

## A SUBCLASS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

H. R. Abdel-Gawad and D. K. Thomas

**Abstract.** Let  $f$  be analytic and normalised in the unit disc  $D$  and satisfy  $\operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/\phi(z)) > 0$  for  $z \in D$  where  $\phi$  is a normalised convex function. Such functions form a subset of the close-to-convex functions. Various extremal problems are considered.

**Introduction.** Denote by  $S$  the class of functions  $f$  which are analytic and univalent in  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalised so that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . Thus for  $f \in S$  we may write

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Let  $C$ ,  $S^*$  and  $K$  be those subsets of  $S$  which are convex, starlike and close-to-convex respectively. Then  $f \in K$  if, and only if, there exists  $g \in S^*$  such that for  $z \in D$

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0. \quad (2)$$

Since  $C$  is a subclass of  $S^*$ , we can define a subclass  $J$  of  $K$  such that  $g \in C$  in (2). Thus we have

**Definition.** Let  $f$  be analytic in  $D$  and be given by (1). Then  $f \in J$ , if, and only if, there exists  $\phi \in C$  such that for  $z \in D$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} > 0. \quad (3)$$

**Results.** We first give some distortion theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f \in J$ , then for  $z = re^{i\theta} \in D$ ,

$$\frac{1-r}{(1+r)^2} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{(1-r)^2},$$

$$-\log(1+r) + \frac{2r}{1+r} \leq |f(z)| \leq \log(1-r) + \frac{2r}{1-r}.$$

Each inequality is sharp for  $F$  defined by

$$F(z) = \bar{x} \log(1-xz) + \frac{2z}{1-xz}, \quad \text{with } |x| = 1. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* It follows from (3) that we can write  $zf'(z) = \phi(z)p(z)$ , for  $p \in P$ , the class of analytic functions satisfying  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  in  $D$  and  $p(0) = 1$ . The inequalities for  $|f'(z)|$  in Theorem 1 follow at once from the well-known distortion theorems for  $C$  and  $P$ . Integrating along a straight line segment from  $z = 0$  to  $z = re^{i\theta}$  gives the upper bound for  $|f(z)|$ . For the lower bound let  $z_1$  be such that  $|z_1| = r$  and satisfies  $|f(z_1)| \leq |f(z)|$  for all  $z$  with  $|z| = r$ . Writing  $w = f(z)$  it follows that the line segment  $\lambda$  from  $w = 0$  to  $w = f(z)$  lies entirely in the image of  $f$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the pre-image of  $\lambda$ . Then

$$|f(z)| \geq |f(z_1)| = \int_{\lambda} |dw| = \int_{\Lambda} \left| \frac{dw}{dz} \right| |dz|$$

$$\geq \int_0^r \frac{1-t}{(1+t)^2} dt = -\log(1+r) + \frac{2r}{1+r}.$$

Equality is attained on choosing  $\phi(z) = \frac{z}{1-xz}$  and  $p(z) = \frac{1+xz}{1-xz}$  for  $|x| = 1$  in the representation  $zf'(z) = \phi(z)p(z)$ .

**COROLLARY.** Let  $f \in J$  and  $f(z) \neq w$  for  $z \in D$ , then  $|w| > 1 - \log 2$ .

The proof follows using a standard argument (see e.g. [2]).

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f \in J$ , then for  $z = re^{i\theta} \in D$ ,

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq \arcsin \frac{2r}{1+r^2} + \arcsin r.$$

The result is sharp.

*Proof.* From (3) write

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} = \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)},$$

so that  $\omega$  is analytic in  $D$  with  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$ . Since the image of the disc  $\{z : |z| \leq r\}$  by the transformation  $\frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}$  is contained in closed disc centre

$\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}$ , radius  $\frac{2r}{1-r^2}$ , it follows that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} - \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} \right| \leq \arcsin \frac{2r}{1+r^2},$$

and since  $\phi \in C$ , it follows that  $|\arg(\phi(z)/z)| \leq \arcsin r$ , see for example [6], and so the result is proved.

To show that the inequality is sharp, choose  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  so that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} = \frac{1 + \theta_1 z}{1 - \theta_1 z}$$

and

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z}{1 + \theta_2 z},$$

where  $\theta_1 = ir/z$  and  $\theta_2 = (r/z)(-r + i\sqrt{1-r^2})$  at any point  $z$  such that  $|z| = r$ .

We next give some coefficient results.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f \in J$  and be given by (1). Then  $|a_n| \leq 2 - 1/n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , with equality for  $F$  defined in (4). Also*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 5/3 - 9\mu/4, & \text{if } \mu \leq 2/9 \\ 2/3 + 1/(9\mu), & \text{if } 2/9 \leq \mu \leq 2/3 \\ 5/6, & \text{if } 2/3 \leq \mu \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For each  $\mu$ , there is a function in  $J$  such that equality holds.

*Proof.* The first inequality follows at once on equating coefficients in the representation  $zf'(z) = \phi(z)p(z)$  and using the well-known coefficient estimates for  $C$  and  $P$ .

Next write

$$\omega(z) = \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n,$$

and

$$\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \tag{5}$$

so that  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in D$ . Then equating coefficients, we have

$$2a_2 = b_2 + 2\alpha_1,$$

and

$$3a_3 = b_3 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_1 b_2.$$

Thus

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left( b_3 - \frac{3}{4} \mu b_2^2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( \alpha_2 + \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \mu \right) \alpha_1^2 \right) + \left( \frac{2}{3} - \mu \right) \alpha_1 b_2. \tag{6}$$

We first consider the case  $2/9 \leq \mu \leq 2/3$ . It follows from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left| b_3 - \frac{3}{4} \mu b_2^2 \right| + \frac{2}{3} \left| \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2} (3\mu - 2) \alpha_1^2 \right| + \frac{2 - 3\mu}{3} |\alpha_1 b_2| \\ &\leq \frac{4 - 3\mu}{12} + \frac{2}{3} - \mu |\alpha_1^2| + \frac{2 - 3\mu}{3} |\alpha_1| \\ &= \Phi(t), \quad \text{say, with } t = |\alpha_1|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $|b_2| \leq 1$  and the inequalities

$$|\alpha_2 - s \alpha_1^2| \leq 1 + (|s| - 1) |\alpha_1|^2 \quad (7)$$

and

$$|b_3 - s b_2^2| \leq \max\{1/3, |s - 1|\}, \quad (8)$$

for any complex number  $s$ , see e.g. [1]. Since the function  $\Phi$  attains its maximum at  $t_0 = (2 - 3\mu)/(6\mu)$ , it follows that the second inequality in Theorem 3 is established, if  $\mu \leq 2/3$ . Choosing  $b_1 = b_2 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = (2 - 3\mu)/(6\mu)$  and  $\alpha_2 = 1 - \alpha_1^2$  in (6), shows that the result is sharp if  $\mu \geq 2/9$ , since  $|\alpha_1| \leq 1$ .

Next suppose that  $\mu \leq 2/9$ . Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{9\mu}{2} \left| a_3 - \frac{2}{9} a_2^2 \right| + \left( 1 - \frac{9\mu}{2} \right) |a_3| \leq \frac{5}{3} - \frac{9\mu}{4},$$

where we have used the result already proved in the case  $\mu = 2/9$ , and the inequality  $|a_3| \leq 5/3$  proved in the first part of the theorem. Equality is attained on choosing  $b_2 = b_3 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , and  $\alpha_2 = 0$  in (6).

Suppose next that  $2/3 \leq \mu \leq 1$ . Then when  $\mu = 1$ , (6) gives

$$a_3 - a_2^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left( b_3 - \frac{3}{4} b_2^2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1^2 \right) - \frac{\alpha_1 b_2}{3}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left| b_3 - \frac{3}{4} b_2^2 \right| + \frac{2}{3} \left| \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1^2 \right| + \frac{|\alpha_1 b_2|}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9} (1 - |b_2|^2) + \frac{|b_2|^2}{12} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{3} + \frac{|\alpha_1 b_2|}{3} \\ &= \frac{7}{9} + \frac{|b_2|^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3} \left( |\alpha_1| - \frac{|b_2|}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{5}{6}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (7), (8), the inequality  $|b_2| \leq 1$  and the fact that  $|\alpha_2| \leq 1 - |\alpha_1|^2$ , (see e.g. [5]). Now write,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = (3\mu - 2)(a_3 - a_2^2) + 3(1 - \mu)(a_3 - (2/3)a_2^2),$$

and the result follows at once on using the the theorem already proved for  $\mu = 1$  and  $\mu = 2/3$ . Equality is attained when  $b_2 = b_3 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1 - \alpha_1^2$  with

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2 - 3\mu}{6\mu} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{6\mu - 4}}{6\mu}.$$

We now obtain the radius of convexity for  $J$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f \in J$ , then  $f$  maps  $\{z : |z| < 1/3\}$  onto a convex set. The function  $F$  given by (4) shows that this result is best possible.*

*Proof.* Differentiating  $zf'(z) = \phi(z)p(z)$  logarithmically, we have

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)},$$

from which it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} - \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right|. \tag{9}$$

Since  $\phi \in C$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} \geq \frac{1}{1+r},$$

see e.g. [4]. Also [3],

$$\left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2}.$$

The result now follows at once from (9).

We finally consider a problem concerning the partial sums of functions in  $J$ . We prove the following:

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $f \in J$  and be given by (1). For  $n \geq 2$ , define  $f_n$*

$$f_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k.$$

Then for  $n = 2$  and  $n \geq 4$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'_n(z)}{\phi_n(z)} > 0 \tag{10}$$

for  $z \in \{z : |z| < 1/3\}$ , where  $\phi_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n b_k z^k$  and where  $b_k$  is given by (5) for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . The result is sharp.

We are unable to prove the result in the case  $n = 3$ .

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 2$ , write  $f(z) = f_n(z) + r_n(z)$  and  $\phi(z) = \phi_n(z) + s_n(z)$ . Then since  $|a_n| \leq 2 - 1/n$  and  $|b_n| \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$|zr'_n(z)| \leq \frac{r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^2} [2n(1-r) + (1+r)] \tag{11}$$

and

$$|s_n(z)| \leq \frac{r^{n+1}}{1-r}. \tag{12}$$

Thus for  $|z| = r = 1/3$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'_n(z)}{\phi_n(z)} &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} + \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{[zf'(z)/\phi(z)]s_n(z) - zr'_n(z)}{\phi(z) - s_n(z)} \right) \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{\phi(z)} - \frac{|zf'(z)/\phi(z)| |s_n(z)| + |zr'_n(z)|}{|\phi(z) - s_n(z)|} \\ &\geq \frac{1-r}{1+r} - \left( \frac{1+r}{1-r} \frac{r^{n+1}}{1-r} + \frac{r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^2} [2n(1-r) + (1+r)] \right) \left( \frac{r}{1+r} - \frac{r^{n+1}}{1-r} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4(2+n)}{3^n - 2} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

when  $r = 1/3$ , where we have used (11) and (12) and distortion theorems for the classes  $C$  and  $P$ . Thus (10) is proved when  $n \geq 4$  and when  $|z| = 1/3$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re}(zf'_n(z)/\phi_n(z))$  is harmonic, it follows from the minimum principle that (10) is valid in  $\{z : |z| \leq 1/3\}$  and  $n \geq 4$ .

When  $n = 2$  we note that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'_2(z)}{\phi_2(z)} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1 + 2a_2z}{1 + b_2z} = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{(2a_2 - b_2)z}{1 + b_2z} \geq 1 - \frac{|2a_2 - b_2||z|}{1 + |b_2z|} > 0$$

for  $|z| \leq 1/3$ , where we have used the fact that  $|2a_2 - b_2| \leq 2$ , which follows easily on equating coefficients in the representation  $zf'(z) = \phi(z)p(z)$  and the inequality  $|b_2| \leq 1$ . We note that when  $n = 2$  and  $F$  is given by (4),

$$\frac{zF'_2(z)}{\phi_2(z)} = \frac{1 + 3z}{1 + b_2z} = 0$$

when  $z = -1/3$  and so the result is best possible.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 8-12.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1959.
- [3] T. H. Macgregor, *The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 514-520.
- [4] A. Marx, *Untersuchungen über schlicht Abbildungen*, Math. Ann. 107 (1932), 40-67.
- [5] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill, 1952.
- [6] M. I. S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math. 37 (1936), 374-408.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Wales  
Swansea SA2 8PP, Great Britain

(Received 20 12 1989)