

ON COMMON TRANSVERSALS OF SEPARATING FAMILIES

R. Dacić

(Communicated February 7, 1977)

It is given a brief proof of a theorem of Brown ([1]) on common transversals.

Let the symbol \mathcal{F} denote a set of t families of sets, each of t families consisting of s finite but not necessarily distinct or nonempty sets. The symbol Ω will denote the union of all of the sets contained in all of the t families. Thus $\mathcal{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t)$, where for each j , $1 \leq j \leq t$, $F_j = (F_j(1), F_j(2), \dots, F_j(s))$, $|F_j(i)| < \infty$ ($|F|$ denotes the cardinality of F), $\Omega = \cup\{F_j(i) \mid 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$.

\mathcal{F} is said to *separate points* of Ω if

$$|\cap\{F_j(a_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq t\}| \leq 1$$

for every t -uple (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) , where $0 \leq a_j \leq s$, $1 \leq j \leq t$ and $F_j(0) = \Omega \setminus \cup\{F_j(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$.

A set T is a *transversal* of the family F_j if there is a bijection $\varphi: T \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ such that, for all $x \in T$, $x \in F_j(\varphi(x))$. The set T is *common transversal* of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t if T is simultaneously a transversal of each F_j , $1 \leq j \leq t$.

In [1] T. S. Brown proved that if \mathcal{F} is separating and satisfying some cardinality conditions, then there exists a common transversal of \mathcal{F} . He considered two cases.

Case 1. Each F_j covers Ω , that is $\Omega = \cup\{F_j(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$, and $|\Omega| > s^t - s^{t-1}$.

Case 2. For some $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ $\cup\{F_j(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} \neq \Omega$ and $|\Omega| > (s+1)^t - s^{t-1} - 1$.

In both cases \mathcal{F} has a common transversal.

Case 2 is readily reduced to the case 1, so we deal only with the case 1, proving the following

THEOREM. Let \mathcal{F} separate points and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ $\Omega = \cup \{F_j(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$. If

$$(C) \quad |\Omega| > s^t - s^{t-1}$$

then \mathcal{F} has a common transversal.

Proof. Let $X = F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_t$. If $x \in X$, then $x = (F_1(i_1), F_2(i_2), \dots, F_t(i_t))$, $1 \leq i_j \leq s$, $F_j(i_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq t$) being coordinates of x . Since \mathcal{F} separates points, we have $|\Omega| \leq |X| = s^t$. Denote by π a disjoint partition of X having the following two properties: (i) for every $P \in \pi$ is $|P| = s$; (ii) given $P \in \pi$ then for any $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and every $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ there exists $x \in P$ such that $F_j(i)$ is a coordinate of x . Existence of such partitions is easily proved by induction on t . Denote by Π the set of all such partitions. Let $\pi \in \Pi$, $P \in \pi$, and $x \in P$; we shall write

$$i(x) = \cap \{F_j(i_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq t\},$$

$$b(P) = \cup \{i(x) \mid x \in P\}.$$

Evidently if for some $\pi \in \Pi$ and some $P \in \pi$ $|b(P)| = s$, \mathcal{F} has common transversal. Suppose \mathcal{F} has no common transversal. Then for every $\pi \in \Pi$ and every $P \in \pi$ is $|b(P)| \leq s - 1$. It follows

$$|\Omega| \leq \sum_{P \in \pi} |b(P)| \leq |\pi| \max |b(P)| \leq s^{t-1} (s - 1) = s^t - s^{t-1},$$

contrary to the hypothesis.

REFERENCE

- [1] T. S. Brown, *Common Transversals*, J. Combinatorial Theory (A) 21, 80–85 (1976).