## NOTE ON THE CIRCUITS OF A PERFECT MATROID DESIGN

## Dănuț Marcu

**Abstract**. For a perfect matroid design M(E, r) on a finite set E with r as a rank function and  $B \subseteq E$  a basis of M(E, r), the number of circuits of cardinality r(E) + 1 containing B is given.

**Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper we use some notions and results according to the standard literature on matroid theory (e.g., see [1, 2]). Let E be a finite set and M(E, r) a matroid on E with r as a rank function  $(r: \mathcal{P}(E) \to N)$ , where **N** is the set of non-negative integers and  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  the power set of E). A subset  $S \subseteq E$  is called *independent* if r(S) = |S|, where |S| denotes the cardinality of S, a basis of M(E, r) being a maximal independent subset of E. A subset  $S \subseteq E$  is called *dependent* if  $r(S) \leq |S|$ , a circuit of M(E, r) being a minimal dependent subset of E. The span S of a subset  $S \subseteq E$  is

$$\bar{S} = \{ e \in E : r(S \cup \{e\}) = r(S) \}.$$

For any integer  $1 \le k \le r(E)$  we consider the set

$$CL[M(E,r),k] = \{S \subseteq E: S = \bar{S}, r(S) = k\},\$$

and M(E, r) is called a *perfect matroid design* if every set of CL[M(E, r), k] has a common cardinal c(k),  $1 \le k \le r(E)$ . In the sequel we shall use without proofs (e.g., see [1, 2]) the following well-known results from matroid theory:

- (a)  $r(S) = r(\overline{S})$  for each  $S \subseteq E$ ,
- (b) if C is a circuit of M(E, r), and  $e \in C$ , then  $e \in \overline{C \{e\}}$ ,
- (c) if B is a basis of M(E, r), then  $\overline{B} = E$ ,
- (d) if C is a circuit of M(E, r), then r(C) = |C| 1,
- (e) if B is a basis of M(E, r) and  $e \in E B$ , then there exists a unique circuit C(e, B) such that  $e \in C(e, B) \subseteq B \cup \{e\}$ .

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 05 Bxx.

## Dănuț Marcu

The main result. Throughout, M(E, r) will be a perfect matroid design on E and  $B \subseteq E$  an arbitrary fixed basis of M(E, r), the following sets of pairs being used:

$$A(B,k) = \{(F,e): F \subseteq B, |F| = k, e \in \overline{F}\}, \text{ for any } 1 \le k \le r(E),$$
$$A(B) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{r(E)} A(B,k),$$
$$A(B,e) = \{(F,e): (F,e) \in A(B)\}, \text{ for each } e \in E,$$

 $A(B,k,e) = \{(F,e) \colon (F,e) \in A(B), |F| = k\}, \text{ for each } e \in E \text{ and any } 1 \le k \le r(E).$ 

Obviously, according to (a) and (c) we have

$$|A(B,k)| = \binom{r(E)}{k} c(k), \text{ for any } 1 \le k \le r(E).$$
(1)

Considering the function  $\varepsilon: A(B) \to \{-1, 1\}$  defined by  $\varepsilon[(F, e)] = (-1)^{r(E)-k}$ , where  $(F, e) \in A(B, k)$ , we obtain from (1)

$$\sum_{(F,e)\in A(B)} \varepsilon[(F,e)] = \sum_{k=1}^{r(E)} (-1)^{r(E)-k} \binom{r(E)}{k} c(k).$$
(2)

 $\text{For each } e \in E \text{ let } \alpha(e,B) = \sum_{(F,e) \in A(B,e)} \varepsilon[(F,e)].$ 

LEMMA 1. If  $e \in B$ , then  $\alpha(e, B) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By (a), (c) and the definition of A(B, k, e), if  $e \in B$ , then

$$|A(B,k,e)| = \binom{r(E)-1}{k-1}$$
, for any  $1 \le k \le r(E)$ .

Thus

$$\alpha(e,B) = \sum_{k=1}^{r(E)} (-1)^{r(E)-k} \binom{r(E)-1}{k-1} = (1-1)^{r(E)-1} = 0.$$

LEMMA 2. If  $e \in E - B$ , then  $\alpha(e, B) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

*Proof.* Let C(e, B) be as in (e) and |C(e, B)| = p. Obviously,  $p \le r(E) + 1$  by (a), (c) and (e). Therefore, if  $F \subseteq B$ , then by (b) and (d) we have

$$(F, e) \in A(B) \Leftrightarrow C(e, B) \subseteq F \cup \{e\}.$$

Hence

$$|A(B,k,e)| = \begin{cases} \binom{r(E)-p+1}{k-p+1}, & \text{if } p-1 \le k \le r(E), \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \le k \le p-2, \end{cases}$$

10

that is,

$$\alpha(e,B) = \sum_{k=p-1}^{r(E)} (-1)^{r(E)-k} \binom{r(E)-p+1}{k-p+1} \text{ or } \alpha(e,B) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-m} \binom{n}{m},$$

where n = r(E) - p + 1 and m = k - p + 1. Consequently

$$\alpha(e,B) = \begin{cases} (1-1)^n = 0, & \text{if } n > 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Remark.* From the above lemmas it follows that  $\alpha(e, B) = 1$  iff  $e \notin B$  and |C(e, B) = r(E) + 1, that is, iff  $B \cup \{e\}$  is a circuit of M(E, r) by (d), (a) and (c).

Let us denote by  $\omega[B, r(E) + 1]$  the number of circuits of cardinality r(E) + 1 containing B.

THEOREM.

$$\omega[B, r(E) + 1] = \sum_{k=1}^{r(E)} (-1)^{r(E)-k} \binom{r(E)}{k} c(k).$$

*Proof.* It follows from (2) and remark since

$$\sum_{e \in E} \alpha(e, B) = \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{(F, e) \in A(B, e)} \varepsilon[(F, e)] = \sum_{(F, e) \in A(B)} c[(F, e)]$$

## REFERENCES

- R. von Randow, Introduction to the Theory of Matroids, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
- [2] D.J.A. Welsh, Matroid Theory, Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco, 1976.

(Received 24 05 1983)

Faculty of Mathematics University of Bucharest Academiei 14 70109-Bucharest Romania