

## A NOTE ON INVERSE-PRESERVATIONS OF REGULAR OPEN SETS

*Takashi Noiri*

**Abstract.** In this note an example is given in order to show that the following lemma is false (Kovačević [3]): If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an almost-continuous and almost-closed function, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is regular open (resp. regular closed) in  $X$  for every regular open (resp. regular closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**1. Introduction.** In 1974, the present author [5] showed that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an almost-continuous and almost-open function, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is regular open (resp. regular closed) in  $X$  for each regular open (resp. regular closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ . Recently, in [3] Kovačević has established the following lemma:

LEMMA A (Kovačević [3]). *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an almost-continuous and almost-closed function, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is regular open (resp. regular closed) in  $X$  for each regular open (resp. regular closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ .*

By making use of the preceding lemma, in [2] and [3] Kovačević has obtained the following results:

THEOREM B (Kovačević [2, 3]). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an almost-continuous and almost-closed surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Then*

- (1)  *$Y$  is almost-regular if so is  $X$ .*
- (2)  *$Y$  is almost-regular nearly-paracompact if so is  $X$ .*

In this note, we shall give a counterexample to show that Lemma A is false, and hence the proof of Theorem B is false. The present author does not know whether Theorem B is true. However, it will be shown that Theorem B is necessarily true if the assumption *almost-continuous* is replaced by  *$\delta$ -continuous*.

## 2. Definitions

Throughout the present note  $X$  and  $Y$  always represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let  $S$  be a subset of  $X$ . The closure (resp. the interior) of  $S$  will be denoted by  $\text{Cl}(S)$  (resp.  $\text{Int}(S)$ ). A subset  $S$  is said to be *regular closed* (resp. *regular open*) if  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(S)) = S$  (resp.  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(S)) = S$ ). A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -cluster point of  $S$  in  $X$  [13] if  $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every regular open set  $U$  containing  $x$ . If the set of all  $\delta$ -cluster points of  $S$  is contained in  $S$ , then  $S$  is called  $\delta$ -closed in  $X$ . The complement of a  $\delta$ -closed set is called  $\delta$ -open. Thus a  $\delta$ -open set is the union of a family of regular open sets.

DEFINITION 2.1. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *almost-closed* (resp. *almost-open*) [12] if for every regular closed (resp. regular open) set  $F$  of  $X$ ,  $f(F)$  is closed (resp. open) in  $Y$ .

In [12], it was shown that every closed (resp. open) function is almost-closed (resp. almost-open) but the converses are not true in general.

DEFINITION 2.2. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *almost-continuous* [12] (resp.  $\delta$ -continuous [8]) if for each  $x \in X$  and each open neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$  (resp.  $f(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U))) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$ ).

In [8] and [12], it was shown that almost-continuity is strictly weaker than each of continuity and  $\delta$ -continuity which are independent of each other.

DEFINITION 2.3. A space  $X$  is said to be *almost-regular* [10] if for each regular closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subset U$  and  $x \in V$ .

DEFINITION 2.4. A space  $X$  is said to be *nearly-paracompact* [11] if every regular open cover of  $X$  has an open locally finite refinement.

DEFINITION 2.5. A subset  $K$  of a space  $X$  is said to be  *$N$ -closed relative to  $X$*  [1] if every cover of  $K$  by regular open sets of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

In [3], sets  $N$ -closed relative to a space are called  *$\alpha$ -nearly compact*.

## 3. Results

The following example shows that Lemma A is false.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  be a function defined by  $f(a) = f(b) = a$  and  $f(c) = c$ . Then  $f$  is almost-continuous and almost closed. Moreover,  $f$  is continuous, closed and  $\delta$ -continuous. However,  $f^{-1}(\{a\})$  is not regular open in  $(X, \tau)$  although  $\{a\}$  is a regular open set of  $(X, \tau)$ . It should be noticed that  $f$  is not almost-open.

A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\delta$ -closed [7] if for each  $\delta$ -closed set  $F$  of  $X$ ,  $f(F)$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Every  $\delta$ -closed function is almost-closed but the converse is not true in general as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be the space in Example 3.1. Let  $Y = \{x, y\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, Y\}$ . We define a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by  $f(a) = f(b) = x$  and  $f(c) = y$ . Then  $f$  is almost-closed but is not  $\delta$ -closed.

A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *super-continuous* [4] if for each  $x \in X$  and each open neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U))) \subset V$ . It is known that super-continuity implies  $\delta$ -continuity but the converse is not true in general [8, Example 4.4].

REMARK 3.3. Since  $f$  in Example 3.1 is super-continuous and  $\delta$ -closed, we observe that Lemma A is false even when the assumption *almost-continuous* and *almost-closed* is replaced by *super-continuous* and  *$\delta$ -closed*.

By using Lemma A, Kovačević has proved Theorem B and hence the proof is false. The present author does not know whether Theorem B is true. However, we can show that Theorem B is necessarily true if the assumption *almost-continuous* is replaced by  *$\delta$ -continuous*.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\delta$ -continuous and almost-closed surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . If  $X$  is almost-regular, then so is  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a regular open set of  $Y$  and  $y \in V$ . Since  $f$  is  $\delta$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $X$  [8, Theorem 2.2]. For each  $x \in f^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ , there exists a regular open set  $W_x$  such that  $x \in W_x \subset f^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $X$  is almost-regular, by Theorem 2.2 of [10] there exists a regular open set  $U_x$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U_x \subset \text{Cl}(U_x) \subset W_x$ . The family  $\{U_x \mid x \in f^{-1}(y)\}$  is a cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $K$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset \cup\{U_x \mid x \in K\}$ . Put  $U = \text{Int}(\cup\{\text{Cl}(U_x) \mid x \in K\})$ . Then  $U$  is regular open in  $X$  and  $f^{-1}(y) \subset U \subset \text{Cl}(U) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $f$  is almost-closed,  $f(\text{Cl}(U))$  is closed in  $Y$  and there exists an open set  $G$  of  $Y$  such that  $y \in G$  and  $f^{-1}(G) \subset U$  [5, Lemma 3]. Therefore, we have  $y \in G \subset f(U) \subset f(\text{Cl}(U)) \subset V$ . Consequently, we obtain  $y \in G \subset \text{Cl}(G) \subset V$ . It follows from Theorem 2.2 of [10] that  $Y$  is almost-regular.

COROLLARY 3.5. (Noiri [9]). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\delta$ -continuous and  $\delta$ -perfect surjection. If  $X$  is almost-regular, then so is  $Y$ .*

PROOF. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\delta$ -perfect if and only if  $f$  is  $\delta$ -closed and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$  [7, Theorem 3.5]. Since every  $\delta$ -closed function, is almost-closed, this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\delta$ -continuous almost-closed surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . If  $X$  is nearly-paracompact almost-regular, then so is  $Y$ .*

PROOF. Almost-regular of  $Y$  follows from Theorem 3.4. We shall show near-paracompactness of  $Y$  by using the fact that an almost-regular space  $Y$  is nearly-paracompact if and only if every regular open cover of  $Y$  has a locally finite refinement [11, Theorem 1.5]. Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in \omega\}$  be any regular open cover of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is  $\delta$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \{f^{-1}(V_\beta) \mid \beta \in \omega\}$  is a  $\delta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is nearly-paracompact, by Lemma 1 of [9]  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  has a regular open locally finite refinement  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Since  $f$  is almost-closed and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $f(\mathcal{U}) = \{f(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a locally finite refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$  [6, Lemma 2]. This shows that  $Y$  is nearly-paracompact.

COROLLARY 3.7. (Noiri [9]). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\delta$ -continuous  $\delta$ -perfect surjection. If  $X$  is nearly-paracompact almost-regular, then so is  $Y$ .*

PROOF. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Carnahan, *Locally nearly-compact spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) **6** (1972), 146–153.
- [2] I. Kovačević, *Locally nearly paracompact spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **29** (43) (1981), 117–124.
- [3] I. Kovačević, *Almost continuity and nearly (almost) paracompactness*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **30** (44) (1981), 73–79.
- [4] B.M. Munshi and D.S. Bassan, *Super-continuous mappings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1982), 229–236.
- [5] T. Noiri, *Almost-continuity and some separation axioms*, Glasnik Mat. **9** (29) (1974), 131–135.
- [6] T. Noiri, *Completely continuous images of nearly paracompact spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **1** (14) (29) (1977), 59–64.
- [7] T. Noiri, *A generalization of perfect functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **17** (1978), 540–544.
- [8] T. Noiri, *On  $\delta$ -continuous functions*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **16** (1980), 161–166.
- [9] T. Noiri, *A note on nearly-paracompact spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **5** (18) (33) (1981), 103–108.
- [10] M.K. Singal and S.P. Arya, *On almost-regular spaces*, Mat. **4** (24) (1969), 89–99.
- [11] M.K. Singal and S.P. Arya, *On nearly paracompact spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **6** (21) (1969), 3–16.
- [12] M.K. Singal and A.R. Singal, *Almost continuous mappings*, Yokohama Math. J. **16** (1968), 63–73.
- [13] N.V. Veličko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **78** (1968), 103–118.

Department of Mathematics  
Yatsushiro College of Technology  
Yatsushiro-shi, Kumamoto-ken  
866 Japan

(Received 30 08 1983)