

A FIXED POINT THEOREM IN Menger SPACES

Olga Hadžić

(Received December 15 1978)

Abstract: In [1], [2], [3], [4], [10], [11] and [15] some fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric and random normed space (S, \mathcal{F}, t) are proved where $t = \min$. We shall prove in this paper a fixed point theorem in complete Menger spaces (S, \mathcal{F}, t) where t is a continuous T -norm such that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x = 1$, where;

$$T_n(x) = \underbrace{t(t(\dots t(t(x, x), x), \dots), x), x)}_{n\text{-times}}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

An example of such T -norm is given. A similar result for matric spaces is obtained in [8]. We shall also give, using the method from [3], a short proof of Theorem 1 from [4].

A probabilistic metric space (S, \mathcal{F}) is formed by a nonempty set S together with a mapping \mathcal{F} which assigns to each $(x, y) \in S \times S$ a distribution function $F_{x,y}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied;

- (F1) $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$.
- (F2) For every $(x, y) \in S \times S: F_{x,y}(0) = 0$.
- (F3) For every $(x, y) \in S \times S: F_{x,y} = F_{y,x}$.
- (F4) If $F_{x,y}(r) = 1$ and $F_{y,z}(s) = 1$ then $F_{x,z}(r+s) = 1$.

By a Menger space (S, \mathcal{F}, t) we mean [13] a probabilistic metric space (S, \mathcal{F}) with (F4) replaced by the condition;

- (F4)' For every $(x, y, z) \in S \times S \times S$ and every $r, s > 0$;

$$F_{x,z}(r+s) \geq t(F_{x,y}(r), F_{y,z}(s))$$

where t is a T norm [13].

The (ε, λ) -topology is introduced by the family $\{U_v(\varepsilon, \lambda)\}_{\substack{v \in S \\ \varepsilon > 0 \\ \lambda \in (0, 1)}}$ where $U_v(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{u \mid F_{u,v}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$ and this topology is metrisable if $\sup_{x < 1} t(x, x) = 1$ [13].

Further we shall use the following Theorem ([9] p.p. 45): If t is a continuous T -norm and $I = [0, 1]$ then:

$$I \times I = \left(\bigcup_{k \in K} J_k \times J_k \right) \cup C \left(\bigcup_{k \in K} J_k \times J_k \right)$$

where the set K is at most denumerable, for every $k \in K$ is J_k an open interval, $J_k \cap J_r = \emptyset$ for $k \neq r$ and the restriction $t|_{J_k \times J_k}$ is an Archimedean semigroup i.e. $t(x, x) < x$ for every $x \in J_k$.

A semigroup $t: [A, B] \times [A, B] \rightarrow [A, B]$ is strict if for every $x \in (A, B)$ the inequality $x_1 < x_2$ ($x_1, x_2 \in [A, B]$) implies that $t(x, x_1) < t(x, x_2)$.

In the next Theorem we shall denote $t|_{J_k \times J_k}$ by t_k ($k \in K$).

Theorem Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete Menger space with continuous T -norm t such that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x = 1$ and for every $k \in K$ the semigroup t_k is strict. Further, let the mapping $H: S \rightarrow S$ be such that for every $r_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) and every $u, v \in S$:

$$F_{Hu, Hv} \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 r_i \right) \geq t \left(t \left(t \left(F_{u, Hv} \left(\frac{r_5}{a} \right), F_{v, Hu} \left(\frac{r_4}{b} \right) \right), F_{v, Hv} \left(\frac{r_3}{c} \right) \right), F_{u, Hu} \left(\frac{r_2}{d} \right), F_{u, v} \left(\frac{r_1}{e} \right) \right)$$

where $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $a + b + c + d + e < 1$. Then there exists a unique fixed point of the mapping H .

Proof: Let us prove that there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$ and that the family $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pseudometrics defines the (ε, λ) -topology, where;

$$(1) \quad d_n(x, y) = \sup \{t|_{F_{x,y}}(t) \leq a_n\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; x, y \in S)$$

Suppose that, for every $k \in K$, $J_k = (c_k, b_k)$. If the set K is empty i.e. $t = \min$ we can take for $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an arbitrary sequence from the interval $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$ and from [3] it follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is d_n pseudometric.

Further, it is obvious that the family $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines the (ε, λ) -topology. If the set K is finite say $K = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ then $b_m < 1$ or $b_m = 1$. In the case that $b_m < 1$ the restriction $t|_{[b_m, 1] \times [b_m, 1]} = \min$ and we can take for $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an arbitrary sequence from the interval $(b_m, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$. We shall

show that the relation $b_m = 1$ leads to a contradiction with the assumption that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x = 1$. Namely, if $b_m = 1$ then the restriction $t|_{[c_m, b_m] \times [c_m, b_m]}$ is an Archimedean semigroup such that for every $x \in J_m = (c_m, 1)$: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x) = c_m$ which fact was proved by Cho-Hsin Ling ([9]). So if $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is such that $1 - \lambda > c_m$ then there is no $\eta(\lambda) \in (0, 1)$ such that;

$$x > \eta(\lambda) \Rightarrow T_n(x) > 1 - \lambda$$

which is a contradiction. Suppose now that the set K is infinite. If there exists $b \in (0, 1)$ such that $b_m \leq b$ for every $m \in K$ then we can take, as before, for $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1)$ an arbitrary sequence such that $a_n > b$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$. In the case that there is no $b \in (0, 1)$ such that $b_m \leq b$ for every $m \in K$ then there exists a subsequence $\{c_{m(n)}\}_{n \in N}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{m(n)} = 1$.

Then by definition $a_n = c_{m(n)}$ for every $n \in N$. In [7] is proved that the family $\{d_n\}_{n \in N}$ from (1) is also a family of pseudometrics which defines the (ε, λ) -topology, using the method from [3] and the facts that $t(a_n, a_n) = a_n$, for every $n \in N$, and that for every $k \in K$ the semigroup t_k is strict. Now, we shall prove that for every $n \in N$ and every $u, v \in S$ the following relation holds;

$$d_n(Hu, Hv) \leq e \cdot d_n(u, v) + d \cdot d_n(u, Hu) + c \cdot d_n(v, Hv) + b \cdot d_n(v, Hu) + a \cdot d_n(u, Hv)$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist $n \in N$ and $u, v \in S$ such that;

$$d_n(Hu, Hv) > e \cdot d_n(u, v) + d \cdot d_n(u, Hu) + c \cdot d_n(v, Hv) + b \cdot d_n(v, Hu) + a \cdot d_n(u, Hv).$$

Then there exist $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5 \in R^+$ such that;

$$e \cdot d_n(u, v) < r_1; \quad d \cdot d_n(u, Hu) < r_2; \quad c \cdot d_n(v, Hv) < r_3; \quad b \cdot d_n(v, Hu) < r_4;$$

$a \cdot d_n(u, Hv) < r_5$ and $d_n(Hu, Hv) > \sum_{i=1}^5 r_i$. Using the definition of pseudometrics d_n from this it follows that;

$$(2) \quad F_{u,v}\left(\frac{r_1}{e}\right) > a_n; \quad F_{u,Hu}\left(\frac{r_2}{d}\right) > a_n; \quad F_{v,Hv}\left(\frac{r_3}{c}\right) > a_n;$$

$$(3) \quad F_{v,Hu}\left(\frac{r_4}{b}\right) > a_n; \quad F_{u,Hv}\left(\frac{r_5}{a}\right) > a_n; \quad F_{Hu,Hv}\left(\sum_{i=1}^5 r_i\right) \leq a_n.$$

Let us prove that from (2) and (3) it follows that;

$$a_n < t\left(t\left(t\left(F_{u,Hv}\left(\frac{r_5}{a}\right), F_{v,Hu}\left(\frac{r_4}{b}\right)\right), F_{v,Hv}\left(\frac{r_3}{c}\right)\right), F_{u,Hu}\left(\frac{r_2}{d}\right)\right), F_{u,v}\left(\frac{r_1}{e}\right).$$

First, we shall show that for every $x \in (a_n, 1): T_s(x) > a_n$ for every $s \in N$ by induction. Suppose that $s = 1$. If $t = \min$ or there exists $b \in (0, 1)$ such that $t| [b, 1] = \min$, using the definition of the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in N}$ we conclude that $t(x, x) = x$ and so $T_1(x) = t(x, x) = x > a_n$. If there is no $b \in (0, 1)$ such that $t| [b, 1] = \min$ then $t(a_n, a_n) = a_n = c_{m(n)}$ and choose $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}$ from the interval $J_{m(n)} = (c_{m(n)}, b_{m(n)})$ such that $a_n < \bar{\alpha} < \bar{\alpha} < x$. Since $t_{m(n)}$ is strict semigroup it follows that:

$$a_n = t(a_n, a_n) \leq t(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}) < t(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}) \leq t(x, x)$$

and so $T_1(x) > a_n$ in all cases. Suppose now that $T_s(x) > a_n$ for some $s \in N$ and prove that $T_{s+1}(x) > a_n$. Since we proved that $y > a_n$ implies that $t(y, y) > a_n$ it follows that;

$$(4) \quad a_n < t(T_s(x), T_s(x))$$

Further T -norm \min is the strongest T -norm and so for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $T_m(x) \leq \min_{n\text{-times}} \{x, x, \dots, x\} = x$. Using (4) we conclude that;

$$a_n < t(T_s(x), T_s(x)) < t(T_s(x), x) = T_{s+1}(x)$$

Because from (2) and (3) it follows that;

$$a_n < \min \left\{ F_{u,v} \left(\frac{r_1}{e} \right), F_{u,Hu} \left(\frac{r_2}{d} \right), F_{v,Hv} \left(\frac{r_3}{c} \right), F_{u,Hu} \left(\frac{r_4}{b} \right), F_{u,Hv} \left(\frac{r_5}{a} \right) \right\}$$

and so;

$$a_n < T_4 \left(\min \left\{ F_{u,v} \left(\frac{r_1}{e} \right), F_{u,Hu} \left(\frac{r_2}{d} \right), F_{v,Hv} \left(\frac{r_3}{c} \right), F_{u,Hu} \left(\frac{r_4}{b} \right), F_{u,Hv} \left(\frac{r_5}{a} \right) \right\} \right),$$

From this it follows that;

$$a_n < t \left(t \left(t \left(t \left(F_{u,Hv} \left(\frac{r_5}{a} \right), F_{v,Hu} \left(\frac{r_4}{b} \right) \right), F_{v,Hv} \left(\frac{r_3}{c} \right) \right), F_{u,Hu} \left(\frac{r_2}{d} \right) \right), F_{u,v} \left(\frac{r_1}{e} \right) \right) \leq F_{Hu,Hv} \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 r_i \right) \leq a_n$$

which is a contradiction.

Now we have;

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(Hu, Hv) &\leq ed_n(u, v) + d \cdot d_n(u, Hu) + c \cdot d_n(v, Hv) + b \cdot d_n(v, Hu) \\ &+ a \cdot d_n(u, Hv) \leq (e + d + c + b + a) \max \{d_n(u, v), d_n(u, Hu), d_n(v, Hv), \\ &d_n(v, Hu), d_n(u, Hv)\} \end{aligned}$$

and using the same method as Lj. Ćirić in [5] it follows that there exists one and only one fixed point x^* of the mapping H and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^n x_0 = x^*$ which completes the proof.

Corollary [15] Let (S, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a complete Menger space and $H: S \rightarrow S$ be such that for every $r > 0$ and every $u, v \in S$:

$$F_{Hu,Hv}(q \cdot r) \geq F_{u,v}(r) \text{ where } q \in (0, 1)$$

Then there exists one and only one fixed point x^* of the mapping H and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^n x_0 = x^*$ for every $x_0 \in S$.

Proof; If $a, b, c, d > 0$ are such that $a + b + c + d + q < 1$ then from:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Hu,Hv}(r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 + r) &\geq F_{Hu,Hv}(r) \geq F_{u,v} \left(\frac{r}{q} \right) = \\ &= t \left(t \left(t \left(t(1, 1), 1 \right), 1 \right), F_{u,v} \left(\frac{r}{q} \right) \right) \geq t \left(t \left(t \left(F_{u,Hv} \left(\frac{r_4}{a} \right), F_{v,Hu} \left(\frac{r_3}{b} \right) \right), \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. F_{v,Hv} \left(\frac{r_2}{c} \right), F_{u,Hu} \left(\frac{r_1}{d} \right) \right), F_{u,v} \left(\frac{r}{q} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that all the conditions of Theorem are satisfied and so there exists one and only one fixed point x^* of the mapping H and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^n x_0 = x^*$, where $x_0 \in S$ is an arbitrary element of S . Now, we shall give an example of T -norm t such that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$ and that t_k is strict for every $k \in K$.

Let $\bar{t}(x, y) = x \cdot y$ for every $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and let us define T -norm t in the following way;

$$t(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2^{-m} + 2^{-m-1} \bar{t}(2^{m+1}(x-1+2^{-m}), 2^{m+1}(y-1+2^{-m})) & \text{if } (x, y) \in J_m \times {}_x J_m \\ \min\{x, y\} & \text{if } (x, y) \notin \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} J_m \times {}_x J_m \end{cases}$$

where $J_m = [1 - 2^{-m}, 1 - 2^{-m-1}[$ for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. It is easy to see that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$ since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - 2^{-n} = 1$.

In [4] Lj. Ćirić proved the following fixed point theorem; Let (S, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a complete Menger space, $T: S \rightarrow S$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $u, v \in S$;

$$(5) \quad F_{Tu, Tv}(qx) \geq \min\{F_{u, v}(x), F_{u, Tu}(x)F_{v, Tv}(x), F_{u, Tv}(2x), F_{v, Tu}(2x)\}$$

for every $x > 0$. Then there exists one and only one fixed point x^* of the mapping T and $x^* = \lim T^n x_0$ for every $x_0 \in S$.

Using the method from [3] we shall give a short proof of this theorem.

Proof: Let $a \in (0, 1)$ and $d_a: S \times S \rightarrow R^+ \cup \{0\}$ be defined in the following way; $d_a(x, y) | F_{x, y}(t) \leq 1 - a$. In [3] is proved that d_a is pseudometric and that the family $\{d_a\}_{a \in (0, 1)}$ defines the (ε, λ) -topology. We shall show that for every $a \in (0, 1)$ we have that;

$$d_a(Tu, Tv) \leq q \max\left\{d_a(u, v), d_a(u, Tu), d_a(v, Tv), \frac{1}{2}d_a(u, Tv), \frac{1}{2}d_a(v, Tu)\right\}$$

for every $u, v \in S$. Suppose on the contrary that there exist $a \in (0, 1)$ and $u, v \in S$ such that;

$$d_a(Tu, Tv) > q \max\left\{d_a(u, v), d_a(u, Tu), d_a(v, Tv), \frac{1}{2}d_a(u, Tv), \frac{1}{2}d_a(v, Tu)\right\}$$

Then there exists $r > 0$ such that;

$$d_a(Tu, Tv) > r > q \max\left\{d_a(u, v), d_a(u, Tu), d_a(v, Tv), \frac{1}{2}d_a(u, Tv), \frac{1}{2}d_a(v, Tu)\right\}.$$

Using the definition d_a from this it follows that;

$$F_{Tu, Tv}(r) \leq 1 - a \quad \text{and} \quad \min\left\{F_{u, v}\left(\frac{r}{q}\right), F_{u, Tu}\left(\frac{r}{q}\right), F_{v, Tv}\left(\frac{r}{q}\right), F_{u, Tv}\left(\frac{2r}{q}\right)F_{v, Tu}\left(\frac{2r}{q}\right)\right\} > 1 - a$$

which is a contradiction. Similarly as in [5] it follows the above cited Ćirić's fixed point theorem.

Remark; In Ćirić's fixed point theorem [4] we can replace the inequality (5) by the following weaker condition; For every $u, v \in S$ it follows;

$$(6) \quad F_{Tu, Tv}(qx) \geq \min \{F_{u, v}(x), F_{u, Tu}(x) F_{v, Tv}(x), F_{u, Tv}(x), F_{v, Tu}(x)\}$$

The proof is similar as the above proof of Ćirić's result from [4] since from (6) it follows; $d_a(Tu, Tv) \leq 2 \max \{d_a(u, v) d_a(u, Tu) d_a(u, Tv), d_a(v, Tv), d_a(v, Tu)\}$ and then we can apply Ćirić's result from [5] (after slight modification).

REFERENCES

- [1] Gh. Bocsan, *On some fixed point theorems in random normed spaces*, Seminarul de teoria functiilor si matematici aplicate, A. Spatii metrice probabiliste, № 13, 1974.
- [2] Gh. Bocsan, *On some fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces*, Seminarul de teoria functiilor si matematici aplicate, A. Spatii metrice probabiliste, № 24, 1974.
- [3] G. L. Cain and R. H. Kasriel, *Fixed and periodic points of local contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. Systems Theory, 9 (1976), 289—297.
- [4] Lj. Ćirić, *On fixed points of generalized contractions on probabilistic metric spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), 18, (32), (1975), 71—78.
- [5] Lj. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 45 (1974), 267—273.
- [6] O. Hadžić, *On the (ε, λ) -topology of probabilistic locally convex spaces*, Glasnik matematički, Vol. 13 (33), 1978, 293—297.
- [7] O. Hadžić and M. Budinčević, *A fixed point theorem on probabilistic metric spaces*, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, (to appear).
- [8] G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers, *A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich*, Can. Math. Bull., 16 № 2, (1973), 201—206.
- [9] V. Istratescu, *Introducere in teoria spatiilor metrice probabiliste cu aplicatii*, Editura Technica, Bucurest, 1974.
- [10] V. Istratescu and I. Sacuiu, *Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 18 (1973), 1375—1380.
- [11] I. Istratescu and E. Roventa, *On fixed point theorems for mappings on probabilistic locally convex spaces*, Seminarul de teoria functiilor si matematici aplicate, A. Spatii metrice probabiliste, № 19, 1974.
- [12] B. K. Ray, *On Ćirić's fixed point theorem*, Fund. Math., XCIV. 3, (1977).
- [13] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 313—334.
- [14] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Associative functions and abstract semigroups*, Publicationes Mathematicae, Debrecen, 10 (1963), 69—81.
- [15] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharcha — Reid, *Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. System Theory, 6 (1972), 97—102.

Olga Hadžić
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics
21000 NOVI SAD
Dr Ilije Đuričića 4
Yugoslavia