PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE Nouvelle série, tome 33 (47), 1983, pp. 225

ON ANTI-INVERSE RINGS

Hisao Tominaga

Following B. Cerović [1], a ring $R (\neq 0)$ is called an anti-inverse ring if every element x in R has an anti-inverse $x^* : x^*xx^* = x$ and $xx^*x = x$. If R is an anti-inverse ring, then so is every non-zero homomorphic image of R, and $x^2 = x^{*2} = (xx^*)^2 = (x^*x)^2$ and $x = x^{*2}xx^{*2} = x^5$ for any $x \in R$; in particular, R is a strongly regular ring.

The present objective is to prove neatly the following proposition which covers all the results in [1].

PROPOSITION. The following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an anti-inverse ring.
- (2) R is a subdirect sum of GF(2)'s and GF(3)'s
- (3) R satisfies the polynomial identity $x^3 x = 0$.

Proof. Obviously, $(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$. It remains therefore to prove that (1)implies (2). Without loss of generality, we may assume that R is subdirectly irreducible. Then, we can easily see that the strongly regular ring R is a division ring. Now, let x be an arbitrary non-zero element of R. Then, $x^2 = 1$ and $0 = (xx^* - x^*x)^2 = 2(x^2 - x^4) = 2(x^2 - 1)$. Hence, if R is not of characteristic 2 then $x = \pm 1$, and so R = GF(3). On the other hand, if R is of characteristic 2 then $0 = x^4 - 1 = (x - 1)^2$ implies x = 1, and so R = GF(2).

REFERENCES

[1] B. Cerović, Anti-inverse rings, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 29 (43) (1981), 45-48.

Department of Mathematics Okayama University Okayama, Japan (Received 14 07 1982)