ON QUASIGROUP VARIETIES CLOSED UNDER ISOTOPY
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Abstract. This note deals with quasigroup varieties defined by identities in which every variable occurs exactly twice. We prove that among them only four well-known ones are closed under isotopy.

1. Introduction. Etta Falconer [3] has initiated a systematic study of isotopically closed varieties of quasigroups. An ultimate goal, the determination of all such varieties, seems at the moment far from being completed. In this paper we specialize to varieties defined by quadratic identities (identities in which every variable occurs twice) and find all of them which are closed under isotopy.

In group theory the situation with quadratic identities is extremely simple. Namely, every quadratic identity in the language of groups is equivalent (modulo group axioms) to one of the following: $x = x, xy = yx, x^2 = 1$. Define $G_0, A_0, B_0$ to be the corresponding group varieties (groups, Abelian groups, Boolean groups). Let $G, A, B$ be varieties consisting of all quasigroups isotopic to a member of $G_0, A_0, B_0$ respectively. It is well-known that these varieties are axiomatized respectively by quasi-identities $x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2 \land x_3 y_1 = x_4 y_2 \land x_3 y_3 = x_4 y_4 \Rightarrow y_1 y_3 = x_2 y_4$ (the Reidelheister condition), $x_1 y_2 = x_2 y_1 \land x_1 y_3 = x_3 y_1 \land y_1 y_2 = x_2 y_3$ (the Thomson condition) and $x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2 \Rightarrow x_1 y_2 = x_2 y_1$; cf. [1]. Since every quadratic quasi-identity can be converted into an equivalent (modulo quasigroup axioms) identity, it follows that each of the $G, A, B$, is defined by a single quadratic identity. Our main result here is that these, together with the variety $Q$ of all quasigroups, are the only isotopically closed varieties defined by quadratic identities:

THEOREM. Let $V$ be the variety of quasigroups satisfying quadratic identities $E_1, E_2, \ldots$. If $V$ is closed under isotopy, then it is equal to one of $Q, G, A, B$.

As a consequence we obtain that every isotopically closed quasigroup variety defined by quadratic identities contains a non-trivial group. Whether the same is true for all isotopically closed varieties is an unsolved problem raised by Falconer [3, p. 519].
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Another immediate consequence is that every isotopy invariant quadratic quasigroup identity is equivalent to one of the following: \( x = x \), the Reide- 
meister condition, \( x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2 \leftrightarrow x_1 y_2 = x_2 y_1 \).

2. Preliminaries. Because of some advantages in carrying out inductive arguments, we shall prefer to work with quadratic quasi-identities instead of quadratic identities. The transition from one to the other is immediate and we describe it first.

Let \( \Phi = (\varphi_1 = \wedge \cdots \varphi_n \Rightarrow \varphi_0) \) be a quadratic quasi-identity in the quasigroup language and let \( X \) be the set of variables occurring in \( \Phi \). We say that \( \Phi \) is connected if for every non-trivial partition \( X = X_1 \cup X_2 \) there exists a \( \varphi_i \) which contains an occurrence of a variable from both \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \). Define aslo \( \Phi \) to be reduced if every \( \varphi_i \) is of the form \( x_p \cdot x_q = x_r \) where \( x_p, x_q, x_r \) are variables.

Suppose now \( \Phi \) as above is a connected non-reduced quadratic quasi-identity (in particular, it may be an identity). Then some \( \varphi_i \) is equivalent to \( t_1 \cdot t_2 = t_3 \), for some quasigroup terms \( t_1, t_2, t_3 \) which are not all variables. If \( i > 0 \), then \( \Phi \) is equivalent to

\[
\Phi' = (\wedge_{j \neq i} \varphi_j \land t_1 = y_1 \land t_2 = y_2 \land t_3 = y_3 \land y_1 y_2 = y_3 \Rightarrow \varphi_0)
\]

and if \( i = 0 \) then \( \Phi \) is equivalent to

\[
\Phi' = (\wedge_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_j \land t_1 = y_1 \land t_2 = y_2 \land t_3 = y_3 \land y_1 y_2 = y_3).
\]

In both cases \( \Phi' \) is a connected quadratic quasi-identity. Arguing by induction on the size of terms involved, it easily follows that every connected quadratic quasi-identity is equivalent to a reduced one. From now on we shall use crq as a shorthand for “connected reduced quadratic quasi-identity”.

So let \( \Phi = (\varphi_1 \land \cdots \varphi_n \Rightarrow \varphi_0) \) be a crq and \( X \) the set of variables occurring in \( \Phi \). We define the graph \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) associated with \( \Phi \) as follows. Its vertex set is \( \{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n\} \cup X \) and the edge set is \( \{e_{ia} | i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}, h \in \{a, b, c\} \} \). The incidence relation is defined by: if \( \varphi_i = (x_p \cdot x_q = x_r) \), then \( e_{ia}, e_{ib}, e_{ic} \) connect \( \varphi_i \) respectively with \( x_p, x_q, x_r \). Clearly \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) is a connected bipartite graph and the degree of every vertex \( \varphi_i \) is three, while every \( x_p \) is of degree two.

The following notation is in order to redefine satisfiability of quasi-identities. Let \( \Phi \) be as above, and for every \( \varphi_i = (x_p \cdot x_q = x_r) \) define \( \circ \varphi_i = \{x_p, x_q, x_r\} \). A subset \( Y \) of \( X \) will be called closed if for every \( i \) the number of edges connecting \( \varphi_i \) with elements of \( Y \) is not two. Let \( CL(Y) \) denote the smallest closed subset of \( X \) containing \( Y \). A function \( \Theta : Y \rightarrow Q \), where \( Y \) is a subset of \( X \) and \( Q \) a quasigroup, will be called a valuation (on \( Y \) with values in \( Q \)) if for every \( \varphi_i = (x_p \cdot x_q = x_r) \) such that \( \circ \varphi_i \subseteq Y \) one has \( \Theta(x_p) \cdot \Theta(x_q) = \Theta(x_r) \). Clearly, every valuation on \( Y \) extends uniquely to a valuation on \( CL(Y) \) if it can be extended there at all.
Let $Y$ contain all but one element of $X$. One can easily check that a quasigroup $Q$ satisfies $\Phi$ if and only if every valuation $Y \to Q$ extends to a valuation on $X$ cf. \cite[Lemma 1]{7}. Notice, as a consequence, that $\Phi$ is equivalent to $\Phi_i = (\bigwedge_{j \neq i} \varphi_j \Rightarrow \varphi_i)$ for every $i$. This is in accordance with the obvious fact that $\Gamma(\Phi)$ and $\Gamma(\Phi_i)$ coincide. On the other hand, if the labelling of $\Gamma(\Phi)$ is defined by assigning the label $h$ to every edge $e_h$, then it is easy to see that the graph $\Gamma(\Phi)$ and the labelling of its edges determine $\{\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_n\}$ uniquely up to renaming of variables. Define a subset $Y$ of $X$ to be a base of $\Phi$ if the graph obtained from $\Gamma(\Phi)$ by removing for every $y \in Y$ an edge incident with $y$ is a tree. Clearly, if $Y$ is a base, then $Y$ contains no $e \varphi_i$; in fact, bases are maximal subsets of $Y$ with this property. Thus every function on a base with values in a quasigroup is a valuation. On the other hand, one has $\text{Cl} Y = X$ for every base $Y$.

**Lemma 1.** \cite[Lemma 2]{7} Let $Y$ be a base of $\Phi$. A quasigroup $Q$ satisfies $\Phi$ if and only if every function $Y \to Q$ extends to a valuation $X \to Q$.

We remark that graphs associated to various quasigroup equations were introduced and systematically employed in \cite{7}, to which we refer the reader for more details about the facts stated in this section. The reader will observe a minor difference between $\Gamma(\Phi)$ defined above and that of \cite{7} - the former is obtained from the latter by subdividing every edge by a new vertex.

The following lemma provides us with a graphical test for deciding when the variety defined by a crqg consists of group isotopes only; cf. \cite[Theorem 3]{1}, \cite[Theorem 1]{4} and \cite[Theorem 4.3]{5}.

**Lemma 2.** \cite[Theorem 1]{6} A crqg $\Phi$ has the property that every quasigroup satisfying it is a group isotope if and only if the complete graph $K_4$ on for vertices can be (homeomorphically) embedded in $\Gamma(\Phi)$.

**3. Proof of the Theorem: first part.** Assume that $V$ consists of group isotopes only (i.e. $v \subseteq G$). Let $L$ be the variety of all loops contained in $V$; it can be easily checked that $V$ is the class of all quasigroups isotopic to a member of $L$; c.f. \cite[Theorem 3.3]{3}. Since every loop isotopic to a group is a group itself (Albert's theorem), from our assumption $V \subseteq G$ it follows that $L$ is a quadratic group variety. The only such varieties are $G_0, A_0$ and $B_0$, so $V$ is one of $G, A, B$.

It remains to consider the more difficult case $V \not\subseteq G$. Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \ldots$ be crqgs equivalent to $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ respectively. In view of Lemma 2 it follows that $K_4$ cannot be embedded in any $\Gamma(\Phi_i)$.

Notice that every Boolean group satisfies all quadratic identities. Our strategy is to prove that, given a crqg $\Phi$ which is not satisfied by all quasigroups and such that $K_4$ cannot be embedded in $\Gamma(\Phi)$, there exists an isotope of a Boolean group which does not satisfy $\Phi$. This would clearly finish the proof of the theorem.

**4. Some special isotopes of Boolean groups.** If $B$ is a Boolean group and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Aut} B$, let $B_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ be the isotope of $B$ in which the multiplication is
defined by \( x \ast y = z \) iff \( \alpha(x) + \beta(y) = \gamma(z) = 0 \). In this section we determine when a quasigroup of the form \( B_{a,b,c} \) satisfies a given crq.

Let \( \Phi \) be a crq and let \( F \) be the free group on three free generators \( a, b, c \). Orient edges of \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) so that every \( \varphi_i \) is the initial vertex of all edges incident with it. Since every path in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) can be written as a product of oriented edges \( e_{ik} \) and their inverses, the labelling function \( \lambda(e_{ik}) = h \) can be extended multiplicatively to all paths in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \). Thus, for every path \( \omega \) in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \), \( \lambda(\omega) \) is a group word in letters \( a, b, c \); we regard it as an element of \( F \). Define \( N(\Phi) \) to be the smallest normal subgroup of \( F \) which contains labels of all closed paths in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \). Let also \( f_{a,b,c} : F \to \text{Aut} B \) be the homomorphism defined by \( a \mapsto \alpha, b \mapsto \beta, c \mapsto \gamma \).

**Lemma 3.** The quasigroup \( B_{a,b,c} \) satisfies \( \Phi \) if and only if \( N(\Phi) \subseteq \text{Ker} \ f_{a,b,c} \).

**Proof.** Denote the composition \( f_{a,b,c} \cdot \lambda \) by \( f \). Call two paths \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) conjugate if there exists a path \( \sigma \) such that \( \omega_1 = \sigma \omega_2 \sigma^{-1} \). Every closed path in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) is a product of conjugates of simple closed paths (simple = without self-intersections). Therefore \( N(\Phi) \subseteq \text{Ker} f_{a,b,c} \) is equivalent to: \( f(\omega) = 1 \) for every simple closed path \( \omega \).

Assume that \( B_{a,b,c} \) satisfies \( \Phi \) and let \( \omega \) be a simple closed path in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \), \( x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2, \ldots, x_k, \varphi_k \) be vertices of \( \omega \) written in the order one comes across them traversing \( \omega \). Since there exists a maximal tree in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) which contains the whole of \( \omega \) but an edge incident with \( x_1 \) (as every tree in a graph is contained in a maximal tree), it follows that there exists a base \( Y \) of \( \Phi \) which contains \( x_1 \) and none of \( x_2, \ldots, x_k \). Define \( \Theta_b : Y \to B \) by \( \Theta_b(x_1) = b \) and \( \Theta_b(y) = 0 \) for \( y \neq x_1 \); by Lemma 1 there exists a valuation \( \Theta_b \) on \( X \) which extends \( \Theta_b \). Since \( C \left( Y - \{ x_1 \} \right) = X - \{ x_1, \ldots, x_k \} \), it follows that \( \Theta_b(x) = 0 \) for \( x \neq x_1, \ldots, x_k \).

Let \( e_i \) and \( e_i' \) be edges of \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) connecting \( \varphi_i \) with \( x_i \) and \( x_{i+1} \), respectively (\( i + 1 \) taken mod \( k \)). Let \( e_i'' \) be the third edge incident with \( \varphi_i \) and \( z_i \) its terminal vertex (Fig. 1). From the fact that \( \Theta_b \) is a valuation we get \( f(e_i) \Theta_b(x_i) + f(e_i') \Theta_b(x_{i+1}) + f(e_i'') \Theta_b(z_i) = 0 \). Since \( \Theta_b(z_i) = 0 \) it follows that \( \Theta_b(x_i) = f(e_i'^{-1} e_i) \Theta_b(x_{i+1}) \). Finally, these \( k \) equalities, \( \omega = e_1^{-1} e_1 \ldots e_k^{-1} e_k \) and \( \Theta_b(x_i) = b \) it follows that \( f(\omega)b = b \), i.e., \( f(\omega) = 1 \), since \( b \) was taken arbitrarily.

This completes the proof of the “only if” part.
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To prove the converse assume that \( f \) vanishes on all closed paths in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \). Pick up a base \( Y \) of \( \Phi \) and a function \( \Theta : Y \to B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \). For every \( y \in Y \) let \( \Theta_y : Y \to B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \) be defined by \( \Theta_y(y) = \Theta(y) \) and \( \Theta_y(y') = 0 \) for \( y' \neq y \). It suffices to prove that every \( \Theta_y \) extends to a valuation on \( X \). For if \( \Theta_y \) is a valuation on \( X \) extending \( \Theta_y \), then \( \hat{\Theta} = \sum_{y \in Y} \hat{\Theta}_y \) is a valuation on \( X \) extending \( \Theta \). Fix a \( y \in Y \). We claim that there exists a simple closed path \( \omega \) with \( x_1 = y \) and \( x_2, \ldots, x_k \neq Y \), the notation being as in the first part of this proof. (Let \( T \) be a maximal tree in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) whose extremal vertices are elements of \( Y \). Let \( e_1 \) be that edge incident with \( y \) which does not belong to \( T \) and \( \omega_1 \) the shortest path in \( T \) connecting the initial and the terminal vertex of \( e_1 \). We may take \( \omega = e_1^{-1}(\omega_1) \). Define \( \Theta_y \), by \( \Theta_y(x) = 0 \) for \( x \neq x_1, \ldots, x_k \) and \( \hat{\Theta}_y(x_k) = f(e_{i-1}^{-1} e_{i-2}^{-1} \cdots e_1^{-1} e_i) \hat{\Theta}_y(x_1) \). Similarly as in the first part of the proof it follows now, using \( f(\omega) = 1 \), that \( \hat{\Theta}_y \), is a valuation on \( Y \).

**COROLLARY.** If \( N(\Phi) \neq \{1\} \), then there exists an isotope of a Boolean group which does not satisfy \( \Phi \).

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 3, given a non-trivial group word \( w(a, b, c) \), we only need to find a Boolean group \( B \) and automorphisms \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) of \( B \) such that \( w(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \neq 1 \). Take \( B \) to be the countably infinite Boolean group with independent generators \( b_1, b_2, \ldots \). Every permutation of \( \{b_i\} \) defines an automorphism of \( B \); so \( \text{Aut} \ B \) contains a copy of the countably infinite symmetric group, and hence a copy of the free group \( F \). So there are \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Aut} \ B \) such that, moreover, \( B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \) does not satisfy any \( \Phi \) with \( N(\Phi) \neq \{1\} \).

5. **Proof of the Theorem: second part.** Let \( \Phi = (\varphi_1 \land \cdots \land \varphi_n) \) be a croq such that \( K_4 \) cannot be embedded in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) and that \( N(\Phi) \neq \{1\} \). In view of the corollary to Lemma 3 and the concluding sentence of Section 3 it suffices to prove, under the assumptions above, that \( \Phi \) is true on every quasigroup.

First we show that every \( \circ \varphi_i \) consists of three elements. Assuming the contrary, there must be two edges \( e_1, e_2 \) connecting \( \varphi_i \) with \( x_p \), for some \( i \) and \( p \). They bear different labels; so the label of the closed path \( e_i e_j^{-1} \) is \( \neq 1 \) - a contradiction.

Define a subset \( A \) of \( X \) to be a separating set if \( A \) has at most two elements and \( \Gamma(\Phi) - A \) has two connected components. From \cite[Lemma 6]{7} it follows that there exists a separating set whenever \( \Gamma(\Phi) \) does not contain an embedded copy of \( K_4 \) and has more than two vertices of degree three.

We argue by induction on \( n \). If \( n = 1 \), then \( \Phi = (\varphi_1 \Rightarrow \varphi_0) \) and it is easily checked that coincidence of \( \varphi_0 \) and \( \varphi_1 \) is a necessary and sufficient condition for both \( N(\Phi) = \{1\} \) and the satisfiability of \( \Phi \) by all quasigroups.

So assume \( n > 1 \), which, as noted above, assures the existence of a separating set. For every separating set \( A \) define \( \nu(A) \) to be the minimum of the numbers of vertices of degree three in the two components of \( \Gamma(\Phi) - A \). Let \( A_0 \) be a separating set with the smallest possible \( \nu \)-value. Let the components of \( \Gamma(\Phi) - A_0 \) be \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) with \( \nu(A_0) = \) the number of vertices of degree three in \( \Gamma_2 \).
First we prove that $A_0$ has two elements. Assuming the contrary, let $A_0 = \{x_p\}$, and let $\varphi_i$ be the vertex of $\Gamma_2$ such that $x_p \in \varphi_i$. Then $\circ \varphi_i = \{x_p, x_q, x_r\}$ and, since $q \neq r$, it is easy to see that either $B = \{x_q\}$ or $B = \{x_q, x_r\}$ is a separating set and that $\nu(B) < \nu(A_0)$ — a contradiction.

Let then $A_0 = \{x_p, x_q\}$ and $\varphi_i$ and $\varphi_j$ be the vertices of $\Gamma_2$ such that $x_p \in \varphi_i$ and $x_q \in \varphi_j$. We must have $\varphi_i \neq \varphi_j$, because otherwise the third edge $e_3$ of $\circ \varphi_i$ would make a separating set with $\nu(\{x_p\}) < \nu(A_0)$. Considering $x_p$ and $x_q$ as vertices of both $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$, let $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ be obtained by identifying $x_p$ with $x_q$ in $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ respectively. Call the new vertices $y_1$ and $y_2$. Obviously, both $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ are of the form $\Gamma(\Phi')$ for some croq $\Phi'$ and $K_A$ cannot be embedded in either of them.

Now $\Gamma_2$ contains only two vertices of degree three. For otherwise there would be a separating set in $\Gamma_2$. If $B$ is such, and if $B \neq y_2$, then $B$ is a separating set for $\Gamma(\Phi)$ too with $\nu(B) < \nu(A_0)$. If $B = \{y_2, x_s\}$, then $B' = \{x_p, x_s\}$ is a separating set for $\Gamma(\Phi)$ with $\nu(B') < \nu(A_0)$.

Thus $\varphi_i$ and $\varphi_j$ are the only vertices of degree in $\Gamma_2$. Hence $\circ \varphi_i = \{x_p, x_r, x_s\}$ and $\circ \varphi_j = \{x_q, x_r, x_s\}$ for some $x_r, x_s$. We may assume $i, j > 0$; otherwise we would consider an equivalent croq $\Phi_k = (\bigwedge_{i \neq k} \varphi_i \Rightarrow \varphi_k, k \neq i, j$. The quasi-group $\Phi'$ obtained by removing $\varphi_i$ and $\varphi_j$ from $\Phi$ contains one occurrence of each $x_p$ and $x_q$. Let $\Phi_1$ be obtained from $\Phi'$ by replacing $x_p$ and $x_q$ in $\Phi'$ by $y_1$. Then $\Phi_1$ is a croq written in variables $X = \{x_p, x_q, x_r, x_s\} \cup \{y_1\}$ and $\Gamma(\Phi_1) = \Gamma_1$.
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**Figure 2.**

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_6$ be edges of $\Gamma(\Phi)$ as depicted in Fig. 2. From $\lambda(e_1) \neq \lambda(e_2)$, $\lambda(e_4) \neq \lambda(e_5)$ and $\lambda(e_4e_5^{-1}e_6e_2^{-1}) = 1$ it follows that $\lambda(e_1) = \lambda(e_4)$ and $\lambda(e_2) = \lambda(e_5)$, whence also $\lambda(e_3) = \lambda(e_6)$. Therefore, $\varphi_i$ is obtained from $\varphi_j$ by replacing $x_p$ by $x_q$ and so $\varphi_i \wedge \varphi_j \Rightarrow x_p = x_q$ is an implication true on all quasigroups. It follows that $\Phi$ is a consequence of $\Phi_1$, so $\Phi$ is true on all quasigroups provided $\Phi_1$ is.

Thus, it only remains to prove $N(\Phi_1) = \{1\}$. If $\omega$ is a closed path in $\Gamma_1$ which does not pass through $y_1$ then $\omega$ can be considered as a path in $\Gamma(\Phi)$; so $\gamma(\omega) = 1$. If $\omega$ is a simple closed path in $\Gamma(\Phi_1)$ starting at $y_1$, then either $\omega e_1^{-1}e_4e_1^{-1}$ or $\omega e_5^{-1}e_1e_4^{-1}e_6$ is a closed path in $\Gamma(\Phi)$, and since $\gamma(e_6^{-1}e_1e_4^{-1}e_6) = \gamma(e_3^{-1}e_1e_4^{-1}e_6) = 1$, it follows that $\gamma(\omega) = 1$ in this case too.
Example. Consider the identity \( x_1 y_1 / (x_3 \setminus x_2 y_1) = (y_2 x_2 / x_3) \setminus y_2 x_1 \). The quasiidentity associated with it is 
\[ x_1 y_1 = z_1 \land x_2 y_1 = t_1 \land x_3 u_1 = t_1 \land u v_1 = z_1 \land y_2 x_1 = z_2 \land y_2 x_2 = t_2 \land u_3 x_3 = t_2 \Rightarrow u v = z_2, \]
the graph of which is depicted on Fig. 3. This is the smallest example showing that \( N(\Phi) = \{1\} \Rightarrow \Phi \) is isotopy invariant” is not true in general. (We have just proved this under the additional assumption that \( K_4 \) cannot be embedded in \( \Gamma(\Phi) \).) Indeed, \( N(\Phi) = \{1\} \) is visible from Fig. 3. On the other hand, the identity above is true on every Abelian group, but not on the isotope of the additive group of real numbers defined by \( x * y = x + \sqrt{y} \).

REFERENCES