

A REMARK ON SUBSETS OF FREE BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

Žikica Perović

Abstract. We prove that every subalgebra of cardinality k , cf $k > \omega$, of a given free Boolean algebra contains an independent set of the same cardinality. As a corollary of this theorem we get, using the Stone duality, some well known results about Cantor spaces.

A Boolean algebra (BA) is a structure of the form $(A, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1)$. T will denote the theory of Boolean algebras. Terms in the language of Boolean algebras are called Boolean terms. A set X of elements of a given BA A is independent if for every finite subset Y of X and every mapping $f : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $\wedge \{a^{f(a)} \mid a \in Y\} \neq 0$, where $a^0 = a'$ and $a^1 = a$. BA generated by an independent subset is a free BA. Obviously, it has the same cardinality as the set of generators. For a Boolean term $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ we will say that it is a canonical form if $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \vee \{ \wedge \{ x_i^{f(i)} \mid 0 \leq i < n \} \mid f \in S_\varphi \}$ where $S_\varphi \subset^n \{0, 1\}$, and there is no proper subset $\{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ of $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ and Boolean term $\psi(y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ such that $T \models y \leftrightarrow \psi$. If $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is a canonical form then its complementary form is $\varphi'(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \vee \{ \wedge \{ x_i^{f(i)} \mid 0 \leq i < n \} \mid f \in^n \{0, 1\} \setminus S_\varphi \}$ and $S_{\varphi'} = {}^n \{0, 1\} \setminus S_\varphi$. Obviously, $T \models \varphi \wedge \varphi' = 0$ & $\varphi \vee \varphi' = 1$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ be a canonical form, $\varphi'(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ its complementary form and $m < n$. Then there is an $f \in S_\varphi$ and a $g \in S_{\varphi'}$ such that $f \uparrow m = g \uparrow m$.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that for every $f \in S_\varphi$, $g \in S_{\varphi'}$ we have $f \uparrow m \neq g \uparrow m$. Then for every $f \in S_\varphi$ all $g \in {}^n \{0, 1\}$ such that $f \uparrow m = g \uparrow m$ belong to S_φ . Let $S_\varphi^* = \{f \uparrow m \mid f \in S_\varphi\}$. Then

$$T \models \varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \vee \{ \wedge \{ x_i^{f(i)} \mid 0 \leq i < n \} \mid f \uparrow m = g, g \in S_\varphi^* \}$$

$$T \models \varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \vee \{ \wedge \{ x_i^{f(i)} \mid 0 \leq i < n \} \mid g \in S_\varphi^* \}$$

contrary to our assumption that $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is a canonical form.

A family \mathcal{B} is a Δ -system if $\exists \rho \forall x \in \mathcal{B} \forall y \in \mathcal{B} (x \neq y \Rightarrow x \wedge y = \rho)$; ρ is the root of the Δ -system \mathcal{B} .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let θ be a regular cardinal, χ infinite and $\theta > \chi$, $\alpha^{<\chi} < \theta$ for $\alpha < \theta$. Let $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \theta$ and $\forall x \in \mathcal{A} (|x| < \chi)$. Then there exists a $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $|\mathcal{B}| = \theta$ and \mathcal{B} forms a Δ -system.*

Proof. [Ku].

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \theta$, $\text{cf} \theta \geq \omega$ and $\forall x \in \mathcal{A} (|x| < \omega)$. There exists a $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that \mathcal{B} is a Δ -system and $|\mathcal{B}| = \theta$.*

Proof. Using the same ideas as in the proof of Proposition 2, or presenting θ as a sum of $\text{cf} \theta$ many regular cardinals and using Proposition 1.

If χ is an infinite cardinal, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\chi)$ is an almost disjoint family in χ if $\forall x \in \mathcal{A} \forall y \in \mathcal{A} (x \neq y \Rightarrow |x| = \chi \ \& \ |x \cap y| < \chi)$.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $\chi \geq \omega$ be a regular cardinal. There exists a maximal almost disjoint family $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(\chi)$ of cardinality $\geq \chi^+$.*

Proof. [Ku].

We will prove now the main theorem

THEOREM 5. *Let F be a free Boolean algebra and $A \subset F$ such that $|A| = \chi$, $\text{cf} \chi > \omega$. There exists a $B \subset A$ such that $|B| = \chi$ and B is an independent set.*

Proof. Let $C = \{c_\alpha \mid \alpha < \chi\}$ be the set of generators of F which occur in A . If $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is a canonical form such that $a = \varphi[C_{\alpha(0)}, \dots, C_{\alpha(n-1)}]$ for a strictly increasing function $\alpha \in {}^n \chi$, we will say that $\varphi[C_{\alpha(0)}, \dots, C_{\alpha(n-1)}]$ is the canonical representation for a , $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ the canonical form for a and $\alpha = \alpha_a$ the canonical choice for a . For any given $a \in A$ they do exist and they are obviously unique. Since there are countably many different canonical forms and $\text{cf} \chi > \omega$, there exists a $B \subset A$ such that $|B| = \chi$ and all the elements from B have the same canonical form e.g. $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$. For the family $B = \{a \mid a \in B\}$ there exists, according to Proposition 3, a subset of the same cardinality χ which is a Δ -system. Without loss of generality we can suppose that it is the whole of B and there exists an $m < n$ such that the first m elements are in the root i.e. $\forall a \in B \forall b \in B (\alpha_a \upharpoonright m = \alpha_b \upharpoonright m \ \& \ \forall i, j \geq m (\alpha_a(i) \neq \alpha_b(j)))$. We claim that B is an independent family. Let $a_1, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_s \in D$. We will prove that $a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_l \wedge b'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b'_s \neq 0$.

$$a_j = \vee \{ \vee \{ C_{\alpha_j(i)}^{f(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \} \mid f \in S_\varphi \}, \quad b'_k = \vee \{ \wedge \{ C_{\beta_k(i)}^{g(i)} \mid 1 \leq k \leq s \} \mid g \in S'_\varphi \}$$

According to Lemma there exists $f \in S_\varphi$ and a $g \in S'_\varphi$ such that $f \upharpoonright m = g \upharpoonright m$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge \{ a_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \} \wedge \wedge \{ b'_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq s \} &\geq \wedge \{ C_{\alpha_j(i)}^{f(i)} \mid 0 \leq i < n, 1 \leq j \leq l \} \\ \wedge \{ C_{\beta_k(i)}^{g(i)} \mid 0 \leq i < n, 1 \leq k \leq s \} &\geq \wedge \{ C_{\alpha_j(i)}^{f(i)} \mid 0 \leq i < m \} \\ \wedge \{ C_{\alpha_j(i)}^{f(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq l, m \leq i < n \} \wedge \wedge \{ C_{\beta_k(i)}^{g(i)} \mid 1 \leq k \leq s, m \leq i < n \} \end{aligned}$$

which is nonempty by the definition of independent set.

COROLLARY 6. *Let F be a free Boolean algebra and A a subalgebra of F such that $|A| = \chi$, $cf\chi > \omega$. A contains a free Boolean algebra of the same cardinality.*

COROLLARY 7. *$\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ can not be embedded in a free Boolean algebra.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary that $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ can be embedded in a free Boolean algebra. According to Proposition 4 we can find a subfamily \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $|\mathcal{A}| = \omega_1 \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A} (a \neq b \Rightarrow |a| = \omega \ \& \ |a \cap b| < \omega)$. Then, according to Theorem 5, we can find an independent subfamily $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $|B| = \omega_1$. We will construct now a sequence $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$, $n \in \omega$ in the following way:

Let $B_0 B_1$ be any two different elements from \mathcal{B} . We choose B_2 so that $|B_0 \cap B_1 \cap B_2| < |B_0 \cap B_1|$, if such an element exists, and so on.

Since $B_0 \cap B_1$ is finite, there exists an $n \in \omega$ at which we must stop our construction i.e. $B_0 \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1} \cap B = B_0 \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1}$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Choose $B_n \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}\}$. But then we have that $B_0 \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1} \cap B_n$ is empty which contradicts our assumption that \mathcal{B} is an independent family.

COROLLARY 8. *An interval algebra of cardinality χ , $cf\chi > \omega$ can not be embedded into a free Boolean algebra.*

Proof. A direct consequence of Theorem 5 and Theorem 5.5 from [Ru].

Using the Stone duality we will get topological duals of the last three results.

COROLLARY 9. *Let X be a topological space of weight χ , $cf\chi > \omega$. If X is a continuous image of a Cantor space, then the Cantor space $\mathcal{D} = \{0, 1\}^\chi$ is a continuous image of X .*

COROLLARY 10. *$\beta\omega$, the Stone-Ćech compactification of the discrete space ω , is not a continuous image of any Cantor space.*

COROLLARY 11. *An interval topological space of weight χ , $cf\chi > \omega$, is not a continuous image of any Cantor space.*

Remark. Special case of Theorem 5 for $\chi = \omega_1$ was proved in [Ef] and [Mo].

I am very grateful to Professor Karel Prikry from the University of Minnesota for a number of ideas concerning this problem.

REFERENCES

- [DMR] E. van Douwen, J.D. Monk and M. Rubin, *Some questions about Boolean algebras*, Algebra Universalis **11** (1980), 220–243.
- [Ef] B. Efimov, *Mappings and embeddings of dyadic spaces*, Mat. Sb. **103** (1977), 52–68.
- [Ku] K. Kunen, *Set Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [Mo] J.D. Monk, *Independent subsets of subsets of free BA*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1987).
- [Ru] M. Rubin, *A Boolean algebra with few subalgebras, interval Boolean algebras and retracts*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **278** (1983), 65–89.
- [Si] R. Sikorsky, *Boolean Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 1964.

Grupa za matematiku
Filozofski fakultet
18000 Niš
Jugoslavija

(Received 23 10 1987)