

## ON THE MINIMAL DISTANCE OF THE ZEROS OF A POLYNOMIAL

Slaviša B. Prešić

1. Let

$$(1) \quad p(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\nu} x^{\nu}, \quad (a_{\nu} \in C, \quad a_n \neq 0)$$

be a complex polynomial whose zeros  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are mutually distinct. In this paper we give a method of finding some positive lower bounds of

$$(2) \quad \min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j|.$$

2. In the sequel we shall use some well known facts about polynomials. Let  $p(x) = a_0 + \dots + a_n x^n$  ( $a_n \neq 0$ ) be any complex polynomial. There are many known formulas ([1], [2]) of the type

$$(3) \quad |x_i| \leq M \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are all zeros of  $p(x)$  and  $M$  is a positive constant. So, a classical result due to Cauchy [1] is

$$(4) \quad |x_i| \leq 1 + \max_{1 \leq i < n} (|a_i|/|a_n|)$$

We emphasize that in this case, and the same is almost ever,  $M$  has the following property

$$(5) \quad M \text{ is an increasing function in each } |a_0|/|a_n|, \dots, |a_{n-1}|/|a_n|$$

Let, further, besides  $p(x)$

$$p_1(x) = b_0 + \dots + b_m x^m, \quad (b_m \neq 0)$$

be another complex polynomial. Then there is a polynomial of the form

$$(6) \quad r(x) = c_0 + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$$

such that the equality

$$(7) \quad p_1(x_i) = r(x_i)$$

holds for every zero  $x_i$  of the polynomial  $p(x)$ . In other words we have the following relation

$$p_1(x) \equiv r(x) \pmod{p(x)}$$

There are at least two methods of finding  $r(x)$ : by the division algorithm or by applying, enough number of times, the substitution

$$x^n \rightarrow -a_n^{-1}(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}).$$

Note that for  $r(x)$  we shall also use the notation  $r(p_1(x), p(x))$ .

Suppose now that we would like to have a polynomial  $r(x)$  of the form (6) such that the equality

$$(8) \quad 1/p_1(x_i) = r(x_i)$$

holds for every zero  $x_i$  of  $p(x)$ . Generally such a polynomial  $r(x)$  does not exist. It exists just in the case the polynomials  $p(x)$  and  $1/p_1(x)$  have no common zero, i.e. they are relatively prime polynomials. Then  $r(x)$  can be found by the Euclidean algorithm, for example. Namely, in such a way we can find two polynomials  $e_1(x)$ ,  $e_2(x)$  such that the identity

$$e_1(x)p(x) + e_2(x)p_1(x) = 1$$

holds. Hence we have the equality  $1/p_1(x_i) = e_2(x_i)$  and consequently for  $r(x)$  we may take the polynomial  $r(e_2(x), p(x))$ . Note that for the obtained  $r(x)$ , i.e.  $r(e_2(x), p(x))$  we shall also use the notation as before:  $r(1/p_1(x), p(x))$ . More generally, if  $a(x)/b(x)$  is any rational function, where  $b(x)$  and  $p(x)$  are relatively prime polynomials, then by  $r(a(x)/b(x), p(x))$  will be denoted a polynomial of the form (6) such that the equality  $a(x_i)/b(x_i) = r(x_i)$  holds for every zero  $x_i$  of the polynomial  $p(x)$ . Obviously the polynomial  $r(x)$  is a unique.

*Example 1.* Let

$$(9) \quad p(x) = x^3/3 - x^2 + 2x + 1/3, \quad p_1(x) = x^2 - 2x + 2.$$

Then using the Euclidean algorithm we obtain the following polynomial equalities

$$p(x) = p_1(x)(x-1)/3 + (2x+3)/3, \quad p_1(x) = (2x+3)/3 \cdot (3x/2 - 21/4) + 29/4$$

from which on eliminating the polynomial  $(2x+3)/3$  we infer the equality

$$p(x)(-6x+42)/29 + p_1(x)(2x^2-9x+11)/29 = 1$$

Thus we see that

$$(10) \quad r(1/p_1(x), p(x)) = (2x^2 - 9x + 11)/29$$

**3.** Now we are going to describe, step by step, a method of finding a lower bound of (2) for a given polynomial (1).

*Firstly*, we begin with the Taylor formula

$$p(x) = p(x_i) + (x - x_i)p'(x_i) + \cdots + (x - x_i)^n \frac{p^{(n)}(x_i)}{n!}$$

where  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is fixed. Hence we conclude that the following equation in  $d$

$$(11) \quad d^{n-1} \cdot p'(x_i) + d^{n-2} \cdot p''(x_i) + \cdots + d \cdot \frac{p^{(n-1)}(x_i)}{(n-1)!} + \frac{p^{(n)}(x_i)}{n!} = 0$$

has the zeros  $(x_1 - x_i)^{-1}, \dots, (x_{i-1} - x_i)^{-1}, (x_{i+1} - x_i)^{-1}, \dots, (x_n - x_i)^{-1}$ .

*Secondly*, let

$$(12) \quad M(|p^{(n)}(x_i)/n!p'(x_i)|, |p^{(n-1)}(x_i)/(n-1)!p'(x_i)|, \dots, |p''(x_i)2!p'(x_i)|)$$

be any increasing (in the sense of (5)) upper bound of the moduli of the zeros of the equation (11). Thus, we have the inequality

$$(13) \quad |x_j - x_i| \leq M(|p^{(n)}(x_i)/n!p'(x_i)|, |p^{(n-1)}(x_i)/(n-1)!p'(x_i)|, \dots, |p''(x_i)2!p'(x_i)|)$$

*Thirdly*, suppose that a constant  $A > 0$  is an upper bound of  $|x_i|$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

*Fourthly*, suppose that we have determined the following polynomials

$$r(p^{(n)}(x)/n!p'(x), \quad r(p^{(n-1)}(x)/(n-1)!p'(x), \dots, r(p''(x)/2!p'(x))$$

which exist since  $p(x)$  has mutually distinct zeros. Denote these polynomials by

$$r_n(x), r_{n-1}(x), \dots, r_2(x)$$

respectively.

For any polynomial  $f(x) = f_0 + f_1x + \dots + f_sx^s$  let  $|f|(x)$  denote the polynomial  $|f_0| + |f_1|x + \dots + |f_s|x^s$ .

*Fifthly*, using the monotony of  $M$  and the inequalities  $|x_i| \leq A$  from (13) it follows that

$$|x_j - x_i| \geq (M(|r_n|(A), |r_{n-1}|(A), \dots, |r_2|(A)))^{-1} \quad (i \neq j)$$

which yields our final result.

THEOREM. *The minimal distance of the zeros of the polynomial (1) satisfies the inequality*

$$(14) \quad \min_{j \neq i} |x_j - x_i| \geq (M(|r_n|(A), |r_{n-1}|(A), \dots, |r_2|(A)))^{-1}$$

*Example 2.* Let  $p(x)$  be the polynomial considered in Example 1. Then we have the following equalities

$$p'(x) = p_1(x), \quad p''(x) = 2x - 2, \quad p'''(x) = 2$$

As we have already established we have the equality (see (10))

$$(15) \quad r(1/p'(x), p(x)) = (2x^2 - 9x + 11)/29$$

In the next step we should decide which the  $M$ -formula to use. Let us take the Cauchy's one. So, according to (13) we have the following inequality

$$|x_j - x_i|^{-1} \leq 1 + \max(|p''(x_i)/2p(x_i)|, |p'''(x_i)/6p'(x_i)|)$$

i.e. the inequality

$$|x_j - x_i|^{-1} \leq 1 + \max(|x_i - 1/x_i^2 - 2x_i + 2|, |1/3(x_i^2 - 2x_i + 2)|)$$

Using (15) it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^2 - 2x + 2} &\equiv \frac{2x^2 - 9x + 11}{29} \pmod{p(x)}, \\ \frac{x - 1}{x^2 - 2x + 2} &\equiv \frac{-5x^2 + 8x - 13}{29} \pmod{p(x)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the inequality of the type (14) reads

$$(17) \quad \min_{i \neq j} |x_j - x_i| \leq 1 / \left( 1 + \max \left( \frac{2A^2 + 9A + 11}{29}, \frac{5A^2 + 9A + 13}{29} \right) \right)$$

where  $A$  is an upper bound of  $|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|$ . For instance, using the Cauchy formula (4) we conclude that

$$\min_{i \neq j} |x_j - x_i| \geq 29/350.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Marden, *Geometry of Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1966.
- [2] S. Zervos, *Aspects modernes de la localisation des zéros des polynômes d'une variable*, Thèse Sc. Math., Gauthier-Villars & C<sup>ie</sup>, Paris, 1960.