## COMMUTATIVE WEAK GENERALIZED INVERSES OF A SQUARE MATRIX AND SOME RELATED MATRIX EQUATIONS

## Jovan D. Kečkić

**Abstract.** The chief concern of this paper is the existence and the construction of all weak generalized inverses which commute with the original matrix; in other words we are concerned with the system AXA = A, AX = XA. Some other matrix systems and equations are also considered.

**1.** The (unique) generalized inverse of an arbitrary  $m \times n$  complex matrix A is defined (see [1]) as the  $n \times m$  matrix  $A^+$  which satisfies the conditions:

(1) 
$$AA^+A = A$$
,  $A^+AA^+ = A^+$ ,  $AA^+$  and  $A^+A$  are Hermitian.

However, in various applications (particularly in solving linear matrix equations) it is not necessary to use the generalized inverse  $A^+$ . Instead, it is enough to take a matrix which satisfies only the first of the conditions (1), i.e. a matrix  $\overline{A}$  such that

We note in passing that Bjerhammar [2] defined by the first equality of (1) the generalized inverses, and by the first two equalities of (1) the reciprocal inverses of the given matrix A.

A matrix  $\overline{A}$  satisfying (2) will be called here a weak generalized inverse of A (w.g.i. of A). Unless A is a regular matrix (in which case the only w.g.i. is the inverse  $A^{-1}$ ), any matrix A has an infinity of w.g.i.'s. We shall first investigate whether among them there exists a w.g.i.  $\overline{A}$  which commutes with A, i.e. whether there exists a matrix  $\overline{A}$  which satisfies (2) and also

Notice that possible commutative reciprocal inverses of A, i.e. solutions of the system

$$AXA = A, \quad XAX = X, \quad AX = XA$$

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 15A09, 15A24.

J. Kečkić

were considered e.g. in [3] or [4].

We shall characterize commutative w.g.i.'s, and more generally solutions of the system in X:

$$AXA = A, \quad A^k X = XA^k \qquad (k \in N)$$

by means of the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of A. Such an approach was not, as far as we know, employed before.

The existence of a commutative w.g.i. facilitates certain problems. For instance, in that case a w.g.i. of  $A^n$  is  $\overline{A}^n$   $(n \in N)$ ; the equations  $A^n X = 0$  and AX = 0 are equivalent for all  $n \in N$ ; equalities of the form  $A^m Y = A^n Z$  can be canceled by A (m, n integers > 1), and so on.

Naturally, the search for commutative w.g.i.'s restricts us to square matrices. We also exclude from our considerations regular matrices.

2. Suppose that A is a singular square matrix. We may take that the minimal polynomial of A has the form

(4) 
$$m(\lambda) = \lambda^n + \alpha_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1\lambda$$

since the existence of the constant term  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$  would imply that A is regular.

THEOREM 1. The matrix A with the minimal polynomial (4) has a commutative w.g.i. if and only if  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , it is easily verified that the matrix  $\overline{A}$  defined by

 $\overline{A} = -(1/\alpha_1)(A^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-1}A^{n-3} + \dots + \alpha_2 I)$ 

is a commutative w.g.i. of A.

Conversely, suppose that  $\overline{A}$  is a commutative w.g.i. of A and that  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . Then from the equality

$$A^{n} + \alpha_{n-1}A^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{2}A^{2} = 0.$$

after multiplying by  $\overline{A}$ , and noting that  $\overline{A}A^k = A^{k-1}$  (k = 2, 3, ...) follows

$$A^{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}A^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha_2A = 0,$$

implying that (4) is not the minimal polynomial of A. This completes the proof.

As a direct consequence of the above theorem we obtain

THEOREM 2. If  $\overline{A}$  is a commutative w.g.i. of A, then there exist a commutative w.g.i.  $\overline{A}_0$  and a polynomial P such that  $\overline{A}_0 = P(A)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\overline{A}$  is a commutative w.g.i. of A, then the minimal polynomial of A has the form (4), with  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . But then the polynomial  $P(\lambda) = -\alpha_1^{-1}(\lambda^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-1}\lambda^{n-3} + \cdots + \alpha_2)$  is such that  $\overline{A}_0 = P(A)$  is a commutative w.g.i. of A.

40

The above theorem suggests the question: If  $\overline{A}$  is a commutative w.g.i. of A, does there exist a polynomial P such that  $\overline{A} = P(A)$ ? The answer is negative. Indeed, the matrix

$$\overline{A} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 4 & -5 \\ -2 & -2 & 7 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

is a commutative w.g.i. of

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

but it cannot be expressed in the form of a polynomial in A. In fact, since  $A^2 = 3A$ , any polynomial in A can be reduced to a polynomial of the form  $\alpha A + \beta I$ . But then it easily verified that there are no  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\overline{A} = \alpha A + \beta I$ .

At the end of this section we deduce a formula which enables us to write down all commutative w.g.i.'s of a given matrix, provided that one of them is known.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that  $\overline{A}$  is a commutative w.g.i. of A. Then all the solutions of the system in X:

are given by

(6) 
$$X = \overline{A}A\overline{A} + T - \overline{A}AT - TA\overline{A} + \overline{A}ATA\overline{A},$$

where T is an arbitrary matrix.

*Proof.* The proof is based on the fact that the general solutions of the equations in X:

$$AXA = A, \quad AXB = 0$$

are given by

$$X = \overline{A}A\overline{A} + U - \overline{A}AUA\overline{A}, \quad X = U - \overline{A}AUB\overline{B},$$

respectively, where  $\overline{A}, \overline{B}$  are w.g.i's of A and B, and U is an arbitrary matrix.

In order to solve (5), we substitute the general solution

(7) 
$$X = \overline{A}A\overline{A} + U - \overline{A}AUA\overline{A}$$

where U is arbitrary, of the first equation into the second equation of the system, to obtain the following equation in U:

$$AU - AUA\overline{A} = UA - \overline{A}AUA.$$

The equation (8), when multiplied by A from the right becomes

$$UA^2 - \overline{A}AUA^2 = 0,$$

and again multiplying by  $\overline{A}$  we obtain

 $UA - \overline{A}AUA = 0$ , i.e.,  $(I - \overline{A}A)UA = 0$ ,

and since I is a w.g.i. of  $I - \overline{A}A$ , its general solution is

(9) 
$$U = V - (I - \overline{A}A)VA\overline{A},$$

where V is an arbitrary matrix. We now substitute (9) into (8), to obtain the following equation in V:

$$AV - AVA\overline{A} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$AV(I - A\overline{A}) = 0.$$

The general solution of the last equation is

(10) 
$$V = T - \overline{A}AT(I - A\overline{A}),$$

where T is an arbitrary matrix. From (7), (9) and (10) we conclude that (5) implies (6). Conversely, it is easily verified that (6) is a solution of (5), and the proof is complete.

**3.** As we have seen, a matrix A need not have a commutative w.g.i. We therefore investigate whether for a given matrix A it is possible to find a matrix X which satisfies the weaker conditions:

$$AXA = A, \quad A^k X = XA^k,$$

for some k > 1. Such matrices are called k-commutative w.g.i.'s of A (of course, we suppose that k is the smallest positive integer such that (11) holds). Note that systems of the form

$$AXA = A, \quad XAX = X, \quad A^kX = XA^k, \quad AX^k = X^kA$$

were considered by Erdelyi [5].

As before, we suppose that

(12) 
$$\lambda^n + \alpha_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1\lambda$$

is the minimal polynomial of A.

THEOREM 4. If the matrix A has a k-commutative w.g.i. then at least one of the coefficients  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$  differs from zero.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. Namely, suppose that  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_k = 0$ , so that

(13) 
$$A^{n} + \alpha_{n-1}A^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{k+1}A^{k+1} = 0.$$

If there exists a matrix X which satisfies (11), then multiplying (13) by X, and noting that from (11) follows  $XA^m = A^{m-1}$  for  $m \ge k+1$ , we see that (13) reduces

to  $A^{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}A^{n-2} + \cdots + \alpha_{k+1}A^k = 0$ , implying that (11) is not the minimal polynomial of A.

THEOREM 5. Suppose that k is the smallest positive integer  $(1 \le k \le n-1)$ such that  $\alpha_k \neq 0$ . Then there exists a k-commutative w.g.i. of A.

*Proof.* Since the minimal polynomial of A is

 $\lambda^n + \alpha_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_k\lambda^k \quad (\alpha_k \neq 0),$ 

the matrix A is similar to a Jordan matrix J, which can be written in the form  $J = T \oplus R$ , where T is a Jordan matrix of order  $\geq k$ , with zeros on the diagonal, and R is a regular matrix. Moreover,  $T^k = 0$ , and so  $J^k = 0 \oplus R^k$ 

Therefore, if T is a w.g.i. of T, then  $\overline{J} = \overline{T}U \oplus R^{-1}$  is a w.g.i. of J, and  $\overline{J}$ commutes with  $J^k$  since

$$J^k\overline{J} = (0\oplus R^k)(\overline{T}\oplus R^{-1}) = 0\oplus R^{k-1} = \overline{J}J^k$$

Now since A is similar to J, there exists a regular matrix S such that A = $SJS^{-1}$ . But then the matrix X defined by  $X = \overline{SJS^{-1}}$  satisfies both equations (11), which is easily verified.

From Theorems 4 and 5 we obtain

THEOREM 6. Let  $1 \le k \le n-1$  and suppose that  $\alpha_1 = \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} = 0$ . Then the matrix A has a k-commutative w.g.i. if and only if  $\alpha_k \neq 0$ .

Again, we can deduce a formula which gives all k-commutative w.g.i.'s of A, provided that one of them is known.

THEOREM 7. If  $\overline{A}$  is a k-commutative w.g.i. of A, then all the solutions of the system (11) are given by

(14)  $X = \overline{A}A\overline{A} + T - TA^k\overline{A}^k + \overline{A}ATA^k\overline{A}^k - \overline{A}^kA^kT + \overline{A}^kA^kT\overline{A}\overline{A} - \overline{A}ATA\overline{A}.$ 

where T is an arbitrary matrix.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3, and we therefore omit it. It should only be noted that from  $A\overline{A}A = A$ ,  $A^k\overline{A} = \overline{A}A^k$  follows that  $\overline{A}^k$  is a w.g.i. of  $A^k$ .

*Remark.* For k = 1 formula (14) reduces to formula (6).

4. Notice that Theorems 3 and 7 can be carried over to arbitrary rings. Indeed, if  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring, and if  $\overline{a}$  is a solution of the system in x:

$$axa = a, \quad a^k x = xa^k \qquad (k \in N, a \in R \text{ fixed}),$$

then all the solutions of that system are given by

. .

$$x = \overline{a}a\overline{a} + t - ta^k\overline{a}^k + \overline{a}ata^k\overline{a}^k - \overline{a}^ka^kt + \overline{a}^ka^kta\overline{a} - \overline{a}ata\overline{a},$$

J. Kečkić

where  $t \in R$  is arbitrary.

5. Commutative and k-commutative w.g.i.'s can be used to solve various matrix equations. As an example we consider the equation in X:

(15) 
$$A^m X A^n = c A^p,$$

where m, n are nonnegative integers, p is a positive integer, and c is a complex number. If  $\overline{A}$  is a commutative w.g.i. of A, then the general solution of the equation (15) is given by

(16) 
$$X = c\overline{A}^m A^p \overline{A}^n + T - \overline{A}^m T A^n \overline{A}^n,$$

where T is an arbitrary matrix.

However, if A does not have a commutative w.g.i., but only a k-commutative w.g.i. (k > 1), then the equation (15) can be solved by this method provided that one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- (i)  $k \leq \min(m, n, p);$
- (ii)  $k \le \min(m, p)$   $n \in \{0, 1\};$
- (iii)  $k \le \min(n, p), m \in \{0, 1\};$
- (iv)  $k \le p \quad m, n \in \{0, 1\},\$

and the general solution of (15) in all those cases is again (16).

The equation (15) can be treated analogously in an arbitrary ring, provided that c is an integer.

## REFERENCES

- R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 51(1955), 406– 413.
- [2] A. Bjerhammar, A generalized matrix algebra, Kungl. Tekn. Hogsk. Handl., Stockholm, 1958.
- [3] M.J. Englefield, The commuting inverses of a square matrix, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 62(1966), 667-671.
- [4] I. Erdelyi, On the matrix equation  $Ax = \lambda Bx$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 17(1967), 119-132.
- [5] I. Erdelyi, The quasi-commuting inverses for a synare matrix, Rend. Sci. Fis. Mat. Lincei 42(1967), 626-633.

Tikveška 2 11000 Beograd (Received 19 04 1985)