

## A LOEB MEASURE APPROACH TO THE RIESZ REPRESENTATION THEOREM

Rade Živaljević

**Abstract.** A short non-standard analysis proof of the Riesz representation theorem is given.

The concept of the Loeb-measure proved to be very useful in constructions of various kinds of limit measures. The papers of Anderson [1], Loeb [5] and Lindstrøm [3], to mention just a few, are typical examples where this technique was successfully applied.

The cornerstone of the method is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** (Loeb [4]) *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be an internal finitely additive measure space and  ${}^\circ\mu(X) < +\infty$ , where  ${}^\circ\mu(A) := \text{st}(\mu(A))$ . Then  ${}^\circ\mu$  has a unique extension  $L(\mu)$  to the completion  $L({}_\sigma\mathcal{A})$  of the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ . More precisely, for all  $A \in L({}_\sigma\mathcal{A})$ ,  $L(\mu)(A) = \sup\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset A\} = \inf\{{}^\circ\mu(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{A}, C \supset A\}$ .*

We shall also need the following result of T. Lindstrøm, more precisely, a simple special case when  $X$  is compact. Recall that Radon measure  $\nu$  on a topological space  $X$  is a complete measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra extending the Borel algebra on  $X$ , such that for all sets  $B \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\nu(B) = \sup\{\nu(K) \mid K \subset B, K \text{ compact}\} = \inf\{\nu(O) \mid B \subset O, O \text{ open}\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** (T. Lindstrøm [2]) *Let  $(*X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be an internal finite additive measure space, where  ${}^\circ\mu(*X) < +\infty$ . Assume that there is a subbasis  $\tau$  for the topology on  $X$  closed under finite unions, such that for all  $O \in \tau$ ,  $*O \in L({}_\sigma\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\text{st}^{-1}(K) \in L({}_\sigma\mathcal{A})$  for every compact  $K$  and  $L(\mu)(\text{st}^{-1}(K)) = \inf\{L(\mu)(*O) \mid K \subset O, O \in \tau\}$ . If for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a compact  $K \subset X$  such that  $L(\mu)(\text{st}^{-1}K) > L(\mu)(*X) - \varepsilon$ , then  $\nu$  defined by  $\nu(A) = L(\mu)\text{st}^{-1}A$  is a Radon measure.*

**Theorem 3.** The Riesz Representation Theorem: *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $L: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a positive linear function. Then there exists a Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $X$  such that  $L(f) = \int_X f d\nu$ .*

**P r o o f.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$  be the following partial order associated with space  $X$ .

$$a \in \mathcal{P} \text{ is equivalent with } a = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  it a finite open cover of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  finite partition of unity subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Further on we assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are in 1-1 correspondence  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\text{supp } \varphi(V) \subset V$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . The order  $\leq$  is defined as follows. If  $a = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $b = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G})$  then

- (1)  $a \leq b \leftrightarrow$  (i) and (ii) where
- (i)  $\forall U \in \mathcal{U} \exists S \subset \mathcal{V} \text{ (} U = \text{union } S \text{)}$
  - (ii)  $\forall O \subset X$  open set  $\Sigma\{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid \text{supp}(f) \subset O\} \leq \Sigma\{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \text{supp}(g) \subset O\}$ ,  $\text{supp}(f) := \text{cl}\{x \mid f(x) > 0\}$ .

Let  $\pi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$  be a choice function associated with  $a = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{P}$  which has the property  $\forall V \in \mathcal{U} \pi V \in \text{supp } \varphi(V)$ . We need the following

**C l a i m.**  $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$  is a directed partially ordered set.

Indeed, if  $a = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $b = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G})$  are in  $\mathcal{P}$  then  $c = (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\mathcal{W} = \{U \cap V \mid U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = \{f \cdot g \mid f \in \mathcal{F}, g \in \mathcal{G}\}$  is a common refinement of  $a$  and  $b$ .

By saturation there exists  $\bar{a} = (\bar{\mathcal{U}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}) \in {}^*\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}$  which refines all elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  is a hyperfinite set and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the hyperfinite algebra generated by  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ . Let us note that  ${}^*O \in \mathcal{A}$  for every open  $O \subset X$ , as a consequence of (ii) in (1). Indeed,  $O \in \mathcal{U}$  for same  $a = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ .

Let  $\bar{\pi}: \bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow {}^*X$  be the choice function associated with  $\bar{a} = (\bar{\mathcal{U}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}})$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  let

$$\mu(A) := {}^*\Sigma\{L(f) \mid \exists V \in \bar{\mathcal{U}} (\bar{\pi}V \in A \ \& \ ({}^*\text{supp})(f) \subset V)\}.$$

$({}^*X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is an internal measure space, hence by Theorems 1. and 2.  $\nu = L(\mu) \text{st}^{-1}$  is a Radon measure. Let us prove that  $\nu$  is the desired measure.

Let  $O \subset X$  be open and  $f \in C(X)$ , such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  and  $\text{supp}(f) \subset O$ . Then

(2) 
$$L(f) \leq \nu(O).$$

To prove this, let us note that  $(\{O, X\}, \{f, 1-f\}) \in \mathcal{P}$  and, if  $O \neq X$ ,  $\text{supp}(1-f) \not\subset O$  which by (ii) in (1) implies  ${}^*f \leq {}^*\Sigma\{h \in \bar{\mathcal{F}} \mid ({}^*\text{supp})(h) \subset {}^*O\}$   $L(f) = {}^*L({}^*f) \leq {}^*\Sigma\{L(h) \mid h \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}, {}^*\text{supp}(h) \subset {}^*O\} \leq \mu({}^*O)$  by the definition of  $\mu$ .

The same inequality holds if  $O$  is replaced by an open set  $G$  such that  $\text{supp}(f) \subset G \subset \text{cl } G \subset O$ . Hence,

$$L(f) \leq \text{st } \mu({}^*G) \leq \text{st } \mu({}^*\text{cl } G) \leq L(\mu) \text{st}^{-1} \text{cl } G \leq L(\mu) \text{st}^{-1} O = \nu(O).$$

Now, let  $f \in C(X)$  be any function. Clearly,  $L(1_X) = \nu(X)$ . Thus, we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $f(X) \subset [s, t]$  for  $s \geq 0$ . Let  $s = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = t$  be a subdivision of  $[s, t]$  such that  $|x_{i+1} - x_i| < \varepsilon$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $\nu(f^{-1}(x_i)) = 0$  which is possible by the  $\sigma$ -additivity of the measure  $\nu$ . Choose  $O_i \supset F_i$  such that  $\nu(O_i \setminus F_i) < \varepsilon/2^i x_i$  where  $F_i = f^{-1}[x_{i-1}, x_i]$   $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$  be chosen so that  $f_i(F_i) = \{1\}$  and  $\text{supp}(f_i) \subset O_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Clearly, } f &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_i. \text{ Thus } L(f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i L(f_i) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \nu(O_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \nu(F_i) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Knowing that  $F_i \cap F_j$  has  $\nu$ -measure zero for  $i \neq j$ , one recognizes on the right side, a sum which is arbitrary good approximation of  $\int_X f d\nu$ . Hence  $L(f) \leq \int_X f d\nu$  for every  $f \in C(X)$ . Applying the last inequality to the function  $-f$  we get the desired equality  $L(f) = \int_X f d\nu$ .

*Note added in proof.* The author has been informed that profesor Peter Loeb obtained a short non-standard analysis proof of the Riesz representation theorem based on different ideas.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. Anderson, *A Non-standard Representation of Brownian Motion and Ito Integration*, Isreal J. Math. **25** (1976) 15—46
- [2] T.L. Lindstrøm, *Applications of Nonstandard Analysis to Probability Theory*, notes from the lectures given at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1981.
- [3] T.L. Lindstrøm, *A Loeb-measure Approach to Theorems by Prohorov, Sazonov and Gross*, preprint.
- [4] P.A. Loeb, *Conversion from Non-standard to Standard Measure Spaces and Applications in Probability Theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **211** (1975), 113—122.
- [5] P.A. Loeb, *Weak Limits of Measures and the Standard Part Map*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **77** (1979), 128—135.
- [6] K.D. Stroyan, W.A.J. Luxemburg, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinitesimals*, Academic Press, 1976.

Matematički Institut  
Knez Mihailova 35  
11000 Beograd  
Jugoslavija

(Received 23 12 1981)