

ON AN INEQUALITY OF P.M. VASIĆ and R.R. JANIĆ

Josip E. Pečarić

0. In [1], P.M. Vasić and R.R. Janić have given generalization of the inequality by Z. Opial ([2], see also [3, p. 351]). Their result, in not so rigorous form, is as follows.

THEOREM A. *Let $p_i (i = 1, \dots, 2n+1)$ and $x_i \in [a, b] = I (i = 1, \dots, 2n+1)$ be such real numbers, that it is for every $k = 1, \dots, n$*

$$1^\circ \quad p_1 > 0, p_{2k} \leq 0, p_{2k} + p_{2k+1} \leq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{2k} p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} p_i > 0;$$

$$2^\circ \quad x_{2k} \leq x_{2k+1}, \sum_{i=1}^{2k} p_i (x_i - x_{2k+1}) \geq 0;$$

then, for every convex function f on I , the following inequality holds

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i f(x_i) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i \right) f \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i} \right).$$

If f is concave, the reverse inequality holds.

If we change the conditions 1° and 2° in Theorem A, we shall show that the following similar results hold:

(α . 1) If the condition 1° holds and the reverse inequalities hold in conditions 2° , then, for every convex function f on I , (1) is valid. If f is concave, the reverse inequality in (1) is valid.

(α . 2) If, instead of conditions 1° and 2° , the following ones hold

$$3^\circ \quad p_1 > 0, p_{2k+1} \geq 0, p_{2k} + p_{2k+1} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{2k} p_i \geq 0; \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} p_i > 0;$$

$$4^\circ \quad x_{2k} \leq x_{2k+1}, \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} p_i (x_i - x_{2k}) \leq 0;$$

then, for every convex function f , the reverse inequality in (1) holds. If f is concave, the inequality (1) holds.

(α . 3) If 3° holds and the reverse inequalities hold in 4° , then, for every convex function f , the reverse inequality in (1) holds. If f is concave, the inequality (1) holds.

In their proof, P.M. Vasić and R.R. Janić started from the Jensen-Steffensen inequality, in the form postulated by Steffensen [3, p. 109], for $n = 3$. In this form we have a nondecreasing sequence of points. However, the Jensen-Steffensen inequality is valid in the same form for a nonincreasing sequence of points (see, for instance, [4, Theorem A]) and (α . 1) can be proved by complete analogy. If we apply directly the method mathematical induction, given in the proof of P.M. Vasić and R.R. Janić, on the Jensen-Steffensen inequality for $n = 3$, we get (α . 3).

REMARK 1. Result (α . 3) is generalization of the inequality by G. Szegő [5] (see also [3, p. 112])

1. We can use Theorem A, (α , 1), (α , 2) and (α , 3), by analogy to Ch.O. Imoru [6], in order to obtain various conditions for which the well-known inequality from Fuchs's generalization [3, p. 165] of the Majorization theorem [3, p. 164] is valid. Denoting by

$$c_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i (x_i - y_i).$$

Then, the following theorem is valid:

THEOREM 1. Let the numbers $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_n > 0$, and $x_i, y_i \in I$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) ($0 \in I$; $x_{k+1} + c_{k+1}/b_{k+1} \in I$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$) satisfy the conditions

$$(A) \quad y_k \leq x_{k+1}, \quad (k = 1, \dots, n; \quad x_{n+1} \equiv 0);$$

$$(B) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i y_i, \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-1);$$

$$(C) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i.$$

Then, for every convex function on I , the following inequality holds

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f(y_i).$$

If f is concave, the reverse inequality holds.

PROOF. Let, in Theorem A, be $x_{2n+1} = 0$ and $p_{2n+1} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i$. Then, from (1) we get

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i f(x_i) + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i\right) f(0) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i x_i\right).$$

For $k = n$, from 1° , we get

$$(1^\circ)' \quad P_{2n} \leq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i \geq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i \leq 0.$$

Using the substitutions: $x_{2k-1} \rightarrow x_k$, $x_{2k} \rightarrow y_k$, $p_{2k-1} \rightarrow b_k > 0$, $p_{2k} \rightarrow -b_k$, (3) becomes

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f(y_i) + f(0) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i\right)$$

and using (C) we get (2).

On the other hand, from 1° ($k = 1, \dots, n$) and $(1^\circ)'$ ($k = n$) we get $b_{k+1} \leq b_k$, $b_n \geq 1$, e.i. $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n \geq 1$, and from 2° we get (A) and (B).

One can easily conclude that the condition $b = 1$ can be replaced by the condition $b_n > 0$. Namely, when $0 < b_n < 1$, the weights $b_k' = b_k/b_n$ satisfy the conditions for which (2) is valid, so multiplying with b_n (i.e. with the previous weights) we can see that (2) is also valid for b_k .

We get the following similar results if we use (α. 1), (α. 2) or (α. 3), instead of Theorem A, in proving a previous theorem.

(β. 1) If Theorem 1 the condition (C) holds and the reverse inequalities holds in conditions (A) and (B) then, for every convex function f , (2) is valid. If f is concave, the reverse inequality holds.

(β. 2) Let the real numbers $0 < b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ and $x_i, y_i \in I$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) ($0 \in I$; $x_{k+1} + c_{k+1}/b_{k+1} \in I$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$) satisfy the conditions (A) and (C) as conditions (B) with reverse inequalities. Then, for every convex function f , the reverse inequality in (2) is valid. If f is concave, then the inequality (2) holds.

(β. 3) If in (β. 2) the conditions (B) and (C) hold and the reverse inequalities hold in conditions (A), then, for every convex function f , the reverse inequality in (2) is valid. If f is concave, then the inequality (2) holds.

If, instead of (C), the following condition is valid

$$(D) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i,$$

then, for nonincreasing convex function f

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i\right) \leq f(0)$$

and from (4) follows (2). Hence the following theorem is valid:

THEOREM 2. *Let the real numbers $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_n > 0$ and $x_i, y_i \in I$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$) ($c_n \in I$ if $b_n \geq 1$ and $c_n/b_n \in I$ if $b_n < 1$; $x_{k+1} + c_{k+1}/b_{k+1} \in I$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$) satisfy the conditions (A), (B) and (D). Then, for every nonincreasing convex function f on I , the inequality (2) is valid. If f is nondecreasing concave, the reverse inequality holds.*

We get by analogy

(γ . 1) If in Theorem 2 the reverse inequalities hold for conditions (A), (B) and (D), then, for every nondecreasing convex function f , (2) is valid. If f is nonincreasing concave, the reverse inequality holds.

(γ . 2) Let the real numbers $0 < b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ and $x_i, y_i \in I$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) ($c_n \in I$ if $b_n \leq 1$ and $c_n/b_n \in I$ if $b_n > 1$; $x_{k+1} + c_{k+1}/b_{k+1} \in I$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$) satisfy conditions (A) as conditions (B) and (D) with reverse inequalities. Then, for every nonincreasing convex function f , the reverse inequality in (2) is valid. If f is nonincreasing concave, then (2) holds.

(γ . 3) If in (γ . 2) the conditions (B) and (D) hold and the reverse inequalities hold in conditions (A), then for every nondecreasing convex function f , the reverse inequality in (2) is valid. If f is nonincreasing concave, then (2) holds.

2. Lj.R. Stanković and I.B. Lacković ([7]) proved the following result:

THEOREM B. *Let a and b be nonnegative real numbers and let $a+b \leq c$. Then for every convex functions $x \mapsto f(x)$ defined for all $x \geq 0$, the following inequality holds*

$$(5) \quad f(a) + f(b+c) \geq f(a+b) + f(c).$$

If the function f is concave the above inequality is reversed.

Let a_i ($i = 1, \dots, 2n+1$) be nonnegative real numbers. We shall prove the following generalization Theorem B:

THEOREM 3. *If $a_1 \geq a_3 \geq \dots \geq a_{2n+1}$ then, for every convex function f on $[0, \infty)$ the following inequality holds*

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} f(a_1 + a_2) + \dots + f(a_{2n-1} + a_{2n}) + f(a_{2n+1}) &\geq \\ &\geq f(a_1) + f(a_2 + a_3) + \dots + f(a_{2n} + a_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

If f is concave, the reverse inequality holds.

PROOF. Let, in $(\beta. 1)$, be: $b_i \equiv 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$); $n = n + 1$; $x_1 = a_1$, $x_2 = a_2 + a_3, \dots, x_{n+1} = a_{2n} + a_{2n+1}$; $y_1 = a_1 + a_2, \dots, y_n = a_{2n+1} + a_{2n}, y_{n+1} = a_{2n+1}$. Then, from (2), we get (6)

REMARK 2. From Theorem 3, for $n = 1$, we get that (5) is valid if $a \leq c$.

By means of complet analogy, substituting: $b_i \equiv 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$); $n = n + 1$; $x_1 = a_1 + a_2, \dots, x_n = a_{2n-1} + a_{2n}, x_{n+1} = a_{2n+1}$; $y_1 = a_2 + a_3, \dots, y_n = a_{2n} + a_{2n+1}, y_{n+1} = a_1$; from $(\beta. 1)$ and $(\beta. 3)$ we get the following similar results:

($\delta. 1$) If $a_2 \geq a_4 \geq \dots \geq a_{2n}$ and $a_{2k+1} \geq a_1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), then, for every convex function f on $[0, \infty)$, the reverse inequality in (6) holds. If f is concave, then (6) holds.

($\delta. 2$) If $a_2 \geq a_0 \geq \dots \geq a_{2n}$ and $a_{2k+1} \leq a_1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) then, for every convex function f on $[0, \infty)$, (6) holds. If f is concave the reverse inequality holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.M. Vasić and R.R. Janić, *An inequality for convex functions*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No. 302 – No. 319 (1970), 39–42.
- [2] Z. Opial, *Sur une inégalité*, Ann. Polon. Math. 8 (1960), 29–32.
- [3] D. S. Mitrinović (In cooperation with P. M. Vasić), *Analytic inequalities* Berlin Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [4] J. E. Pečarić, *On the Jensen-Steffensen inequality*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No. 634 – No. 677 (1979).
- [5] G. Szegő, *Über eine Verallgemeinerung des Dirichleschen Integrals*, Math. Z. 52 (1950), 676–685.
- [6] Ch. O. Imoru, *The Jensen-Steffensen inequality*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No. 461– No. 497 (1974) 91–105.
- [7] Lj.R. Stanković and I. B. Lacković, *Some remarks on the paper "A note on an inequality" of V.K. Lim*. Ibid. No. 461 – No. 497 (1974), 51–54.