## OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

## B. Szmanda (Ponznań)

Abstract. An oscillation criterion is given for the second order nonlinear equation  $\Delta(r_{n-1} \Delta u_{n-1}) + a_n f(u_n) = 0$ , which generalizes McCarthy's result.

In this note we are concerned with the solutions of the second order nonlinear difference equation

(1) 
$$\Delta (r_{n-1} \Delta u_{n-1}) + a_n f(u_n) = 0 \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the forward difference operator i.e.  $\Delta u_n = u_{n+1} - u_n$ ,  $\{r_n\}_0^{\infty}$ ,  $\{a_n\}_0^{\infty}$  are the real sequence,  $f: R \to R$ .

By a solution of (1) we mean a real sequence  $\{u_n\}_0^{\infty}$   $(u_n \neq 0)$ , satisfying equation (1) for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

A solution  $\{u_n\}_0^{\infty}$  is called nonoscillatory if there exists  $n_0 \ge 0$ , such that for every  $n \ge n_0$  either  $u_n > 0$  or u < 0; otherwise it is said to be oscillatory.

In the paper [3] P. J. McCarthy considered the second order linear difference equation

(2) 
$$\Delta (r_{n-1} \Delta u_{n-1}) + a_n u_n = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, ...$$

and obtained the following resut:

Theorem. If  $r_n > 0$ ,  $(n \ge 0)$ ,  $\{r_n\}_0^{\infty}$  is a bounded sequence and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$ , then all non-trivial solutions of (2) are oscillatory.

The purpose of this note is to prove a similar result for (1) which when applied to (2) generalizes McCarthy's result, namely we replace the

requirement that  $\{r_n\}_0^{\infty}$  is bounded by the weaker conditon  $\sum r_n^{-1} = \infty$ . Our Theorem below is the discrete analogue of the Bhatia theorem [1] for nonlinear differential equations of second order and in particular Leighton's theorem [2].

Other oscillation criteria for nonlinear difference equations of the form (1), where the coefficient  $\{a_n\}_0^{\infty}$  is assumed to be eventually nonnegative, are contained in [4].

Theorem. Let the following conditions are valid:

1°  $f: R \to R$  is nondecreasing, sf(s) > 0 for  $s \neq 0$ ,

2° 
$$r_n > 0$$
,  $(n \ge 0)$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n^{-1} = \infty$ ,  
3°  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$ ,

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose there exists a nonoscillatory solution  $\{u_n\}$  of (1) and let  $u_n > 0$  for  $n \ge n_1 - 1 \ge 0$ . For  $n \ge n_1$  we set

$$q_n = \frac{r_{n-1} \Delta u_{n-1}}{f(u_{n-1})}$$
.

Then from equation (1) we obtain

$$\Delta q_{n} = -a_{n} - \frac{r_{n-1} \Delta u_{n-1} \Delta f(u_{n-1})}{f(u_{n-1}) f(u_{n})}.$$

We note by 1° that the second term on the right is nonnegative for  $n \ge n_1$ , hence

$$\Delta q_n \leqslant -a_n, \quad n \geqslant n_1.$$

Summing up both sides of (3) from  $n_1$  to n we get

$$q_{n+1} \leqslant q_{n_1} - \sum_{i=n_1}^n a_i \to -\infty$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

Thus  $q_n < 0$  for  $n \ge n_2 \ge n_1$  which implies that  $\Delta u_n$  is negative for large n. From 3° it follows there exists  $n_3 \ge n_2$ , such that

(4) 
$$\sum_{i=n_1}^n a_i \geqslant 0 \text{ for } n \geqslant n_3.$$

Summing up both sides of (1) from  $n_3$  to n we have

$$\sum_{i=n_1}^n \Delta(r_{i-1} \Delta u_{i-1}) = -\sum_{i=n_2}^n a_i f(u_i),$$

and according to summation by parts formula we may write

$$r_n \Delta u_n - r_{n_3-1} \Delta u_{n_3-1} = -f(u_n) \sum_{i=n_3} a_i + \sum_{i=n_3}^{n-1} \Delta f(u_i) \sum_{k=n_3}^{i} a_k.$$

Since  $u_{n+1} < u_n$  for  $n \ge n_2 - 1$ , then by 1° and (4) it follows that

$$r_n \Delta u_n \leqslant r_{n_3-1} \Delta u_{n_3-1} < 0,$$

which implies

$$\Delta u_n \leqslant r_{n_3-1} \Delta u_{n_3-1} r_n^{-1} \text{ for } n \geqslant n_3,$$

From (5), using 2°, we conclude that  $u_n \to -\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , but this contradicts the fact that  $\{u_n\}$  is eventually positive. A similar argument can be used in the case of an eventually negative solution. Thus the proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

[1] N. P. Bhatia, Some oscillation theorems for second order differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 15 (1966), 442—446.

[2] W. Leighton, On self-adjoint differential equations of second order, J. London Math. Soc. 27 (1952), 37-47.

[3] P. J. McCarthy, Note on the oscillation of solutions of second order linear difference equations, Portugal. Math. 18 (1959), 203-205.

[4] B. Szmanda, Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear difference equations (to appear).

Institute of Mathematics Technical University Poznań