

## ON THE LIMITS OF THE FAMILIES OF LINDENBAUM ALGEBRAS

*Slobodan T. Vujošević*

(Communicated June 15, 1979)

A family of theories in the language of the first-order is treated. Corresponding families of Lindenbaum algebras are defined. The relations of the limits of these families are examined.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a theory in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  of predicate calculus of the first order and let  $S$  be a set of formulas in  $\mathcal{L}$ . A binary relation  $\leq$  is defined in  $S$ , so that for all  $p, q \in S$ ,

$$p \leq q \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{T}, p \vdash q.$$

The relation  $\leq$  is preorder in  $S$ . If a binary relation  $\sim$  is defined so that for all  $p, q \in S$ ,

$$p \sim q \text{ if and only if } p \leq q \text{ and } q \leq p$$

then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ . The relation  $\leq$  induces in  $S/\sim$  a partial order  $\leq$  (using the same designation), such that for all  $p^c, q^c \in S/\sim$ ,

$$p^c \leq q^c \text{ if and only if } p \leq q,$$

where  $p^c$  is an equivalence class of  $p$  according to  $\sim$ .

Further  $S/\sim$  is designated by  $P$  and it is assumed that  $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$  is a directed partial order, i.e. for all  $p, q \in P$ , there exists  $r \in P$  such that  $p, q \leq r$ . For every  $p \in P$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_p$  is a deductive closure of  $\mathcal{T} \cup \{p\}$ , conservative extension of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $p \leq q$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_p \supseteq \mathcal{T}_q$ .*

**Proof.** Trivial since  $q \in \mathcal{T}_p$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B}_p$  be a Lindenbaum algebra of theory  $\mathcal{T}_p$ ,  $p \in P$ . If  $\varphi$  is a formula in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  then

$$\varphi_p = \{\psi : \mathcal{T}_p \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi\}$$

is an element of Lindenbaum algebra  $\mathbf{B}_p$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_p$ ,  $p \in P$  is a theory of the first-order, then the algebra  $\mathbf{B}_p$ ,  $p \in P$  is a Boolean algebra.

For all  $p, q \in P, p \leq q$  a mapping  $h_{pq} : \mathbf{B}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_q$ , such that  $h_{pq}(\varphi_p) = \varphi_q$ , is defined.

**Lemma 2.** For all  $p, q \in P$ , if  $p \leq q$  then  $h_{pq}$  is an embedding.

**Proof.** Let  $h_{pq}(\varphi_p) = h_{pq}(\psi_p)$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_q \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ . But since  $q \in \mathcal{T}_p$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_p \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , i.e.  $\varphi_p = \psi_p$ . If  $\varphi_p \leq \psi_p$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_p \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , and if  $\mathcal{T}_q \vdash \neg(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ , then since  $p \leq q, \mathcal{T}_p \vdash \neg(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T}_p$  is an inconsistent theory, and lemma holds. If  $\mathcal{T}_p$  is consistent, then  $\varphi_q \leq \psi_q$ , i.e.  $h_{pq}$  is an embedding.

Since  $P$  is a set of formulas in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ , for all  $p, q \in P, p \leq q$  there exists  $\varphi_q \in B_q$ , so that  $\mathcal{T}_q \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow p$ . Let  $F$  be a filter in the algebra  $\mathbf{B}_q$  generated with  $\varphi_q$ .  $F$  induces a homomorphism  $g_{qp} : \mathbf{B}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_p$ , for all  $p \leq q$ . So we have following.

**Lemma 3.** If  $p \leq q$ , then there exists an epimorphism  $h_{qp}$  of the algebra  $\mathbf{B}_q$  on to  $\mathbf{B}_p$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\psi_q^F$  be an element of  $B_{q|F}$ , where  $\psi$  is an arbitrary formula in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ , then for any formula  $\sigma, \sigma_q \in \psi_q^F$  if and only if  $\sigma_q \Leftrightarrow \psi_q \in F$ , i.e.  $(\sigma_q \Leftrightarrow \psi_q) \leq \varphi_q$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_q \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow p$  then  $\mathcal{T}_q \vdash p \rightarrow (\sigma \leftrightarrow \psi)$ . According to  $p \leq q, \mathcal{T}_p \vdash \sigma \leftrightarrow \psi$  and finally  $\psi_q^F = \psi_p$ .

According the lemma 2, to the family of theories  $\mathcal{T}_p, p \in P$  a family  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{B}_p, h_{pq} : p \leq q\}$  of Boolean algebras and embeddings is associated. It is obvious that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a directed family in the category of Boolean algebras and embeddings [1], [2]. According the lemma 3, to the family  $\mathcal{T}_p, p \in P$  is associated an inverse family  $\mathcal{J} = \{\mathbf{B}_p, g_{pq} : p \leq q\}$  in the category of Boolean algebras and epimorphisms. The following theorem describes the relation of limits of these two families.

**Theorem 1.** If  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}}$  is a Lindenbaum algebra of the theory then  $\lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{J} \cong \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}} \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D}$ .

**Proof.** Let as show that  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}} \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $B^\infty$  be a domain of the algebra  $\lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{J}$ . Recall that  $B^\infty = \{f \in \prod_{p \in P} B_p : f(p) = g_{qp}f(q), p \leq q\}$ . We should notice that for each  $f \in B$ , there exists a formula  $\varphi$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  so that  $f = \langle \varphi_p : p \in P \rangle$ . So, for an arbitrary  $p \in P, f(p) \in B_p$  and  $f(p) = \varphi_p$  for some formula  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $P$  is a directed partial order, so for any  $q \in P$ , there exist  $r \in P$ , such  $p, q \leq r$ . According to the definition of homomorphisms  $g_{qp}$  we have that  $g_{rp}(\varphi_r) = \varphi_p$  and  $g_{rq}(\varphi_r) = \varphi_q$ , i.e.  $f(q) = \varphi_q$  for every  $q \in P$ .

Define a mapping  $g : \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}}$  such that  $g(\langle \varphi_p : p \in P \rangle) = \hat{\varphi}$ , where  $\hat{\varphi} \in B_{\mathcal{J}}$ , i.e.  $\hat{\varphi} = \{\psi : \mathcal{T} \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi\}$ . Obviously,  $g$  is onto.  $g$  is one to one, because if  $g(\langle \varphi_p : p \in P \rangle) = g(\langle \psi_p : p \in P \rangle)$  then  $\mathcal{T} \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , so for all  $p \in P, \mathcal{T}_p \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , i.e.  $\varphi_p = \psi_p$ . Therefore  $\langle \varphi_p : p \in P \rangle = \langle \psi_p : p \in P \rangle$ .

$g$  is a homomorphism, because if  $\langle \varphi_p : p \in P \rangle \leq \langle \psi_p : p \in P \rangle$  then for all  $p \in P$ ,  $\varphi_p \leq \psi_p$ . If  $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}}$ , let us define a mapping  $\bar{g}_p : \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_p$ , so that  $\bar{g}_p(\varphi) = \varphi_p$ . Obviously  $\bar{g}_p$  is a homomorphism so if  $\hat{\varphi} \not\leq \hat{\psi}$ , then  $\varphi_p \not\leq \psi_q$ , contradiction.

To prove that  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D} \cong \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}}$ , let  $B_{\infty}$  be a domain of the algebra  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D}$ . Recall that  $B_{\infty} = B / \sim$ , where  $B = \bigcup_{p \in P} B_p \times \{p\}$ , and  $\sim$  an equivalency on  $B$  defined such that for all  $\langle a, p \rangle, \langle b, q \rangle \in B$ ,  $\langle a, p \rangle \sim \langle b, q \rangle$  if there exists  $r \in P$ ,  $p, q \leq r$  and  $h_{pr}(a) = h_{qr}(b)$ .

Mappings  $h_p : \mathbf{B}_p \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D}$ ,  $p \in P$  defined such that  $h_p(\langle a, p \rangle) = [a, p]$ , where  $[a, p]$  is an equivalence class according to  $\sim$ , are embeddings. Instead of  $\langle a, p \rangle$ ,  $[a, p]$  we respectively write  $a_p, [a_p]$ .

Let us define the mapping  $h : \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}}$ , such that  $h([a_p]) = \hat{\varphi}$ .  $h$  is onto, because for each formula  $\varphi$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  there exists  $\varphi_p \in B_p$ , such that  $h([a_p]) = \hat{\varphi}$ . Let  $h([a_p]) = h([a_q])$ ,  $p, q \in P$ , then  $\mathcal{J} \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , so  $\mathcal{T}_p \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_q \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ . If  $r \in P$  such that  $p, q \leq r$ , then  $h_{pr}(\varphi_p) = h_{qr}(\varphi_q)$ , i.e.  $\varphi_p \sim \varphi_q$ , so  $h$  is one to one.

Let  $[\varphi_p] \leq [\psi_q]$ , then there exists  $k \in P$ ,  $p, q \leq k$ , so that  $\varphi_k \leq \psi_k$ . Let  $\bar{h}_k : \mathbf{B}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{J}}$  so that  $\bar{h}_k(\varphi_k) = \hat{\varphi}$ .  $\bar{h}_k$  is an embedding because, if  $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\psi}$  then  $\mathcal{J} \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T}_k \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , so  $\varphi_k = \psi_k$  and  $\bar{h}_k$  is one to one. If  $\varphi_k \leq \psi_k$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_k \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ , and so if  $\mathcal{T} \vdash \neg(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_k \vdash \neg(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_k$  is an inconsistent theory, so the theorem trivially holds. If  $\mathcal{T}_k$  is consistent then  $\mathcal{T} \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ , i.e.  $\hat{\varphi} \leq \hat{\psi}$  and  $\bar{h}_k$  is an embedding. Therefore  $h$  is a homomorphism and the theorem is proved.

Further are considered the families  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \overline{\mathcal{J}}$  dual to the families  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}$ . More precisely, the relation  $\geq$  dual to the relation  $\leq$  is considered. In that way the direct family  $\mathcal{D}$  is transmitted in an inverse family  $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \{\mathbf{B}_p, h_{pq} : p \geq q\}$  of Boolean algebras and embeddings. Similarly, the family  $\mathcal{J}$  is transmitted in to a direct family  $\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \{\mathbf{B}_p, g_{pq} : p \geq q\}$  of Boolean algebras and epimorphisms. The following theorem is investigating the relation of limits of dual families.

Let  $\mathcal{T}_P = \bigcup_{p \in P} \mathcal{T}_p$  and let  $\mathbf{B}_P$  be a Lindenbaum algebra of the theory  $\mathcal{T}_P$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $\lim_{\leftarrow} \overline{\mathcal{D}} \cong \mathbf{B}_P \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ .

**Proof.** Let us prove that  $\mathbf{B}_P \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ . Let  $\bar{g} : \lim_{\rightarrow} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_P$  defined by  $\bar{g}([a_p]) = \varphi_p$ . It is obvious that for any formula  $\varphi$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  there exists  $p \in P$  so that  $\varphi_p \in B_p$ , i.e.  $\bar{g}$  is onto. Let  $\bar{g}([a_p]) = \bar{g}([a_q])$ ,  $p, q \in P$ .

Hence  $\mathcal{T}_p \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ . Since the proof for  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$  in the theory  $\mathcal{T}_p$  is final, there exists a final sequence  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$  so that  $\mathcal{T}, p_1, \dots, p_n \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ . Let  $r \in P$  so that  $p_1, \dots, p_n \leq r$ , such  $r$  exists since  $\mathbf{P}$  is directed, and let  $\bar{g}_r: \mathbf{B}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_p$ , be a mapping defined so that  $\bar{g}_r(\varphi_r) = \varphi_p$ . We should notice that  $\bar{g}_r$  is an embedding, because if  $\varphi_r \neq \psi_r$  and  $\varphi_p = \psi_p$  then  $\mathcal{T}_p \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ , so  $\mathcal{T}, p_1, \dots, p_n \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ . But  $\mathcal{T}_r \vdash \neg(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \leq r$ , so that  $\mathcal{T}, p_1, \dots, p_n \vdash \neg(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$  i.e.  $\mathcal{T}_p$  is an inconsistent theory and theorem trivially holds. If  $\mathcal{T}_p$  is consistent then  $\varphi_p \neq \psi_p$ , so  $\bar{g}_r$  is one to one, and hence  $g$  is one to one. It is obvious that  $\bar{g}_r$  is a homomorphism, hence  $g$  is a homomorphism too.

To prove  $\mathbf{B}_p \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ , we have to notice that the domain  $\bar{B}^\infty$  of the algebra  $\lim_{\leftarrow} \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $B^\infty = \{f \in \prod_{p \in P} B_p : f(q) = h_{pq} f(p), p \geq q\}$ . Every  $f \in \bar{B}^\infty$  is of the form  $\langle \varphi_p : p \in P \rangle$ , where  $\varphi$  is a formula in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ . Further on, we should procede in essentially the same way as in the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Sacks G. E., *Saturated Model Theory*, Reading, Massa. 1972.
- [2] Vujosević S. T., *On Boolean valued models*, master thesis, Beograd, 1979 (in serbocroatian).