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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a compact connected Riemannian manifold and let $L$ be a compact connected submanifold of $M$. We show that if a point $x$ is a closest cut point of $L$ which is not a focal point of $L$, then two different minimizing geodesics meet at an angle of $\pi$ at $x$. We also generalize some of the results of [9].


## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a compact connected $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold of class $C^{\infty}$ and let $L$ be a $C^{\infty} m$-dimensional connected submanifold of $M$. Let $N(L)$ be the normal bundle of $L$ which is a subbundle of tangent bundle $T(M)$ of $M$. The exponential map of the Riemannian manifold $M$ restricted to $N(L)$ is a map $\varepsilon: N(L) \rightarrow M$ of class $C^{\infty}$. Let $d: M \times M \rightarrow R$ be the distance function of the Riemannian manifold $M$; then for any point $x \in M$ there is at least one point $x^{\prime} \in L$ such that $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\inf \{d(x, z) \mid z \in L\}$ holds and $x^{\prime}$ is said to be a point nearest to $x$ in $L$. Let $x \in L$ and consider a geodesic $c: R \rightarrow M$ of the Riemennian manifold such that $c(0)=x^{\prime}, c(t)=x$ for some $t>0$ and such that the restriction of $c$ to $[0, t]$ yields a minimal geodesic from $x^{\prime}$ to $x$. Then the tangent vector $\dot{c}(0)$ of $c$ is in the normal space $N_{x^{\prime}} L$ of $L$ at $x^{\prime}$ by a basic observation [1, pp. 151-152]. Since $M$ is complete such a geodesic $c$ always exists and consequently the map $\varepsilon$ is surjective.

When considering the injectivity of the map e some further concepts are essential which can be summarized as follows. If the tangent linear map $T_{\nu} \varepsilon$ : $T_{\nu} N(L) \rightarrow T_{\varepsilon(\nu)} M$ of $\varepsilon$ at $\nu \in N(L)$ is not injective then $\nu$ is called a focal point of $L$ in the normal bundle $N(L)$ and $\varepsilon(\nu)$ is said to be a focal point of $L$ in $M$. The set of focal points $\nu$ of $L$ is said to be a focal locus of $L$ in the normal bundle $N(L)$ and the set of focal points $\varepsilon(\nu)$ of $L$ is called the focal locus of $L$ in $M$. In the special case when the submanifold $L$ reduces to a single point $y \in M$ and consequently the normal bundle $N(L)$ coincides with the tangent space $T_{y} M$, the focal points of $L$

[^0]are said to be points conjugate to $y$ and the focal locus of $L$ is called the conjugate locus of $y$.

Consider now the general case of a submanifold $L$ of $M$. Fix a point $\in L$ and a unit vector $w \in N_{z}=L$ and consider the geodesic $c: R \rightarrow M$ such that $c(0)=z \in L, \dot{c}(0)=w$. Since the tangent linear map $T_{x} \varepsilon$ is injective at $x=c\left(t_{0}\right)$ for $0<t_{0} \leq t$, where $t$ has sufficiently small positive value. Let $S_{w}$ be the supremum of such values $t$, which is always possible, since $M$ is complete. If $S_{w}$ is finite then $c\left(S_{w}\right)$ is obviously a focal point of $L$ which will be called the first focal point of $L$ on the geodesic $c$.

Assume now that the submanifold $L$ is compact; then the restricted exponential $\operatorname{map} \varepsilon$ is injective in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the zero section in the normal bundle $N(L)$ of the submanifold [1, pp. 151-152] and consequently $z$ is the unique nearest point of $L$ to $x=c\left(t_{0}\right)$ for $0<t_{0} \leq t$ where $t$ is sufficiently small positive value. Let $S_{w}^{\prime}$ be the supremum of such value $t$. If $S_{w}^{\prime}$, is finite then $S_{w}^{\prime}$ is called a cut point of $L$ in the normal bundle and $c\left(S_{w}^{\prime}\right)$ is said to be a cut point of $L$ in $M$. The set of cut points of $L$ in $N(L)$ is called the cut locus of $L$ in $N(L)$ and the set of cutpoints of $L$ in $M$ is said to be the cut locus of $L$ in $M$. A straightforward generalization of some basic facts established in the special case when $L$ reduces to a single point [ $1 \mathrm{pp} .237-241$ ] yields the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If $\nu=S_{w}^{\prime} w \in N(L)$ is a cut point of the submanifold $L$ then at least one of the following two assertions is true:

1. the point $\nu=S_{w}^{\prime}$ is a first focal point of the submanifold $L$ on the ray $t w, t>0$, 2. there are at least two different points of the subyrtanifold $L$ which are nearest to the cut point $\varepsilon\left(S_{w}^{\prime}\right)$.

## 2. Closest point of the cut lucus

First we shall prove the following lemma.
Lemma 2. Let $M$ be a $C^{\infty}$ compact connected Riemannian manifold and let $L$ be a $C^{\infty}$ compact connected submanifold of $M$. Let $c:[0, a] \rightarrow M$ be a minimal geodesic from $c(a)$ to $L$. If $c^{\prime}$ is the part of $c$ then $c^{\prime}$ minimizes the distance uniquely from its end point $c^{\prime}(b)$ to the points of $L$ for any value of the parameter $b<a$.

Proof. Let $c^{\prime}$ does not minimize its distance uniquely for $b<a$, then there exists another minimal geodesic $c^{\prime \prime}$ from a point $z_{1} \in L$ to the point $c^{\prime}(b)=x^{\prime}$. But then $c$ is the union of $c^{\prime}$ from $c(0)=z \in L$ to $x^{\prime}$ and minimal geodesic $c^{*}$ from $x^{\prime}$ to $c(a)=x$. Since angle between $c^{\prime \prime}$ and $c^{*}$ is not equal to $\pi$, therefore $c^{\prime \prime} \cup c^{*}$ can not be minimizing geodesic. But $L^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime \prime} \cup c^{*}\right)=L^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime} \cup c^{*}\right)=L^{\prime}(c)$ where $L^{\prime}$ denotes the length. This means that $c$ can not be a minimal geodesic, which is a contradiction. Hence the lemma.

Now we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a $C^{\infty}$ complete connected Riemannian manifold and let $L$ be a $C^{\infty}$ compact connected submanifold of $M$. Let the cut locus of $L$ be nonempty and let $x=\varepsilon(\nu)$ be a closest point of the cut locus to $L$. Let $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ be
two different minimizing geodesics from $x$ to $L$. If $x$ is not a focal point of $L$, then the geodesics $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ meet at an angle of $\pi$.

Proof. Let $\nu \in N_{z_{1}} L$ be a non-zero vector where $z_{1} \in U$ and $U$ is a neighborhood of $z$ in the zero-section of $N(L)$. Then the locus of the end points of such $\nu$ with fixed length will be a sphere of dimension $n-m-1$. Consider with $\nu$ the family of vectors of the same length as $\nu$ in $N(L)$; then corresponding to these vectors there is a union of the spheres which forms a piece of a hypersurface, say $K$, and hence a tangent space $T_{n} u K$ at $\nu$ orthogonal to $\nu$ with respect to the induced metric $\bar{g}$ of $N(L)$ [5], as proved in [8]. Now we define geodesic $c_{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ such that $c_{1}(0)=z_{1} \in L, \dot{c}(0) \in N_{z_{1}} L, c_{1}(1)=r=\varepsilon(\nu)$. Consider for $c_{1}$ a family of neighboring geodesics each orthogonal to $L$, then under the restricted exponential $\operatorname{map} \varepsilon$ each member of this family is the image of non-zero vectors taken in $N(L)$ corresponding to $\nu$ and hence they are of the same length by the Generalized Gauss lemma [8]. As $x=\varepsilon(\nu)$ is not a focal point of $L$ in $M$ the image $\varepsilon(K)$ will be a piece of hypersurface containing $x$ in $M$. Since $T_{\nu} K$ is orthogonal to $v$, the hypersurface $\varepsilon(K)$ will be orthogonal to $c_{1}$ by the Generalized Gauss lemma [8]. Similar result holds for the geodesic $c_{2}$ passing orthogonally through the point $z_{2} \in L$ to $x$. Assume that $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ meet at $x$ with an angle not equal to $\pi$. Then the two tangent hyperplanes at $x$ intersect, as do the two hypersurfaces in each neighborhood of $x$. Let $x^{\prime}$ be a point in $\varepsilon(K) \cup \varepsilon\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ near $x$, where $\varepsilon\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ is corresponding to geodesic $c_{2}$. Then $x^{\prime}$ is joined by two orthogonal geodesics, one neighboring to $c_{1}$ and the other neighboring to $c_{2}$ and each being shorter that $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Thus $x^{\prime}$ is a cut point of $L$ closer to $L$ than the point $x$, which contradicts the choice of $x$. Therefore $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ meet at $x$ with angle $\pi$.

## 3. Focal points under some restrictions

In this section we will generalize some of the results of [9].
Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a complete connected Riemannian manifold of class $C^{\infty}$ and let $L$ be a $C^{\infty}$ compact connected submanifold of $M$ such that the restricted exponential map has no focal points in $U(b \pi)-U(a \pi)$, where $0 \leq a<b$ and $U(b \pi)$ is the tube of radius $b \pi$ around the zero section in $N(L)$. Let $x \in M$ and assume that $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ are different geodesic segments joining $x$ orthogonally to $L$ and that there is a family $h_{t}, t \in[0,1]$ of curves joining $x$ orthogonally to $L$ such that $h_{0}=c_{0}$, $h_{1}=c_{1}$ and $L^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right) \leq L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, then $L^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)+L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right) \geq 2 b \pi$ or $L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)+2 a \pi-b(x, L)>2 b \pi$, where $L^{\prime}(c)$ denotes the length of a path $c \overline{\text { in }} M$.

Proof. We assume that $L^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)<b \pi$. Since $c_{1}$ has neighboring curves $h_{t}$ with $L^{\prime}\left(h_{t}\right) \leq L\left(c_{1}\right), c_{1}$ must have index $\geq 1$ and length $L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)>b \pi$. Let $U(b \pi)-$ $U(a \pi)=U^{\prime}$. Since $U^{\prime}$ does not contain focal points, the tangent linear map $T_{\nu} \varepsilon$ is everywhere non-singular in $U^{\prime}$. Then the restricted exponential map $\varepsilon$ is a covering map [4]. But every covering map has the curve lifting property [2, pp. 25]. Hence for each path $h$ the initial geodesic part of length $a \pi$ can be lifted by the preimage $\varepsilon^{-1}$ of $\varepsilon$ restricted to $U^{\prime}$ into $U^{\prime}$, and this gives a straight segment going from zerosection of $N(L)$ to the inner boundary of $U^{\prime}$. In this manner $c_{1}=h_{1}$ can be lifted
into a straight segment $h_{1}$ of length $>b \pi$ starting from the zero-section of $N(L)$ and leaving $U^{\prime}$ at its outer boundary. It follows that for all $t$ sufficiently close to 1 , the initial part of $h_{1}$ can be lifted into $U(b \pi)$ so as to give a straight segment of length $a \pi$, starting from the zero-section and followed by a curve passing from the inner boundary of $U^{\prime}$ towards the outer boundary of $U^{\prime}$ and containing in the limit, a point of this outer boundary at distance $b \pi$ from the zero-section. Now we claim that for each sufficiently small $r$, there exists a $t_{1}, 0<t_{1}<1$ such that lifting of $h_{t_{1}}$ after the initial straight segment of length $a \pi$, a curve which runs through $U^{\prime}$ until it reaches a point with distance $\leq r$ from the outer boundary of $U^{\prime}$ and then continues to run trough $U^{\prime}$ until one of the following two possibilities occurs:
(1) we reach with the lifted curve, the inner boundary of $U^{\prime}$;
(2) we reach with lifted curve, the end point $x^{\prime}$ of $h_{t_{1}}$ which gives a point $x^{\prime}$ in $U^{\prime}$.

The implication of the case (1) is

$$
L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right) \geq L^{\prime}\left(h_{t_{1}}\right) \geq 2 b \pi-a \pi-2 \varepsilon \geq 2 b \pi-L^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)-2 \varepsilon
$$

This gives the result, since varepsilon is arbitrary.
In the case (2) the image under $\varepsilon$ of the straight segment from the zerosection to $x^{\prime}$ gives a geodesic $c_{0}^{\prime}$ which is different from $c_{0}$. Moreover, the lifting of $h_{t_{1}}$, into $U(b \pi)$ shows that $h_{t_{1}}$ can be deformed into $c_{0}^{\prime}$ with curves of length $\leq L^{\prime}\left(h_{t_{1}}\right) \leq L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)$. Therefore by combining the homotopy $\left(h_{t}\right), t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$ with this homotopy from $h_{t_{1}}$; inco $c_{0}^{\prime}$ we obtain a homotopy $\left(j_{t}\right), t \in[0,1]$ from $c_{0}=j_{0}$ to $c_{0}^{\prime}=j_{1}$ with $L^{\prime}\left(j_{1}\right) \leq L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. By applying (if necessary) a deformation, we can assume that a curve $j_{t_{0}}$ of maximal length among the $j_{t}$ is a geodesic of index 1 and $L^{\prime}\left(j_{t_{0}}\right)>L^{\prime}\left(c_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Now applying to the pair $c_{0}, j_{t_{0}}$ with the homotopy $\left(j_{t}\right), t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$, the same reasoning as for the original pair $c_{0}, c_{1}$. Since $L^{\prime}\left(j_{t_{0}}\right) \leq L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and since there are only finitely many of geodesics of length $\leq L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)$, we finally get the result.

Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a complete simply connected Rimannian: manifold and $L$ be a compact connected submanifold of $M$. Let $c: R \rightarrow M$ be a normal geodesic to $L$ and $c\left(t_{0}\right)=x \in M$ be a point which is not a focal point of L. Let a, $b, c$, be real numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<a<b<c \text { and } 2(b-a) \pi+d(x, L) \geq c \pi \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that on geodesic c starting orthogonally from $L$, there are no focal points in $[0, \pi], p$ focal points in $(\pi, a \pi], p \geq 1$, no focal points in $[a \pi, b \pi)$ and $q$ focal points in $[b \pi, c \pi), \geq 2$. Then the length $L^{\prime}(c)$ of geodesic $c$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(c)<2 a \pi-d(x, L) \text { or } L^{\prime}(c)>2(b-a) \pi+d(x, L) \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $c_{1}$ be any geodesic. Let $\left(h_{t}\right), t \in[0,1]$ be a homotopy from $c_{0}=h_{0}$ to $c_{1}=h_{1}$. If $L^{\prime}\left(h_{t}\right) \leq L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ then we can apply theorem 2 and obtain (ii). Otherwise we assume that there is a $t_{1}, 0<t_{1}<1, h_{t_{1}}$ is a geodesic
of index 1 and $L^{\prime}\left(h_{t_{1}}\right)>L^{\prime}\left(h_{t}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. If $h_{t_{1}}$ is of broken type we have $L^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)=L^{\prime}\left(h_{t_{1}}\right) \leq 2 a \pi-d(x, L)$ which is (ii). If $h_{t_{1}}$ is of the unbroken type we can apply Theorem 2 and (i) and obtain $L^{\prime}\left(h_{t_{1}}\right)>2(b-a) \pi+d(x, L) \geq c \pi$. Then from our assumptions that $h_{t_{1}}$ has index $g \geq 2$, we find a contradiction. Hence the theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $M$ be a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold and let $L \subset M$ be a compact connected submanifold of $M$. Let also $a, b, c$ be real numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<a<b<c \quad \text { and } \quad a \leq 2 \quad \text { and } \quad 2(b-a)+1 \geq c . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x$ be a point in $L$ such that on each orthogonal geodesic to $L$ at $x$ there are no focal points in $[0, \pi)$, there are $p$ focal points in $[\pi, a \pi), p \geq 1$, there are no focal points in $[a \pi, b \pi)$ and there are $q$ focal points in $[b \pi, c \pi) q \geq 2$. Then the following holds: (A) $M$ is compact, (B) Let $z \in M$ be a point on the geodesic $c$ which is not a focal point to $L$ and distance $d(z, L)$ is sufficiently close to $\pi$. Then a geodesic $c$ either has length $L^{\prime}(c)<2 a \pi-d(z, L) \sim 2 a \pi-\pi$ and index $\leq p$, or has length

$$
L^{\prime}(c)>2(b-a) \pi+d(z, L) \sim 2(b-a) \pi+\pi \geq c \pi
$$

and index $\geq p+q$.
Proof. To prove (A), it is sufficient to note that a geodesic segment of length $a \pi$ starting from $L$ and being orthogonal to $L$ contains focal points in its interior and, therefore it is not a curve of minimal length from its end point to $L$. Consequently a tube of radius $a \pi$ about $L$ covers $M$. Since $L$ is assumed to be compact, this implies that $M$ is compact.

To prove (B), we first remark that there is an $l>0$ such that the focal points in the interval $[\pi, a \pi)$ of an orthogonal geodesic $c$ starting from $x \in L$, already occur in the interval $[\pi,(a-l) \pi)$. Assume that $z \in M$ is chosen such that $21 \pi+d(z, L) \geq \pi$ and $z$ is not focal point of $L$. Then

$$
2(b-(a-l)) \pi+d(z, L) \geq 2(b-a) \pi+\pi \geq c \pi
$$

Thus the assumptions of theorem 3 are satisfied with $(a-l)$ instead of $a$. From Theorem 3, (B) then follows with $2 a \pi-\pi<2 b \pi-c \pi<b \pi$ such that

$$
L^{\prime}(c)<2(a-l) \pi-d(z, L) \leq 2 a \pi-\pi<b \pi
$$

and hence index $c>p$, or

$$
L^{\prime}(c)>2(b-(a-l)) \pi+d(z L) \geq 2(b-a) \pi+\pi \geq c \pi
$$

and hence index $c>p+q$.
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