

ON FIXED POINT THEOREMS OF MAIA TYPE

Bogdan Rzepecki

1. In this note we present some variants of the following result of Maia [10]:
Let X be a non-empty set endowed in with two metrics ρ, σ , and let f be a mapping of X into itself. Suppose that $\rho(x, y) \leq \sigma(x, y)$ in X , X is a complete space and f is continuous with respect to ρ , and $\sigma(fx, fy) \leq k \cdot \sigma(x, y)$ for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq k < 1$. Then, f has a unique fixed point in X .

This theorem (cf. also [18], [11], [4], [12], [17]) generalizes the Banach fixed-point principle and is connected with Bielecki's method [1] of changing the norm in the theory of differential equations. Our results follow as a consequence of two metrics, of two transformations [3] and of the generalized metric space concept ([8], [9]).

2. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, let S be a normal cone in E (see e.g. [6]) and let \preceq denote the partial order in E generated by the cone S . Suppose that X is a non-empty set and a function $d_E: X \times X \rightarrow S$ satisfying for arbitrary elements x, y, z in X the following conditions:

(A 1) $d_E(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$ (θ denotes the zero of the space E);

(A 2) $d_E(x, y) = d_E(y, x)$;

(A 3) $d_E(x, y) \preceq d_E(x, z) + d_E(z, y)$

Then, this function d_E is called the *generalized metric* in X .

Further, let us put $d^+(x, y) = \|d_E(x, y)\|$ for x and y in X . If every d^+ -Cauchy sequence in X is d^+ -convergent (i.e., $\lim_{p, q \rightarrow \infty} d^+(x_p, x_q) = 0$ for a sequence (x_n) in X , implies the existence of an element x_0 in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^+(x_n, x_0) = 0$), then (X, d_E) is called [6] a *generalized complete metric space*.

Moreover, in this paper we shall use the notations of \mathcal{L}^* -space, the \mathcal{L}^* -product of \mathcal{L}^* -spaces and a continuous mapping of \mathcal{L}^* -space into \mathcal{L}^* -space (see e.g. [7]).

3. Let E, S and \preceq be as above. In this section suppose we are given:

L – a bounded positive linear operator of E into itself with the spectral radius $r(L)$ less than one (see e.g. [6]);

X, A – two non-empty sets;

ρ_E, σ_E – two generalized metrics in X such that $\rho_E(x, y) \preceq C \cdot \sigma_E(x, y)$ for all x, y in X , where C is a positive constant;

T – a transformation from A to X such that $(T[A], \rho_E)$ is a generalized complete metric space¹.

Modifying the reasoning from [6, Th. II. 6. 2], we obtain the following result:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let (X, ρ_E) be a generalized complete metric space, let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping with respect to ρ^+ , and let $\sigma_E(fx, fy) \preceq L(\sigma_E(x, y))$ for all x, y in X . Then f has a unique fixed point ξ in X . Moreover, if $x_0 \in X$ and $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, then:*

(i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(x_n, \xi)\| = 0,$

(ii) $\|\rho_E(x_m, \xi)\| \leq N \cdot C \cdot \|L^m u\|$ for all $m \geq 0$, where N is same constant and u is a solution of equation $u = \sigma_E(x_0, fx_0) + Lu$ in the space E (see [6, Th. I. 2. 2]).

Now, we shall prove

PROPOSITION 2. *Let (X, ρ_E) be a generalized complete metric space, let $f_m: X \rightarrow X$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) be continuous mappings with respect to ρ^+ , and let $\sigma_E(f_m x, f_m y) \preceq L(\sigma_E(x, y))$ for all x, y in X . Denote by ξ_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) a unique fixed point of f_m , and suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_E(f_n x, f_0 x)\| = 0$ for every x in X . Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(\xi_n, \xi_0)\| = 0$.*

PROOF. Consider the linear equation $u = \sigma_E(\xi_0, f_n \xi_0) + Lu$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) with the unique solution u_n in E (see [6, Th. I. 2. 2]). By Proposition 1 we obtain $\|\rho_E(\xi_n, \xi_0)\| \leq N \cdot C \cdot \|u_n\|$ for $n \geq 1$, where N is constant.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $r(L) + \varepsilon < 1$. Further, let us denote by $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ the norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ such that $\|L\|_\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon + r(L)$ (see [6, p. 15]) ($\|L\|_\varepsilon$ is the norm of L generated by $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$). We have

$$\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \leq \|\sigma_E(f_n \xi_0, f_0 \xi_0)\|_\varepsilon + \|Lu_n\|_\varepsilon \leq \|\sigma_E(f_n \xi_0, f_0 \xi_0)\|_\varepsilon + (r(L) + \varepsilon)\|u_n\|_\varepsilon$$

for $n \geq 1$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_E(f_n \xi_0, f_0 \xi_0)\|_\varepsilon = 0$, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon \leq (\varepsilon + r(L)) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon$, and consequently $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(\xi_n, \xi_0)\| = 0$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $H: A \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $H[A] \subset T[A]$ and $\sigma_E(Hx, Hy) \preceq L(\sigma_E(Tx, Ty))$ for all x, y in A . Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(Hx_n, Hx)\| = 0$ for every sequence (x_n) in A with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(Tx_n, Tx)\| = 0$. Then:*

(i) *for every u in $T[A]$ the set $H[T_{-1}u]$ contains only one element²;*

(ii) *there exists a unique element ξ in $T[A]$ such that $H[T_{-1}\xi] = \xi$, and every sequence of successive approximations $u_{n+1} = H[T_{-1}u_n]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is ρ^+ -convergent to ξ ;*

¹ $T[A]$ denotes the image of the set A by the transformation T

² $T_{-1}u$ denotes the inverse image of u under T

- (iii) $Hx = Tx$ for all x in $T_{-1}\xi$;
- (iv) if $Hx_i = Tx_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), then $Tx_1 = Tx_2$.

PROOF. Let us put $fz = H[T_{-1}z]$ for z in $T[A]$. Obviously, $fz \in T[A]$ for all z in $T[A]$. If $v_i \in fz$ ($i = 1, 2$), then $v_i = Hx_i$ with $Tx_i = z$. Hence $\theta \preceq \sigma_E(v_1, v_2) \preceq L(\sigma_E(Tx_1, Tx_2)) = \theta$ and $v_1 = v_2$. Therefore, $H[T_{-1}z]$ contains only one element.

It can be easily seen that the mapping f of $T[A]$ into itself is continuous with respect to ρ^+ . Indeed, let $z_n \in T[A]$ for $n \geq 1$ and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(z_n, z_0)\| = 0$. Then there exist $x_m \in T_{-1}z_m$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) such that $fz_m = Hx_m$. We have $\|\rho_E(Hx_n, Hx_0)\| = \|\rho_E(fz_n, fz_0)\|$ for $n \geq 1$, and consequently $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(fz_n, fz_0)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(Hx_n, Hx_0)\| = 0$.

Further, it is easy to verify that $\sigma_E(fu, fv) \preceq L(\sigma_E(u, v))$ for all u, v in $T[A]$. Consequently, applying Proposition 1 the proof of (ii) is completed.

Obviously, (iii) holds and we omit the proof. Now, we prove (iv): Suppose that $Hx_i = Tx_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $Tx_1 \neq Tx_2$. Then, $\sigma_E(Tx_1, Tx_2) \preceq L(\sigma_E(Tx_1, Tx_2))$ and $-\sigma_E(Tx_1, Tx_2) \notin S$. Therefore, by theorem II. 5. 4 from [6. p. 81], we obtain $r(L) \geq 1$. This contradiction completes our proof.

Using Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 we obtain the following

THEOREM 2. *Let $H_m: A \rightarrow X$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) be mappings with $H_m[A] \subset T[A]$ and $\sigma_E(H_mx, H_my) \preceq L(\sigma_E(Tx, Ty))$ for all x, y in A . Further, suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(H_mx_n, H_mx)\| = 0$ for every sequence (x_n) in A with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(Tx_n, Tx)\| = 0$.*

Let ξ_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) be an element in $T[A]$ such that $H_m[T_{-1}\xi_m] = \xi_m$. Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_E(H_nx, H_0x)\| = 0$ for every x in A . Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_E(Ty_n, Ty_0)\| = 0$, where $y_m \in T_{-1}\xi_m$ for $m \geq 0$.

4. M. Krasnoselskii [5] has given the following version of well-known result of Schauder: *If W is a non-empty bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space, f is a contraction and g is completely continuous on W with $fx + gy \in W$ for all x, y in W , then the equation $fx + gx = x$ has a solution in W .*

Now, we give a modification and some generalization of this Krasnoselskii's result.

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, let S be a cone in E with the partial order \preceq such that if $\theta \preceq x \preceq y$ then $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$, and let L be as in Sec. 3. Further, let X be a vector space endowed with two generalized norms $\|\cdot\|_i: X \rightarrow S$ ($i = 1, 2$) (see [6, p. 94]) such that $\|x\|_1 \preceq C \cdot \|x\|_2$ for all x in X . Denote: ρ_E, σ_E -generalized metrics in X generated by $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$, respectively.

THEOREM 3. *Let K be a non-empty convex subset of X , let (K, ρ^+) be a complete space and let Q, F be transformations with the values in K defined on K and $K \times K$ respectively. Assume, moreover, that the following condition holds:*

- (i) $Q: (K, \rho^+) \rightarrow (K, \rho^+)$ is continuous, $Q[K]$ is a conditionally compact set with respect to σ^+ and $\|F(u, y) - F(v, y)\|_2 \preceq \|Qu - Qv\|_2$ for all u, v, y in K ;

(ii) $\|F(x, y) - F(x, z)\|_2 \leq L(\|y - z\|_2)$ for all x, y, z in K ;

(iii) for every x in K the function $y \mapsto F(x, y)$ of K into itself is continuous with respect to ρ^+ .

Then there exists a point x in K such that $F(x, x) = x$.

PROOF. Consider the mapping $y \mapsto F(x, y)$ (x is fix in K) of K into itself. By Proposition 1, there exists exactly one u_x in K such that $F(x, u_x) = u_x$. Now define an operator V as $x \mapsto u_x$.

This operator V maps continuously (K, ρ^+) into itself. Indeed, let (x_n) be a sequence in K such that $\rho^+(x_n, x_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let us put $f_m x = F(x_m, x)$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) for x in K . The conditions (i) and (ii) imply that all the assumptions of the Proposition 2 are satisfied. Therefore, f_m has a unique fixed point ξ_m and $\rho^+(\xi_n, \xi_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so we are done.

Now we are going to show that $V[K]$ is conditionally compact with respect to ρ^+ : Let (x_n) be a sequence in K , and let $y_n = F(x_n, u_{x_n})$ for $n \geq 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $r(L) + \varepsilon < 1$, let $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ be the norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ with $\|L\|_\varepsilon \leq r(L) + \varepsilon$, and let us put $\sigma_\varepsilon^+(x, y) = \|\|x - y\|_2\|_\varepsilon$ for x, y in K . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|y_i - y_j\|_2\|_\varepsilon &\leq \|L(\|y_i - y_j\|_2) + \|Qx_i - Qx_j\|_2\|_\varepsilon \leq \\ &\leq (r(L) + \varepsilon)\|\|y_i - y_j\|_2\|_\varepsilon + \|\|Qx_i - Qx_j\|_2\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1 - (r(L) + \varepsilon)) \cdot \|\|y_i - y_j\|_2\|_\varepsilon \leq \|\|Qx_i - Qx_j\|_2\|_\varepsilon$$

for every $i, j \leq 1$. Suppose that (Qx_n) is a σ^+ -Cauchy sequence. Then, (Qx_n) is a σ_ε^+ -Cauchy sequence and consequently (y_n) is ρ^+ -convergent in K .

By application of the Schauder fixed point theorem, our proof is completed.

REMARK. The above theorem will remain true if (i) is replaced by the following condition: Q is continuous and $Q[K]$ is a conditionally compact set with respect to ρ^+ , and $\|F(u, y) - F(v, y)\|_2 \leq \|Qu - Qv\|_1$ for all u, v, y in K .

5. Let us remark applications and further results can be obtained if the concept of a generalized metric space in the Luxemburg sense [9] (not every two points have necessarily a finite distance) will be used. Cf. [13]–[17]. How, we give some application of Theorem 2 (in the cose of) to functional equations.

In this section, let $(\mathbf{R}^k, \|\cdot\|)$ denote the k -dimensional Euclidean space, let $E = \mathbf{R}^k$, and let $S = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbf{R}^k: t_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Then, $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \preceq (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ if we have $x_i \leq y_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Suppose that $J = [0, \infty)$, $K_{ij} \geq 0$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are constants, and $p: J \rightarrow J$ is a locally bounded function. Let us denote by:

A – the set of continuous functions (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) from J to \mathbf{R}^k such that $x_1(t) = 0(\exp(p(t)))$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) for every t in J ;

X – the set of bounded continuous functions from J to \mathbf{R}^k ;

Λ – the metric space with the metric δ ;

\mathcal{F} – the set of continuous functions (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) from $J \times \mathbf{R}^k \times \Lambda$ into \mathbf{R}^k satisfying the following conditions:

$$|f_i(t, t_1, \dots, t_k, \lambda) - f_i(t, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k, \lambda)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k K_{ij} |t_j - s_j|$$

($1 \leq i \leq k$) for every t in J , t_j, s_j in \mathbf{R}^k and λ in Λ ; $f_i(t, \theta, \lambda) = 0$ ($\exp(p(t)) = 0$) ($1 \leq i \leq k$) for fixed λ in Λ and every t in J (θ denotes the zero of space \mathbf{R}^k).

The set A admits a norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined as $\|x\| = \sup\{\exp(-p(t)) \cdot |x(t)| : t \geq 0\}$. In X we define the generalized metric d_E as follows: for each $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ write $d_E(x, y) = (\|x_1 - y_1\|, \|x_2 - y_2\|, \dots, \|x_k - y_k\|)$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the usual supremum norm in the space of bounded continuous functions on J . Obviously, (X, d_E) is a generalized complete metric space.

We shall deal with the set \mathcal{F} as an \mathcal{L}^* -space endowed with convergence: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_1^{(n)}, f_2^{(n)}, \dots, f_k^{(n)}) = (f_1^{(0)}, f_2^{(0)}, \dots, f_k^{(0)})$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\exp(-p(t)) \cdot |f_i^{(n)}(t, u, \lambda) - f_i^{(0)}(t, u, \lambda)| : (t, u) \in J \times \mathbf{R}^k\} = 0$$

for every λ in Λ and every $1 \leq i \leq k$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F} \times \Lambda$ be the \mathcal{L}^* -product of the \mathcal{L}^* -spaces \mathcal{F}, Λ .

Further, suppose that $h: J \rightarrow J$ is a continuous function, there exists a constant $q > 0$ such that $\exp(p(h(t))) \leq q \cdot \exp(p(t))$ for all t in J , and $[q \cdot K_{ij}]$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq k$) is a non-zero matrix with

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - qK_{11} & -qK_{12} & \dots & -qK_{1i} \\ -qK_{21} & 1 - qK_{22} & \dots & -qK_{2i} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -qK_{i1} & -qK_{i2} & \dots & 1 - qK_{ii} \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Under these conditions we have the following theorem:

For an arbitrary F in \mathcal{F} and λ in Λ there exists a unique function $x_{(F, \lambda)}$ in A such that

$$x_{(F, \lambda)}(t) = F(t, x_{(F, \lambda)}(h(t)), \lambda)$$

for every $t \geq 0$. Moreover, if there exists functions α, β from J to J such that $\alpha(t) = 0$ ($\exp(p(t)) = 0$) for $t \geq 0$, $\beta(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0_+$ and

$$|f_i(t, u, \lambda) - f_i(t, u, \mu)| \leq \alpha(t) \cdot \beta(\delta(\lambda, \mu)) \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)$$

for all $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) \in \mathcal{F}$, $t \geq 0$, $u \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and λ, μ in Λ , then the function

$$(F, \lambda) \mapsto x_{(F, \lambda)}$$

maps continuously \mathcal{L}^* -space $\mathcal{F} \times \Lambda$ into Banach space A .

PROOF. Let $m = 0, 1, \dots$. Let $F^{(m)} = (f_1^{(m)}, \dots, f_k^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\lambda_m \in \Lambda$ be such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{(n)} = F^{(0)}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(\lambda_n, \lambda_0) = 0$. For each x in A , define:

$$\begin{aligned}(Tx)(t) &= \exp(-p(t)) \cdot x(t), \\ (H_m x)(t) &= \exp(-p(t)) \cdot F^{(m)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_m)\end{aligned}$$

on J .

For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in A$ and $t \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|(H_m x)(t)| &\leq (|F^{(m)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_m) - F^{(m)}(t, \theta, \lambda_m)| + \\ &\quad + |F^{(m)}(t, \theta, \lambda_m)|) \cdot \exp(-p(t)) \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k K_{ij} |x_j(h(t))| + |F^{(m)}(t, \theta, \lambda_m)| \right) \cdot \exp(-p(t)) \leq \\ &\leq (c_1 \cdot \exp(p(h(t))) + c_2 \cdot \exp(p(t))) \cdot \exp(-p(t)) \leq c_1 q + c_2\end{aligned}$$

with some constants c_1, c_2 , and therefore H_m maps A into X . Further, it can be easily seen that $T[A] = X$ and $H_m[A] \subset T[A]$.

We observe [2] that the operator L generated by the matrix $[q \cdot K_{ij}]$ is a bounded positive linear operator with the spectral radius less than 1. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k), y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ in A and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\exp(-p(t)) \cdot |f_i^{(m)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_m) - f_i^{(m)}(t, y(h(t)), \lambda_m)| \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^k K_{ij} \cdot \sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) |x_j(t) - y_j(t)| \right) \cdot \exp(-p(t)) \cdot \exp(p(h(t))) \leq \\ &\leq q \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k K_{ij} \cdot \sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) \cdot |x_j(t) - y_j(t)|,\end{aligned}$$

$$d_E(H_m x, H_m y) = (\sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) \cdot |f_1^{(m)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_m) - f_1^{(m)}(t, y(h(t)), \lambda_m)|,$$

.....

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) \cdot |f_k^{(m)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_m) - f_k^{(m)}(t, y(h(t)), \lambda_m)|),$$

$$\begin{aligned}L(d_E(Tx, Ty)) &= \left(q \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k K_{1j} \cdot \sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) \cdot |x_j(t) - y_j(t)|, \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, q \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k k_{kj} \cdot \sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) \cdot |x_j(t) - y_j(t)| \right)\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $d_E(H_m x, H_m y) \preceq L(d_E(Tx, Ty))$.

Let us fix x in A . For $t \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $n \geq 1$ we get

$$|f_i^{(n)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_n) - f_i^{(0)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_0)| \leq \alpha(t) \cdot \beta(\delta(\lambda_n, \lambda_0)) + \\ + |f_i^{(n)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_0) - f_i^{(0)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_0)|$$

hence

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) |f_i^{(n)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_n) - f_i^{(0)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_0)| \leq c \cdot \beta(\delta(\lambda_n, \lambda_0)) + \\ + \sup\{\exp(-p(t)) |f_i^{(n)}(t, u, \lambda_0) - f_i^{(0)}(t, u, \lambda_0)| : (t, u) \in J \times \mathbf{R}^k\}$$

with some constant c , and it follows

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} \exp(-p(t)) |f_i^{(n)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_n) - f_i^{(0)}(t, x(h(t)), \lambda_0)| = 0.$$

Finally, $\|d_E(H_n x, H_0 x)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This proves that the theorem 1 and 2 is applicable to the mappings T , H_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots$), and the proof is finished.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bielecki, *Une remarque sur la méthode de Banach-Cacciopoli-Tikhonow dans la théorie des équations différentielles ordinaires*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **4** (1956), 261–264.
- [2] F. R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices*, [in Russian], Moscow 1966.
- [3] K. Goebel, *A coincidence theorem*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **16** (1968), 733–735.
- [4] K. Iseki, *A common fixed point theorem*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **53** (1975), 13–14.
- [5] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Two remarks on the method of successive approximations*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **10** (1955), 123–127 [in Russian].
- [6] M. A. Krasnoselskii, G. M. Vainikko, P. P. Zabreiko, Ja. B. Rutickii and V. Ja. Stecenko, *Approximate solution of operator equations*, Moscow 1969 [in Russian].
- [7] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie*, vol. I, Warsaw 1952.
- [8] D. Kurepa, *Tableaux ramifiés d'ensembles. Espaces pseudodistanciés*, C. R. **198** (1934), 1563–1565.
- [9] W. A. J. Luxemburg, *On the convergence of successive approximations in the theory of ordinary differential equations II*, Indag. Math. **20** (1958), 540–546.
- [10] M. G. Maia, *Un' Osservazione sulle contrazioni metriche*, Ren. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **40** (1968), 139–143.
- [11] B. Ray, *On a fixed point theorem in a space with two metric*, The Math. Education **9** (173), 57 A–58A.
- [12] B. E. Rhoades, *A common fixed point theorem*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **56** (1977), 265–266.
- [13] B. Rzepecki, *A generalization of Banach's contraction theorem*, to appear in Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.

- [14] B. Rzepecki, *On some classes of differential equations*, in preparation (Publ. Inst. Math.).
- [15] B. Rzepecki, *Note of differential equation $F(t, y(t), y(h(t)), y'(t)) = 0$* , to appear in Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline.
- [16] B. Rzepecki, *Existence and continuous dependence of solutions for some classes of nonlinear differential equations and Bielecki's method of changing the norm*, in preparation.
- [17] B. Rzepecki, *Remarks on the Banach Fixed Point Principle and its applications*, in preparation.
- [18] S. P. Singh, *On a fixed point theorem in metric space*, Rend. Math. Sem. Univ. Padova **43** (1970), 229–231.

Institute of Mathematics
A. Mickiewicz University
Matejki 48/49, Poznań, Poland

Osiedle Bohaterów II Wojny Swiatowej 43/13
61-385 Poznań, Poland