COMMON FIXED POINT OF CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES

Cheh-Chih Yeh

ABSTRACT. The paper deals with a class of selfmappings defined on a complete metric space. As a consequence it is shown that such a class of mappings has a unique fixed point under suitable restriction.

Recently, Singh [4] extended Jungck's result [2] and proved the following interesting result:

Theorem 1. Let S and T be two continuous and commuting selfmappings of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $S(X)\subset T(X)$;
- (b) $d(Sx, Sy) \le ad(Tx, Ty) + b[d(Tx, Sx) + d(Ty, Sy)]$

$$+c [d(Tx, Sy)+d(Ty, Sx)]$$

for all x, y in X, where a, b and c are nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$0 < a + 2b + 2c < 1$$
.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Taking b = c = 0, we have Jungck's theorem [2].

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Singh's result by using another method. For related results, we refer to Jungck [1] and Raktoch [3].

Theorem 2. Let E, F and T be three continuous selfmappings of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

$$(C_1)$$
 $ET = TE$, $FT = TF$, $E(X) \subset T(X)$ and $F(X) \subset T(X)$;

$$(C_2)$$
 $d(Ex, Fy) \le a(d(Tx, Ty)) d(Tx, Ty)$

$$+ b (d(Tx, Ty)) [d(Tx, Ex) + d(Ty, Fy)]$$

$$+ c (d(Tx, Ty)) [d(Tx, Fy) + d(Ty, Ex)]$$

for all x, y in X, where a, b and c are monotonically decreasing functions from $R^+ \equiv [0, \infty)$ into [0, 1) satisfying

$$a(t) + 2b(t) + 2c(t) < 1$$

for all $t \in R^+$.

Then E, F and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be a point in X. Let $x_1 \in X$ be such that $Tx_1 = Ex_0$ and let $x_2 \in X$ be such that $Tx_2 = Fx_1$. In general, we can chose x_{2n+1} and x_{2n+2} such that

(1)
$$Tx_{2n+1} = Ex_{2n}, Tx_{2n+2} = Fx_{2n+1}$$

for $n=0, 1, \ldots$. We can do this since (C_1) holds. It follows from (C_2) that

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) = d(Ex_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq a(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})) d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$$

$$+ b(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})) [d(Tx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1})]$$

$$+ c(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})) [d(Tx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n})]$$

for $n=0, 1, 2, \ldots$ This implies

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2})$$

$$\leq \frac{a\left(d\left(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\right)\right) + b\left(d\left(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\right)\right) + c\left(d\left(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\right)\right)}{1 - b\left(d\left(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\right)\right) - c\left(d\left(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\right)\right)} d\left(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\right)$$

$$\langle d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}).$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$d(Tx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}) < d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}).$$

Thus $\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})\}\$ is decreasing. Let

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = k$$

and suppose that k>0. Put

$$\frac{a(k) + b(k) + c(k)}{1 - b(k) - c(k)} = h.$$

Then $d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \ge k$ implies

$$\frac{a\left(d\left(Tx_{n},\ Tx_{n+1}\right)\right)+b\left(d\left(Tx_{n},\ Tx_{n+1}\right)\right)+c\left(d\left(Tx_{n},\ Tx_{n+1}\right)\right)}{1-b\left(d\left(Tx_{n},\ Tx_{n+1}\right)\right)-c\left(d\left(Tx_{n},\ Tx_{n+1}\right)\right)} \leq h$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ... Thus

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \le hd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx) \le \cdots \le h^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1)$$

Since $h \in (0, 1)$,

(2)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Next, we show that $\{Tx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. It is enough to show that $\{Tx_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since

 $d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m}) \le d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2m})$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) = 0,$$

it is sufficient to show that $d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2m}) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (C_2) that

$$\begin{split} &d\left(Tx_{2n+1},\ Tx_{2m}\right) = d\left(Ex_{2n},\ Fx_{2m-1}\right)\\ &\leq a\left(d\left(Tx_{2n},\ Tx_{2m-1}\right)\right)d\left(Tx_{2n},\ Tx_{2m-1}\right)\\ &+ b\left(d\left(Tx_{2n},\ Tx_{2m-1}\right)\right)\left[d\left(Tx_{2n},\ Tx_{2n+1}\right) + d\left(Tx_{2m-1},\ Tx_{2m}\right)\right]\\ &+ c\left(d\left(Tx_{2n},\ Tx_{2m-1}\right)\right)\left[d\left(Tx_{2n},\ Tx_{2m}\right) + d\left(Tx_{2m-1},\ Tx_{2n+1}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

Thus

(3)
$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2m}) \le \frac{a(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1})) + b(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1})) + c(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1}))}{1 - a(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1})) - 2c(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1}))} \times [d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2m-1}, Tx_{2m})].$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an N such that

(4)
$$\max \left\{ d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Tx_{2m-1}, Tx_{2m}) \right\}$$

$$< \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon \left[1 - a(\varepsilon) - 2c(\varepsilon) \right]}{a(\varepsilon) + b(\varepsilon) + c(\varepsilon)}, 2\varepsilon \right\} \\ \text{if } a(\varepsilon) + b(\varepsilon) + c(\varepsilon) \neq 0; \\ \varepsilon \quad \text{if } a(\varepsilon) + b(\varepsilon) + c(\varepsilon) = 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $m, n \ge N$.

For those m, n such that $d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1}) \ge \varepsilon$, we have, by (3) and (4),

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2m}) \leq \frac{a(\varepsilon) + b(\varepsilon) + c(\varepsilon)}{1 - a(\varepsilon) - 2c(\varepsilon)} [d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2m-1}, Tx_{2m})] < \varepsilon,$$

since a, b and c are monotonically decreasing functions.

For those m, n such that $d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1}) < \varepsilon$, we have, by (2),

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2m}) \le d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2m-1}) + d(Tx_{2m-1}, Tx_{2m}) \le \varepsilon$$

for m, n large enough.

Thus $\{Tx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of X, $\{Tx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to a point x in X. It follows from (1) that $\{Ex_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{Fx_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ also converge to x. Since E, F and T are continuous, we have

$$E(Tx_{2n}) \rightarrow Ex$$
, $F(Tx_{2n+1}) \rightarrow Fx$.

From
$$(C_1)$$

$$E(Tx_{2n}) = T(Ex_{2n}), F(Tx_{2n+1}) = T(Fx_{2n+1})$$

for all $n=0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Taking $n \to \infty$, we have

$$(5) Ex = Tx = Fx$$

and

(6)
$$T(Tx) = T(Ex) = E(Tx) = E(Ex) = T(Fx) = F(Tx) = F(Ex) = F(Fx)$$

By (C_2) , (5) and (6),

$$d(Ex, F(Ex)) \le a(d(Tx, T(Ex))) d(Tx, T(Ex))$$
+ $b(d(Tx, T(Ex))) [d(Tx, Ex) + d(T(Ex), F(Ex))]$
+ $c(d(Tx, T(Ex))) [d(Tx, F(Ex)) + d(T(Ex), Ex)]$
= $[a(d(Ex, F(Ex))) + 2c(d(Ex, F(Ex)))] d(Ex, F(Ex)).$

Thus

$$[1-a(d(Ex, F(Ex))-2c(d(Ex, F(Ex)))]d(Ex, F(Ex)) \le 0.$$

This means

$$(7) Ex = F(Ex).$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that Ex is a common fixed point of E, F and T. Let u, v be two points of X such that Eu = Fu = Tu = u and Ev = Fv = Tv = v. Then, by (C_2) ,

$$d(u, v) = d(Eu Fv) \le a (d(Tu, Tv)) d(Tu, Tv)$$
+ $b (d(Tu, Tv)) [d(Tu, Eu) + d(Tv, Fv)]$
+ $c (d(Tu, Tv)) [d(Tu, Fv) + d(Tv, Eu)]$
= $[a (d(u, v)) + 2 c (d(u, v))] d(u, v)$.

Hence u = v. Therefore our proof is complete.

Remark 1. Taking E = F, b(t) = c(t) = 0 and a is a fixed real number in our Theorem 2, we get Jungck's theorem [2].

Remark 2. Let a(t), b(t) and c(t) be fixed real numbers in Theorem 2. If E=F then Singh's result [4] is a special case of our Theorem 2.

Remark 3. Let T be the identity mapping, b(t) = c(t) = 0 and E = F, then Corollary of Rakotch [3] is a special case of our Theorem 2.

Corllary 1. Let E, F and T be three continuous selfmappings of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying (C_1) and the following condition

(C₃) there exist two positive integers m and n such that

$$d(E^m x, F^n y) \le a(d(Tx, Ty)) d(Tx, Ty)$$

+ $b(d(Tx, Ty)) [d(Tx, E^m x) + d(Ty, F^n y)]$
+ $c(d(Tx, Ty)) [d(Tx, F^n y) + d(Ty, E^m x)]$

for all x, y in X, where a, b and c are monotonically decreasing functions from R^+ into [0, 1) satisfying

$$a(t) + 2b(t) + 2c(t) < 1$$

Then E, F and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. It follows from (C_1) that $E^mT = TE^m$, $F^nT = TF^n$, $E^m(X) \subset E(X) \subset T(X)$ and $F^n(X) \subset F(X) \subset T(X)$. By Theorem 2, there exists a unique point x in X such that

$$x = Tx = E^m x = F^n x$$

Also

$$T(Ex) = E(Tx) = Ex = E(E^m x) = E^m(Ex).$$

This means that Ex is a common fixed point of T and E^m . Similarly, Fx is a common fixed point of T and F^n . The uniqueness of x implies

$$Ex = Fx = Tx = x$$
.

This completes our proof.

Corollary 2. Let T and T_i (i = 1, 2, ..., k) be continuous selfmappings of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

$$(C_4)$$
 $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$, $TT_i = T_i T$ for $i, j = 1, 2, ..., k$;

(C₅)
$$E(X) \subset T(X)$$
 where $E = T_1 T_2 \ldots T_k$;

$$(C_6)$$
 for $E = F$, the condition (C_3) holds.

Then T and T_i (i = 1, 2, ..., k) have a common unique fixed point.

Proof. By Corollary 1, E and T have a unique common fixed point x in X. Thus Ex = Tx = x. Then

$$T_i(Ex) = T_i(Tx) = T_i x.$$

This together with the conditions (C_4) and (C_5) implies

$$E(T_i x) = T(T_i x) = T_i x.$$

Hence $T_i x$ (i = 1, 2, ..., k) are common fixed points of E and T. By the uniqueness of the common fixed points of E and T, we have $T_i x = x$.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Jungck, An iff fixed point criterion, Math. Magazine, 49 (1976), 32-34.
 [2] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83 (1976), 261-263.

[3] S. L. Singh, On common fixed points of commuting mappings, Math. Seminar Notes, 5 (1977), 132-134.

[4] E. Raktoch, A note on contractive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 13 (1962), 459—465.

Mathematical Institute Kobe University Kobe, Japan