

**FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR PAIRS OF SELFMAPS
ON A METRIC SPACE**

S.V.R. Naidu and J. Rajendra Prasad*

Abstract. An attempt is made to find out conditions on the orbits of a pair of selfmaps on a metric space so as to ensure the existence of (common) fixed points when the maps satisfy a variety of generalized contraction conditions governed by a control function.

We obtain fixed points theorems for two selfmaps on a metric space and derive certain results of Ding [1] and Fisher [2] as corollaries.

In Section 2, we provide a number of examples to give insight into the results discussed in Section 1.

Throughout this paper:

(X, d) is a metric space;

f, g are selfmaps on X ;

i, j, r, s, m, n are nonnegative integers;

for any selfmap h on X and x in X , $O_h(x) = \{h^n x \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$;

for any subset A of X , $\delta(A) = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}$;

for x, y in X , $\alpha(x, y) = \delta(O_f(x) \cup O_g(y))$ and

$\beta(x, y) = \sup\{d(f^i x, g^j y) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\}$;

R^+ is the set of all nonnegative real numbers; and

$\varphi: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as an increasing function.

Definition. A selfmap h on (X, d) is said to be orbitally continuous at $z \in X$ if $hz = z$, when $\{h^n x\}$ converges to z for some x in X .

Section 1. We begin with:

LEMMA 1. *If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$, then $\varphi^2(t+) \leq \varphi(t) < t$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$ and $\varphi(0+) = 0$.*

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 47M10, Secondary 54H25

* Research supported by U.G.C., New Delhi

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that*

$$\inf_{1 \leq n < \infty} \beta(f^n x, g^n y) \leq \varphi(\alpha(x, y)) \quad (\text{I})$$

for all x, y in X , where $\varphi(t+) < t$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$. Suppose also that there is an x_0 in X such that $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero and one of the sequences $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ is bounded. Then $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ are Cauchy sequences and if one of them converges, then the other also converges to the same limit. Furthermore, if either f or g has a fixed point w , then the two sequences converge to w . Suppose that $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to some z in X . Then z is a fixed point of $f(g)$ if f or f^2 (g or g^2) is orbitally continuous at x .

Proof. Let $\alpha_n = \alpha(f^n x, g^n x_0)$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Since $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero and one of the sequences $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ is bounded, it is clear that $\alpha_0 < +\infty$. The sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers. So it converges to some nonnegative real number α . If possible, suppose that $\alpha > 0$. Then $\varphi(\alpha+) < \alpha$. Hence, there exists a real number $\beta > \alpha$ such that $\varphi(\beta) < \alpha$. Choose β^1 such that $\varphi(\beta) < \beta^1 < \alpha$. Since $\{\alpha_n\}$ decreases to α , there exists a positive integer N such that $\alpha_N < \beta$. For $x = f^N x_0$ and $y = g^N x_0$, the right-hand side of inequality (I) is $\varphi(\alpha_N)$ which is less than β^1 . Hence, from inequality (I) for $x = f^N x_0$ and $y = g^N x_0$, it follows that there exists an integer $N_1 \geq N$ such that $\beta(f^{N_1} x_0, g^{N_1} x_0) < \beta^1$. Since $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero, there exists an integer $N_2 \geq N_1$ such that $d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0) < (\alpha - \beta^1)/2$ for every $n \geq N_2$. For $n > N_2$, it is now clear that $\alpha_n \leq \beta^1 + (\alpha - \beta^1)/2 = (\alpha + \beta^1)/2$. Since $\{\alpha_n\}$ decreases to α , it now follows that $\alpha \leq (\alpha + \beta^1)/2$. This is a contradiction, since $\beta^1 < \alpha$. Hence, $\alpha = 0$. Hence, $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ are Cauchy sequences and if one of them converges, then the other also converges to the same limit.

Suppose now that $fw = w$. Let $\gamma_n = \sup\{d(w, g^j x_0) \mid j \geq n\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\gamma = \inf\{\gamma_n \mid n \geq 1\}$. Taking $x = w$ and $y = g^n x_0$ in equality (I), we obtain:

$$\gamma \leq \varphi(\{w\} \cup O_g(g^n x_0)) \leq \varphi(\max\{\gamma_n, \delta(O_g(g^n x_0))\}).$$

Since $\{\gamma_n\}$ decreases to γ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ is Cauchy, by taking limits on both sides of the inequality above as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\gamma \leq \varphi(\gamma+)$. Hence $\gamma = 0$. Hence $\{g^n x_0\}$ converges to w . In a similar manner, it can be shown that $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to w if $gw = w$.

Suppose that f^2 is orbitally continuous at z . Since $\{f^{2n} x_0\}$ converges to z , it follows that $f^2 z = z$. Hence, $O_f(z) = \{z, fz\}$. For any nonnegative integer k , $\inf_{1 \leq n < \infty} \beta(f^n z, g^n(g^k x_0)) = d(z, fz)$. Hence, from inequality (I), we have $d(z, fz) \leq \varphi(\alpha(z, g^k x_0))$ for any nonnegative integer k . Since $\alpha(z, g^k x_0) \rightarrow d(z, fz)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it now follows that $d(z, fz) \leq \varphi(d(z, fz)+)$. Since $\varphi(t+) < t$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$, we must have $d(z, fz) = 0$. Hence $fz = z$. In a similar manner, it can be shown that $gz = z$ if g^2 is orbitally continuous at z .

COROLLARY 1. *Theorem 1 holds with inequality (II) below in the place of inequality (I), where p and q are fixed positive integers:*

$$d(f^p x, g^q y) \leq \varphi(\alpha(x, y)). \quad (\text{II})$$

Remark 1. Theorem 1.11 of Sastry and Naidu [4] is a special case of Corollary 1 with $f = g$, $p = q$ and $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$, α being a constant in $[0, 1)$.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that*

$$d(f^p x, g^q y) \leq \varphi(\delta(\{f^i x, g^j y \mid 0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq q\})) \quad (\text{III})$$

for all x, y in X , where p and q are fixed positive integers, $\varphi(t+) < t$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty$. Suppose also that there is an x_0 in X such that $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero. Then $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ are Cauchy sequences, and if one of them converges, then the other also converges to the same limit. Furthermore, if either f or g has a fixed point w , then both sequences converge to w . Suppose that $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to some x in X . Then the following statements hold:

1. if f or f^2 is orbitally continuous at z or $p = 1$, then $fz = z$.
2. if g or g^2 is orbitally continuous at z or $q = 1$, then $gz = z$.

Proof. We need only prove that z is a fixed point of f or g according as p or q is one; the rest of the Corollary is evident from Corollary 1 and statement 3 of Lemma 3 of [5]. Suppose now that $p = 1$. Then for $n \geq q$, from inequality (III), we have

$$d(fz, g^n x_0) \geq \varphi(\delta(\{z, fz, g^j x_0 \mid n - q \leq j \leq n\})).$$

Since $\{g^n x_0\}$ converges to z , by taking limits on both sides of the inequality above as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $d(fz, z) \leq \varphi(d(fz, z) +)$. Hence, $d(fz, z) = 0$. Hence, $fz = z$. In a similar manner, it can be shown that $gz = z$ when $q = 1$.

Remark 2. Example 1 shows that in Corollary 2, the condition ' $\varphi(t+) < t$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$ ' cannot be replaced by the weaker condition ' $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for every t in $(0, +\infty)$ ', even if (X, d) is a bounded, complete metric space, f and g are continuous on X and $p = q = 1$.

Remark 3. Examples 5 and 6 of Sastry and Naidu [3] show that in Corollary 2 one cannot drop the condition 'there is an x_0 in X such that $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero' even if X is finite and $p = q = 1$.

Remark 4. Example 2 shows that the initial hypothesis of Corollary 2 (Corollary 1) cannot guarantee the existence of a fixed point for either f or g , even if (X, d) is compact, f^3 and g^3 are continuous on X , $p = q = 2$ ($p = q = 1$) and $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$, α being a constant in $[0, 1)$.

Remark 5. Example 3 shows that the initial hypothesis of Corollary 2 (Corollary 1) cannot guarantee the existence of a fixed point for f , even if it is strengthened by assuming that (X, d) is compact, f^3 is continuous on X , $p = 2$ ($p = 1$), g is continuous on X (consequently $gz = z$), $q = 1$ and $\varphi(t) = t/2$.

Remark 6. In Corollary 1, the condition ' $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero' can be replaced by the commutativity of f and g provided $\{f^i g^j x_0 \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\}$ is bounded [5]. Example 4 shows that this is not so in the case of Theorem 1 even

if (X, d) is a bounded complete metric space, f and g are continuous on X and $\varphi(t) = t/2$.

It is possible to drop the condition ' $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero' from Theorem 1 by suitably strengthening inequality (I).

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that*

$$\inf_{1 \leq n < \infty} \alpha(f^n x, g^n y) \leq \varphi(\alpha(x, y)) \quad (\text{IV})$$

for all x, y in X , where $\varphi(t+) < t$ for every t , in $(0, \infty)$. Suppose also that there is an x_0 in X such that $\alpha(x_0, x_0) < +\infty$. Then $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ are Cauchy sequences and $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero. In fact, $\{f^n x\}$ and $\{g^n y\}$ are Cauchy sequences and $\{d(f^n x, g^n y)\}$ converges to zero, whenever $\alpha(x, y) < +\infty$. In particular, each of f and g has at most one fixed point and if either f or g has a fixed point w , then both $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ converges to w . Suppose that $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to some z in X . Then z is fixed point of $f(g)$ if $f^k(g^k)$ is orbitally continuous at z for some positive integer k .

Proof. Let x, y be elements of X such that $\alpha(x, y) < +\infty$. Let $\alpha_n = \alpha(f^n x, g^n y)$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and $\alpha = \inf\{\alpha_n \mid n \geq 1\}$. Then $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers decreasing to the nonnegative real number α . Taking $f^m x$ in the place of x and $g^m y$ in the place of y in inequality (IV), we obtain $\alpha \leq \varphi(\alpha_m)$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Hence, $\alpha \leq \varphi(\alpha+)$. Hence, $\alpha = 0$. Hence $\{f^n x\}$ and $\{g^n y\}$ are Cauchy sequences and $\{d(f^n x, g^n y)\}$ converges to zero. The theorem is now evident.

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose that*

$$\alpha(f^{p(x)} x, g^{q(y)} y) \leq \varphi(\alpha(x, y)) \quad (\text{V})$$

for all x, y in X where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$ and p and q are functions from X into the set of all positive integers. Suppose also that there is an x_0 in X such that $\alpha(x_0, x_0) < +\infty$. Then $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ are Cauchy sequences and $\{d(f^n x_0, g^n x_0)\}$ converges to zero. In fact, $\{f^n x\}$ and $\{g^n y\}$ are Cauchy sequences and $\{d(f^n x, g^n y)\}$ converges to zero, whenever $\alpha(x, y) < +\infty$. In particular, each of f and g has at most one fixed point and if either f or g has a fixed point w then both $\{f^n x_0\}$ and $\{g^n x_0\}$ converge to w . Suppose that $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to z for some z in X . Then the following statements hold:

1. if $f^k(g^k)$ is orbitally continuous at z for some positive integer k , then $fz = z$ ($gz = z$);
2. if $p(z) = 1$ and $\{f^n z\}$ is bounded, then $fz = z$;
3. if $q(z) = 1$ and $\{g^n z\}$ is bounded, then $gz = z$.

Proof. For x, y in X , let $x_1 = f^{p(x)} x$, $y_1 = g^{q(y)} y$, $\tilde{p}(x) = p(x) + p(x_1)$ and $\tilde{q}(y) = q(y) + q(y_1)$. Then, from inequality (V), we have

$$\alpha(f^{\tilde{p}(x)} x, g^{\tilde{q}(y)} y) = \alpha(f^{p(x_1)} x_1, g^{q(y_1)} y_1) \leq \varphi(\alpha(x_1, y_1)) \leq \varphi^2(\alpha(x, y))$$

for all x, y in X . Hence, inequality (IV) holds for all x, y in X with φ^2 in the place of φ . From Lemma 1 we have $\varphi^2(t+) < t$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$. Now we need only prove statements 2 and 3, since the rest of the Corollary is evident from Theorem 2.

2. Suppose that $p(z) = 1$ and $\{f^n(z)\}$ is bounded. Let $\alpha(z) = \delta(O_f(z))$. Then $0 \leq \alpha(z) < +\infty$. Let $x_k = g^{q(x_{k-1})}x_{k-1}$ and $\gamma_k = \sup\{d(z, g^n x_k) \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Since $\{x_k\}$ is a subsequence of $\{g^n x_0\}$ and the latter converges to z , it is clear that $\{\alpha(fz, x_k)\}$ and $\{\alpha(z, x_k)\}$ converge to $\alpha(z)$ and $\{\gamma_k\}$ converges to zero. Since $p(z) = 1$, for $k \geq 2$, from inequality (V), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(fz, x_k) &\leq \varphi(\alpha(z, x_{k-1})) \leq \varphi(\max\{\alpha(fz, x_{k-1}), \alpha(z), \gamma_{k-1}\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{\varphi(\alpha(z, x_{k-2})), \alpha(z), \gamma_{k-1}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\alpha(fz, x_k) \leq \max\{\varphi^2(\alpha(z, x_{k-2})), \varphi(\alpha(z)), \gamma_{k-1}\}$ for $k \geq 2$. Taking limits on both sides of the inequality above as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\alpha(z) \leq \max\{\varphi^2(\alpha(z)), \varphi(\alpha(z))\}$. Now from Lemma 1 it follows that $\alpha(z) = 0$. Hence, $fz = z$.

3. The proof of statement 3 is analogous to the proof of statement 2.

Remark 7. Example 5 shows that none of the conclusions of the first part of Theorem 6 (or Corollary 3) of Ding [1] is true even if (X, d) is a bounded (complete) metric space, $\varphi(t) = t/2$ and the functions m and n (mentioned in the results of Ding) take the constant value one throughout X . Corollary 3 is an improvement over the second part of Theorem 6 of Ding [1].

THEOREM 3. *Theorem 2 holds with the following inequality in the place of inequality (IV):*

$$\inf_{1 \leq n < \infty} \beta(f^n x, g^n y) \leq \varphi(\beta(x, y)).$$

Proof. Let x, y be elements of X such that $\beta(x, y) < +\infty$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2, it can be shown that $\inf\{\beta(f^n x, g^n y) \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is zero. Hence, $\{\beta(f^n x, g^n y)\}$ converges to zero. In particular, $\{d(f^n x, g^n y)\}$ converges to zero. Since $d(f^n x, f^m x) \leq d(f^n x, g^n y) + d(f^m x, g^n y) \leq 2\beta(f^n x, g^n y)$ for all $m \geq n$, it is clear that $\{f^n x\}$ is Cauchy. Similarly it can be shown that $\{g^n y\}$ is Cauchy. The theorem is now evident.

Remark 8. Example 6 shows that either in Theorem 1 or in Theorem 2 or in Theorem 3, the condition ' $\varphi(t+) < t$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$ ' cannot be replaced by the weaker condition ' $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$ ', even if (X, d) is a bounded, complete metric space, $f = g$ and f is continuous on X .

COROLLARY 4. *Corollary 3 holds with inequality (VI) below in the place of inequality (V):*

$$\beta(f^{p(x)}x, g^{q(y)}y) \leq \varphi(\beta(x, y)). \quad (\text{VI})$$

Proof. Corollary 4 can be proved along the lines of the proof of Corollary 3 with obvious modifications, such as the replacement of α with β except in the definition of $\alpha(z)$ which is to be defined here as $\sup\{d(z, f^n z) \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Remark 9. Example 7 shows that the initial hypothesis of Corollary 3 or 4 does not guarantee the existence of a fixed point for f even if (X, d) is compact, g is continuous on X (consequently, g has a fixed point), p takes the value one throughout X , except at a single point where it takes the value 2, $q(y) = 1$ for all y in X and $\varphi(t) = t/2$. It also shows that the condition ' $p(z) = 1$ ' cannot be dropped from statement 2 of Corollary 3 or 4 even if $p(x) = 1$ for all x in $X \setminus \{z\}$.

COROLLARY 5. *Corollary 4 holds with inequality (VII) below in the place of inequality (VI), the statement ' p and q are fixed positive integers' in the place of the statement ' p and q are functions from X into the set of all positive integers', p in the place of $p(z)$ and q in the place of $q(z)$:*

$$d(f^p x, g^q y) \leq \varphi(\beta(x, y)). \quad (\text{VII})$$

Proof. The validity of inequality (VII) for all x, y in X implies that of inequality (VI) with $p(x) = p$ and $q(y) = q$ for all x, y in X .

Remark 10. Example 8 shows that in statement 2 of Corollary 3, or 4, or 5, one cannot drop the condition ' $\{f^n z\}$ is bounded' even if (X, d) is complete, $p = q = 1$ and $\varphi(t) = t/2$. In fact, the example shows that the remark is true whether g is continuous on X (and therefore g has a fixed point) or $f = g$.

COROLLARY 6. *Suppose that*

$$d(f^p x, g^q y) \leq \varphi \max\{d(f^i x, g^j y) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq q\} \quad (\text{VIII})$$

for all x, y in X , where p and q are fixed positive integers, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for every t in $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty$. Then for all x, y in X , $\{f^n x\}$ and $\{g^n y\}$ are Cauchy sequences and $\{d(f^n x, g^n y)\}$ converges to zero. In particular, each of f and g has at most one fixed point. Suppose that there is an x_0 in X such that $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to z for some z in X . Then for all x in X , $\{f^n x\}$ and $\{g^n x\}$ converge to z . Furthermore, the following statements hold:

1. if either $p = 1$ or f^k is orbitally continuous at z for some positive integer k , then $fz = z$;
2. if either $q = 1$ or f^k is orbitally continuous at z for some positive integer k , then $gz = z$.

Proof. Let x in X . Let $M = \max\{d(g^s x, g^q x) \mid 0 \leq s \leq q\}$ and $\gamma_n = \max\{d(f^r x, g^q x) \mid 0 \leq r \leq n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). For $p \leq i \leq n$, from inequality (VIII), we have

$$d(f^i x, g^q x) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(f^r x, g^s x) \mid i - p \leq r \leq i, 0 \leq s \leq q\}) \leq \varphi(\gamma_n + M).$$

Hence, $\gamma_n \leq \varphi(\gamma_n + M) + \gamma_p$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Hence $(\gamma_n + M) - \varphi(\gamma_n + M) \leq M + \gamma_p$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty$, it now follows that $\{\gamma_n\}$ is bounded. Hence $\{f^n x\}$ is bounded for each x in X . Similarly it can be shown that $\{g^n x\}$ is bounded for each x in X . Hence $\beta(x, y) < +\infty$ for all x, y in X . Now the corollary is evident from Corollary 5.

Remark 11. Theorem 2 of Fisher [2] is a special case of Corollary 6 with $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$, α being a constant in $[0,1)$. Example 9 shows that Corollary 6 is a proper generalization of Fisher's theorem.

Remark 12. Example 10 shows that in Corollary 6 one cannot conclude that the sequence $\{f^n x\}$ is bounded (and therefore Cauchy) even if (X, d) is complete, $f = g$ and $p = q = 1$ if the condition ' $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty$ ' is dropped. From the example it is also evident that a similar remark holds in the case of Corollary 2.

Remark 13. Example 11 shows that, when $p = q = 2$, the initial hypothesis of Corollary 6 (and therefore that of Corollary 5) does not guarantee the existence of a fixed point for f even if (X, d) is compact, $f = g$ and $\varphi(t) = t/2$.

Remark 14. Example 12 shows that when $p = 2$, the initial hypothesis of Corollary 6 cannot ensure the existence of a fixed point for f even if (X, d) is compact, g has a fixed point and $\varphi(t) = t/2$.

Remark 15. Example 13 shows that in Corollary 6 it is not possible to take p and q even as bounded functions from X into the set of all positive integers and replace p with $p(x)$ and q with $q(y)$ in inequality (VIII) even if (X, d) is a bounded, complete metric space, $f = g$ and $\varphi(t) = t/2$. In fact, the example shows that it is not possible to take even p alone as a bounded function and $q = 1$. It is evident that similar remarks hold also in the case of Corollaries 1, 2 and 5.

2. Examples. 1. Let X be the set of all integers with a metric d defined on it by $d(m, -m) = 1/m$ if $m > 0$, $d(0, m) = 1$ if $m > 0$, $d(0, -m) = 1 + 1/m$ if $m > 0$, $d(m, n) = d(-m, -n) = 1 + 1/n$ if $0 < m < n$ and $d(m, -n) = 1$ if $m > 0$, $n > 0$ and $m \neq n$. Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$fx = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 1+x & \text{if } x \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad gy = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y > 1, \\ -2 & \text{if } y = 1, \\ y-1 & \text{if } y \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Define $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ by $\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 1. \end{cases}$ Then (X, d) is bounded, complete metric space; f and g are continuous on X ; φ is increasing on R^+ , $\varphi^2(t) = 0$ for every t in R^+ , and

$$d(fx, gy) \leq \varphi(\delta\{x, fx, y, gy\})$$

for all x, y in X . The sequence $\{d(f^n 0, g^n 0)\}$ converges to zero. But there is no x in X for which either $\{f^n x\}$ or $\{g^n x\}$ is Cauchy. In particular, neither f nor g has a fixed point.

2. Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{2^{-n}, -2^{-n} \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with the usual metric. Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by $f0 = 1$, $f1 = -1$, $f(-1) = 0$, $fx = x/2$ if $x = 2^{-n}$ and n is odd or $x = -2^{-n}$, n is even and $n \neq 0$, $fx = -x/2$ if $x = 2^{-n}$, n is even and $n \neq 0$ or $x = -2^{-n}$ and n is odd, $g0 = 2^{-1}$, $g1 = g(-1) = g(-2^{-1}) = 0$, $g(2^{-1}) = -2^{-1}$ and $gx = fx$ for all x in $X \setminus \{0, 1, -1, -2^{-1}\}$. Then f^3 and g^3 are continuous on X ; $f^3 0 = g^3 0 = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x$ for all x in

$\{2^{-k}, -2^{-k} \mid k = 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $|fx - gy| \leq (4/5) \max\{\delta\{x, fx, f^2x\}, \delta\{y, gy, g^2y\}\}$, $|f^2x - g^2y| \leq (3/4) \max\{\delta\{x, fx, f^2x\}, \delta\{y, gy, g^2y\}\}$ for all x, y in X . In fact, for all integers $p, q \geq 2$, we have

$$|f^p x - g^q y| \leq (3/4) \max\{\delta\{x, fx, f^2x\}, \delta\{y, gy, g^2y\}\}$$

for all x, y in X . But neither f nor g has a fixed point.

3. Let $X = \{-1, 0, 1\} \cup \{2^{-n} \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ with the usual metric. Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(-1) = 0, f0 = 1, f1 = -1, f(2^{-n}) = 2^{-n-1} (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ and $gx = 0$ for all x in X . Then f^3 and g are continuous on X , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = 0 = g(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n x$ for all x in $\{2^{-k} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |fx - gy| &\leq \delta\{x, fx, f^2x, gy\}/2, \\ |f^2x - gy| &\leq \delta\{x, fx, f^2x, gy\}/2 \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in X . In fact, for all positive integers p, q , we have

$$|f^p x - g^q y| \leq 2^{-1} \max\{\delta\{x, fx, f^2x\}, d(x, gy)\}$$

for all x, y in X . But f has no fixed point.

4. Let X be the set of all positive integers with a metric d defined on it by $d(x, x+1) = 2$ for all x in X and $d(x, y) = 1$ if x and y are distinct nonconsecutive positive integers. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ as $fx = x+1$ for all x in X . Let g be the identity map on X . Then (X, d) is a bounded, complete metric space with no accumulation points, and

$$\inf_{1 \leq n < \infty} \beta(f^n x, g^n y) = 2^{-1} d(x, fx) = 2^{-1} \alpha(x, y)$$

for all x, y in X . But, for no x in X , $\{f^n x\}$ is Cauchy.

5. Let $X = \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ with a metric d defined on it as in Example 4. Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2n+3 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad g(n) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n+1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d) is a bounded, complete metric space with no accumulation points and

$$\delta(O_f(fx) \cup O_g(gx)) = 2^{-1} \max\{d(x, fx), d(x, gx)\} = 2^{-1} \delta(O_f(x) \cup O_g(x))$$

for all x in X . But there is no x in X for which either $\{f^n x\}$ or $\{g^n x\}$ is Cauchy. In particular, neither f nor g has a fixed point in X .

6. Let X be the set of all positive integers with a metric d defined on it by $d(x, y) = 1 + 1/y$ if $x < y$. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $fx = x+1$. Define $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ by $\varphi(t) = 1$ if $t > 1$ and $\varphi(t) = 0$ if $t \leq 1$. Then (X, d) is a bounded, complete

metric space with no accumulation points, φ is increasing on R^+ , $\varphi^2(t) = 0$ for every t in R^+ and

$$\inf_{1 \leq n < \infty} \delta(O_f(f^n x) \cup O_f(f^n y)) \leq \varphi(\min\{\max\{d(x, y), d(fx, y)\}, \max\{d(x, y), d(x, fy)\}, \max\{d(fx, y), d(x, fy)\}\})$$

for all x, y in X . But, for no x in X , the sequence $\{f^n x\}$ is Cauchy. Clearly, f has no fixed point.

7. Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{2^{-n} \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with the usual metric. Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by $f0 = 1$, $fx = x/2$ for $x \neq 0$ and $gx = x/2$ for all x in X . Then X is compact, g is continuous on X , $g0 = 0$, $\{f^n x\}$ and $\{g^n x\}$ converge to zero for each x in X ,

$$\alpha(fx, gy) \leq 2^{-1}\beta(x, y)$$

for all x in $X \setminus \{0\}$ and for all y in X , and

$$\alpha(f^2 0, gy) \leq 2^{-1}\beta(0, y)$$

for all y in X . But f has no fixed point.

8. Let $X = \{2^{-n} \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $fx = x - 1$ if $x \in \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ and $fx = x/2$ if $x \in \{2^{-n} \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let g be the constant map zero on X . Then

$$\alpha(fx, gy) \leq 2^{-1}\delta(O_f(x)) = 2^{-1}\beta(x, y),$$

$$\delta(O_f(fx) \cup O_f(fy)) \leq 2^{-1} \sup\{|f^i x - f^j y| \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\}$$

for all x, y in X . For $x > 0$, $\{f^n x\}$ and $\{g^n x\}$ converge to zero. But $\{f^n 0\}$ is unbounded. Clearly, f has no fixed point.

9. Let $X = \{0, 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ as $f0 = 0$, $f(1/n) = 1/(n+1)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Define $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ by $\varphi(t) = t/(1+t)$. Then φ is an increasing function on R^+ , $\varphi(t) < t$ for every $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty \text{ and } |fx - fy| \leq \varphi(\max\{|x - fy|, |fx - y|\})$$

for all x, y in X . But there is no constant α in $[0, 1)$ such that

$$|fx - fy| \leq \alpha \max\{|x - y|, |fx - y|, |x - fy|\}$$

for all x, y in X .

10. Let $X = [1, \infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $fx = 2x$ and $\varphi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ as $\varphi(t) = 2t^2/(1+2t)$. Then φ is an increasing continuous function on R^+ , $\varphi(t) < t$ for every $t > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = 1/2$,

$$|fx - fy| \leq \varphi(\max\{|x - y|, |fx - y|, |x - fy|\})$$

for all x, y in X , and, for each x in X , $f^n x \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

11. Let $X = \{-1, 0, 1\} \cup \{2^{-n} \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(-1) = 2^{-1}$, $f(0) = -1$, $f(2^{-n}) = 2^{-n-1}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Then

$$|f^2x - f^2y| \leq 2^{-1} \max\{|x - y|, |x - fy|, |fx - y|\}$$

for all x, y in X . But f has no fixed point.

12. Let $X = \{-1, 0, 1\} \cup \{2^{-n} \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ with the usual metric. Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(-1) = g(-1) = 2^{-1}$, $f(0) = -1$, $g(0) = 0$, $f(2^{-n}) = g(2^{-n}) = 2^{-n-1}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Then

$$|f^2x - gy| \leq 2^{-1} \max\{|x - y|, |fx - y|\}$$

for all x, y in X . Then g has a unique fixed point, namely, zero. But f has no fixed point.

13. Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{2, 3, 4, \dots\} \cup \{-1/n \mid n = 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. Define a metric d on X by $d(x, y) = \begin{cases} |x - y| & \text{if } |x - y| \leq 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } |x - y| > 2. \end{cases}$ Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by $g(x) = 0$ for all x in X and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1/2 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1/(x+1) & \text{if } x \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}, \\ -1/x & \text{if } x \in \{-1/n \mid n = 2, 3, 4, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Define $p : X \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ by $p(0) = 3$, $p(x) = 1$ if $x \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and $p(x) = 2$ if $x \in \{-1/n \mid n = 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. Then (X, d) is a bounded, complete metric space and

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^{p(x)}x, gy) &\leq 2^{-1}d(f^{p(x)-1}x, gy), \\ d(f^{p(x)}x, f^{p(y)}y) &\leq 2^{-1}d(f^{p(x)-1}x, f^{p(y)-1}y) \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in X . But, for no x in X , the sequence $\{f^n x\}$ is Cauchy. In particular, f has no fixed point. Furthermore, $(X, |\cdot|)$ is an unbounded complete metric space and

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{p(x)}x - gy| &\leq 2^{-1}|f^{p(x)-1}x - gy|, \\ |f^{p(x)}x - f^{p(y)}y| &\leq 2^{-1}|f^{p(x)-1}x - f^{p(y)-1}y| \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in X , where $|\cdot|$ denotes the modulus function. For any x in X , $\{f^n x\}$ is unbounded in $(X, |\cdot|)$.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to express their deep sense of gratitude to Prof. D.R.K. Sangameswara Rao and Dr. K.P.R. Sastry for their invaluable help in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ding Xieping, *Some results on fixed points*, Chin. Ann. Math. **4B**(4) (1983), 413–423.
- [2] B. Fisher, *Results on common fixed points on complete metric spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. **21** (1980), 165–167.
- [3] K.P.R. Sastry and S.V.R. Naidu, *Fixed point theorems for generalized contraction mappings*, Yokohama Math. J. **28** (1980), 15–29.
- [4] K.P.R. Sastry and S.V.R. Naidu, *Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces*, J. India Math. Soc. **47** (1983), 111–121.
- [5] S.V.R. Naidu and J. Rajendra Prasad, *Fixed point theorems for commuting selfmaps on a metric space*.

Department of Applied Mathematics
A.U.P.G. Extension Centre
Nuzvid, 521 201
India

(Received 19 11 1985)
(Revised 13 04 1988)