

ON SOME INTERCALATIONS IN ORDERED SETS\*

*Kurepa Đuro*

(Received, October 1, 1979)

0:0. In doctoral Thesis (Kurepa, Đ. 1939) were considered various extensions and intercalations, in ordered sets, among other maximal ones (§ 3: VII, § 4, § 10: 4, 11: 5). In this connexion there are many works by Krasner, Dokas, ... on „Kurepa completions“, semi-reals...

In Kurepa [1963] n° 4, n° 6 the following two intercalation conditions for any ordered set  $(O, \leq)$  were published:

0:1. Condition  $C(\alpha)$ : Any ordered subset of cardinality  $< \aleph_\alpha$  admits in the set an extension in every direction in the sense that for any  $X \subset O$  such that  $|X| < \aleph_\alpha$  and for any ordered set  $X_0$  such that  $X_0 \supset X$ ,  $X_0 \setminus X = \{x_0\}$  (irrespective whether  $x_0 \in O$  holds or not) there exists a point  $p \in O$  such that the identity mapping on  $X$  plus the mapping  $x_0 \mapsto p(x_0) = p$  be an isomorphism between the ordered sets  $X_0 = X \cup \{x_0\}$  and  $X \cup \{p\}$ .

0:2.  $n$ -intercalation (or  $\equiv I_1(n)$ ) ( $n$  any given cardinal number). For any 3-un ( $\equiv$  ordered triplet)  $(A, B, C)$  of subsets of  $O$ , each of cardinality  $< n$ , the conditions

$$(0:3) \quad A < B \quad \text{and} \quad B \parallel C$$

imply the existence of a point  $p := p(A, B, C)$  in  $O$  such that

$$(0:4) \quad A < p < B, \quad p \parallel C.$$

Consequently, the subsets  $A, B, C$  are in the left - half - cone  $O(\cdot, p) := \{x \cdot \cdot x \in O, x < p\}$  of  $(O, \leq)$ , in the right half cone  $O(p, \cdot) := \{x \cdot \cdot x \in O, p < x\}$  and in the complement of the  $p$ -cone  $O[p] := \{x \cdot \cdot x \in O, x \leq p \vee x \geq p\}$ , respectively.

Since the requested point  $p$  in the  $n$ -intercalation condition satisfies (0:4) one has necessarily

$$0 A \cap C = \emptyset = 1 B \cap C$$

where

$$(0:5) \quad 0 A := \bigcup_{a \in A} (\cdot, a], \quad 1 B := \bigcup_{b \in B} [b, \cdot)$$

\* Supported by Republička zajednica za nauku SR Srbije, through Matematički institut.

and

$$(0:6) \quad (\cdot, a] = \{x \dots x \in O, x \leq a\}, \quad [b, \cdot) = \{x \dots x \in O, b \leq x\}.$$

Therefore we can formulate following.

0:7. *Intercalation*  $01(n)$  (or  $I(n)$ ). For any 3-un  $(A, B, C)$  of subsets of  $(O, \leq)$  each of cardinality  $< n$  and such that

$$(0.8) \quad (0 A \cup 1 B) \cap C = \emptyset$$

there exists a point  $p := p(A, B, C)$  of  $O$  such that

$$(0:9) \quad A < p < B \quad \text{and} \quad p \parallel C.$$

1. **Theorem.** For any ordinal number  $\alpha$  the conditions  $C(\alpha)$ ,  $01(\aleph_\alpha)$  are equivalent, i.e. an ordered set  $(O, \leq)$  satisfies  $C(\alpha)$  if and only if  $(O, \leq)$  satisfies  $I(\aleph_\alpha)$ .

1:1. Proof of  $\Rightarrow$ . Let  $x_0 := (A, B, C)$  be any 3-un like in the wording of  $01(\aleph_\alpha)$ ; then  $X := A \cup B \cup C$  is an ordered subset of power  $< \aleph_\alpha$  of  $(O, \leq)$ ; let us consider the set  $X_0 = X \cup \{x_0\}$ ; of course,  $X_0 \setminus X = \{x_0\}$ ; let  $\leq'$  be the order relation in  $X_0$  obtained by extending  $(X, \leq)$  on setting  $A < x_0 < B$ ,  $x_0 \parallel C$ . As the set  $(O, \leq)$  has the property  $C(\alpha)$  there is a point  $p \in O$  such that the mapping  $s$  of  $X_0$  satisfying  $s \upharpoonright X = Id_X$  and  $s x_0 = p$  be a similarity between  $(X_0, \leq')$  and  $(X \cup \{p\}, \leq)$ ; this means exactly that  $p$  is a point in  $(O, \leq)$  requested by the condition  $01(\aleph_\alpha)$ .

1:2. Proof of  $\Leftarrow$ . Let  $(O, \leq)$  be given and let  $X$  be any subset of cardinality  $< \aleph_\alpha$ ; let  $(X_0, \leq')$  be any extension of  $(X, \leq)$  obtained by adjoining to  $X$  a single point  $x_0 \notin X$ . Consider:

$$A := \{a \dots a \in X, a < x_0\}, \quad B := \{b \dots b \in X, x_0 < b\}, \quad C := X \setminus (A \cup B).$$

The 3-un  $(A, B, C)$  of subsets of  $(O, \leq)$  satisfies the condition (0:3). As a matter of fact,  $A < x_0 < B$  thus  $A < B$  and  $A < B$  (because  $A \cup B \subset O$ ); further there is no point  $c \in C$  such that  $c \leq a$  for some  $a \in A$  because, in opposite case, there would be a  $c \leq x_0$  i.e.  $c \in A$ ,  $A$  being a left segment of  $(X_0, \leq')$ ; but  $c \in A$  does not hold because  $C \subset X \setminus A$ . Analogously one checks easily that  $C \cap (B, \cdot) = \emptyset$ . Thus (0:3), (0.8) hold; then according to  $01(\aleph_\alpha)$  there is a point  $p \in O$  such that  $A < p < B$  and  $p \parallel C$ ; then the mapping  $s \upharpoonright X_0$  such that  $s \upharpoonright X = Id_X$  and  $s x_0 = p$  is a requested similarity between  $(X_0, \leq')$  and the subset  $(X \cup \{p\}, \leq)$ ,  $s$  extending the identity mapping  $Id_X$ . This finishes the proof.

1:3. Remark. If one deals with lattices, then one could assume also that not only  $A < B$  but that also  $A, B$  be directed upwards and downwards respectively. Exactly so proceeded Negreptis, S. Therefore the intercalation condition [I. Negreptis, S. 1969] p. 517 in L. 1:6. (cf. also Comfort — Negreptis [1974] p. 124.) should be compared to the intercalation condition  $C(\alpha)$  in Đ. Kurepa [1963] p. 21. We considered the condition still earlier; cf Math. Rev. 52 (1976) # 2888.

2. In connection with the above intercalation condition  $01(n)$  it is natural to consider also the following.

2:1. Intercalation condition 10 ( $n$ ). Given an ordered set  $(O, \leq)$  and a cardinal number  $n$ . For any 3-un  $(A, B, C)$  of subsets of  $O$ , of cardinality  $< n$  each, and such that

$$A < B, (1A \cup 0B) \cap C = \emptyset$$

there exists a point  $p = p(A, B, C)$  of  $O$  such that

$$A < p < B, p \parallel C.$$

2:2. One checks readily that the arguments of the section 1 hold on permuting the signs 0, 1. In particular,  $C(\alpha)$  and 10 ( $\mathbb{N}_\alpha$ ) are equivalent conditions. Therefore, because of 1 we have the following

2:3. Theorem. For any ordered set  $(O, \leq)$  and any ordinal number  $\alpha$  the intercalation conditions  $C(\alpha)$ , 01 ( $\mathbb{N}_\alpha$ ), 10 ( $\mathbb{N}_\alpha$ ) are pairwise equivalent.

3. On an intercalation  $I_2(n)$ . The above  $n$ -intercalation:  $= I_1(n)$  was considered in connection with ramified sets ( $:=$  pseudotrees). For any  $(O, \leq)$  we may examine the following intercalation:

3:1.  $I_2(n)$ . For any ordered set  $(O, \leq)$  and any 3-un  $(A, B, C)$  of subsets, of cardinality  $< n$  each, the conditions

$$A < B, A \cup B \parallel C$$

imply the existence of a point  $p \in O$  such that

$$A < p < B, p \parallel C.$$

In other words (cf. (0:3))  $I_2(n)$  is obtained from the condition  $I_1(n)$  on requesting moreover that  $A \parallel C$ . Therefore we have the following

3:2. Lemma. If  $(O, \leq)$  satisfies the  $n$ -intercalation, the more  $(O, \leq)$  satisfies  $I_2(n)$ ; in other words.

(3:3)  $I_1(n) \Rightarrow I_2(n)$  for any cardinal number  $n$ .

On the other hand, one has the following

(3:4) Lema. 01 ( $n$ )  $\Rightarrow I_2(n)$ .

Proof. At first,

(3:5)  $A \cup B \parallel C \Rightarrow (0A \cup 1B) \cap C = \emptyset.$

In opposite case, one of the sets  $0A \cap C, 1B \cap C$  would be  $\neq \emptyset$ ; assume that the first case may occur, i.e. that some point  $c$  exists such that  $c \in C, c \leq a$  for some  $a \in A$ ; this would mean that  $\neg A \parallel C$ , contrarily to the assumption  $(A \cup B) \parallel C$ . So (3:5) holds. Let us now assume that  $(O, \leq)$  satisfies  $I(n)$ ; to prove that  $(O, \leq)$  satisfies  $I_2(n)$ . As a matter of fact, let  $(A, B, C)$  be any 3-un of subsets of  $(O, \leq)$  of power  $< n$  each and such that  $A < B, (A \cup B) \parallel C$ ; then according to (3:5) the assumptions for application of 01 ( $n$ ) occur and one has a point  $p \in O$  such that  $A < p < B, p \parallel C$ , as was requested in the conclusion of  $I_2(n)$ .

3:6. Remark. If one applies  $I_1(n)$  to the ordered set  $(O, \geq)$  one gets for  $(O, \leq)$  the following.

Interclation  $I^1(n)$ . For any 3-un  $(A, B, C)$  of subsets of  $(O, \leq)$  of cardinality  $< n$  each, the conditions

$$A < B, \quad A \parallel C$$

imply the existence of a point  $p \in O$  such that

$$A < p < B, \quad p \parallel C.$$

3:7. In [Kurepa 1963] section 8 following question was raised: Exhibit an ordered set  $(O, \leq)$  having the  $I(\aleph_\alpha)$ -property but not having the  $C(\alpha)$ -property. According to 2:3 such a set  $(O, \leq)$  would satisfy reither  $01(\aleph_\alpha)$  nor  $10(\aleph_\alpha)$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Comfort, W. W. — Negrepontis, S. 1974 *The theory of Ultrafilters*. Springer Verlag- Grundlehren der math. Wiss., 211 (1974), X+482.

[2] Dokas, Lambros [1963 a] *Sur certains des ensembles ordonnés munis d'opérations: complétés de Dedekind et de Kurepa des ensembles partiellement ordonnés*. C. R. Acad. Sci. 256 (Paris 1963), 2504—2506, M. R. 26 # 4941.

[3] Kurepa, Đuro [1935] *Ensembles ordonnés et ramifiés*, Thèse. (Paris 1935) VI+140; Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade 4 (Beograd 1935), 1—138.

[4] Kurepa, Đuro [1963] *On universal ramified sets*. Glasnik Mat. fiz. astr. (2) 18 (Zagreb 1963) 17—26.

[5] Mijajlović Žarko, [1979] *Saturated Boolean algebras with ultrafilters*, Publ. Math. 26 (40) (Beograd 1979),

[6] Negrepontis, S. [1969] *The Stone space of the saturated Boolean algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (1969), 515—527.

[7] Dokas, Lambros [1973 b] *Certains complété des ensembles ordonnés munis d'opérateurs: complétés de Krasner*. C. R. Ac.Sci. 256 (1963) 3937—3939; M. R. # 3947.

[8] Dokas, L. — Krasner, M. [1976] *Limite projective et inductive et certains complétés d'ensembles ordonnés*. Mathematica Balkanica 6 (Beograd, 1976), 41—46.

[9] Kurepa, Đuro: *A classification of topological space. Z-number of spaces*. Publ. Inst. Mathématique 25 (39), pp 79—89, Beograd (1979).

Erratum corrige

p. 85 lines 12, 14, 15 write ind instead of dim

[10] Kurepa, Đuro: *On some hypotheses concerning trees*. Publications Inst. Mathématique 21 (35) (1977), pp. 99—100.

Erratum corrige

p. 105 in 7:8 Proposition: write  $P_{15}'$  instead of the second  $P_{15}$ .