

## ON CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

D. K. Thomas

**Abstract.** Well-known coefficient and length results for the class of univalent close-to-convex functions are extended to a subclass of close-to-convex functions of high order.

**1. Introduction.** In [3] Goodman introduced the class  $K(\beta)$  of normalised analytic functions which are close-to-convex of order  $\beta \geq 0$ , i.e.  $f \in K(\beta)$  if  $f$  is analytic in  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and if there exists  $\varphi \in K(0) = C$  the class of normalised convex functions, such that for  $z \in D$ ,

$$\left| \arg \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\beta\pi}{2}.$$

When  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ ,  $K(\beta)$  consists of univalent functions, whilst if  $\beta > 1$   $f$  need not even be finitely valent.

Denote by  $V_k$ , ( $k \geq 2$ ) the class of locally univalent functions with bounded boundary rotation and by  $R_k$  the class of functions with bounded radial rotation. Then  $\varphi \in V_k$  if, and only if,  $z\varphi' \in R_k$  (see e.g. [2]). In [5] Noor considered the class  $T_k$  defined as follows:

*Definition.* Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be analytic and locally univalent in  $D$ . Then for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $f \in T_k$  if there is a function  $\varphi \in V_k$  such that for  $z \in D$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi''(z)} > 0 \tag{1}$$

Clearly  $T_2 = K(1)$ , the class of close-to-convex functions and it is easily seen [5] that  $T_k \subset K(k/2)$  for  $k \geq 2$

For  $f \in K(1)$ , Clunie and Pommerenke [1] showed that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $n | a_n | < (2 + \sqrt{2})e M(n/(n+1))$ , where  $M(r) = \max_{\theta} |f(re^{i\theta})|$  and the author [7] showed that  $L(r) < AM(r) \log 1/(1-r)$ , where  $L(r)$  denotes the length of the image of  $\{z : |z| = r\}$  by  $f(z)$  and where  $A$  is an absolute constant. The object of the

present paper is to extend these results to the class  $T_k$ . The question of whether the results remain valid in the wider class  $K(\beta)$  for  $\beta > 1$  remains open.

**2. Results.** THEOREM 1. *Let  $f \in T_k (k \geq 2)$ , with  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . Then for  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$n |a_n| \leq 3keM(n/(n+1)) \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* We modify the method of Clunie and Pommerenke [1]. From (1) write

$$zf'(z) = g(z)h(z), \quad (3)$$

so that  $g \in R_k$ ,  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\Re h(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ .

Thus we can write  $zf'(z) = 2g(z)\Re h(z) - g(z)\overline{h(z)}$ . Now with  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} na_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} zf'(z)e^{-in\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} g(z)\Re[h(z)]e^{-in\theta} d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} g(z)\overline{h(z)}e^{-in\theta} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} n |a_n| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)| \Re[h(z)] d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{g(z)h(z)} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right| \\ &= I_1(r) + I_2(r) \quad \text{say} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Re h(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ , (3) gives

$$|g(z)| \Re[h(z)] = \Re[zf'(z)e^{-i \arg g(z)}].$$

Thus integrating by parts

$$I_1(r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \Re \int_0^{2\pi} f(z)e^{-i \arg g(z)} d\theta (\arg g(z)) \leq \frac{k}{r^n} M(r),$$

since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \Re \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi \quad (4)$$

For  $I_2(r)$ , we have from (3)

$$I_2(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r^{2n}} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} z^{n+1} f'(z) e^{-2i \arg g(z)} d\theta \right|. \quad (5)$$

Let  $f_n(z) = \int_0^z t^n f'(t) dt$ . Then integrating by parts gives

$$|f_n(z)| \leq 2r^n M(r). \quad (6)$$

Finally integrating by parts in (5) shows that

$$I_2(r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^{2n}} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f_n(z) e^{-2i \arg g(z)} \Re \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} d\theta \right| \leq \frac{2k}{r^n} M(r)$$

on using (4) and (6).

Choosing  $r = n/(n+1)$  gives (2).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f \in T_k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ). Then for  $0 < r < 1$ ,*

$$L(r) \leq A(k)M(r) \log 1/(1-r),$$

where  $A(k)$  is a constant depending only upon  $k$ .

*Proof.* With  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} L(r) &= \int_0^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| d\theta \leq \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(\rho e^{i\theta})h(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\theta d\rho \\ &\quad + \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} |g(\rho e^{i\theta})h'(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\theta d\rho = J_1(r) + J_2(r) \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now  $J_1(r) = \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})H(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\theta d\rho$ , where  $H(z) = \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(r) &\leq \int_0^r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |H(\rho e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho \\ &\leq 2\pi \int_0^r \left( 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n|^2 \rho^{2n-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1 + (k^2 - 1)\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, Parseval's equality and Lemma 2 in [5].

If  $f \in K(\beta)$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , then  $f$  is univalent in  $D$  [3]. However for  $\beta > 1$ ,  $f$  need not be finitely valent [4]. Thus to estimate the first expression in (7) we proceed as follows.

With  $\rho = n/(n+1)$ , (2) gives

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n|^2 \rho^{2n-2} \leq 9k^2 e^2 M(\sqrt{\rho})^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \rho^{n-2}. \quad (8)$$

It follows immediately from the definition of  $T_k$  that the class  $T_k$  forms a subset of a linear-invariant family of order  $k/2 + 1$ . Using Lemma 2.6 of [6] we deduce that  $M(\sqrt{\rho}) < 2^{k+2}M(\rho)/\sqrt{\rho}$ . Thus from (7) and (8) we have  $J_1(r) < A(k)M(r) \log 1/(1-r)$ .

To estimate  $J_2(r)$  we note that since  $\Re h(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ ,  $|h'(\rho e^{i\theta})| \leq 2\Re h(\rho e^{i\theta})/(1-\rho^2)$ . Thus

$$J_2(r) \leq 2 \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|g(\rho e^{i\theta})| \Re h(\rho e^{i\theta})}{1-\rho^2} d\theta d\rho \leq 2k\pi \int_0^r \frac{M(\rho)}{1-\rho^2} d\rho$$

as in the proof of Theorem 1. Combining the estimates for  $J_1(r)$  and  $J_2(r)$  gives Theorem 2.

*Remark.* The proof of Theorem 2 shows that in fact

$$L(r) \leq A(k) \int_0^r \frac{M(\rho)}{1-\rho} d\rho.$$

Thus if  $f \in T_k$  and  $M(r) < 1/(1-r)^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $L(r) < A(k, \alpha)/(1-r)^\alpha$ , where  $A(k, \alpha)$  denotes a constant depending only upon  $k$  and  $\alpha$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, *On the coefficients of close-to-convex univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 161–165.
- [2] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [3] A. W. Goodman, *On close-to-convex functions of higher order*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math **25** (1972), 17–30.
- [4] A. W. Goodman, *A note on the Nashiro-Warschawski theorem*, J. Anal. **25** (1972), 401–408.
- [5] K. I. Noor, *On a generalisation of close-convexity*, Internat. J. Math. Sci. **6** (1983), 327–334.
- [6] Ch. Pommerenke, *Linear-invariante analytischer Functionen I*, Math. Ann. **155** (1964), 108–154.
- [7] D. K. Thomas, *On starlike and close-convex univalent functions*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **42** (1967), 427–435.

Department of Mathematics  
and Computer Science  
Swansea SA2 8PP,  
Wales, U. K.

(Received 29 09 1988)