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#### Abstract

We prove that a helicodial surface has constant mean curvature if and only if its principal axes make an angle constant with the orbits. Moreover, the arguments used lead to a simple proof of the fact that all helicodial surfaces with constant mean curvature $H$ can be isometrically deformed, trough helicodial surfaces of the same $H$, into surfaces of revolution of the same $H$ (Delaunay surfaces).


1. Introduction. A one parameter subgroup of the group of rigid motions of $E^{3}$ is a diferentiable mapping $\gamma: \mathbf{R} \times E^{3} \rightarrow E^{3}$ with the following properties:
a) The map $\gamma_{t}: E^{3} \rightarrow E^{3}$ given by $x \rightarrow \gamma(t, x), x \in E^{3}, t \in \mathbf{R}$ is a rigid motion,

$$
\text { b) } \gamma_{t} \circ \gamma_{s}=\gamma_{t+s} ; \quad \text { c) } \gamma_{0}=\text { the identity. }
$$

Let $x \in E^{3}$ have coordinates $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)$. It may be shown that, possibly after a change of basis, any one parameter subgroup may be written either as

$$
\gamma(t, x)=\left(x^{1} \cos t+x^{2} \sin t,-x^{1} \sin t+x^{2} \cos t, x^{3}+b t\right)
$$

where $-\infty<b<+\infty$ is constant, or as

$$
\gamma(t, x)=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)+t(0,0,1)=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}+t\right)
$$

In the former case, if $b \neq 0, \gamma(t, x)$ is called a helicodial motion with axis the $x^{3}$-axis and pitch b. The orbit $t \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \gamma(t, x) \in E^{3}$ of a point $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)$ which does not lie on the $x^{3}$-axis is a helix. All such helices have the $x^{3}$-axis as common axis. If $b=0, \gamma(t, x)$ is a rotational motion about the $x^{3}$-axis. The orbits of points not lying on the $x^{3}$-axis are circles having the $x^{3}$-axis as common axis. In the latter case, $\gamma(t, x)$ is called a parallel translational motion in the direction of the $x^{3}$-axis. All orits are straight lines parallel to the $x^{3}$-axis.

A helicodial surface with axis the $x^{3}$-axis and pitch $b \neq 0$ is surface that is invariant by $\gamma(t, x)$ for all $t$. When $b=0$ the surface reduces to a surface of revolution. Finally, the translational motions generate the cylinders.

If we consider a curve $c(s)$ on any of these surfaces which intersects all the orbits without touching them, the surface can be parametrized by $(s, t)$ as $\gamma(t, c(s))$.

The main result states:
Theorem 4. A helicodial surface has constant mean curvature if and only if its principal axes make an angle constant with the orbits.

This is not true for the surfaces of revolution and the cylinders since, regardless of $H$, the orbits are principal curves.

In [3], an analytic parametrization of the helicoidal surfaces of constant mean curvature was exhibited. Also, in [3] was first shown that these surfaces can be isometrically deformed under preservation of the mean curvature and through helicoidal surfaces into Delaunay surfaces. Here, a simpler proof of this fact is given by making use of facts for general (not necessarily with $H=$ constant) helicodial surfaces. The main tool for the proof of this part is the following:

Theorem (O. Bonnet) (cf. [1, 2, 4]) A surface of constant mean curvature in $E^{3}$, other than the plane and the sphere, can be isometrically deformed so that the mean curvature is preserved. During this deformation the principal directions rotate by a fixed angle, and for any fixed angle as rotation angle of the principal directions a surface of this isometric deformation is obtained.
2. Some local surface theory. We consider a surface $M^{2}$ in $E^{3}$, orientable and sufficiently smooth. We consider a well defined field of orthonormal frames $\left(x, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ over $M^{2}$, such that $x \in M$, and $e_{1}, e_{2}$ comprise an orthonormal basis of the tangent space of $M$ at $x$. We have then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{i}=d x \cdot e_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \eta_{i j}=d e_{i}^{\prime} \cdot e_{j}^{\prime}, \quad \eta_{i j}=-\eta_{j i}\left(\text { so } \eta_{i i}=0\right) \\
d \eta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_{i j} \wedge \eta_{j}(1 \text {-st structural equation }), d \eta_{i j} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \eta_{i k} \wedge \eta_{k j}(2 \text { nd structural equa- }
\end{gathered}
$$ tion) where $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. On $M^{2}, \eta_{3}=0$ so we have $\eta_{13} \wedge \eta_{1}+\eta_{23} \wedge \eta_{2}=0$. So, by Cartan's Lemma we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{13}=a \eta_{1}+b \eta_{2}, \quad \eta_{23}=b \eta_{1}+c \eta_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the mean and Gaussian curvatures of $M^{2}$ are

$$
H=(a+c) / 2, \quad K=a c-b^{2}
$$

We also have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cc}
d \eta_{12}=-K \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} & \text { (Gauss Equation)(GE). } \\
d \eta_{13}=\eta_{12} \wedge \eta_{23}=-b d \eta_{2}+c d \eta_{1} \\
d \eta_{23}=\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{13}=a d \eta_{2}-b d \eta_{1}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { (Codazzi-Mainardi Equations)(CME). }
$$

A given Riemannian surface can be realized in $E^{3}$ if the CME are satisfied.
We now let

$$
e_{1}=\cos \psi e_{1}-\sin \psi e_{2}, \quad e_{2}=\sin \psi e_{1}+\cos \psi e_{2}
$$

be the principal frame of $M^{2}$. For this frame the function $b$ defined by (1) is zero and $a, c$ are the principal curvatures.

In the sequel, we consider $M^{2}$ with no umbilic points. We may then assume for the principal curvatures $k_{1}, k_{2}$ of $M^{2}$ that $k_{1}>k_{2}$ and we put $J=k_{1}-k_{2}>0$. We will show that the CME are equivalent to:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d H=H_{1} \eta_{1}+H_{2} \eta_{2}, \quad \text { (thus defining } H_{1}, H_{2} \text { ) }  \tag{2}\\
d \psi=-\cos 2 \psi\left[H_{2} J^{-1} \eta_{1}+H_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{2}\right]=\sin 2 \psi\left[-H_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{1}+H_{2} J^{-1} \eta_{2}\right]+ \\
+1 / 2 * d \log J+\eta_{12} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ${ }^{*}$ is the Hodge operator whose action on the 1 -forms is described by

$$
* \eta_{1}=\eta_{2}, \quad * \eta_{2}=-\eta_{1} \quad\left(*^{2}=-1\right)
$$

Proof. The principal coframe is

$$
\omega_{1}=\cos \psi \eta_{1}-\sin \psi \eta_{2}, \quad \omega_{2}=\sin \psi \eta_{1}+\cos \psi \eta_{2}
$$

Exterior differentiation gives

$$
d \omega_{1}=\left(-d \psi+\eta_{12}\right) \wedge \omega_{2}, d \omega_{2}=\omega_{1} \wedge\left(-d \psi \wedge \eta_{12}\right)
$$

Thus, the connection form assocated to the principal coframe is $\omega_{12}=-d \psi+\eta_{12}$, so that $d \psi=-\omega_{12}+\eta_{12}$. We then need to show that
$\omega_{12}=\cos 2 \psi\left[H_{2} J^{-1} \eta_{1}+H_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{2}\right]-\sin 2 \psi\left[-H_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{1}+H_{2} J^{-1} \eta_{2}\right]-1 / 2 * d \log J$.
We work with $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ and the associated forms $\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}, \omega_{23}$. We have that $\omega_{13}=$ $k_{1} \omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{23}=k_{2} \omega_{2}$. We put $\omega_{12}=p \omega_{1}+q \omega_{2}$. Then the equations $d \omega_{13}=$ $\omega_{12} \wedge \omega_{23}, d \omega_{23}=\omega_{21} \wedge \omega_{13}$ imply:

$$
\left[d k_{1}-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) p \omega_{2}\right] \wedge \omega_{1}=0,\left[d k_{2}-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) q \omega_{1}\right] \wedge \omega_{2}=0
$$

We have $J=k_{1}-k_{2}>0$ and we set $d H=d\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) / 2=u \omega_{1}+v \omega_{2}$. Then we get

$$
d k_{1}=(2 u-J q) \omega_{1}+J p \omega_{2}, \quad d k_{2}=J q \omega_{1}+(2 v-J p) \omega_{2} .
$$

By subtracting the second relation from the first and dividing by $J$ we have

$$
d \log J=2 u J^{-1} \omega_{1}-2 v J^{-1} \omega_{2}+2\left(-q \omega_{1}+p \omega_{2}\right)
$$

But $-q \omega_{1}+p \omega_{2}=* \omega_{12}$, thus we obtain

$$
\omega_{12}=v J^{-1} \omega_{1}+u J^{-1} \omega_{2}-1 / 2 * d \log J
$$

Now by comparing $d H$ given by (2) and $d H$ given by $d H=u \omega_{1}+v \omega_{2}$, with $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ expressed in terms of $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ we get

$$
u=H_{1} \cos \psi-H_{2} \sin \psi, \quad v=H_{1} \sin \psi+H_{2} \cos \psi
$$

Now convert the last expression for $\omega_{12}$ to the desired final form by inserting the above relations for $u, v$ and by again rewriting $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ in terms of $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$.

The converse direction of the equivalence follows by reversing the process of this computation

Remark 1. The Theorem of 0 . Bonnet quoted in section 1 follows from (3) and the fundamental theorem of surfaces. With $H$ constant on $M^{2}$ we get from (3) that

$$
d \psi=-1 / 2 * d \log J+\eta_{12} .
$$

Thus if some $\psi$ satisfies this equation, so does $\psi+$ constant, and the fundamental theorem of surfaces applies for each new $\psi$.
3. Some facts about Helicodial Surfaces. The geometry of a helicodial surface allows us to parametrize it by $(s, t)$, where
$s=$ arc-length of curves orthogonal to orbits measured from a fixed orbit,
$t=$ time along orbits from a fixed $t=t_{0}$, (see also [3]). Then the curves $t=$ constant are carried along the orbits by the motion. They remain orthogonal to the orbits and foliate the surface. So an orthonormal frame $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is determined along these coordinate curves with $e_{2}^{\prime}$ tangent to the orbits. The corresponding coframe may be written as

$$
\eta_{1}=d s, \quad \eta_{2}=q(s) d t \quad(q \text { depends only on } s)
$$

Thus,

$$
\eta_{12}=\frac{q^{\prime}(s)}{q(s)} \eta_{2}=\mu(s) \eta_{2}
$$

Hence the $\eta_{1}$-curves are geodesics and $\eta_{2}$-curves (orbits) have geodesic curvature equal to

$$
\mu(s)=\frac{d}{d s} \log (|q(s)|)
$$

Along each orbit $a, c, \mu, \psi$ are constant. So, in this case we get $H_{2}=0$. Also if we put $d J=J_{1} \eta_{1}+J_{2} \eta_{2}$, we get $J_{2}=0$ and $d \log J=J_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{1}$. Hence relation (3) becomes

$$
d \psi=-\cos 2 \psi\left[H_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{2}\right]-\sin 2 \psi\left[H_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{1}\right]+1 / 2 J_{1} J^{-1} \eta_{2}+\mu \eta_{2}
$$

Since $\psi=\psi(s)$, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \psi}{d s}=-\sin 2 \psi \frac{d H / d s}{J}, \mu=\cos 2 \psi \frac{d H / d s}{J}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d J / d s}{J} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct computation or by well known facts about curves on surfaces, we get the following:

The space curvature of orbits is

$$
\sqrt{\mu^{2}+\left(k_{1} \cos ^{2} \psi+k_{2} \sin ^{2} \psi\right)^{2}} \text { or } \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\left(k_{1} \sin ^{2} \psi+k_{2} \cos ^{2} \psi\right)^{2}}
$$

and the space torsion of orbits is $\pm\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \sin \psi \cos \psi$, (5) for the respective cases when $e_{1}$ is the major and minor principal direction.

Finally, we show that a helicodial surface of constant mean curvature is free of umbilic points. This fact allows us to apply the previous local theory everywhere to such a surface.

Proof. Let $M^{2}$ be an oriented connected surface in $E^{3}$ with unit normal vector field $v$. We consider isothermal parameters $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ on $M^{2}$. If $M^{2}$ has constant mean curvature then the (locally defined) function

$$
f(z)=\left(b_{11}-b_{22}-2 i b_{12}(z), z=x_{1}+i x_{2}\right.
$$

is known to be holomorphic in $z$; here $b_{i j}=-\left\langle\nabla_{\partial / \partial x_{i}} v, \partial / \partial x_{j}\right\rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, are the components of the second fundamental form of $M^{2}$. The zeros of $f(z)$ are exactly the umbilic points of $M^{2}$. So, if an umbilic point is not isolated then $f(z) \equiv 0$ and thus $M^{2}$ is totally umbilical; i.e., a piece of a sphere or a plane.

Now if we assume that a point on a helicodial surface is unbilic then all points belonging to the same orbit (helix) are umbilics and therefore that point is not isolated. So, when $H$ is constant umbilic points connot exist:
4. Proof of the results. Looking at equation (4), we see that if $\psi \not \equiv$ multiple of $\pi / 2$ then:

$$
\psi \equiv \text { constant if and only if } H \equiv \text { constant. }
$$

If $\psi \equiv$ multiple of $\pi / 2$ then, by (5) we have that orbits are plane curves. This happens only if the surface is a surface of revolution or cylinder. This finiches the proof of the geometric characterization claimed.

Next, let us consider a helicodial surface $M^{2}$ invariant under the motion $\gamma$ with constant $H$ and its deformation guaranteed by the Theorem of 0 . Bonnet (section 1). Let $N^{2}$ be a surface in this deformation, so that there is an isometry $f: M^{2} \rightarrow N^{2}$ which is onto, preserves $H$ (and hence preserves the principal curvatures), and rotates the principal frame by a fixed angle. It is easy to see that

$$
f \circ \gamma \circ f^{-1}(y, t):,=f\left[\gamma\left(f^{-1}(y), t\right)\right], \quad y \in N^{2}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}
$$

forms a one-parameter group of isometries (one checks that (b) and (c) hold), under which $N^{2}$ is invariant. Also these isometries preserve the principal curvatures and directions of $N^{2}$, hence they extend to rigid motions of $E^{3}$ (and thus property (a) is valid as well). So, $N^{2}$ is invariant under a one-parameter subgroup of rigid motions of $E^{3}$. The surface of revolution (Delaunay surface in this case) is obtained when, by rotating the principal frame, $\psi$ becomes a multiple of $\pi / 2$.

Remark 2. The Delaunay surfaces of a given constant mean curvature form a one-parameter family of surfaces. Thus the helicodal surfaces of a given constant mean curvature form a two-parameter family. The extra parameter is $\psi$.
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