FIXED-POINT MAPPINGS ON COMPACT METRIC SPACES

Ljubomir Ćirić

(Communicated August 9, 1978)

Let (M,d) be a metric space and T a selfmapping of M into itself. If

$$(1) d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$$

holds for every x, y in M with $x \neq y$, then T is called a contractive mapping. On complete metric spaces contractive mappings may be without fixed-point. However, if M is compact, then every contractive selfmapping on M has a unique fixed point.

D. Bailey in [1] has proved that if M is compact and T is continuous and such that for every $x, y \in M$ with $x \neq y$ there exists a positive integer n(x, y) such that

(2)
$$d(T^{n(x,y)}x, T^{n(x,y)}y) < d(x,y),$$

then T has a unique fixed point in M.

In the following we will extend the result of Bailey to mappings which satisfy a contractive condition which is weaker then (2).

We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let T be a continuous mapping on the compact metric space M into itself satisfying the inequality

(3)
$$d(T^n x, T^n y) < \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} \left[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) \right] \right\}$$

for all x, y in M with $x \neq y$ where n = n(x, y) is a positive integer. Then T has a unique fixed point in M.

Proof. Define on M a real-valued function F by F(x) = d(x, Tx). Since T is continous, it follows that F is continous too. Therefore, F on M attains its maximum and minimum. Let u in M be such that

$$(4) F(u) = \min\{F(x) : x \in M\}.$$

We will show that u is a fixed-point of T. If we assume that F(u) = d(u, Tu) > 0, then by (3), for n = n(u, Tu), we have

$$\begin{split} F(T^n u) &= d(T^n u, \ TT^n u) = d(T^n u, \ T^n T u) \\ &< \max \Bigl\{ d(u, Tu), \ d(u, Tu), \ d(Tu, \ TTu), \ \frac{1}{2} \Bigl[d(u, \ T^2 u) + 0 \Bigr] \Bigr\} \\ &\leq \max \Bigl\{ F(u), \ F(Tu), \frac{1}{2} \Bigl[F(u) + F(Tu) \Bigr] \Bigr\}. \end{split}$$

Since by (4) $\max\{F(u), F(Tu), \frac{1}{2}[F(u) + F(Tu)]\} = F(u)$, we have $F(T^n u) < F(u)$, which is a contradiction with (4). Therefore, u is a fixed-point of T. The uniqueness of u follows easily from (3). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 1 holds if some of the conditions are relaxed. So we have

Theorem 2. Let T be an orbitally continous mapping on the compact metric space M into itself satisfying (3). Then T has a unique fixed-point in M.

The following example shows that the continuity conditions of T in the theorems 1. and 2. cannot be removed.

Example. If M is the clossed interval [0,1] and $T:M\to M$ is defined by $T(x)=\frac{x}{2}$ if $x\neq 0$ and T(0)=1, then T satisfies (3) with n(x,y)=2, as $d(T^20,T^2x)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{x}{4}<\frac{1}{2}\cdot 1=\frac{1}{2}d$ (0,T0) and $d(T^2y,T^2x)\frac{1}{4}d(y,x)$ for $y\neq 0$. However, T has not fixed points.

Now we will show that the condition (3) may be much more weakened.

Theorem 3. Let T be a continous mapping on the compact metric space M into itself satisfying the inequality

$$(5) \quad d(T^n x, \ T^n y) < \max_{0 \le p, q, r, s, t \le n} \left\{ d(T^p x, \ T^p y), \ d(T^q x, \ T^{q+1} x), \right. \\ \left. d(T^r y, \ T^{r+1} y), \ \frac{1}{2} [d(T^s x, \ T^{s+1} y) + d(T^t y, \ T^{t+1} x)] \right\}$$

for some positive integer n = n(x, y) and x, y in M for which the right-hand side of inequality is positive. Then T has a unique fixed-point in M.

Proof. We may assume that the right-hand side of inequality (5) is positive for each x, y in M. For if it is not positive, then x = y = Tx, which means that T has a fixed-point. Let the mapping F and the point u be defined as in the proof of the theorem 1. and let n = n(u, Tu). Then by (5) it follows

$$F(T^{n}u) = d(T^{n}u, \ T^{n}Tu) < \max_{0 \le p,q,r,s,t \le n} \left\{ d(T^{p}u, \ TT^{p}u), \ d(T^{q}u, \ TT^{q}u), \right. d(T^{r+1}u, \ TT^{r+1}u), \frac{1}{2} [d(T^{s}u, \ T^{2}T^{s}u) + 0] \right\}.$$

Using the triangle inequality and (4) we obtain $F(T^n) < F(u)$, which is a contradiction with (4). Therefore, the right-hand side of (5) is zero for x = u and y = Tu. Hence Tu = u. The uniqueness of the fixed-point follows easily. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bailey, Some theorems on contractive mappings, J. London Math. Soc., $\bf 41$ (1966), 101-106.
- [2] Lj. Ćirić, Generalized contractions and fixed-point theorems, Publ. Inst. Math., 12(26), 1971, 19-26.
- [3] T. Pal, M. Maiti, I. Achari, Extensions of Ciric's generalized contradictions, Mat. vesnik, 13 (28), 1976, 313–317.

Mašinski fakultet 11000 Beograd