

ABSOLUTE AND ORDINARY KÖTHE-TOEPLITZ DUALS OF SOME SETS OF SEQUENCES AND MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

Eberhard Malkowsky

Abstract. We determine the ordinary Köthe-Toeplitz dual of the set $\Delta l_\infty(p)$ and the absolute Köthe-Toeplitz duals of the sets $\Delta l_\infty(p)$, $\Delta c_0(p)$ and $\Delta c(p)$ defined by Ahmad and Mursaleen. Further we investigate matrix transformations in these spaces and give a characterization of the class $(\Delta l_\infty(p), l_\infty)$.

1. Introduction

By ω we denote the set of all complex sequences $x = (x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$. Throughout the paper $p = (p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ shall always be an arbitrary sequence of positive reals. The following sets were introduced and investigated by various authors:

$$\begin{aligned} l_\infty(p) &:= \{x \in \omega : \sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty\}, \\ c(p) &:= \{x \in \omega : |x_k - l|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some complex } l\}, \\ c_0(p) &:= \{x \in \omega : |x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0\}, \\ l(p) &:= \left\{x \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty\right\} \quad (\text{cf. [2], [3], [5], and [7]}). \end{aligned}$$

Given any sequence $x \in \omega$ we shall write $\Delta x := (x_k - x_{k+1})$. In a recent paper (cf. [1]), Ahmad and Mursaleen defined the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta l_\infty(p) &:= \{x \in \omega : \Delta x \in l_\infty(p)\}, \\ \Delta c(p) &:= \{x \in \omega : \Delta x \in c(p)\}, \\ \Delta c_0(p) &:= \{x \in \omega : \Delta x \in c_0(p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the determination of the absolute Köthe-Toeplitz duals of $\Delta l_\infty(p)$ and $\Delta c_0(p)$, they applied some arguments which do not seem to hold:

(i) $x \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$ does not imply in general the existence of a finite number $N > \sup_k k^{-1}|x_k|$, as the following counterexample will show: If we put $p_k := k^{-1}$ and $x_k := k^2$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) then $|\Delta x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 1$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$), hence $x \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$, and $\sup_k k^{-1}|x_k| = \infty$.

(ii) If a is a sequence such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|a_k|N^{1/p_k} = \infty \quad \text{for some } N > 1, \quad (1.1)$$

then the sequence x defined by $x_k := kN^{1/p_k} \operatorname{sgn} a_k$ is not in $\Delta l_\infty(p)$, in general. In order to see this, we put $p_k := k$ and $a_k := (-1)^k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Then a satisfies (1.1) for all $N > 1$ and $|\Delta x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow \infty$, hence $x \notin \Delta l_\infty(p)$.

In this paper, we shall determine the absolute Köthe-Toeplitz duals of the sets $\Delta l_\infty(p)$ and $\Delta c_0(p)$, and give new proofs for the characterizations of the matrix transformations considered in [1]. Further we shall state some new results.

2. Köthe-Toeplitz duals

For arbitrary set X of sequences, we define the ordinary and absolute Köthe-Toeplitz duals by

$$X^\dagger := \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \text{ converges for all } x \in X \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$X^{|\dagger|} := \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| < \infty \text{ for all } x \in X \right\}$$

respectively; we shall write $X^{\dagger\dagger} := (X^\dagger)^\dagger$ and $X^{|\dagger\dagger|} := (X^{|\dagger|})^{|\dagger|}$.

THEOREM 2.1. *For every strictly positive sequence $p = (p_k)$, we have*

$$(a) \quad (\Delta l_\infty(p))^{|\dagger|} = D_\infty^{(1)}(p) := \bigcap_{N=2}^{\infty} \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} < \infty \right\},$$

$$(b) \quad (\Delta l_\infty(p))^{|\dagger\dagger|} = D_\infty^{(2)}(p) := \bigcup_{N=2}^{\infty} \left\{ a \in \omega : \sup_{k \geq 2} |a_k| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \right]^{-1} < \infty \right\},$$

$$(c) \quad (\Delta c_0(p))^{|\dagger|} = D_0^{(1)}(p) := \bigcup_{N=2}^{\infty} \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j} < \infty \right\},$$

$$(d) \quad (\Delta c_0(p))^{|\dagger\dagger|} = D_0^{(2)}(p) := \bigcap_{N=2}^{\infty} \left\{ a \in \omega : \sup_{k \geq 2} |a_k| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j} \right]^{-1} < \infty \right\}.$$

(We adopt the usual convention that $\sum_{j=1}^m y_j = 0$ ($m < 1$) for arbitrary y_i .)

Proof: (a) Let $a \in D_\infty^{(1)}(p)$ and $x \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$. We choose $N > \max\{1, \sup |\Delta x_k|^{p_k}\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \Delta x_j \right| + |x_1| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} + |x_1| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

(Note: Since $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \geq 1$ for arbitrary $N > 1$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots$), $a \in D_\infty^{(1)}(p)$ implies $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty$.)

Conversely let $a \notin D_\infty^{(1)}(p)$. Then we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} = \infty$ for some integer $N > 1$.

We define the sequence x by $x_k := \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Then it is easy to see that $x \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| = \infty$, hence $a \notin (\Delta l_\infty(p))^{\dagger}$.

(b) Let $a \in D_\infty^{(2)}(p)$ and $x \in (\Delta l_\infty(p))^{\dagger} = D_\infty^{(1)}(p)$, by part (a). Then for some $N > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \right]^{-1} |x_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \\ &\leq \sup_{k \geq 2} \left[|a_k| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \right]^{-1} \right] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |x_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely let $a \notin D_\infty^{(2)}(p)$. Then for all integers $N > 1$, we have

$$\sup_{k \geq 2} |a_k| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \right]^{-1} = \infty.$$

Hence there is a strictly increasing sequence $(k(m))$ of integers $k(m) \geq 2$ such that

$$|a_{k(m)}| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k(m)-1} m^{1/p_j} \right]^{-1} > m^2 \quad (m = 2, 3, \dots).$$

We define the sequence x by

$$x_k := \begin{cases} |a_{k(m)}|^{-1} & (k = k(m)) \\ 0 & (k \neq k(m)) \quad (m = 2, 3, \dots). \end{cases}$$

Then for all integers $N \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_{k(m)}|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k(m)-1} N^{1/p_j} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{m=2}^{N-1} |a_{k(m)}|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k(m)-1} N^{1/p_j} + \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} |a_{k(m)}|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k(m)-1} m^{1/p_j} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{m=2}^{N-1} |a_{k(m)}|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k(m)-1} N^{1/p_j} + \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} m^{-2} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

hence $x \in (\Delta l_{\infty}(p))^{\uparrow\uparrow}$, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| = \sum_{N=2}^{\infty} 1 = \infty,$$

hence $a \notin (\Delta l_{\infty}(p))^{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}$.

(c) Let $a \in D_0^{(1)}(p)$. Since $|a_k| \leq |a_k| N^{1/p_1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j}$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots$), we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty$. Let $x \in \Delta c_0(p)$. Then there is an integer k_0 such that $\sup_{k > k_0} |\Delta x_k|^{p_k} \leq N^{-1}$, where N is the number in $D_0^{(1)}(p)$. We put $M := \max_{1 \leq k \leq k_0} |\Delta x_k|^{p_k}$, $m := \min_{1 \leq k \leq k_0} p_k$, $L := (M+1)N$ and define the sequence y by $y_k := x_k L^{-1/m}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Then it is easy to see that $\sup_k |\Delta y|^{p_k} \leq N^{-1}$, and as in (2.1) with N replaced by N^{-1} , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| = L^{1/m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k y_k| < \infty.$$

Conversely, let $a \notin D_0^{(1)}(p)$. Then we can determine a strictly increasing sequence $(k(s))$ of integers such that $k(1) := 1$ and

$$M_s := \sum_{k=k(s)}^{k(s+1)-1} |a_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (s+1)^{-1/p_j} > 1 \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We define the sequence x by

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &:= \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{j=k(l)}^{k(l+1)-1} (l+1)^{-1/p_j} + \sum_{j=k(s)}^{k-1} (s+1)^{-1/p_j} \\ & \quad (k(s) \leq k \leq k(s+1) - 1; \quad s = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that $|\Delta x_k|^{p_k} = 1/(s+1)$ ($k(s) \leq k \leq k(s+1) - 1; \quad s = 1, 2, \dots$) hence $x \in \Delta c_0(p)$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| \geq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 1 = \infty$, i.e. $a \notin (\Delta c_0(p))^{\uparrow\uparrow}$.

(d) For $N = 2, 3, \dots$, we put

$$E_N := \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j} < \infty \right\}$$

$$F_N := \left\{ a \in \omega : \sup_{k \geq 2} |a_k| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j} \right]^{-1} < \infty \right\}.$$

By a well known result (cf. [3, Lemma 4 (iv)]), we have to show $F_N = E_N^{\dagger}$ ($N = 2, 3, \dots$). The proof of this is standard and therefore omitted.

Now we shall give some new results:

THEOREM 2.2. *For every strictly positive sequence $p = (p_k)$, we have*

(a) $(\Delta c(p))^{\dagger \dagger} = D^{(1)}(p) := D_0^{(1)} \cap \{a \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| k < \infty\}$ and

(b) $(\Delta l_{\infty}(p))^{\dagger} = D_{\infty}(p)$

$$:= \bigcap_{N=2}^{\infty} \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \text{ converges and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_k} |R_k| < \infty \right\},$$

where $R_k := \sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\infty} a_{\nu}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$).

Proof: (a) Let $a \in D^{(1)}(p)$ and $x \in \Delta c(p)$. Then there is a complex number l such that $|\Delta x_k - l|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). We define y by $y_k := x_k + lk$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $y \in \Delta c_0(p)$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \Delta y_j \right| + |l| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| k < \infty$$

by Theorem 2.1.(c) and since $a \in D^{(1)}(p)$. Now let $a \in (\Delta c(p))^{\dagger \dagger} \subset (\Delta c_0(p))^{\dagger \dagger} = D_0^{(1)}(p)$ by Theorem 2.1.(c). Since the sequence x defined by $x_k := k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) is in $\Delta c(p)$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| k < \infty$.

(b) Let $a \in D_{\infty}(p)$ and $x \in \Delta l_{\infty}(p)$. Then there is an integer $N > \max\{1, \sup_k |\Delta x_k|^{p_k}\}$. We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_k = - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_j R_j + R_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_j + x_1 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (2.2)$$

Obviously the last term on the right in (2.2) is convergent. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\Delta x_j| \times |R_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_j} |R_j| < \infty$, the first term on the right in (2.2) is absolutely convergent. Finally by Corollary 2 in [4], the convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j}$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} N^{1/p_j} = 0$. Conversely let $a \in (\Delta l_{\infty}(p))^{\dagger}$. Since $e := (1, 1, \dots) \in \Delta l_{\infty}(p)$ and $x = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \right] \in \Delta l_{\infty}(p)$, we conclude the convergence

of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j}$ respectively. Applying Corollary 2 in [4] again we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} = 0.$$

From (2.2), we obtain the convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta x_k R_k$ for all $x \in \Delta l_{\infty}(p)$. Since $x \in \Delta l_{\infty}(p)$ if and only if $y := \Delta x \in l_{\infty}(p)$, this implies $R \in l_{\infty}^{\dagger}(p)$, hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_k} |R_k| < \infty$ for all $N > 1$ by a well known theorem (cf. [2, Th. 2]).

3. Some matrix transformations

For any complex matrix $A = (a_{nk})$, we shall write $A_n := (a_{nk})_k$ for the sequence in the n -th row of A . Given A we define the matrix B by

$$b_{nk} := a_{nk} - a_{n+1,k} \quad (n, k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let X, Y be two subsets of ω . By (X, Y) we denote the class of all matrices A such that the series $A_n x := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$ converge for all $x \in X$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and the sequence $Ax := (A_n x)$ is in Y for all $x \in X$.

The following is obvious and therefore stated without proof:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let X, Y be linear sequence spaces. We put $\Delta Y := \{y \in \omega : \Delta y \in Y\}$. Then $A \in (X, \Delta Y)$ if and only if $B \in (X, Y)$ and $A_1 \in X^{\dagger}$.*

Lemma 3.1 and well known results together yield for instance the characterization of the following classes for strictly positive sequences $q \in l_{\infty} : (l(p), \Delta l_{\infty}(q)), (l(p), \Delta c_0(q)), (l(p), \Delta c(q))$, (cf. [5, Th. 5 (i), (ii) and (iii)] if $0 < p_k \leq 1$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), [5, Th. 8 and Th. 9] if $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$)). Now we give a characterization for the class $(\Delta l_{\infty}(p), l_{\infty})$:

THEOREM 3.1. *For every strictly positive sequence p , we have $A \in (\Delta l_{\infty}(p), l_{\infty})$ if and only if the following three conditions hold:*

- (i) $M_1(N) := \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \right| < \infty$ for all $N > 1$,
- (ii) $M_2(N) := \sup_n \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_{\nu}} \left| \sum_{k=\nu+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right| \right] < \infty$ for all $N > 1$,
- (iii) $M_3 := \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right| < \infty$.

Proof: Let conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) be satisfied. Then $A_n \in (\Delta l_{\infty}(p))^{\dagger}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by Theorem 2.2.(b). Hence the series $A_n x$ converge for all $x \in$

$\Delta l_\infty(p)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Further as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.(b), we have for $x \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$ such that $\sup_k |\Delta x_k|^{p_k} < N$:

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right| \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_\nu} \left| \sum_{k=\nu+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right| + |x_1| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right| \leq M_2(N) + |x_1| M_3$$

$$(n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

hence $Ax \in l_\infty$.

Conversely let $A \in (\Delta l_\infty(p), l_\infty)$. The necessity of conditions (i) and (iii) follows from the fact that $(x_k) := \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} \right]$ and e are in $\Delta l_\infty(p)$. In order to show the necessity of condition (ii), we assume that $M_2(N) = \infty$ for some $N > 1$.

Then for the matrix C defined by

$$c_{n\nu} := \sum_{k=\nu+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \quad (n, \nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

we have $C \notin (l_\infty(p), l_\infty)$. (cf. [2, Th. 3]) Hence there is a sequence $x \in l_\infty(p)$ such that $\sup_\nu |x_\nu|^{p_\nu} = 1$ and $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x_\nu \neq O(1)$. We define the sequence y by $y_\nu := -\sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} x_j + x_1$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $y \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$ and $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} y_\nu = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x_\nu + x_1 \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \neq 0(1)$, a contradiction to the assumption $A \in (\Delta l_\infty(p), l_\infty)$. Therefore we must have $M_2(N) < \infty$ for all $N > 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. U. Ahmad and Mursaleen, *Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some new sequence spaces and their matrix maps*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **42** (56) (1987), 57–61.
- [2] C. G. Lascarides and I. J. Maddox, *Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **68** (1970), 99–104.
- [3] C. G. Lascarides, *A study of certain sequence spaces of Maddox and a generalization of a theorem of Iyer*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 487–500.
- [4] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canadian Math. Bull. **24** (1981), 169–176.
- [5] I. J. Maddox, *Continuous and Köthe-Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **65** (1967), 471–475.
- [6] I. J. Maddox and M. A. L. Willey, *Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations*, Pacific J. Math. **53** (1974), 217–288.
- [7] S. Simons, *The sequence spaces $l(p_\nu)$ and $m(p_\nu)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 422–436.

Mathematisches Institut
Justus-Liebig-Universität
Iheringstraße 6
D-6300 Gießen
West Germany

(Received 26 05 1988)
(Revised 29 05 1989)