

ABOUT AN EQUIVALENT OF THE CONTINUUM HYPOTHESIS

Žikica Perović

The main result of this paper is the statement that every atomless ω_1 -saturated Boolean algebra of power $c = 2^\omega$ is isomorphic to $2^\omega/F$, where F is the Frechet filter on ω , iff $\omega_1 = c$.

One part of this statement is a direct consequence of the theorem about the uniqueness of the saturated model of a complete theory. The second part is shown by constructing two ω_1 -saturated Boolean algebras whose isomorphism implies CH .

As a consequence of this result we obtained the main result of [3], i.e. every Parovičenko space is homeomorphic to ω^* iff CH is true. This paper was the inspiration for our work.

The terminology used in this paper follows [1]. Basic model-theoretic notions like *complete theory*, and *k-saturated model*, are assumed to be known.

Boolean algebras are denoted by $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \dots$, and their domains, by A, B, C, \dots , respectively. The cardinal number of A will be denoted by $|A|$. Every Boolean algebra \mathbf{B} is of the form $\mathbf{B} = (B, +, \cdot, ', \leq, 0, 1)$. Instead of 'Boolean algebra' we will often write BA .

Let \mathbf{B} be a BA and assume $X, Y \subset B, a \in B$. $a < X$ stands for $\forall y \in X (a < y)$ and $a \leq X$ for $\forall y \in X (a \leq y)$. By $X < Y$ we mean $\forall x \in X \forall y \in Y (x < y)$. $X \leq Y$ has a similar meaning. If $x \in B$, then $a \parallel x$ stands for $\neg (a \leq x) \wedge \neg (x \leq a)$, and $a \parallel X$ for $\forall y \in X (a \parallel y)$. The formula $\forall x \in X \forall y \in Y (\neg (x \leq y))$ is denoted by $X \not< Y$. The BA \mathbf{A} satisfies the condition H_k iff \mathbf{A} satisfies the following. Let $X, Y \subset A, X$ is directed upward, Y is directed downward, $0 \notin Y, 1 \notin X, |X| + |Y| < k$ and $X < Y$. Then there is an $a \in A$ such that $X < a < Y$.

We will use the following statements:

Proposition 1. *An atomless BA \mathbf{A} satisfies H_{ω_1} iff:*

(1) *for any $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, b \in A$ such that $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < \dots < b$ there exists*

$$a \in A \text{ such that } a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < \dots < c < b,$$

(2) *for any increasing chain $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and decreasing chain $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$; $b_i, a_i \in A$ such that $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < \dots < \dots < b_n < \dots < b_1 < b_0$ there exists a $c \in A$ such that*

$$a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < \dots < c < \dots < b_n < \dots < b_1 < b_0.$$

The proof of this simple statement can be found in [4] (Proposition 2.27).

Proposition 2. *A is an atomless, k -saturated BA iff A satisfies H_k .*

This statement was proved in [4] (Theorem 2.7.).

By 2 we will denote the two-element BA, by 2^ω the direct product of ω copies of 2 and by $2^\omega/F$ the ultrapower modulo the Frechet filter F .

Proposition 3. *$2^\omega/F$ is an ω_1 -saturated BA, and $|2^\omega/F| = c$.*

This statement was proved by B. Jonsson, P. Olin (1968). A very elegant proof of this statement can be found in [4] (Example 2.28.).

Proposition 4. *Let $A = \{f_{\alpha_F} \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a strictly decreasing chain of nonzero elements of $2^\omega/F$. Let $D = \{f_F \mid f_{\alpha_F} < f_F \text{ for some } \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Then:*

(i) *D is a filter of $2^\omega/F$,*

(ii) *$|D| = c$,*

(iii) *If $B = D \cup \{f'_F \mid f'_F \in D\}$, then $B = (B, +_B, \cdot_B, {}^B, 0, 1)$ is an ω_1 -saturated atomless BA,*

(iv) *D is an ultrafilter of B.*

Proof. (i) Let $f_F, g_F \in D$ and $h \in 2^\omega$, $f_F \leq h_F$. Then $f_{\alpha_F} < f_F$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$, hence $f_{\alpha_F} < h_F$, so that $h_F \in D$. $f_{\beta_F} < g_F$ for some $\beta < \omega_1$. Let $\gamma = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then $f_{\gamma_F} \leq f_{\alpha_F} \cdot f_{\beta_F}$, hence $f_{\gamma_F} < f_F \cdot g_F$, i.e. $f_F \cdot g_F \in D$.

(ii) Let $f_{\alpha_F} \in D$ and $f_{\alpha_F} \neq 1_F$. Then $I = \{i \mid f(i) = 0\}$ is a set of power ω . Let us order I into the sequence $I = \{i_n \mid n \in \omega\}$. Let F_I be the Frechet filter on I . From Proposition 3 it follows that $|2^I/F_I| = c$. Let us define for every $g \in 2^I$ an element $f_g \in 2^\omega$ such that:

$$f_g(i) = \begin{cases} f_{\alpha}(i), & i \notin I \\ g(i), & i \in I. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_{\alpha_F} \leq f_{g_F}$, hence $f_{g_F} \in D$, and if $g_{F_I} \neq h_{F_I}$, then $f_{g_F} \neq f_{h_F}$. Hence $|\{f_{g_F} \mid g \in 2^I\}| = c$, i.e. $|D| = c$.

(iii) *D is closed for $+$, \cdot in $2^\omega/F$, $1_F \in D$ hence B is a BA.*

Let us prove that B is atomless. If $f_F \in D$, and $f_F \neq 0_F$ then there exists an $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $f_{\alpha_F} < f_F$ and $f_{\alpha_F} \neq 0_F$. Let $g'_F \in D$. Since $2^\omega/F$ is an atomless BA there exists an $h'_F \in D$ such that $g'_F < h'_F < 1_F$, so that $h'_F \in B$ and $0_F < h'_F < g'_F$.

Let us prove that B satisfies H_{ω_1} . According to Proposition 1 it is sufficient to prove conditions (1) and (2) of Proposition 1.

We will check only condition (2). For (1) we can proceed in the same way.

Let $g_{1_F} < g_{2_F} < \dots < g_{n_F} < \dots < \dots < h_{n_F} < \dots < h_{2_F} < h_{1_F}$ and $g_{n_F}, h_{n_F} \in B$, $n \in N$.

We will consider several cases:

A) In the sequence $(g_n)_{n \in N}$ there exists an $m \in N$ such that $g_m \in D$. Since $2^\omega/F$ satisfies H_{ω_1} , there exists an $f \in 2^\omega$ such that

$$g_{1F} < g_{2F} < \dots < f_F < \dots < h_{2F} < h_{1F}.$$

Since $g_m \in D$ and $g_m \in D$, we have $f_F \in D$ and $f_F \in B$.

B) In the sequence $(h_n)_{n \in N}$ there exists an $m \in N$ such that $h'_m \in D$. Then $h'_{2F} < h'_{1F} < \dots < \dots < g'_{2F} < g'_{1F}$. Since $h'_m \in D$, it follows from A that there exists an $f'_F \in D$ such that $h'_{1F} < h'_{2F} < \dots < f'_F < \dots < g'_{2F} < g'_{1F}$. Hence $g_{1F} < g_{2F} < \dots < f'_F < \dots < h_{2F} < h_{1F}$ and $f'_F \in B$.

C) $h_n \in D$, $g'_n \in D$, $n \in N$. Since $h_n \in D$ $n \in N$, and for every $n \in N$ there exists an $\alpha_n \in \omega_1$ such that $f_{\alpha_n} \leq h_n$, and since $\{\alpha_n | n \in N\}$ is not cofinal with ω_1 , there exists a $\beta < \omega_1$ such that $f_{\beta} < h_n$ for every $n \in N$.

Since $2^\omega/F$ satisfies H_{ω_1} , there exists $e \in 2^\omega$ such that $g_{1F} < g_{2F} < \dots < e_F < \dots < h_{2F} < h_{1F}$.

Let $f = e + f_\beta$. Then $g_{1F} < g_{2F} < \dots < e_F < f_F \leq \dots < h_{2F} < h_{1F}$ and $f_F \in D$.

Since the sequence $\{h_i\}_{i \in N}$ is strictly decreasing we have

$$g_{1F} < g_{2F} < \dots < e_F < f_F < \dots < h_{2F} < h_{1F} \text{ and } f_F \in D, \text{ hence } f_F \in B.$$

(iv) We know that D is a filter, and since for every $f_F \in B$, $f_F \in D$ or $f'_F \in D$, D is an ultrafilter.

Proposition 5. *Let A be a free BA with c generators, and E the nonprincipal ultrafilter on ω . Then:*

(i) A^ω/E is an ω_1 -saturated atomless BA of power c .

(ii) Let p be an ultrafilter on A^ω/E . If $q \subset p$ and for every $f_E \in p$ there exists a $g_E \in q$ such that $g_E \leq f_E$, then $|q| = c$.

Proof: (i) A^ω/E is an ω_1 -saturated BA. This statement follows from Theorem 6.1.1. of [1]. The proof that A^ω/E is atomless is the same as the proof for $2^\omega/F$, or one can use Łoś's theorem. Since $|A| \leq |A^\omega/E| \leq |A^\omega|$, $|A| = c$ and $|A^\omega| = c$, we have $|A^\omega/E| = c$.

(ii) Let $G = \{a_\alpha | \alpha < c\}$ be set of generators for A . Let us define the set of mappings $f_\alpha \in A^\omega$, $\alpha < c$, $f_\alpha(i) = a_\alpha$, $i \in \omega$,

$$K = \{f_{\alpha E} | \alpha < c\} \cup \{f'_{\alpha E} | \alpha < c\}.$$

Let us define for every $f \in A^\omega$

$$K_f = \{f_{\alpha E} | \alpha < c, f_E \leq f_{\alpha E}\} \cup \{f'_{\beta E} | \beta < c, f_E \leq f'_{\beta E}\}.$$

Since $f(i)$ is the union of finitely many constituents of the form $a_{\alpha_1} \dots a_{\alpha_n(i)} \cdot \alpha'_{\beta_1} \dots \alpha'_{\beta_m(i)}$, there are finitely many $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $f(i) \leq a_\alpha$, i.e $f(i) \leq f_\alpha(i)$, hence $\{f_\alpha | \alpha < c, f_E \leq f_{\alpha E}\}$ is a countable set. Also, for the same reason, $\{f'_\beta | \beta < c, f_E \leq f'_{\beta E}\}$ is a countable set.

Let p, q be as in (ii). Since for every $\alpha < c$,

$$f_\alpha \in p \text{ or } f'_\alpha \in p, |K \cap p| = c.$$

The conditions for p and q imply that for every $f_{\alpha_E} \in p \cap K$ there exists a $g_E \in q$ such that $f_\alpha \in K_g$, and $K \cap p \subset \bigcup_{g_E \in q} K_g$.

Since $|K \cap p| = c$, we have $|\bigcup_{g_E \in q} K_g| = c$. It has already been shown that

$$|K_g| \leq \omega \text{ for every } g_E \in q, \text{ hence } |q| = c.$$

Theorem 6. (i) (CH) Every atomless ω_1 -saturated BA of power c is isomorphic to $2^\omega/F$.

(ii) If every atomless ω_1 -saturated BA of power c is isomorphic to $2^\omega/F$, then $c = \omega_1$.

Proof: (i) This statement follows directly from the completeness of the theory of atomless BA and the uniqueness of saturated models [1].

(ii) Since \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{A}^ω/E are ω_1 -saturated atomless BA of power c , $\mathbf{B} \cong \mathbf{A}^\omega/E$. From Proposition 4. (iv) we can see that D is an ultrafilter of B , $A \subset D$ such that $|A| = \omega_1$, and for every $f_F \in D$ there exists an $f_{\alpha_F} \in A$ such that $f_{\alpha_F} \leq f_F$. Proposition 5 (ii) and the existence of an isomorphism between \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{A}^ω/E imply $|A| = c$. So $c = \omega_1$.

Corollary 7. CH is equivalent to the statement that every Parovičenko space is isomorphic to ω^* .

Proof: The statement directly follows from the previous theorem and the Stone Representation Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.C. Chang, H.J. Keisler: *Model Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [2] W.W. Comfort, S. Negropontis: *The Theory of Ultrafilters*, Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [3] E.K. van Douven, J. van Mill: *Parovičenko Characterizations of $\beta\omega - \omega$ implies CH*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 3.
- [4] Ž. Mijajlović: *Saturated Boolean algebras with ultrafilters*, Publ. Inst. Math., (Beograd), 26 (40) (1979), 175—197.

Filozofski fakultet u Nišu
 Grupa za matematiku
 18000 Niš
 Yugoslavia