

## ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF INNER-PRODUCT SPACES

O. P. Kapoor and Jagadish Prasad

**Abstract.** The generalized inner-product  $(x, y)$  in a normed linear space  $X$  is the right Gateaux derivative of the functional  $\|x\|^2/2$  at  $x$  in the direction of  $y$ . The orthogonality relation for the generalized inner-product is  $x \perp_G y \Leftrightarrow (x, y) = 0$ . Tapia has proved that  $X$  must be an inner-product space if the generalized inner-product is either symmetric or linear in  $y$ , and Detlef Laugwitz showed that if dimension  $X \geq 3$  and the orthogonality for generalized inner-product is symmetric, then  $X$  is an inner-product space. In this note we discuss this orthogonality relation and provide alternative proofs of the results of Tapia and Laugwitz.

Let  $X$  be a real normed space and let  $g(x) = \|x\|$  be the norm functional.  $\dot{q}_+(x, y)$  ( $\dot{q}_-(x, y)$ ) is the right (left) Gateaux derivative of  $q$  at  $x$  in the direction of  $y$ . The right Gateaux derivative of the functional  $x \rightarrow g^2(x)/2$  at  $x$  in the direction of  $y$  is called the generalized inner-product of  $x$  with  $y$  and is denoted by  $(x, y)$ . We will say  $x$  is  $G$ -orthogonal to  $y$  ( $x \perp_G y$ ) if  $(x, y) = 0$ . Since  $(x, y) = \|x\| \dot{q}_+(x, x)$ ,  $x \perp_G y \Leftrightarrow$  either  $x = 0$  or  $\dot{q}_+(x, y) = 0$ .

The following lemma collects some of the well-known properties of the Gateaux derivatives of the norm.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $x \neq 0$ ,  $y, z \in X$  and  $a$  and  $b \geq 0$  be numbers. Then*

- (i)  $\dot{q}_+(x, y + z) \leq \dot{q}_+(x, y) + \dot{q}_+(x, z)$ .
- (ii)  $\dot{q}_+(x, by) = b\dot{q}_+(x, y)$ .
- (iii)  $\dot{q}_+(ax, y) = \dot{q}_+(x, y)$ , for  $a > 0$ ;  
 $= -\dot{q}_-(x, y)$ , for  $a < 0$ .
- (iv)  $-\dot{q}_+(x, -y) = \dot{q}_-(x, y) \leq \dot{q}_+(x, y)$ .
- (v)  $\dot{q}_+(x, \cdot)$  is a linear functional if and only if  
 $\dot{q}_+(x, \cdot) = \dot{q}_-(x, \cdot)$ .
- (vi)  $|\dot{q}_+(x, y)| \leq \|y\|$ .
- (vii)  $\dot{q}_+(x, ax + by) = a\|x\| + b\dot{q}_+(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* See James [3, page 272].

Let us recall the notion of orthogonality in a normed linear space suggested by Birkhoff [1] and discussed by James [3]. We say  $x$  is  $J$ -orthogonal to  $y$  ( $x \perp_J y$ ) if  $\|x + kx\| \geq \|x\|$  for all real  $k$ . Some of the useful facts about  $J$ -orthogonality are given in the following:

LEMMA 2. (i)  $x \perp_J y \Rightarrow ax \perp_J by$  for all  $a$  and  $b$ .

(ii) For  $0 \neq x$  and  $y \in X$ , there exist numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $x \perp_J ax + y$  and  $bx + y \perp_J x$ .

(iii) The number  $a$  (respectively  $b$ ) in (ii) is unique if and only if the space  $X$  is smooth (respectively strictly convex).

(iv)  $x \perp_J y$  if and only if  $\acute{q}_+(x, y) \geq 0$  and  $\acute{q}_+(x, -y) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* See James [3].

THEOREM 1. If  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly independent elements of  $X$ , then there exists a unique number  $b$  such that  $x \perp_G bx + y$ .

*Proof.* Take  $b = -\acute{q}_+(x, y)/\|x\|$ . Then  $\acute{q}_+(x, bx + y) = b\|x\| + \acute{Q}_+(x, y) = 0$ . Thus  $x \perp_G bx + y$ . The uniqueness of  $b$  also follows.

For  $G$ -orthogonality there may be no number  $b$  such that  $bx + y \perp_G x$ , as the following example shows.

*Example 1.* Consider  $R^2$  with the norm  $\|(x_1, x_2)\| = |x_1| + |x_2|$ . Let  $x = (1, 0)$  and  $y = (0, 1)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \acute{q}_+(sx + y, x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} (\|(s+t, 1)\| - \|(s, 1)\|)/t \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} (|s+t| - |s|)/t = 1, \text{ for } s \geq 0; \\ &= -1, \text{ for } s < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\acute{q}_+(sx + y, x) \neq 0$  for all  $s$ .

THEOREM 2. (i)  $X$  is smooth if and only if  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \perp_G y \Rightarrow x \perp_G y$ .  
(ii)  $X$  is strictly convex if and only if  $\alpha x + y \perp_G x$  and  $\beta x + y \perp_G x \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta$ .

*Proof.* If  $X$  is smooth, then  $x \perp_J y$  if and only if the Gateaux derivative of the norm at  $x$  in the direction of  $y$  is zero. The orthogonalities are the same.

If  $X$  is not smooth, then there exist  $0 \neq x$  and  $y$  such that  $x \perp_J y$  and  $x \perp_J x + y$ . Then  $x \perp_G y$  and  $x \perp_G x + y$ . But that means  $\acute{q}_+(x, y) = 0$  and  $\acute{q}_+(x, x + y) = 0 = \|x\| + \acute{q}_+(x, y)$ , which is false.

(ii) If  $X$  is strictly convex and  $\alpha x + y \perp_G x$ ,  $\beta x + y \perp_G x$ , then  $\alpha x + y \perp_J x$ ,  $\beta x + y \perp_J x$  and therefore  $\alpha = \beta$ . If  $X$  is not strictly convex, then choose  $z$  and  $y$  such that  $\|z\| = \|y\| = \|sz + (1-t)y\| = 1$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . For  $0 < s < 1$

$$\acute{q}_+(s(z-y) + y, z-y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} (\|(s+t)(z-y) + y\| - \|s(z-y) + y\|)/t = 0.$$

Thus  $sx + y \perp_G x$  for  $0 < s < 1$  where  $x = z - y$ . That completes the proof of the theorem.

The following result is Theorem 3.5 of James [3]. In view of the results above, we are able to give a shorter proof of it.

**THEOREM 3.** *Iff in a normed linear space  $X$ , the  $G$ -orthogonality is symmetric ( $x \perp_G y \Rightarrow y \perp_G x$ ), then the  $J$ -orthogonality is also symmetric and  $X$  is both strictly convex and smooth.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are linear independent elements of  $X$  such that  $\alpha x + y \perp_G x$  and  $\beta x + y \perp_G x$ . Then the symmetry of  $G$ -orthogonality  $\alpha = \beta = -\acute{q}_+(x, y)/\|x\|$ . Therefore  $X$  is strictly convex.

Suppose  $X$  is not smooth. Then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \perp_J y$  but not  $x \perp_G y$ . Chose  $b \neq 0$  such that  $y \perp_G by + x$ . Then  $by + x \perp_G y$ . Since  $G$ -orthogonality implies  $J$ -orthogonality therefore  $by + x \perp_J y$  which contradicts the strict convexity of the space. Hence  $X$  is smooth and both of the orthogonalities are the same. That gives the result.

**COROLLARY 1.** (Laugwitz [4, Theorem 4]). *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space of dimension  $\geq 3$ . Then  $X$  is an inner-product space if and only if  $(x, y) = 0$  implies  $(y, x) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is an inner product space, then the generalized inner-product is the inner-product and therefore  $(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow (y, x) = 0$ .

If  $(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow (y, x) = 0$ , then by Theorem 3,  $J$ -orthogonality is symmetric. Since the dimension is greater than two,  $X$  must be the inner-product space (Day [2, Theorem 6.4]).

Tapia [6] proved that  $X$  must be an inner-product space if the generalized inner-product is either linear or symmetric. Laugwitz [4] gave a geometric proof of the same result. In the following we provide another simple proof.

**THEOREM 4.** *For a normed linear space  $X$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is an inner product space
- (ii)  $\|x\| = \|y\| \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|nx + y\| - \|x + ny\|) = 0$
- (iii)  $(x, y) = (y, x)$  for all  $x$  and  $y \in X$
- (iv)  $(x, y)$  is linear in  $x$  for each  $y \in X$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is straightforward.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $\|x\| = \|y\|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= \|x\| \acute{q}_+(x, y) = \|x\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|nx + y\| - \|nx\|) \\ &= \|y\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|nx + y\| - \|ny\|) \\ &= \|y\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|nx + y\| - \|x + ny\| + \|x + ny\| - \|ny\|) \\ &= \|y\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|nx + y\| + \|ny\|) \\ &= \|y\| \acute{q}_+(x, y) = (y, x). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\|x\| \neq \|y\|$ , then  $\| \|x\|y \| = \| \|y\|x \|$  and the argument above yields

$$\begin{aligned}(x, y) &= \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, y) = \dot{q}_+(x, \|x\|y) - \dot{q}_+(\|y\|x, \|x\|y) \\ &= \dot{q}_+(\|x\|y, \|y\|x) + \|y\|\dot{q}_+(y, x) = (y, x).\end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Since  $G$ -orthogonality is symmetric, by Theorem 3,  $X$  is smooth and  $(x, y) = \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, y)$  is linear in  $y$ . From this using (iii) we see that

$$a(x_1, y) + b(x_2, y) = (y, ax_1) + (y, bx_2) = (y, ax_1 + bx_2) = (ax_1 + bx_2, y).$$

Therefore  $(x, y)$  is linear in  $x$  for each  $y \in X$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\|x + y\|\dot{q}_+(x + y, y) &= \|x + y\|\dot{q}_+(x + y, x + y - x) \\ &= \|x + y\|^2 + \|x + y\|\dot{q}_+(x + y, -x) \\ &= \|x + y\|^2 + \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, -x) + \|y\|\dot{q}_+(y, -x)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|x + y\|\dot{q}_+(x + y, y) &= \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, y) - \|y\|\dot{q}_+(y, y) \\ &= \|y\|^2 + \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, y)\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x + y\|^2 &= \|y\|^2 + \|x\|^2 + \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, y) - \|y\|\dot{q}_+(y, -x) \\ &= 2 + \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, y) - \|y\|\dot{q}_+(y, -x).\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  in (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - y\|^2 &= 2 + \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, -y) - \|y\|\dot{q}_+(-y, -x) \\ &= 2 + \|x\|\dot{q}_+(x, -y) + \|y\|\dot{q}_+(y, -x).\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

Adding (3) and (4) yields

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 4 + (\dot{q}_+(x, y) + \dot{q}_+(x, -y)) \geq 4.$$

Thus, if in the space  $X$  (iv) holds, then

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \geq 4,\tag{S}$$

which is a characterization of inner product spaces due to Scheonberg [5]. That completes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark.* The implication (ii) of Theorem 4 is due to James [3, Theorem 6.3]. Our proof is different.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Orthogonality in linear metric spaces*, Duke Math. J. **1** (1953), 169–172.

- [2] M. Day, *Some characterizations of inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1947), 320–337.
- [3] R.C. James, *Orthogonality and linear functional in normed linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1947), 265–292.
- [4] L. Detlef, *On characterizations of inner product spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1975), 184–188.
- [5] I.J. Scheonberg, *A remark on M. M. Day's characterization of Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 961–964.
- [6] R.A. Tapia, *A characterization of inner product spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 530–531. Extended version. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 569–574.

Department of Mathematics  
I. I. T. Kanpur, India

(Received 10 01 1980)