PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE Nouvelle série tome 45 (59), 1989, pp. 11-15

## PERFECT MATCHINGS IN A CLASS OF BIPARTITE GRAPHS

## Ivan Gutman

 ${\bf Abstract}.$  Some relations for the number of perfect matchings in a class of graphs are established.

In this paper we consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Let  $I_p = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{2p}\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  and  $i_j < i_{j+1}, j = 1, \ldots, 2p - 1$ . Consider a graph  $G(n, I_p)$  having n vertices. These vertices are labeled by  $1, 2, \ldots, n$  and the following edges exist in  $G(n, I_p) : (i, i + 1), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$ ;  $(1, n); (i_j, i_{2p-j+1}), j = 1, \ldots, p$ . It is further required that  $i_{2p} - i_1 < n - 1$  and  $i_{p+1} - i_p > 1$ , otherwise we would have to allow multiple edges in  $G(n, I_p)$ .

The structure of  $G(n, I_p)$  is presented in Fig. 1. From Fig. 1 it is easy to conclude that  $G(n, I_p)$  will be bipartite if n is even and  $i_{2p-j+1} - i_j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, p$ .



If G is a graph possessing n vertices and n is even, then a perfect matching M(G) of G is a set of n/2 edges of G, such that if  $(u, v) \in M(G)$  and  $(w, z) \in M(G)$ , then  $|\{u, v, w, z\}| \neq 3$ .

The number of distinct perfect matchings of the graph G is denoted by k(G).

In this paper we establish several results for  $k(G(n, I_p))$  when  $G(n, I_p)$  is bipartite. In the discussion which follows is always assumed that  $G(n, I_p)$  is bipartite.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 05 C 70.

## Gutman

THEOREM 1. If p = 1, then  $k(G(n, I_p)) = 3$ . If p = 2, then  $k(G(n, I_p)) = [9 + (-1)^{i_2-i_1}]/2$ . If p > 2, then  $k(G(n, I_p))$  is uniquely determined by the ordered sequence  $S = [S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{p-1}]$  of symbols E (even) and O (odd), defined as

$$S_{j} = \begin{cases} E & if \quad i_{j+1} - i_{j} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ O & if \quad i_{j+1} - i_{j} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need an auxiliary result.

Let G be a graph and  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  its distinct vertices, such that  $v_1$  and  $v_{i+1}$  are adjacent,  $i = 1, 2, 3, v_1$  and  $v_4$  are not adjacent, and  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  have degree two. Let the graph H be obtained by deleting from G the vertices  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  and by joining  $v_1$  and  $v_4$ .

LEMMA 1. k(H) = k(G).

*Proof.* We demonstrate a one-to-one correspondence between the perfect matchings of G and H.

Let M'(G) be a perfect matching of G containing the edge  $(v_1, v_2)$ . Then necessarily  $(v_2, v_3) \notin M'(G)$ ,  $(v_3, v_4) \in M'(G)$ . The corresponding perfect matching of H is  $M'(H) = M'(G) \setminus \{(v_1, v_2), (v_3, v_4)\} \cup \{(v_1, v_4)\}$ . Note that  $(v_1, v_4)$ belongs to M'(H).

Let M''(G) be a perfect matching of G not containing  $(v_1, v_2)$ . Then  $(v_2, v_3) \in M''(G)$ ,  $(v_3, v_4) \notin M''(G)$ . The corresponding perfect matching of H is  $M''(H) = M''(G) \setminus \{(v_2, v_3)\}$ . Note that  $(v_1, v_4) \notin M''(H)$ .

Since any perfect matching of G is either of type M'(G) or M''(G), and any perfect matching of H is either of type M'(H) or M''(H), the correspondence described above is a bijection.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 1. For p = 1 and p = 2 the statement of Theorem 1 can be easily verified by direct checking. Therefore we focus our attention on the case p > 2.

Denote by q = q(S) the number of times the symbol E occurs in the sequence S.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1, whenever for some  $j = 1, \ldots, p-1$ ,  $p + 1, \ldots 2p - 1$  we have  $i_{j+1} - i_j \geq 3$ , we can perform a "contraction" of  $G(n, I_p)$  by reducing by two the number of vertices laying between  $i_j$  and  $i_{j+1}$ ; this transformation does not affect the value of k. Similar contractions can be performed between  $i_p$  and  $i_{p+1}$  provided  $i_{p+1} - i_p > 3$ , and between  $i_1$  and  $i_n$  provided  $i_1 + n - i_n > 3$ .

Applying the contraction as many times as possible, we finally arrive at the

12

graph  $G(n^*, I_p^*)$  for which  $n^* = 4p - 2q + 2$ ,  $I_p^* = \{i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, i_{2p}^*\}$  and

$$i_{1}^{*} = 2$$

$$i_{j+1}^{*} - i_{j}^{*} = i_{2p-j+1}^{*} - i_{2p-j}^{*} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_{j} = E \\ 2, & \text{if } S_{j} = O \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$$

$$i_{p+1}^{*} - i_{p}^{*} = 3$$

$$i_{2p}^{*} = n^{*} - 1.$$

The contracted graph  $G(n^*, I_p^*)$  has the same number of perfect matchings as  $G(n, I_p)$ . On the other hand, it is clear that the structure of the graph  $G(n^*, I_p^*)$  is fully determined by the sequence **S**.  $\Box$ 

Bearing in mind Theorem 1, we shall denote the number of perfect matchings of  $G(n, I_p)$  by  $k(\mathbf{S})$ . The contracted graph corresponding to  $\mathbf{S}$  will be denoted by  $G(\mathbf{S})$ .

A typical graph of the type  $G(\mathbf{S})$  is depicted in Fig. 2. Such graphs consist of a linear array of squares and hexagons. The number of squares and hexagons is q + 2 and p - q - 1, respectively.



THEOREM 2. For i = 1, ..., p-1 define the matrices  $\mathbf{X}_i$  as

$$\mathbf{X}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } S_{i} = E; \qquad \mathbf{X}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } S_{i} = 0.$$

Then  $k(\mathbf{S}) = 3(\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \dots \mathbf{X}_{p-1})_{11} + 2(\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \dots \mathbf{X}_{p-1})_{12}.$ 

Theorem 2 is equivalent to a result proved in [1]. We mention it for completeness, and because of its formal similarity with Theorem 3.

For p > 2 the sequence S can be presented as

$$\mathbf{S} = \left[O^{t_0} E O^{t_1} E O^{t_2} \dots E O^{t_p}\right] \tag{1}$$

where  $t_i \ge 0$ , and where use the convention  $OO = O^2$ ,  $OOO = O^3$ ,  $OOOO = O^4$ ,..., and also  $EO^0E = EE$ .

THEOREM 3. Let the sequence S be of the form (1). For i = 0, 1, ..., q define the matrices  $\mathbf{Y}_i$  as

$$\mathbf{Y}_i = \begin{pmatrix} t_i + 1 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $k(\mathbf{S}) = (\mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_q)_{11} + (\mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_q)_{12} + (\mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_q)_{21} + (\mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_q)_{22}.$ 

Gutman

Denote the edges  $(1, n^*)$  and  $(i_p^* + 1, i_p^* + 2)$  of the graph  $G(\mathbf{S})$  by  $e_1 = e_1(\mathbf{S})$ and  $e_2 = e_2(\mathbf{S})$ , respectively. Let further  $k_{11}(\mathbf{S})$ ,  $k_{12}(\mathbf{S})$ ,  $k_{21}(\mathbf{S})$  and  $k_{22}(\mathbf{S})$  denote the number of perfect matchings of G(S), which contain respectively  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , only  $e_1$ , only  $e_2$ , and neither  $e_1$  nor  $e_2$ . Then

$$k(\mathbf{S}) = k_{11}(\mathbf{S}) + k_{12}(\mathbf{S}) + k_{21}(\mathbf{S}) + k_{22}(\mathbf{S}).$$
(2)

In order to deduce Theorem 3 we prove a somewhat stronger result. Denote the matrix product  $\mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Y}_1 \dots \mathbf{Y}_q$  by  $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S})$ .

LEMMA 2.

$$\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S})_{ij} = k_{ij}(\mathbf{S}), \qquad i, j \in \{1, 2\}$$
 (3)

It is evident that Theorem 3 is an immediate corollary of Lemma 2 and eq. (2).

Proof of Lemma 2. We make an iduction on q, the number of symbols E in **S**.

First, if q = 0, then eq. (3) is easily verified.

Consider now two sequences  $\mathbf{S}'$  and  $\mathbf{S}''$  of symbols E and O. Denote by  $\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}''$  the sequence in which the elements of  $\mathbf{S}'$  are followed by a symbol E and then by the elements of  $\mathbf{S}''$ . Suppose that eq. (3) holds for  $\max\{q(\mathbf{S}'), q(\mathbf{S}'')\}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'') = \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}')\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}''). \tag{4}$$

In order to obtain the identity (4) we analyse the perfect matchings of  $G(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'')$ . The newly added symbol E in  $\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}''$  corresponds to a square in the graph  $G(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'')$ . Two of the four edges of this square lie on the boundary of  $G(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'')$ ; they are denoted by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . The two additional edges, which do not belong to the boundary, are denoted by  $f_3$  and  $f_4$ ; see Fig. 3.



Since we have resticed our consideration to bipartite graphs, it is not difficult to see that a perfect matching of  $G(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'')$  either contains both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  or none of them.

We first examine those perfect matchings of  $G(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'')$  which contain both of the edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  (see Fig. 3). Their number is  $k_{11}(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'')$ . Among these perfect matchings some contain  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , and some not.

Perfect matchings which contain  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  cannot contain  $f_3$  and  $f_4$ . Observing that  $f_3 = e_2(\mathbf{S}')$  and  $f_4 = e_1(\mathbf{S}'')$ , we conclude that the number of such perfect matchings is  $k_{11}(\mathbf{S}')k_{11}(\mathbf{S}'')$ .

For the same reason the number of perfect matchings which contain  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , but not  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , is equal to  $k_{12}(\mathbf{S}')k_{21}(\mathbf{S}'')$ .

This gives

$$k_{11}(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'') = k_{11}(\mathbf{S}')k_{11}(\mathbf{S}'') + k_{12}(\mathbf{S}')k_{21}(\mathbf{S}'')$$

or, by taking into account the induction hypothesis,

$$k_{11}(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'') = \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}')_{11}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}'')_{11} + \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}')_{12}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}'')_{21}$$

This means that the relation

$$k_{ij}(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'') = [\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}')\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}'')]_{ij}$$
(5)

is valid for i = j = 1.

The remaining three relations of type (5) are deduced by using a completely analogous reasoning. Hence (5) holds for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ .

If we choose the sequence  $\mathbf{S}''$  so that  $q(\mathbf{S}'') = 0$ , then  $q(\mathbf{S}' \oplus \mathbf{S}'') = q(\mathbf{S}') + 1$ . Therefore (5) implies that if (3) holds for sequences  $\mathbf{S}$  possessing q symbols E, then it will also hold for sequences possessing q + 1 symbols E.

This proves Lemma 2 and therefore also Theorem 3.  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 3.1. The numbers  $k_{ij}(\mathbf{S})$  obey the identity

$$k_{11}(\mathbf{S})k_{22}(\mathbf{S}) - k_{12}(\mathbf{S})k_{21}(\mathbf{S}) = (-1)^{p+1}$$

*Proof.* Corollary 3.1. is just another way to state that det  $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}) = (-1)^{p+1}$ . This latter relation follows from  $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}) = \mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Y}_1 \dots \mathbf{Y}_p$  and the obvious fact that det  $\mathbf{Y}_i = -1, i = 0, 1, \dots, q.\Box$ 

COROLLARY 3.2. Cyclic permutations of the factors do not alter the trace of the product  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Y}_1 \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots \mathbf{Y}_q$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to demonstrate that the above statement is true for  $\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{Y}_1 \mathbf{Y}_2 \dots \mathbf{Y}_n \mathbf{Y}_0$ . Let  $t_0 + 1 = a$ . Then

$$\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{Y}_0^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Y}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -a \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore,  $Y'_{11} = aY_{21} + Y_{22}, \ Y'_{22} = Y_{11} - aY_{21}.$  Hence,  $Y'_{11} + Y'_{22} = Y_{11} + Y_{22}.$ 

## REFERENCES

 D. Cvetković and I. Gutman, Kekulé structures and topology. II. Catacondensed systems, Croat. Chem. Acta 46 (1974) 15-23.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet 34000 Kragujevac Yugoslavia (Received 26 02 1988)