PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE Nouvelle série tome 45 (59), 1989, pp. 41-55

ON SUMS INVOLVING RECIPROCALS OF CERTAIN LARGE ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS

Tizuo Xuan

Abstract. Let $\beta(n) = \sum_{p|n} p, B(n) = \sum_{p^{\alpha} \parallel n} \alpha p, B_1(n) = \sum_{p^{\alpha} \parallel n} p^{\alpha}$. Sums of reciprocal of these functions are evaluated asymptotically. Asymptotic formulas for some related sums, involving the function $\Omega(n)$ and $\omega(n)$ (the number of distinct and total number of prime factors of n) are also derived.

1. Introduction. Let p(n) denote the largest prime factor of an integer $n \ge 2$, and let p(1) = 1. Let $\beta(n)$, B(n) and $B_1(n)$ denote the additive functions

$$\beta(n) = \sum_{p|n} p, \ B(n) = \sum_{p^{\alpha} \parallel n} \alpha p, \ B_1(n) = \sum_{p^{\alpha} \parallel n} p^{\alpha},$$

where as usual p denotes primes and $p^{\alpha} || n$ means that p^{α} divides n but $p^{\alpha+1}$ does not.

In 1981, Ivić [7] proved that

(1.1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} 1/p(n) = x \exp\{-(2\log x \cdot \log_2 x)^{1/2} + O((\log x \cdot \log_3 x)^{1/2})\},\$$

where $\log_2 x = \log \log x$, $\log_3 x = \log \log \log x$. The formula above remains true if p(n) is replaced by $\beta(n)$ or B(n).

In 1984, Ivić and Pomerence [9] proved that

$$\sum_{n \le x} 1/p^r(n) = x \exp\{-(2r \log x \log_2 x)^{1/2} (1 + g_{r-1}(x) + O(\log_3^3 x/\log_2^3 x))\},\$$

where r > 0 is fixed and

$$g_r(x) = \frac{\log_3 x + \log(1+r) - 2 - \log 2}{2\log_2 x} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\log_2 x}\right) - \frac{(\log_3 x + \log(1+r) - \log 2)^2}{8\log_2^2 x}.$$

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 10 H 25.

The proofs of results above depend on estimates for $\psi(x, y)$. the number of positive integers not exceeding x all of whose prime factors do not exceed y.

Recently Hildebrand [4] and Maier (unpublished) obtained independently much better results concerning $\psi(x, y)$ (see Lemma 2 below). With the help of these results, Erdös, Ivić and Pomerance [3] obtained a precise estimate for the sum in (1.1), where it was shown that

(1.2)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)} = \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{\log_2 x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right) \delta(x),$$

where

$$\delta(x) = \int_2^x \rho\left(\frac{\log x}{\log t}\right) \frac{dt}{t^2},$$

and the function $\rho(u)$ is defined for $u \ge 0$ as the continuous solution of the equations

(1.3)
$$\rho(u) = 1, \quad (0 \le u \le 1), \\ u\rho'(u) = -\rho(u-1), \quad (u > 1).$$

It is well-known that (see [6] or [2])

(1.4)
$$\rho(u) = \exp\left\{-u(\log u + \log_2 u - 1 + \frac{\log_2 u}{\log u} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log u}\right)\right)\right\}.$$

In [3], it was shown that

$$\delta(x) = \exp\{-(2\log x \log_2 x)^{1/2}(1 + g_0(x) + O(\log_3^3 x / \log_2^3 x))\}$$

Very recently, Ivić [8] proved that

(1.5)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\omega(n)}{p(n)} = \left(\frac{2\log x}{\log_2 x}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

(1.6)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\Omega(n) - \omega(n)}{p(n)} = \left(\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^2 - p} + O\left(\left(\frac{\log_2 x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

 and

(1.7)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{p(n)} = \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} + O\left(\left(\frac{\log_2 x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

where $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of n and the total number of prime factors of n, respectively, and $\mu(n)$ is the Moebius function.

Moreover, it was shown in [10] that

$$(1.8) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)} \le \sum_{2 \le n \le x} \frac{1}{\beta(n)} \le \left(\log 2 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)}.$$

The following result occurs as a remark in [3]:

(1.9)
$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x} 1/\beta(n) = (1 + \exp\{-C(\log x \log_2 x)^{1/2}\}) \sum_{x \le x} 1/p(n),$$

From (1.8) we known that (1.9) is not true.

The purpose of this paper is to give estimates for the analogous sums in (1.2), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) with p(n) replaced by $\beta(n)$.

2. Statement of results.

THEOREM 1.
$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x} \frac{1}{\beta(n)} = \left(D + O\left(\frac{\log_3^2 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

where 1/2 < D < 1 denotes an absolute constant which will be described precisely in section 4.

THEOREM 2.

$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x} \frac{\omega(n)}{\beta(n)} = D\left(\frac{2\log x}{\log_2 x}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3^2 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

where D is as in Theorem 1.

The last formula remains true if $\omega(n)$ is replaced by $\Omega(n)$.

THEOREM 3.

$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x} \frac{\Omega(n) - \omega(n)}{\beta(n)} = \left(D\left(\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^2 - p}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log_3^2 x}{\log_2 x}\right) \right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)}.$$

THEOREM 4.

$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\beta(n)} = \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}D + O\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

Moreover, it was shown in [11, 12] that

$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x} \left(\frac{1}{\beta^r(n)} - \frac{1}{B^r(n)} \right) = x \exp\left\{ -\left(2(r+1)\log x \log_2 x\right)^{1/2} - \left(\frac{r+1}{2}\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\right)^{1/2} \log_3 x + O\left(\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right\},$$

and

$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x} \left(\frac{1}{\beta^r(n)} - \frac{1}{B_1^r(n)} \right) = x \exp\left\{ -\left(2(r+1)\log x \log_2 x\right)^{1/2} - \left(\frac{2r+1}{4}\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\right)^{1/2} \log_3 x + O\left(\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right\},$$

respectively, where r is any fixed positive number. From the two results above, we known that Theorems 1, 2, 3, and 4 remain true if $\beta(n)$ is replaced by B(n) or $B_1(n)$.

3. Several Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let
$$L_1 = \exp\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}\log x \log_2 x\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - 2\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right\},$$

 $L_2 = \exp\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}\log x \log_2 x\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - 2\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right\},$

Then we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)} = \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^A x}\right)\right) \sum_{L_1 \le p \le L_2} \frac{1}{p} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p}, p\right),$$

for any fixed A > 0.

Proof. See [8, formula (4.3)].

LEMMA 2. [4]. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \ge 3$, $\exp\{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\epsilon}\} \le y \le x$, we have uniformly

$$\psi(x,y) = x\rho(u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right) \right), \quad u = \frac{\log x}{\log y}.$$

LEMMA 3.[1, 5]. Uniformly for $u \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le 1$ we have

(3.1)
$$\rho(u-t) = \rho(u)e^{t\xi(u)} \left(1 + O(1/u)\right),$$

where $\xi = \xi(u)$ denotes the positive solution of the equation

$$(3.2) e^{\xi} = u\xi + 1$$

and satisfies

(3.3)
$$\xi(u) = \log u + O\left(\log_2(u+2)\right), \quad u \ge 2.$$

LEMMA 4. [5]. Uniformly for $u \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le u$ we have

 $\rho(u-t) \ll \rho(u)e^{t\xi(u)}.$

LEMMA 5. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $1 \le d \le y$, $\exp\{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\} \le y \le x^{1/2}$, we have uniformly

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{d}, y\right) = \psi(x, y)d^{-\beta}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right)\right),$$

where

(3.4)
$$\beta = \beta(x, y) = 1 - \xi \big((\log x) / (\log y) \big) / (\log y),$$

and $\xi(u)$ is defined as in Lemma 3.

Proof. Let $t = \log d / \log y$. In view of Lemmas 2 and 3 we have

$$\begin{split} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}, y\right) &= \frac{x}{d} \rho\left(\frac{\log(x/d)}{\log y}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{d} \rho(u) e^{t\xi(u)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right)\right) \\ &= \psi(x, y) d^{-\beta} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

The Lemma is proved.

LEMMA 6. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, and $1 \le d \le x/y$, $\exp\{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\} \le y \le x$, we have uniformly $\psi(x/d, y) \ll \psi(x, y)d^{-\beta}$. where $\xi = \xi(x, y)$ is given by (3.4).

Proof. Using Lemma 4 instead of Lemma 3, the proof of this result is analogous to the proof of Lemma 5.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1 we have

(4.1)
$$G(x) := \sum_{2 \le n \le x} \frac{1}{\beta(n)} = \sum_{2 \le n \le x, L_1 < p(n) \le L_2} \frac{1}{\beta(n)} + O(R),$$

where $R = \frac{1}{\log^A x} \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{p(n)}$, for any fixed A > 0. Writing

$$\sum_{2 \le n \le x, L_1 < p(n) \le L_2} \frac{1}{\beta(n)} = \sum_{p(n) \parallel n} + \sum_{p^2(n) \mid n},$$

we then obtain

$$G(x) = \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{m_1 \le x/p_1, p(m_1) < p_1} \frac{1}{p_1 + \beta(m_1)} + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1^2}, p_1\right)\right) + O(R).$$

Again, writing

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1 \le x/p_1 p(m_1) < p_1}} \frac{1}{p_1 + \beta(m_1)} = \sum_{\substack{L_1 < p(m_1) < p_1, p(m_1) \mid m_1}} + \sum_{\substack{L_1 < p(m_1) < p_1, p^2(m_1) \mid m_1}} + \sum_{\substack{p(m_1) \le L_1}},$$
we have

$$G(x) = \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \sum_{m_2 \le x/p_1 p_2, p(m_2) < p_2} \frac{1}{p_1 + p_2 + \beta(m_2)} + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1^2}, p_1\right)\right) + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 < L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1^2}, p_1\right)\right) + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{p_2 \le L_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 p_2}, p_2\right)\right) + O(R).$$

Proceeding as before, finally we have (4.2)

$$G(x) = \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \cdots \sum_{L_1 < p_s < p_{s-1}} \sum_{m_s \le x/p_1 \cdots p_s, p(m_s) < p_s} \frac{1}{p_1 + \cdots + p_s + \beta(m_s)} + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^s G_{1j}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=2}^s G_{2j}\right) + O(R),$$

where $2 \le s \le \log_3 x$ is a large number which will be chosen latter, and

(4.3)
$$G_{1j} = \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \cdots \sum_{L_1 < p_j < p_{j-1}} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_{j-1} p_j^2}, p_j\right),$$

(4.4)
$$G_{2j} = \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \cdots \sum_{L_1 < p_{j-1} < p_{j-2}} \sum_{p_j \le L_1} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_j}, p_j\right).$$
Further from (4.2) we have

Further, from (4.2) we have

$$(4.5) \qquad G(x) = \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \cdots \sum_{L_1 < p_s < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_s}, p_s\right) \\ + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \cdots \sum_{L_1 < p_s < p_{s-1}} \sum_{m \le x/p_1 \cdots p_s, p(m_s) < p_s} \frac{\beta(m_s)}{p_1^2}\right) \\ + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^s G_{1,j}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=2}^s G_{2j}\right) + O(R) \\ = G_3 + (G_4) + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^s G_{1,j}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=2}^s G_{2j}\right) + O(R), \text{ say.}$$

Now we come to the estimation of G_3 . Changing the order of summation gives

(4.6)
$$G_3 = \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \sum_{p_s < p_{s-1} \le L_2} \cdots \sum_{p_2 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_s}, p_s\right).$$
Nothing that $p \ge p_s \ge p_s$ we get

Nothing that $p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > p_s$, we get

$$\frac{1}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1} - p_s)^{k_1}}{2^{k_1 + 1} (p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1})^{k_1 + 1}} =$$
$$= \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_1 + 1}} \sum_{r_1=0}^{k_1} (-1)^{r_1} C_{k_1}^{r_1} \frac{p_s^{r_1}}{(p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1})^{r_1 + 1}},$$

 and

$$\frac{1}{(p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1})^{r_1 + 1}} = \sum_{k_2 = r_1}^{\infty} C_{k_2}^{r_1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k_2 + 1}}$$
$$\sum_{r_2 = 0}^{k_2 - r_1} (-1)^{r_2} C_{k_2 - r_1}^{r_2} \frac{p_{s-1}^{r_2}}{(p_1 + \dots + p_{s-2})^{r_1 + r_2 + 1}},$$

where we used the following two formulas:

(4.7)
$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k, \quad (-1 < x < 1),$$

(4.8)
$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{r+1}} = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} C_k^r x^{k-r}, \quad (-1 < x < 1).$$

Proceeding as before, finally we have

$$(4.9) \qquad \frac{1}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_1+1}} \sum_{r_1=0}^{k_1} (-1)^{r_1} C_{k_1}^{r_1} \sum_{k_2=r_1}^{\infty} C_{k_2}^{r_1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k_2+1}}$$
$$(4.9) \qquad \sum_{\gamma_2=0}^{k_2-r_1} (-1)^{r_2} C_{k_2-\gamma_1}^{r_2} \cdots \sum_{k_{s-1}=r_1+\dots+r_{s-2}}^{\infty} C_{k_{s-1}}^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-2}} \frac{1}{2^{k_{s-1}+1}}$$
$$\sum_{r_{s-1}=0}^{k_{s-1}-r_1-\dots-r_{s-2}} (-1)^{r_{s-1}} C_{k_{s-1}-r_1-\dots-r_{s-2}}^{r_{s-1}} \cdot \frac{p_s^{r_1}\cdots p_2^{r_{s-1}}}{p_1^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}} = \sum_{k,r} F(k_1,\dots,k_{s-1},r_1,\dots,r_{s-1}) p_s^{r_1}\cdots p_2^{r_{s-1}} p_1^{-(r_1+\dots+r_{s-1}+1)}, \text{ say.}$$

From (4.6) and (4.9) we get

$$G_{3} = \sum_{k,r} F(k_{1}, \dots, k_{s-1}, r_{1}, \dots, r_{s-1}) \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} \le L_{2}} p_{s}^{r_{1}} \sum_{p_{s} < p_{s-1} \le L_{2}} p_{s-1}^{r_{2}} \cdots$$

$$(4.10) \qquad \cdot \sum_{p_{3} < p_{2} \le L_{2}} p_{2}^{r_{s-1}} \sum_{p_{2} < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{1} \cdots p_{s}}, p_{s}\right).$$

Let

$$u_i = \frac{\log(x/p_{i+1}\cdots p_s)}{\log p_s}, \quad \delta_i = \frac{\xi(u_i)}{\log p_s}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s-1,$$

Note that $s \leq \log_3 x$ and $L_1 < p_i \leq L_2$. We then get

(4.11)
$$u_i = \left(\frac{2\log x}{\log_2 x}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right), \quad \log u_i = \frac{1}{2}\log_2 x + O(\log_3 x).$$

Thus from this and (3.3) we obtain

(4.12)
$$\xi(u_1) = (1/2)\log_2 x + O(\log_3 x), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, s - 1$$

From (3.2), (4.11) and (4.12) we have $\exp(\xi(u_i) - \xi(u_{s-1})) = 1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x)$. So $\xi(u_i) = \xi(u_{s-1}) + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x)$, and therefore $\delta_i = \delta + O(\log_3 x / (\log p_s \cdot \log_2 x))$, where $\delta = \delta_{s-1}$. Hence for $L_1 we have$

(4.13)
$$p^{\delta_i} = p^{\delta} (1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x))$$

By Lemma 5 and (4.13) we have

$$\sum_{p_{2} < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{1} \cdots p_{s}}, p_{s}\right)$$

$$= \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{2} \cdots p_{s}}, p_{s}\right) \sum_{p_{2} < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1}} \cdot \frac{1}{p_{1}^{1 - \delta_{1}}} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{\log_{2} x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{2} \cdots p_{s}}, p_{s}\right) \int_{p_{2}}^{L_{2}} \frac{1}{\xi^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 2 - \delta}} d(\pi(\xi)) \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{\log_{2} x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{1} \cdots p_{s}}, p_{s}\right) \frac{1}{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{p_{2}^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1 - \delta}M} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_{3} x}{\log_{2} x}\right) + O\left(\left(\frac{p_{2}}{L_{2}}\right)^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1 - \delta}\right)\right),$$
we $M = ((1/2)\log \pi \log x) \log \pi \log x)^{1/2}$. So (4.10) becomes

where $M = ((1/2) \log x \cdot \log_2 x)^{1/2}$, So (4.10) becomes

$$G_{3} = \sum_{k,r} \frac{F(k_{1}, \dots, k_{s-1}, r_{1}, \dots, r_{s-1})}{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1} \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} \le L_{2}} p_{s}^{r_{1}} \sum_{p_{s} < p_{s-1} \le L_{2}} p_{s-1}^{r_{1}} \cdots \sum_{p_{4} < p_{3} \le L_{2}} p_{3}^{r_{s-2}} \sum_{p_{3} < p_{2} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{2}^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-2} + 1 - \delta} M} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{2} \cdots p_{s}}, p_{s}\right) \cdots \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_{3} x}{\log_{2} x}\right) + O\left(\left(\frac{p_{2}}{L_{2}}\right)^{r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1 - \delta}\right)\right).$$

Proceeding analogously, finally we have

$$G_{3} = \sum_{k,r} \frac{F(k_{1}, \dots, k_{s-1}, r_{1}, \dots, r_{s-1})}{(r_{1} + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1) \cdots (r_{1} + 1)} \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{s}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{s}}, p_{s}\right) \cdot \frac{p_{s}^{(r-1)\delta}}{M^{s-1}} \left(1 + O\left(s\frac{\log_{3} x}{\log_{2} x}\right)\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} G_{3j}\right),$$

where

$$(4.14) \qquad G_{3j} = \sum_{k,\gamma} \frac{F(k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}, r_1, \dots, r_{s-1})}{(r_1 + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1) \cdots (r_1 + \dots + r_{s-j} + 1)M^j} \\ \cdot \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} p_s^{r_1} \sum_{p_s < p_{s-1} \le L_2} p_{s-1}^{r_2} \cdots \sum_{p_{j+3} < p_j + 2 \le L_2} p_{j+2}^{r_{s-j} + 1}} \\ \sum_{p_{j+2} < p_{j+1} \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_{j+1}^{r_1 + \dots + r_{s-j-1} + 1 - j\delta}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{1+1} \cdots p_s}, p_s\right) \cdot (r_{j+1}/L_2)^{r_1 + \dots + r_{s-j} + 1 - j\delta}.$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have $p_s^{(s-1)\delta} \cdot M^{-(s-1)} = 1 + O(s \log_3 x / \log_2 x)$, so that

(4.15)
$$G_3 = D_s \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \left(1 + O\left(s\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} G_{3j}\right),$$

where

$$(4.16) \quad D_{s} = \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_{1}+1}} \sum_{r_{1}=0}^{k_{1}} \frac{(-1)^{r_{1}} C_{k_{1}}^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}+1} \sum_{k_{2}=r_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{C_{k_{2}}^{r_{1}}}{2^{k_{2}+1}} \sum_{r_{2}=0}^{k_{2}-r_{1}} \frac{(-1)^{r_{2}} C_{k_{2}-r_{1}}^{r_{2}}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+1} \cdots \\ \cdot \sum_{k_{s-1}=r_{1}+\dots+r_{s-2}}^{\infty} \frac{C_{k_{s-1}}^{r_{1}+\dots+r_{s-2}}}{2^{k_{s-1}+1}} \sum_{r_{s-1}=0}^{k_{s-1}-r_{1}-\dots-r_{s-2}} \frac{(-1)^{r_{s-1}} C_{k_{s-1}-r_{1}-\dots-r_{s-2}}^{r_{s-1}}}{r_{1}+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}.$$

Next we show

$$\begin{array}{ll} (4.17) & G_{3j} \ll R, \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, s - 1) \\ \text{Let } L'_2 = \exp\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}\log x \log_2 x\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + 1.9 \frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right) \right\}, \\ & D = \{(p_s, \dots, p_{j+1}) \mid L_1 < p_s < \dots < P_{j+1} \leq L_2\}, \\ & D_1 = \{(p_s, \dots, p_{j+1}) \mid L_1 < p_s < \dots < p_{j+1} \leq L'_2\}, \\ & D_{2t} = \{(p_s, \dots, p_{j+1}) \mid L_1 < p_s < \dots < p_{s-t+1} \leq L'_2, L'_2 < p_{s-t} < \dots \\ & < P_{j+1} \leq L_2\}, \\ & D_3 = \{(p_s, \dots, p_{j+1}) \mid L'_2 < p_s < \dots < p_{j+1} \leq L_2\}. \end{array}$$

So we may put

$$G_{3j} := \sum_{(D)} = \sum_{(D_1)} + \sum_{t=1}^{s-j-1} \sum_{(D_{2t})} + \sum_{(D_3)}$$

Now we come to the estimation of $\sum_{D_{2t}}$. Since $p_{j+1}/L_2 \leq 1$, by using Lemma 6 we obtain

$$\sum_{(D_{2t})} \ll \sum_{k,r} \frac{F(k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}, r_1, \dots, r_{s-1})}{(r_1 + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1) \dots (r_1 + \dots + r_t + 1)M^{s-t}} \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L'_2} p_s^{r_1} \dots \\ \sum_{p_{s-t+2} < p_{s-t+1} \le L'_2} \frac{1}{p_{s-t+1}^{r_1 + \dots + r_{t-1} + 1 - (s-t)\delta}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{s-t+1} \dots p_s}, p_s\right) \\ \cdot (p_{s-t+1}/L'_2)^{r_1 + \dots r_t + 1 - (s-t)\delta}.$$

From this we know that

$$\sum_{(D_{21})} \ll \sum_{k,r} \frac{F(k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}, r_1, \dots, r_{s-1})}{(r_1 + \dots + r_{s-1} + 1) \cdots (r_1 + 1)N^{s-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L'2} \frac{1}{p_s^{1-(s-1)\delta}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \left(\frac{p_s}{L'_2}\right)^{r_1 + 1 - (s-1)\delta}} \\ \ll D_s \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L''_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \left(\frac{L''_2}{L'_2}\right)^{r_1 + 1 - (s-1)\delta} + D_s \sum_{L''_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \ll R,$$

where $L_2'' = \exp\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}\log x \log_2 x\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + 1.89 \frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right\}$.

By the same argument as before, we obtain that $\sum_{(D_{2t})} \ll R$. Also, it is evident that

$$\sum_{(D_1)} \ll D_s \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L'_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \left(\frac{L'_2}{L_2}\right)^{r_1 + \dots + r_{s-j}+1-j-\delta} \ll R,$$
$$\sum_{(D_3)} \ll D_s \sum_{L'_2 < p_s \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \ll R.$$

Thus it follows that (4.17) is true. So (4.15) becomes

(4.18)
$$G_3 = D_s \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \left(1 + O\left(s \frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right).$$

Next we come to the estimation of G_4 in (4.5). By the definition of $\beta(m)$, we have

$$G_4 = \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \sum_{p < p_s} p \sum_{p_s < p_{s-1} \le L_2} \cdots \sum_{p_3 < p_2 \le L_2} \sum_{p_2 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1^2} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_s, p}, p_s\right).$$

Let

$$u'_{i} = \frac{\log(x/p_{i+1}\cdots p_{s}p)}{\log p_{s}}, \ \delta'_{i} = \frac{\xi(u'_{i})}{\log p_{s}}, \ \delta' = \delta'_{s-1}.$$

As for p^{δ_i} of (4.13) we similarly obtain $p^{\delta'_i} = p^{\delta'} (1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x))$, for $L_1 . By this and Lemma 5 we have$

$$\sum_{p_2 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1^2} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_s p}, p_s\right) = \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_2 \cdots p_s p}, p_s\right) \sum_{p_2 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1^{3-\delta_1'}} (1+o(1))$$
$$= \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_2 \cdots p_s p}, p_s\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2p_2^{2-\delta'} M} (1+o(1)).$$

Proceeding as before, we have finally

$$(4.19) \quad G_4 = \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_s^{2^{-(s-1)\delta}} M^{s-1}} \sum_{p < p_s} p\psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s p}, p_s\right) (1+o(1))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_s^2} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \sum_{p < p_s} p^{\delta'} (1+o(1))$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) (1+o(1)).$$

Now we show

(4.20)
$$G_{2j} \ll R, \qquad (j = 2, 3, \dots, s).$$

We have

$$G_{22} = \sum_{L_1^{1/10} < p_2 \le L_1} \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 p_2}, p_2\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{p_2 \le L_1^{1/10}} \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 p_2}, p_2\right) = \sum_1 + \sum_2$$

By Lemma 6 we get

$$\sum_{1} \ll \sum_{L_{1}^{1/10} < p_{2} \le L_{1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{2}}, p_{2}\right) \sum_{L_{1} < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2-\Delta_{1}}} \ll \sum_{L_{1}^{1/10} < p_{2} \le L_{1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{2}}, p_{s}\right) \frac{L_{2}^{\Delta_{1}}}{L_{1} \log L_{1}},$$

where $\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{\log p_2} \xi\left(\frac{\log(x/p_2)}{\log p_2}\right)$. From (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$\frac{L_2^{\Delta_1}}{\log L_1} \ll \frac{1}{\log L_1} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log p_2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x \right)^{(\log L_2)/(\log p_2)} \ll (\log_2 x)^{C_1}.$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum_{1} \ll (\log_2 x)^{C_1} \sum_{L_1^{1/10} < p_2 \le L_1} \frac{1}{p_2} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_2}, p_2\right) \ll R.$$

Using Lemma 2 and (1.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{2} \ll \sum_{p_{2} < L_{1}^{1/10}} \sum_{L_{1} < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_{1}p_{2}}, L_{1}^{1/10}\right)$$
$$\ll x \exp\{-4(\log x \log_{2} x)^{1/2}\} \sum_{p_{2} \le L_{1}^{1/10}} \frac{1}{p_{2}} \sum_{L_{1} < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2}} \ll R$$

Hence, we have

$$(4.21) G_{22} \ll R.$$

Let
$$\Delta_j = \frac{1}{\log p_j} \xi \left(\frac{\log(x/p_2 \cdots p_j)}{\log p_j} \right)$$
. By Lemma 6 we have
 $G_{2j} = \sum_{p_j \le L_1} \sum_{p_j < p_{j-1} \le L_2} \cdots \sum_{p_2 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi \left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_j}, p_j \right)$
(4.22)
 $\ll \sum_{p_j \le L_1} \sum_{p_j < p_{j-1} \le L_2} \cdots \sum_{p_3 < p_2 \le L_2} \psi \left(\frac{x}{p_2 \cdots p_j}, p_j \right) \sum_{p_2 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1^{2-\Delta_j}}$
 $\ll \sum_{p_j \le L_1} \sum_{p_j < p_{j-1} \le L_2} \cdots \sum_{p_3 < p_2 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_2} \psi \left(\frac{x}{p_2 \cdots p_j}, p_j \right) (\log_2 x)^{C_1}$
 $\ll (\log_2 x)^{C_1} G_{2,j-1}.$

From (4.21) and (4.22). we can derive (4.20).

Next we come the estimation of G_{1j} . Changing the order of summation gives

$$G_{1j} = \sum_{L_1 < p_j \le L_2} \sum_{p_j < p_{j-1} \le L_2} \cdots \sum_{p_2 < p_1 \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_{j-1} p_j^2}, p_j\right).$$

Using Lemma 6 repeatedly, we get

(4.23)
$$G_{1j} \ll (\log_2 x)^{C_1 S} \sum_{L_1 < p_j \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_j^2}, p_j\right) \\ \ll (\log_2 x)^{C_1 S} \sum_{L_1 < p_j \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_j}, p_j\right) \cdot \frac{1}{p_j^{1/2}} \ll R.$$

From (4.5), (4.18), (4.20) and (4.23), and noting that $1/2 < D_s < 1$ (see (4.30) below), we obtain

$$G(x) = D_s \sum_{L_1 < p_s \le L_2} \frac{1}{p_s} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p_s}, p_s\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{2^s}\right)\right).$$

Now if we put $s = s_0 = [\log_3 x / \log 2]$, we have

(4.24)
$$G(x) = D_{s_0} \sum_{L_1$$

where D_s is defined as in (4.16).

To finish the proof of the theorem is remains to simplify the expression for D_{s_0} . We shall use the following three formulas:

(4.25)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-h} (-1)^r C_{k-h}^r \frac{\xi^{h+r+1}}{h+r+1} = \int_0^{\xi} (1-x)^{k-h} x^h dx,$$

(4.26)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-n} (-1)^r C_{k-h}^r \frac{1}{h+r+1} = (k+1)^{-1} (C_k^h)^{-1},$$

(4.27)
$$\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}(k+1)} = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{x^r}{1-x} dx.$$

By (4.26) and (4.27), we have

$$\sum_{k_{s-1}=r_1+\dots+r_{s-2}}^{\infty} \frac{C_{k_{s-2}}^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-2}}}{2^{k_{s-1}+1}} \sum_{r_{s-1}=0}^{k_{s-1}-r_1-\dots-r_{s-2}} \frac{(-1)^{r_{s-1}}C_{k_{s-1}-r_1-\dots-r_{s-2}}^{r_{s-1}}}{r_1+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}$$
$$= \sum_{k_{s-1}=r_1+\dots+r_{s-2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_{s-1}+1}(k_{s-1}+1)} = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{x_1^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-2}}}{1-x_1} dx_1.$$

By (4.25) and (4.8) we further have

$$\sum_{\substack{k_{s-2}=r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}\\k_{s-2}=r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}}}^{\infty} \frac{C_{k_{s-2}}^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}}}{2^{k_{s-2}+1}} \sum_{\substack{r_{s-2}=0\\r_{s-2}=0}}^{r_{s-2}-r_1-\dots-r_{s-3}} \frac{C_{k_{s-2}+1}^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-2}}}{1-x_1} dx_1$$
$$\sum_{\substack{k_{s-2}=r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}\\k_{s-2}=r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}}}^{\infty} \frac{C_{k_{s-2}}^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}}}{2^{k_{s-2}+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx_1}{x_1(1-x_1)} \int_0^{x_1} (1-x_2)^{k_{s-2}-r_1-\dots-r_{s-3}} x_2^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}} dx_2$$
$$= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx_1}{x_1(1-x_1)} \int_0^{x_1} \frac{x_2^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}}}{(1+x_2)^{r_1+\dots+r_{s-3}+1}} dx_2.$$

Proceeding as before, finally we have

$$D_s = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx_1}{x_1(1-x_1)} \int_0^{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \int_0^{\frac{x_2}{1+x_2}} \frac{dx_3}{x_3} \cdots \int_0^{\frac{x_s-3}{1+x_s-3}} \frac{dx_{s-2}}{x_{s-2}} \int_0^{\frac{x_s-2}{1+x_s-2}} \frac{dx_{s-1}}{1+x_{s-1}}, s \ge 3.$$

If we put

$$x_1 = x'_1, x_2 = x'_1 x'_2, x_3 = \frac{x'_1 x'_2 x'_3}{1 + x'_1 x'_2}, \cdots, x_{s-1} = \frac{x'_1 \cdots x'_{s-1}}{1 + x'_1 x'_2 + \cdots + x'_1 \cdots x'_{s-2}},$$

we then have (4.28)

$$D_s = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx_1}{1 - x_1} \int_0^1 dx_2 \cdots \int_0^1 dx_{s-2} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_{s-1}}{1 + x_1 x_2 + \dots + x_1 \cdots x_{s-1}}, s \ge 3.$$

From this, it is easy to see that

$$0 < D_{s+1} < D_s < D_2 = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx}{1-x} = \log 2, \quad (s = 3, 4, \cdots,).$$

Hence

$$(4.29) D = \lim_{s \to \infty} D_s$$

exists. Obviously, we have

$$D_s - D_{s+1} \le \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx_1}{1 - x_1} \int_0^1 dx_2 \cdots \int_0^1 dx_{s-2} \int_0^1 x_1 \cdots x_{s-1} dx_{s-1} = \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{-(s-1)}$$

Hence

$$D_s > D_3 - (\log 2 - (1/2)) \cdot 2^{-1}$$

Since

$$D_3 = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\log(1+x_1)}{x_1(1-x_1)} dx_1 \ge \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{x_1(1-x_1)} \left(x_1 - \frac{x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_1^3}{3} - \frac{x_1^4}{4} + \frac{x_1^5}{5} - \frac{x_1^6}{6} \right) dx = 0.6140,$$

so that

so that

$$(4.30) 0.5174 < D < D_s < \log 2, \quad (s \ge 3).$$

Also, it is evident that

$$0 < D_{s_0} - D = \sum_{s=s_0}^{\infty} (D_s - D_{s+1}) \le \sum_{s=s_0}^{\infty} \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s-1}$$
$$= (4\log 2 - 2) \cdot 2^{-s_0}.$$

Recalling that $S_0 = [\log_3 x / \log 2]$ we obtain

(4.31)
$$D_{s_0} = D + O(1/\log_2 x).$$

From this and (4.24), the theorem follows.

5. Proofs of Theorems 2, 3 and 4. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall only sketch the proof of Theorem 2. As for G(x) in (4.5) we obtain similarly

$$W(x) := \sum_{2 < n \le x} \frac{\omega(n)}{\beta(n)} = \sum_{L < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \cdots \sum_{L_1 < p_s < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} \cdot \sum_{m \le x/p_1 \cdots p_s, p(m) < p_s} \omega(m) + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \le L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \cdots \sum_{L_1 < p_s < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_1^2} \cdot \sum_{m \le x/p_1 \cdots p_s, p(m) < p_s} \omega(m)\beta(m)\right) + O(SR = W_1 + O(W_2) + O(SR), \text{ say,}$$

where R is defined in Section 4. By[8], Lemma 6, we have

$$W_{1} = \sum_{L < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \sum_{L_{1} < p_{2} < p_{1}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1} + \dots + p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s} < p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1}$$

By the definition of $\beta(m)$ and by Lemma 6 of [8], we have

(5.3)
$$W_{2} \ll \sum_{L_{1} < p_{1} \le L_{2}} \sum_{L_{1} < p_{2} < p_{1}} \cdots \sum_{L_{1} < p_{s} < p_{s-1}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2}} \sum_{p < p_{s}} p \cdot \sum_{m' \le x/p_{1} \cdots p_{s} p, p(m') < p_{s}} \omega(m') \ll G_{4} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log_{2} x}\right)^{1/2}.$$

From (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) the theorem follows.

Proofs of Theorem 3 and 4 are similar to the proof of Theorem 2, but they use Theorem 1 of [8] and Lemma 5 of [8] instead of Lemma 6 of [8], recpectively.

I express my thanks to Professor A. Ivić for his valuable help.

REFERENCES

- K. Alladi, The Turán-Kubilius inequality for integers large prime factors, J. Reine Angew. Math. 335 (1982), 180–195.
- [2] N. G. Bruijn, The asymptotic behaviour of a function occurring in the theory of primes, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N. S) 15 (1951), 25-32.
- [3] P. Erdös, A. Ivić and C. Pomerance, On sums involving reciprocals of the largest prime factor of an integer, Clasnik Mat. 21 (41) (1986), 283-300.
- [4] A. Hildebrand, On the number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors > y, J. Number Theory **22** (1986) 289-307.
- [5] A. Hildebrand, Integers free of large prime factors and the Reimann hypothesis, Mathematika 31 (1984), 258-271.
- [6] L. K. Hua, Estimation of an integral, (in Chinese), Sci. Sinica 2 (1951), 393-402.
- [7] A. Ivić, Sum of reciprocals of the largest prime factor of an integer, Arch. Math. 36 (1981), 57-61.
- [8] A. Ivić, On sums estimates involving the number of prime divisors of an integer, Acta. Arithm. 49 (1987), 21-33.
- [9] A. Ivić and C. Pomerance, Estimates of certain sums involving the largest prime factor of an integer, in; Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 34, Topics in Classical Number Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984 769-789.
- [10] T. Z. Xuan, Estimates of certain sums involving reciprocals at the largest prime factor of an integer (in Chinese), J. Beijing Normal Univ. (N. S.) 1 (1988), 11-16.
- T. Z. Xuan, Sums of certain large additive functions (in Chinese), J. Beijing Normal Univ. (N. S.) 2 (1984), 11-18.
- [12] T. Z. Xuan, Sums of reciprocals of a class of additive functions (in Chinese), J. Math. (PRC) 5 (1985), 33-40.

Department of Mathematics Beijing Normal University 100088 Beijing, China (Received 16 05 1988)