_{234} \cup T_{1234}$, $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{12} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{234} \cup T_{1234}$ and $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{12} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{123} \cup T_{234} \cup T_{1234}$.

If $V(G)$ is a subset of the set $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{234} \cup T_{1234}$ then the graph $G$ is of the type $\overline{P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r}}$ and the graph $\bar{G}$ is of the type $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, \bar{K}_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r}$. The canonical graphs of the graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are respectively of types $\overline{P\left(K_{1}, K_{1}, K_{1}, \bar{K}_{q}\right)+\bar{K}_{r}}$ and $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}, K_{1}\right) \dot{+} K_{1}$. By Lemmas 2, 3 and 4, we conclude that $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$, for all values of parameters $m, n, p, q \geq 1, r \geq 0$. Hence, the graph $\bar{G}$ is of the type $3^{\circ}$.

Similarly, we can show that in the remaining cases, the graph $\bar{G}$ is of the type $4^{\circ}-12^{\circ}$, where the parameters $m, n, p, q \geq 1, r \geq 0$, satisfy the corresponding necessary conditions from Lemmas 3 and 4 .

Case B. We distinguish the following three subcases:
(B.1) The graph $G$ contains graph $H_{4}$ from Fig. 4 as an induced subgraph;
(B.2) The graph $G$ contains graph $H_{5}$ and does not contain graph $H_{4}$ from Fig. 4 as an induced subgraph;
(B.3) The graph $G$ does not contain graphs $H_{4}$ and $H_{5}$ from Fig. 4 as induced subgraphs.


Fig. 4
Let us pay attention to the labelling of graphs $H_{4}, H_{5}$ from Fig. 4.
Ad (B.1). Let $T_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}$ and $T_{0}$ have the same meanings as in case (A), but with respect to the graph $H_{4}$ from Fig. 4.

In this case we have $T_{1}=T_{2}=T_{4}=T_{5}=T_{12}=T_{14}=T_{15}=T_{23}=T_{24}=$ $T_{25}=T_{35}=T_{45}=T_{124}=T_{125}=T_{134}=T_{145}=T_{234}=T_{245}=T_{345}=T_{1234}=$ $T_{1345}=T_{2345}=\varnothing\left(H_{1} \subseteq G\right), T_{123}=T_{135}=\varnothing\left(G_{11} \subseteq \bar{G}\right), T_{1245}=\varnothing\left(G_{5} \subseteq \bar{G}\right)$ and $T_{0}=\varnothing\left(G_{11} \subseteq G\right)$.

Adjacency relations between vertices of the sets $T_{3}, T_{13}, T_{34}, T_{235}, T_{1235}$ and $T_{12345}$ in $G$ are presented in Table 2. In the same table, adjacency relations in all of the mentioned sets are also indicated. Graphs induced by the sets $T_{3}, T_{13}$ and $T_{235}$ have no edges, while graphs induced by the sets $T_{34}, T_{1235}$ and $T_{12345}$ are complete graphs.

From this table we conclude that the sets $T_{3}$ and $T_{34}$ are noncoexistent, and we have $4 \rho_{1} T_{3}$ and $4 \rho_{2} T_{34}$. The sets $T_{235}$ and $T_{1235}$ are also noncoexistent, and we have $1 \rho_{1} T_{235}$ and $1 \rho_{2} T_{1235}$. Besides, we have $2 \rho_{1} T_{13}, 5 \rho_{1} T_{13}$ and $3 \rho_{2} T_{12345}$.

Consequently, the set $V(G)$ is a subset of one of the following 4 sets: $V\left(H_{4}\right) \cup T_{3} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{235} \cup T_{12345}, V\left(H_{4}\right) \cup T_{3} \cup T_{13} \cup T_{1235} \cup T_{12345}, V\left(H_{4}\right) \cup$ $T_{13} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{235} \cup T_{12345}$ and $V\left(\underline{\left.H_{4}\right) \cup T_{13} \cup T_{34} \cup T_{1235} \cup T_{12345} \text {. } \quad \text { It fol- }}\right.$ lows that $G$ is one of the graphs $\overline{P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right)+\bar{K}_{q}}, \overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right)+\bar{K}_{q}}$, $\overline{P\left(K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}\right)+\bar{K}_{q}}$ and $\overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}\right)+\bar{K}_{q}}$, where $p \geq 2$. Thus $\bar{G}$ is one of the graphs $P\left(K_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}, P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}, K_{p}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}, P\left(K_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}$

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{34}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{235} \mathrm{~T}_{1235} \mathrm{~T}_{12345}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\varnothing$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{13}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{34}$ |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{235}$ |  |  |  | 0 | $\varnothing$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{1235}$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{12345}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 2
and $P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}, K_{p}\right) \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}$, respectively. The graphs from these classes have the property $n^{+}(G)=n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$ for all values of parameters $m, n, q \geq 1, p \geq 2$.

We conclude that in this case the graph $\bar{G}$ is one of the graphs $13^{\circ}-16^{\circ}$.
Ad (B.2). Let $T_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}$ and $T_{0}$ have the same meanings as in the case (A), but with respect to the graph $H_{5}$ from Fig. 4.

In this case we have $T_{1}=T_{2}=T_{3}=T_{4}=T_{5}=T_{12}=T_{13}=T_{14}=T_{15}=$ $T_{23}=T_{24}=T_{25}=T_{34}=T_{45}=T_{123}=T_{125}=T_{134}=T_{145}=T_{234}=T_{245}=$ $T_{1345}=T_{2345}=\varnothing\left(H_{1} \subseteq G\right), T_{135}=T_{235}=\varnothing\left(G_{5} \subseteq \bar{G}\right), T_{1234}=T_{1245}=\varnothing$ $\left(G_{4} \subseteq \bar{G}\right)$ and $T_{0}=\varnothing\left(H_{1} \subseteq G\right.$ or $\left.G_{11} \subseteq \bar{G}\right)$.

The adjacency relations in the sets $T_{35}, T_{124}, T_{345}, T_{1235}$ and $T_{12345}$ and between these sets in the graph $G$ are presented in Table 3. In particular, graphs induced by the sets $T_{35}$ and $T_{124}$ have no edges, while the graphs induced by the sets $T_{345}, T_{1235}$ and $T_{12345}$ are complete.

From this table we conclude that the sets $T_{35}$ and $T_{345}$ are noncoexistent, and we have $4 \rho_{1} T_{35}$ and $4 \rho_{1} T_{345}$. We also find that $1 \rho_{2} T_{1235}, 2 \rho_{2} T_{1235}, 3 \rho_{1} T_{124}$ and $5 \rho_{1} T_{124}$.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{35}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{124}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{345}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1235} \mathrm{~T}_{12345}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{35}$ | 0 | 1 | $\varnothing$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{124}$ |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{345}$ |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{1235}$ |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{12345}$ |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 3
Hence, the set of vertices $V(G)$ is a subset of one of the following 2 sets: $V\left(H_{5}\right) \cup T_{35} \cup T_{124} \cup T_{1235} \cup T_{12345}, V\left(H_{5}\right) \cup T_{124} \cup T_{345} \cup T_{1235} \cup T_{12345}$. It follows that $G$ is one of the graphs $\overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}\right) \dot{+} K_{p} \dot{+} \bar{K} q}$ and $\overline{P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}\right) \dot{+} K_{p} \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}}(m, p \geq 2)$,
and the graph $\bar{G}$ is one of the graphs $P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}\right) \dot{+} K_{p} \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}$ and $P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}\right) \dot{+}$ $K_{p} \dot{+} \bar{K}_{q}$, respectively. The graphs from these classes have the property $n^{+}(G)=$ $n^{+}(\bar{G})=2$ for all values of parameters $m, p \geq 2, n \geq 1, q \geq 0$.

We conclude that in this case graph $\bar{G}$ is one of the graphs $17^{\circ}$ and $18^{\circ}$.
Ad (B.3). Let $T_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}$ and $T_{0}$ have the same meanings as in the case (A), but with respect to the graph $H_{2}$ from Fig. 1.

Then we have $T_{1}=T_{2}=T_{4}=T_{12}=T_{14}=T_{24}=T_{134}=T_{234}=\varnothing\left(H_{1} \subseteq G\right)$, $T_{13}=T_{23}=\varnothing\left(H_{4} \subseteq G\right), T_{124}=\varnothing\left(H_{5} \subseteq G\right)$ and $T_{0}=\varnothing\left(H_{1} \subseteq G\right.$ or $\left.G_{11} \subseteq \bar{G}\right)$.

Adjacency relations in the sets $T_{3}, T_{34}, T_{123}$ and $T_{1234}$ of $G$ and between these sets are presented in Table 4. In particular, the graph induced by the set $T_{3}$ has no edges, while graphs induced by the sets $T_{34}, T_{123}$ and $T_{1234}$ are complete.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{34}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{123} \mathrm{~T}_{1234}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 0 | $\varnothing$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{34}$ |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{123}$ |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{1234}$ |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 4
From Table 4 we conclude that the sets $T_{3}$ and $T_{34}$ are noncoexistent, and we have $4 \rho_{1} T_{3}$ and $4 \rho_{2} T_{34}$. We also have $1 \rho_{2} T_{123}, 2 \rho_{2} T_{123}$ and $3 \rho_{2}$ $T_{1234}$. Consequently, the set $V(G)$ is a subset of one of the following two sets: $V\left(H_{2}\right) \cup T_{3} \cup T_{123} \cup T_{1234}$ and $V\left(H_{2}\right) \cup T_{34} \cup T_{123} \cup T_{1234}$. Hence, the graph $\bar{G}$ is one of the types $P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, K_{n}\right)+\bar{K}_{p}$ and $P\left(\bar{K}_{m}, \bar{K}_{n}\right)+\bar{K}_{p}$, and the canonical graph of $\bar{G}$ is of the type $K_{n} \dot{+} K_{1}(n \geq 2)$. Since $n^{+}\left(K_{n} \dot{+} K_{1}\right)=1$, we conclude that in this case there is no double 2-positive graph.

This completes the proof.
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