

UNIFORM c -CONVEXITY OF L^p , $0 < p \leq 1$

Miroslav Pavlović

Abstract. We extend a result of Globevnik by proving that L^p spaces with $0 < p \leq 1$ are uniformly c -convex. We also give the precise values for the moduli of c -convexity of L^p . A short proof of Globevnik's result is included.

1. Introduction. A result of Thorp and Whitley [8] states that L^1 -spaces are strictly c -convex, although the unit sphere of $L^1(0, 1)$ does not possess extreme points. This result was strengthened by Globevnik [1], who proved that L^1 -spaces are uniformly c -convex. Further examples of uniformly c -convex normed spaces are given in [6]. However, it seems that the case of quasi-normed spaces has not been discussed yet. In this paper we present some results in this direction. Theorems 1, 2, 3 were proved by the author in [5].

Definition. A complex quasi-normed space X , i. e. a complex linear space with a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$, is said to be uniformly c -convex if there exists a real function δ on $[0, +\infty)$ such that $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ whenever $\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$(1) \quad \delta(\varepsilon) \leq \sup\{\|x + \lambda y\| : |\lambda| \leq 1\} - 1$$

for all x, y with $\|x\| = 1$, $\|y\| \geq \varepsilon$. The supremum of all δ , satisfying (1), is denoted by δ_X^c and is called the modulus of c -convexity of X .

We recall that a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a linear space X has the following properties: 1. $\|x\| \geq 0$, 2. $x = 0$ if $\|x\| = 0$, 3. $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all scalars λ , 4. there exists a $K \geq 1$ such that $\|x + y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$. If the quasi-norm is p -subadditive for some p , $0 < p \leq 1$, i. e. if $\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$, then X is called a p -normed space.

We consider the complex Lebesgue space $L^p = L^p(m)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, where m is a positive measure on a σ -algebra of subset of a set S . The quasi-norm on L^p is given by

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_p := \text{Bigl}\left\{\int_S |x|^p dm\right\}^{1/p}$$

The modulus of c -convexity of L^p will be denoted by δ_p . Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *The space L^p , $0 < p \leq 1$ is uniformly c -convex. Moreover,*

$$(2) \quad \delta_p(\varepsilon) \geq F_p(\varepsilon) := -1 + \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \varepsilon e^{it}|^p dt \right\}^{1/p}, \quad \varepsilon \geq 0$$

with equality if L^p is infinite-dimensional.

The inequality (2) is a consequence of the following stronger result.

THEOREM 2 *If $x, y \in L^p$, $0 < p \leq 1$, then*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{it}y\|^p dt \geq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \|x\| + e^{it}\|y\| \right|^p dt$$

Note that the same inequality is valid for $p \in [1, 2]$. A proof can be found in [7], but the arguments given there cannot be applied in the case $0 < p < 1$. On the other hand, the proof of Theorem 2, which will be given in Section 2, works for all $p \in (0, 2]$. It is a natural question whether the modulus δ_n can be improved by use an equivalent quasi-norm. The following theorem gives a partial answer to this question.

THEOREM 3. *Let the space L^p , $0 < p \leq 1$, be infinite-dimensional. If a p -normed space X is isomorphic to L^p , then $\delta_X^c(\varepsilon) \leq F_p(\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon \geq 0$.*

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 and the inequality $F_p(\varepsilon) < F_q(\varepsilon)$, $p < q$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we have the following well known fact.

COROLLARY. *If an infinite-dimensional L^q space is isomorphic to L^p , $0 < p, q < 1$, then $p = q$.*

In Section 3 we give some more applications of Theorem 3.

2. Proofs of the theorems. The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. Then the function φ , given by*

$$\varphi(u, v) = \int_0^{2\pi} |u^{1/p} + v^{1/p}e^{it}|^p dt,$$

is convex on the set $\{(u, v) : u \geq 0, v \geq 0\}$.

Proof. Since φ is continuous and $\varphi(cu, cv) = c\varphi(u, v)$ for all $c > 0$, it is enough to prove that the function $\psi(\varepsilon) := \varphi(1, \varepsilon)$ is convex on the interval $[0, \infty)$. Suppose first that $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then

$$\psi(\varepsilon) = \int_0^{2\pi} |(1 + \varepsilon^{1/p}e^{it})^{p/2}|^2 dt$$

Hence, by Parseval's formula applied to the function $t \mapsto (1 + \varepsilon^{1/p} e^{it})^{p/2} = \sum \binom{p/2}{n} \varepsilon^{n/p} e^{int}$,

$$\psi(\varepsilon) = 2\pi \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{p/2}{n}^2 \varepsilon^{2n/p} \right).$$

From this it follows that ψ is convex on $[0, 1]$ as a sum of convex functions. Now we can prove that ψ is convex on $(1, +\infty)$. Indeed, if $\varepsilon > 1$, we use the equality $\psi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \psi(1/\varepsilon)$ to obtain $\psi''(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-3} \psi''(1/\varepsilon) > 0$. Finally, it is enough to prove that $\psi(\varepsilon)$ is differentiable for $\varepsilon = 1$.

Let

$$f(\varepsilon) = \psi(\varepsilon^p) = \int_0^{2\pi} (1 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon \cos t)^{p/2} dt, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

By Leibniz's rule,

$$f'(\varepsilon) = p \int_0^{2\pi} (\varepsilon + \cos t) (1 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon \cos t)^{p/2-1} dt$$

if $\varepsilon \neq 1$. Since $(\varepsilon + \cos t)^2 \leq (\varepsilon + \cos t)^2 + \sin^2 t = 1 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon \cos t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon + \cos t| (1 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon \cos t)^{p/2-1} &\leq [(\varepsilon + \cos t)^2 + \sin^2 t]^{(p-1)/2} \leq (\sin^2 t)^{(p-1)/2} \\ &= |\sin t|^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1} f(\varepsilon)$ exist and is finite. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $x, y \in L^p$, $0 < p \leq 1$. Then the support of $|x| + |y|$ is of σ -finite measure. So we can apply Fubini's theorem to get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{it}y\|^p dt = \int_S dm \int_0^{2\pi} |x + e^{it}y|^p dt = \int_S \varphi[|x|^p, |y|^p] dm,$$

where we have used the equality

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |x + e^{it}y|^p dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |x| + e^{it}|y| \right|^p dt.$$

Hence, by Jensen's inequality and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{it}y\|^p dt &\geq \varphi \left[\int_S |x|^p dm, \int_S |y|^p dm \right] \\ &= \varphi[||x||^p, ||y||^p] = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| ||x|| + e^{it}||y|| \right|^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. In the case of L^1 a short proof of Theorem 2 can be given in the following way. Let $x, y \in L^1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{it}y\| dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| |x| + e^{it}|y| \right\| dt \geq \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \int_S (|x| + e^{it}|y|) dm \right| dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| ||x|| + e^{it}||y|| \right| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The inequality (2) follows easily from Theorem 2. To prove the rest suppose that L^p is infinite-dimensional. Then, by Proposition I. 5 of [3], L^p contains an isometric copy of the sequence space. Thus the assertion reduces to the case l^p .

Let $\{e_k\}_0^\infty$ be the standard basis of l^p . For a positive integer n let $m = 2^n$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$x = m^{-1/p} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e_k, \quad y = \varepsilon m^{-1/p} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{2k\pi i/m} e_k.$$

Since $\|x\| = 1$, $\|y\| = \varepsilon$, we have

$$[1 + \delta_p(\varepsilon)]^p \leq \max_{|\lambda|=1} \|x + \lambda y\|^p,$$

where we have used the fact that the function $\lambda \mapsto \|x + \lambda y\|^p$ is supharmonic. On the other hand, one can choose $t_m \in [0, 2\pi/m]$ so that

$$\max_{|\lambda|=1} \|x + \lambda y\|^p = m^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |1 + \varepsilon e^{it_m} e^{2k\pi i/m}|^p.$$

Hence

$$[1 + \delta_p(\varepsilon)]^p \leq m^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |1 + \varepsilon e^{it_{m,k}}|^p,$$

where $2k\pi/m \leq t_{m,k} \leq 2(k+1)\pi/m$. Now the result follows from the fact that the last sum tends to

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \varepsilon e^{it}|^p dt.$$

For the proof of Theorem 3 we need the following proposition. It is an extension of the corresponding result for the space l^1 [4, Proposition 2. e. 3].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let X be a p -normed space which is isomorphic to l^p , $0 < p \leq 1$. Then, for every $c > 1$, there exists a linear operator $T : l_p \rightarrow X$ such that $c^{-1}\|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in l^p$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 3 e. 3 of [4]. Let S be an isomorphism of l^p onto X and assume, without loss of generality that $\alpha\|Sx\| \leq \|x\| \leq \|Sx\|$, for some $\alpha > 0$ and all $x \in l^p$. Let $c > 1$ and let $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the projections induced by the unit vector basis $\{e_n\}$ of l^p :

$$P_n x = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j, \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n e_n \in l^p.$$

For every n put $\lambda = \sup\{\|x\| : \|Sx\| = 1, P_n x = 0\}$. Then $\lambda_n \downarrow \lambda$ for some λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Let N be such that $\lambda_N < \lambda\sqrt{c}$. By the definition of $\{\lambda_n\}$ there

are vectors $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that, for all k , $\|Sy_k\| = 1$, $P_N y_k = 0$, $\|y_k\| > \lambda/\sqrt{c}$ and $\text{supp}(y_m) \cap \text{supp}(y_k) = \emptyset$ for $m \neq k$. For every choice of scalars $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ we have

$$P_N \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k y_k \right) = 0$$

and hence, by the definition of λ_N ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| S \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k y_k \right\| &\geq \lambda_N^{-1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k y_k \right\| = \lambda_N^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \|y_k\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \lambda_N^{-1} c^{-1/2} \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \geq c^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since X is a p -normed space, we have

$$\left\| S \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k y_k \right\|^p \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \|S y_k\|^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p.$$

The desired operator is defined by $T e_k = S y_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $c > 1$ and let X be an infinite-dimensional p -normed space isomorphic to L^p . Since X contains an isomorphic copy of l^p , there is a linear operator $T : l^p \rightarrow X$ such that $c^{-1}\|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in l^p$. For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $x, y \in l^p$ such that $\|x\| = 1$, $\|y\| \geq c^2\varepsilon$ and

$$\sup_{|\lambda| \leq 1} \|x + \lambda y\| \leq c[1 + F_p(c^2\varepsilon)].$$

Let $x' = Tx/\|Tx\|$, $y' = Ty/\|Ty\|$. Then $\|x'\| = 1$ and $\|y'\| \geq \varepsilon$, because $\|Tx\| \leq c$, $\|Ty\| \geq c^{-1}\|y\| \geq c\varepsilon$. Hence, by the definition of δ_X^c ,

$$1 + \delta_X^c(\varepsilon) \leq \sup_{|\lambda| \leq 1} \|x' + \lambda y'\|$$

On the other hand, $\|x' + \lambda y'\| \leq c^2\|x + \lambda y\| \leq c^3[1 + F_p(c^2\varepsilon)]$. This implies

$$1 + \delta_X^c(\varepsilon) \leq c^3[1 + F_p(c^2\varepsilon)].$$

Since $c > 1$ was arbitrary, we get $\delta_X^c(\varepsilon) \leq F_p(\varepsilon)$.

3. Uniform c -convexity in l^p . In this section we give an extension of Theorem 1 to subspaces of l^p .

THEOREM 4. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional subspace of l^p , $0 < p \leq 1$. Then $\delta_X^c(\varepsilon) = \delta_{l^p}^c(\varepsilon)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.*

In the case $p = 1$ this result follows directly from Theorem 3 and the fact that for every closed infinite-dimensional subspace X of l^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, there is an

isomorphism of l^p into X [4 Propositional 2. a. 2]. To prove Theorem 4 for $p < 1$ we use a similar but somewhat more general approach .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let X be a closed infinite-dimensional subspace of l^p , $0 < p < \infty$. Then, for every $c > 1$, there is a linear operator $T : l^p \rightarrow X$ such that $c^{-1}\|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in l^p$.*

Proof. We proceed in the same way as in [4, Propositions 1. a. 11 and 1. a.9]. Let $c > 1$. For any $b > 0$ we find two sequences, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, such that: 1. $x_n \in X$, 2. $\|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = 1$, 3. $\|x_n - y_n\| \leq b/2^n$, and 4. $\text{supp}(y_m) \cap \text{supp}(y_n) = \emptyset$ for $m \neq n$. From the last condition it follows that $Y := \overline{[y_n]_{n=0}^\infty}$, the closed linear span of $\{y_n\}$, isometrically isomorphic to l^p . Thus it is enough to find an operator $S: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $c^{-1}\|y\| \leq \|Sy\| \leq c\|y\|$, $y \in Y$.

Let $q = \min(p, 1)$ and choose b so that $b^q(1 - 1/2^q)^{-1} = 1 - 1/c^q$. For $y = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n y_n$ let $Sy = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n x_n$ and $Uy = y - Sy$. Then

$$\|Uy\|^q \leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|^q \|x_n - y_n\|^q \leq \|y\|^q \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|x_n - y_n\|^q \leq b^q(1 - 1/2^q)^{-1} \|y\|^q,$$

where we used the condition 3. Hence

$$\|Sy\|^q = \|y - Uy\|^q \leq \|y\|^q + \|Uy\|^q \leq c^q \|y\|^q.$$

On the other hand, since $y = \sum_{n=0}^\infty U^n Sy$, we have

$$\|y\|^q \leq \|Sy\|^q \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|U\|^{nq} \leq c^q \|Sy\|^q.$$

This completes the proof.

Using Proposition 2 we can prove that Theorem 4 holds for every $p > 0$. If X is closed, this can be done in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3. If X is not closed, one can use the equality $\delta_X^\xi = \delta_Y^\xi$, where Y is the closure of X . We note that, if $p > 2$, the modulus of c -convexity of l^p is equal to $(1 + \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} - 1$. This follows from Clarkson's inequality [2]:

$$\|x + y\|^p + \|x - y\|^p \geq 2(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad x, y \in L^p, \quad p > 2.$$

4. Remarks. One of simple ways to prove that $L^p(m)$ is uniformly c -convex is to use the inequality

$$(3) \quad (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} |u + ve^{it}|^p dt \geq (|u|^2 + p|v|^2/2)^{p/2}, \quad 0 < p < 2,$$

valid for all complex numbers u, v . Indeed, if $0 < p < 2$, the function $N(u, v) := (|u|^2 + p|v|^2/2)^{p/2}$ is a norm and, consequently,

$$\int_S N(|x|^p, |y|^p) dm \geq N\left(\int_S |x|^p dm, \int_S |y|^p dm\right) = (\|x\|^2 + p\|y\|^2/2)^{p/2},$$

where $x, y \in L^p(m)$. Hence, by (3),

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + ye^{it}\|^p dt \geq (\|x\|^2 + p\|y\|^2/2)^{p/2}.$$

This gives the estimate $\delta_p(\varepsilon) \geq (1 + p\varepsilon^2/2)^{1/2} - 1$.

To prove the inequality (3) we may assume that $u = 1$. Then, if $|v| \leq 1$, by Parseval's formula,

$$f(v) := (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + ve^{it}|^p dt \geq 1 + p^2 |v|^2 / 4 \geq (1 + p |v|^2 / 2)^{p/2}.$$

If $|v| > 1$, we have

$$f(v) = |v|^p f(1/v) \geq |v|^p (1 + p/(2|v|^2))^{p/2} \geq (1 + p |v|^2 / 2)^{p/2}.$$

After completing this paper the author has learned of a recent paper of Davis, Garling and Tomczak-Jaegermann [9]. For a quasi-normed space X (with some additional properties) they define the moduli H_q^X , $0 < q \leq \infty$, and $I_{q,r}(X)$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $2 \leq r < \infty$, in the following way:

$$1 + H_q^X(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{it}y\|^q dt \right)^{1/q} : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = \varepsilon \right\}, \quad \varepsilon \geq 0;$$

$I_{q,r}(X)$ is the largest non-negative λ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{it}y\|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \geq (\|x\|^r + \lambda\|y\|^r)^{1/r}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

In [9] the following problem is raised (Problem 4): Is it true that $I_{q,2}(C) = q/2$ for $q < 2$, where C is the complex plane? The preceding remarks show that the answer is yes. Moreover, we have the following results.

THEOREM 5. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional L^p -space or an infinite-dimensional subspace of l^p , $0 < p \leq 2$. Then: 1. $H_q^X(\varepsilon) = F_p(\varepsilon)$ if $q \geq p$, and 2. $H_q^X(\varepsilon) = F_q(\varepsilon)$ if $0 < q \leq p$.*

The first equality follows from Theorems 1, 2 and 4 because H_q^X increases with q and $H_\infty^X = \delta_X^c$. To prove the second equality one can use the inequality

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \|x + e^{it}y\|_p^q dt \geq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \|x\|_p + e^{it} \|y\|_p \right|^q dt$$

($q \leq p \leq 2$), which follows from Theorem 2 and the fact that every finite-dimensional L^p -space is isometric to a subspace of $L^q(\mu)$, for some measure μ [10, Lemma 21. 1. 3.].

Note that if $q \leq 2$ then Theorem 5 holds for every (non-trivial) L^p -space.

THEOREM 6. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5 we have $I_{q,2}(X) = p/2$ for $q \geq p$, and $I_{q,2}(X) = q/2$ for $q \leq p$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Globevnik, *On complex strict and uniform convexity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 176–178.
- [2] O. Hanner, *On the uniform convexity of L^p and l^p* , Arkiv Math. **3** (1956), 239–244.
- [3] J. L. Krivine, *Sous-espaces de dimension finie des espaces de Banach réticulés*, Ann. Math. **104** (1976), 1–29.
- [4] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I. Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [5] M. Pavlović, *Geometry of Complex Banach Spaces*, Thesis, Belgrade, 1983.
- [6] M. Pavlović, *Moduli of c -convexity of normed spaces I, II*, Math. Vesnik **6** (1982), 139–151; 307–314 (Russian).
- [7] M. Pavlović *Some inequalities in L^p spaces*, Math. Vesnik **6** (1982), 67–73 (Russian).
- [8] E. Thorp, R. Whitley, *The strong maximum modulus theorem for analytic functions into Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 640–646.
- [9] W. J. Davis, D. J. H. Garling, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *The complex convexity of quasi-normed linear spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **55** (1984), 110–150.
- [10] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

Odsek za matematiku
Prirodno-matematički fakultet
31000 Kragujevac
Jugoslavija

(Received 25 12 1985)